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ARTICLE VIII.

## STUDIES IN THE SEPTUAGINTAL TEXTS OF LEVITICUS.

by harold m. wiener, m.a., ll.b., of lincoln's inn, BARRISTER-AT-LAW.
II.

In the preceding article we had occasion to assume that a close relationship existed between the Armenian Version and certain groups of cursives, particularly gn. This phenomenon is one that constantly impresses itself on the student of the text ; but to enable the reader to see it clearly for himself, the following tables are printed. In Table V., the most important Armenian readings possessing support from authorities in Leviticus viii. are taken as the standard, and it is shown how far they meet with support from other authorities. In Table VI., on the other hand, the text of gn in certain passages of Leviticus xxiv. is the standard.

Table ${ }^{\circ}$. reveals a number of very interesting phenomena. The close relationship between gn and Arm appears in such readings as those in verses $9,10-11,16,19 ; 30,32$, embracing grammatical points, erroneous readings, and alterations of order. Occasionally, as in verses 17 and 35 , Arm appears to part company with gn through accommodation to the Hebrew. The grouping of the authorities makes it reasonably probable that the Armenian presents pre-Hexaplar readings in verses $2,11,19,27,30,31$; for in most of these we have clearly Egyptian witnesses going with the Armenian in preserving non-Massoretic readings which contrast with the later Hebrew readings that have influenced most of our texts. On
the other hand, B appears to have preserved Hesychian readings in verses 2 and 35.

Special interest attaches to verses 28 and 33. In the latter we have to distinguish four readings of importance:-
 ing of Origen, as it has the support of Hexaplar witnesses).
 allies, and M.T.).


That (2) is the reading either of Lucian or of a later insertion in Lucian seems clear. Incidentally it should be noticed that the Armenian and its allies here, as in some other places, show a closer approximation to the Massoretic text than Origen himself. There can be no doubt that the recension has been influenced by an independent study of a He brew text. The difference between (3) and (4) is merely
 Possibly this is a non-recensional difference; but if a recensional question arises, presumably (3), with Cyril's support, represents Hesychius, and (4) is pre-Hexaplar. But the difference appears too slender to warrant any far-reaching conclusions. In any case the original reading of the LXX is more closely represented by (3) and (4) than by the other texts.

The other passage (ver. 28) is interesting for a very different reason. In xvi. 10, and again in $x .4$, we found the Armenian, gn, dpt, and other witnesses inserting some words from other parts of the chapter. Apparently no Hebrew equivalent had ever existed for these words. Here we meet with the same phenomenon: "as the Lord commanded" seems to come from verse 21, and is found in the Armenian, gn, dpt, h (which appears to be descended from an archetype
that had been glossed from some Lucianic text), and c. We shall have to note other instances hereafter.
Table VI. presents fewer features of interest. It is to be noted that the closest allies of gn are the Armenian, dpt, and bw (see ver. $2,5,7,14,16,19,23$ ). In verse 23 we seem to have a pre-Hexaplar reading, while in verse 8 B and its allies appear to present a Hesychian reading. It is to be noted that in one case gn and the Armenian agree with the Massoretic text against Origen (ver. 7).

