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# An Examination of the Use of the Tenses in 

 Conditional Sentences in Hebrew.by