Table VII., in which readings of dpt in Leviticus xxvi. are taken as the standard, calls for more comment. Here, again, we find a close relationship between dpt, gn, and the Armenian, and some considerable connection with bw. Further, we have once more to note that c and h often go with one or more authorities of this class. Again it may happen that our group and its allies agree with the Massoretic text against the Hexaplar authorities (e.g. 14). Pre-Hexaplar readings seem to be preserved by dpt in verse 2 , perhaps in verses 11 ( $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \omega$ ), 16, 19, 29, 32, and 43 , and by the authorities in column 5 in verse 11 ( $\delta_{\alpha} \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu$ ), and perhaps in verses 28 ( h and its allies) and 44 (M, Boh). In verse 18, Origen clearly read émra; Lucian, ėtrakus; and Hesychius, $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ yyaus $\dot{\text { énta. }}$. But the division of the authorities suggests that the two latter readings may both have been current before the time of Origen. Here dpt seems to preserve a Greek gloss (èrepeus). Lucianic readings appear to be found in dpt and its allies in verses $6,14,27,35$, and 45 , in pt and gn in verse 5 , in dt and its allies in verse 13 , and in gn and its allies in verse 39 ; while Hesychius is probably represented by $h$ and its allies in verse 16 , perhaps (but improbably) by f in verse 20 , and possibly by $o$ in verse 22 . One very interesting feature is the fact, that, while bw and dpt often agree,
they are very rarely to be found in solitary agreement against all the other authorities, including gn. Both appear to me to be based in part on a particular recension, but it seems to me that the use of this recension and the other ingredients employed varied very greatly.
In Table VIII., readings of bw in xix. 1-xx. 19 form the standard. It is to be observed that this group often goes its own way - sometimes from an approximation to a Hebrew text, sometimes apparently through recensional activity substituting different Greek forms or expressions for those of the other Septuagintal authorities (e.g. xix. 7; xx. 16), or again through textual corruption (xix. 22, 26). On the other hand, it often preserves pre-Hexaplar readings (e.g. xix. 12. 27, 32; xx. 2, 17). ${ }^{1}$ Sometimes the authorities in column 5 appear to represent the original Greek text (xix. 2, B and its allies; 12). It is clear that $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{gn}$, the Armenian, and dpt are the most nearly related to our text; but it is to be noted that bw and dpt will seldom be found in isolated agreement on a non-Massoretic reading. Nor does bw often agree with qu alone. Not infrequently it goes with the Egyptian versions (e.g. xi. 2, ord. and M.T. $\lambda$ eyoytes; bw, Sah, add
 4, ord. and M.T. áкa日aptov тouto; bw, Boh, Eth, transpose the words), and in such cases it presumably preserves preHexaplar readings. It is to be noted that in $\mathbf{x x} .19$ it joins $h, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{gn}$, the Arm, and dpt in presenting words that come from verse 20 , but are not present in the Hebrew, and that in $\mathbf{x x}$. 6,7 , it appears to present double renderings.
${ }^{1}$ In ilx. 5, dexta appears to have been the reading of Lacian, and the nonsensical sexarmp may have arisen through the a. of thls having been written in the margin of a MS. reading dexrar, as an alternative to the last syllable, and then having been incorporated in the word by error.

The former of these characteristics is specially marked in gn and its allies. We have already noted several instances. Here are some more: xx. 11, ord. èvoxol; gn, Arm, dpt, pre-
 Sectov (aúvo); gn, Arm, dpt, add ${ }^{\xi} \xi(\lambda a \sigma a \sigma \theta a \varepsilon$ from verse 4, while h substitutes it for aùrow ;ii. 4, ord.aち้رots; g, Arm, dpt, add $\ell_{\kappa} \sigma \in \mu \delta \delta a \lambda e a s$, which occurs immediately before (the whole phrase is missing in n) ; 11, ord. картшбat; gn, dpt, add $\theta$ vorav (cp. the beginning of the verse); Arm renders fructum in sacrificium; viii. 16, ord. то $\sigma \tau \in a \rho 2^{\circ}: g n$, Arm, prefix $\pi a \nu$ from earlier in the verse. In this peculiarity bw does not generally agree with gn and its allies.

The text of gn as it stands often incorporates Hexaplar notes (e.g. ii. 16 ; iii. 8 ; iv. 3 ; vii. 8 ) ; but this is probably to be attributed to the process of copying, and therefore it must be eliminated in any estimate of the recensions.

On the whole, the evidence appears to me to point to the following conclusions as to the groups. The testimony of the Lucianic fathers and the Armenian version makes it certain that either gn or dpt is our principal Lucianic group. Of these, gn is nearer to the Armenian, and has long been regarded as practically the text of Theodoret. It would seem, therefore, that this is descended from a Lucianic copy. If that be so, dpt represents a text that is partly Lucianic and partly pre-Hexaplar, but has been influenced very little by independent study of a later Hebrew text. It must not be forgotten, however, that in Deuteronomy x. 6 f . it substitutes the Samaritan for a Jewish text. On the other hand, bw contains, in addition to a Lucianic element, a large number of pre-Hexaplar readings and a strong infusion of a later Hebrew, together with a greater amount of verbal recasting than any of the other main types.
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TABLE V

| Lev. VIII | Ammenian Readiug | Authorities agreeiag with it | Altemative readings | Auhhorities presenting alternative readings | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | (tas atodas)adtont | gn dpt bw \& Eth Chr | airov | BAyha $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ r Sah ord Boh Lat ${ }^{\text {P Or-lat }}$ | V is missing through. out the chapter. $\mathrm{MT}=\text { ord }$ |
| 5 | то длица dotir vacat | $y$ ord Boh Sah Eih Lat Or-lat Chr Cyr gn |  | ord |  |
|  |  |  | tovto latir $2^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{BAa}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MT}=$ ord . |
| 9 |  тที Motpan |  |  | ord | $\mathrm{MT}=$ ord. |
|  |  |  | $\lambda \geqslant \%$ aùrev |  |  |
| 10 | 入apwr | gn Lat ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | drafor | ord $\text { \} }$ | $\mathrm{MT}=$ ord. |
| 11 | vacat | gn Lat | xat $1^{\circ}$ | ord | ML $=$ ord. |
|  | aitoy (Arm-codd : Arm-ed is not quoted.) | y Mmob ${ }^{\text {P }}$ FI dpt $\mathrm{r} q \mathrm{qu}$ | aúre | BAha, Gackx (aưro) | $\mathrm{MT}=$ adra. |
|  |  | Boh ${ }^{1}$ |  | gn bw f: eam Eth |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | gn | vacat | ord | MT = ord: the Arm. reading is a palpable |
|  |  |  |  |  | error, repeating the earlier 3. |
| 17 89 | vacat | $\begin{array}{lll} h & k m & b w \\ h & p & \text { bwi } \end{array}$ | adra <br> Mん(v) $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\eta V}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | ord | MT - Arm. <br> MT reade it on lise aec |
|  |  |  |  |  | ond occurrence in ord. bue not ont he fiet. |



TABLE VI

| Ler. XXIV | Readiogs of $\mathbf{E n}^{\text {n }}$ | Authorities agreeling with gn | Alernative readioge | Authorites prasontigg alwornative readings | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | oor | ord Arm Boh Sah Eth ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Or-lat Cyr | нas vacat | BAy Flm Eth ${ }^{\text {e }}$ f Cyr | $j$ and $q$ misaing in this chapter. <br> MT $=$ ord. <br> a is missing up to the last clause of ver. 23. |
|  |  | pr Cyred $\frac{1}{1}$. | - ${ }^{\text {anemon }}$ | ord | MT $=$ ord. |
|  |  | dpt Arm-codd (el ura. tur /ncerna Arm-ed) | кaugar $\lambda$ Ux roy | ord | lina kaintal Slav Mosq. |
| 3 | dowev |  | 1Fwor | ord | MT $=$ ord. |
| 5 | dx 800 | dpt bw Arm (vid) | $8{ }^{\text {vo }}$ | ord | MT $=$ ord. |
| 7 | drionows | Arm | dritnoers | ord | $\mathrm{MT}=\mathrm{gn}$. |
|  |  |  | drionoetal | $\mathrm{Aa}_{3}$ O Boh |  |
|  | els derous | $\mathrm{BAy}^{*} \mathrm{Nh}^{*} \mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{cx}$ dpt bw | a deros | ord Boh Ethe Slav |  |
|  | els drajumoiv | ord | draитпиатоя | bw Arm | MT לאזרח. |
| 8 | scatyon alwrios | ord Slay Georg | 8iatn<7\% alwrion | BAyha, M f Boh (vid) Sah (vid) Cyr |  |
| 9 | vacat | ord | THV $\mathrm{I}^{\circ}$ | BAyNa, Fklm Cyr | MT = ord: according to a Hexaplar note in vo' read twu. |
| 114 | vactat vucat | $\mathrm{Cw}_{\mathrm{bw}}^{\mathrm{bw}} \mathrm{Arm}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \pi \pi 4^{\circ} \\ & \text { divarro } \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | mт ${ }_{\text {c }}$ |



TABLE VII

| Ler. XXVI | Readinge of dpt | Authorition agreeing with | Alternative readioga | Authorities presenting altermative reeding: | Remarte |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ( $\lambda_{\mu r 1}$ ) davrous | h Mc gn esvz bw | vacet abras | Gex fin Boh (vid) BAyNa kmb Fl r | j is misaing la this chapter: $q$ is mbering 1-20; a, 1-9. Some pasanges are preeorved in $d_{1}$. <br> MT |
|  | херротоктra | ord |  | $\mathrm{gam}^{\text {bw }}$ |  |
|  | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ urta | ord |  | NhGckx gnowmgsoisv |  |
|  | OnJore | ord | のT\%ors | M esvz brif | MT 1ヵת |
|  | du | BAyNba, kmbl Fl gn | vacat | GMcoz esvz br fir u Eth (vid) Spec-cod | In the case of the inser. tion or omiation of dus I only give one or two instances as samples. |
| 2 | $\Delta$ |  | vacat | GMcox enve fir $u$ Eth (rid): bw omits the whole phrase. | MT - ord. |
|  |  | Boh Exife | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { Ocos } \\ & \text { vecant } \end{aligned}$ | m Lat <br> ofld |  |
| 3 | Av ross (pt only of this group) | gn fl Or-gr Cyr |  | ord |  |
| 5 | \| ${ }^{\text {anentor }}$ | oret | 1 ammer | F-A, Feth |  |



TABLE VII (continued)

| Ler. XXVI | Readings of dpt | Authoritien dicteong wich | Alerontive rendiag: | Authorition proventiay | Remarke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | rat $4^{\circ}$ <br>  ג(fortas d) <br> (880rtal) abta | B*A bw Eth (vid) Or-gr ord | vacat <br> - фаmenjporta | ord Arm Boh Sah Lat $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathbf{3}}$ Makmxb gn fr Boh" Sab (vid) Eth (vid) |  |
|  |  | N Gcxv" gn bw Arm Boh Sah Lat | vacat | ord |  |
| 18 | Tou (raibourai) <br> $\pi \lambda_{\text {grats }}$ itra drepals | B gn bw | vacat | ord |  |
|  |  |  | dтraxis | BA gn Arm bw Lat | MT |
|  |  |  | dтTE | Gx |  |
|  |  |  |  | bu |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Na}_{1}$ acb, fir Boh Sah |  |
|  |  |  |  | Mkmo Fl esvz |  |
| 19 | ownpous | ord | pr ws | a, Mackmob, F esvz ir uda | MT ${ }^{\text {\%ax }}$ |
|  |  |  | Ws oisppoy | 1 Boh (vid) Sah (vid) |  |
|  |  |  |  | Eth (vid) Lat Syr-ap |  |
| 20 | -topay | ord |  | Barh |  |
| 11 | mera taura lay | Boh | dav mota tauta 7 . | f Boh ${ }^{1}$ ord | MT יבולחי |
|  | (ropanvora) |  | dav $\pi$ r, mera ravra | Gcx Syr | MT omits $\mu$. r . |
|  | ropaursea | ord (with unimportant varlationa) | + +pot ${ }^{\text {o }}$ | bw qu | MT + صט. |



TABLE VII (continued)

| Lev. XXV1 | Readings of dpt | Authorities agreeing with dpt | Alveruative readiage | Authorities presanting alternative readiugs | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 |  |  | aútwr nat do tals duap- <br>  aút cov aícoy | Gx | $\mathrm{MT}=\mathrm{Gx}$ : according to a Hexaplar note in $\nabla 0^{\prime}=G$. |
| 43 | tvката入ефөضбетa儿 ì | A Mao esvz fir qu Lat |  | ord | $\mathbf{M T}=\text { ord. }$ |
| 44 | dorwy |  | dvtwi au̇my | ord |  |
|  | drue rap | akmob $_{2}$ Fl esvz fir qu Lat |  | ord |  |
|  |  |  | drw | M Boh | According to a Hexaplar note in $v o^{\prime}$ and $\lambda$ read dri dyw. |
| 45 | Tapthy Thy | gn Arm esvz bw qu | TWV | ord | MT = ord. |
| 46 | та бікасьдата каи о pouos |  | \% nopos | Ofd | N Mo qu insert r. $\mathbf{8} . \mathrm{k}$. earlier, So too lat. |

table ViII

| Reference | Ruading of bw | Authorties agroeing with | Alteruative readinge | Authorties preaenting alternative readinga | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iner.idx |  |  |  |  | anting through. e ebapter. |


| 2 | Tary Tp（ounaroro） | ord Bohl ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Sah Eth Slar | 70 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { BAyNha，}{ }_{2} \mathrm{gnA}^{2} \mathrm{rm} \text {－codd } \\ & \text { Boh＂}\end{aligned}\right.$ | $\mathbf{M T}=\mathrm{bw}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | －${ }^{\text {arg }}$ | cm dt |  |
|  | TGY | ord | vacat | Gcx gn dpt |  |
| 5 | 8extay |  | dexryy | N GMackox dpt 8 fin |  |
|  |  |  | decaty | $\mathrm{BAg}^{*} \mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{~F}^{\boldsymbol{4}} \mathrm{lm}$ | íment：$\theta^{\prime \prime}$ ds dexton incer： |
|  |  |  | סaxty |  | $0^{\prime}$ cus Te eutsoryequat |
|  |  |  | sexra | $h_{\text {g gn Arm（ }}^{\text {gid）}}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Suctor | ejvz $b_{3}$ |  |
| 7 | doutos |  | difutor | ord |  |
| 8 | abire | $\mathbf{k} \mathrm{g}^{\mathbf{n}}$ | ulro | ord |  |
| 9 | тov ixtepural | c | dxapurar | ord |  |
| 10 | tas（poryas） |  | reus | ord |  |
| 11 | xas of． | Nha，GMackoxb， gn ejsvz fi u Phil Spec | － $2^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAy Flm p Boh } \\ & \text { Sah }^{z} \text { Luc } \end{aligned}$ | mague Ara Eth Lat． MTがと |
|  |  |  | adse | dt r Sahe（rid） |  |
|  |  | 1 Arm Boh Sah Spec | vacat | ord． | MT $=$ bw． |
| 12 | то sroua | BAyNha，Gacx gn | to dropar to dycor | ord Boh Eth Spec | $\mathrm{MT}=\mathrm{bw}$ ． |
|  | xuprev |  | nuphos tov deov ípuy | gn Arm Luc | MT דrithe |
|  |  |  | tov Geov 认 $\mu \mathrm{\omega j}$ |  |  |
| 13 | dprates |  | dpta | B |  |
|  |  |  | dprareus | ord |  |
| 16 | －poredevory |  | торенот | ord | MT Thm． |
| 18 | xupeos $\delta$ beos ípuv | ord Boh Etht Lat Lac | xupros | BAyNha，Gacx gn | $\mathrm{MT}=\mathrm{G}$ \＆its allies． |
|  |  | Spec Slav Georg $\mathrm{h} x(\mathrm{mg})$ |  | ord（sub TG：k omits） | MT $=\mathbf{k}: \operatorname{Sam}$ ， |
| 20 |  | b | dryheverpesty dreineotepown | $\mathrm{BAyNa}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ ejsvz <br> F7m |  |

TABLE VIII (continued)


| 34 | троторенонегоя |  | ad vos advena трооторашонетоя | Arm Boh Eth Lat＂ ord |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | dr $3^{\circ}$ | 2 Phil Spec | nal \＆r | ord | MT＝bw： G ends $\sin 36$. |
| 37 | та romura | $y d$ | Tor mojor | ord $\}$ | МТ：Мกา．п． |
|  | vacat | 1 （ras 3 litt） | MOV $1^{\circ}$ | ord $\}$ | MT：ภ．．t． |
|  | abra | k | + dүш（el $\mu$ ）кupros ó $\theta$ eos isun | ord | $\mathrm{MT}=\mathrm{c}$ \＆its allies． |
|  |  |  | ＋dүш（ei\％s）кupios | $\operatorname{coxb}_{2}$ gnejsvz fir $u$ Boh Eth |  |
| 182 | 入a入れgor rous uloss＇ $1 \sigma$－ paŋ入 $\lambda$ еушr | Arm Sah | （1）$\lambda a \lambda$ mooy ross vioss ＇I $\sigma$ pain | am（－rens）Eth | MT |
|  |  |  | （2）кat tous vious＇I $\sigma$ pajn入a入ทбe！s | BAy Nha，（om．kaı） Mco Fl ejsvz：Boh and |  |
|  |  |  | $(3)=(2)+\lambda_{\text {er }} \boldsymbol{y}$ | Cyr－cod om．кal． $k^{x} b_{3}$ gn dpt fiar $u$ Lat Cyr－ed |  |
|  |  <br>  | Boh（vid）Sah（vid） |  reүernuerw | $x$ | MT |
|  |  |  | reүemueray $\pi \rho$ ． | B ac：ord subject to va－ riations in the verb，the most common form be－ ing sporyeremuerar |  |
|  | ipus |  | ＇Iopain $2^{\text {a }}$ | ord | $\mathrm{MT}=$ ord. |
| 6 |  |  | vacat | ord | MT $=$ ord． |
| 7 | parookotros <br>  t $\sigma \in \sigma \theta \epsilon d \gamma \omega)$ | Fams cx gn Arm dpt | vacat | ord | $\square^{\prime} . .$. кal dyiaotygerte xar $\dot{\operatorname{cose\sigma } \theta e} \mathrm{~d} \gamma \mathrm{tot} \mathrm{v}$ ． $\mathrm{MT}=\text { ord }$ |

TABLE VIII (continued)


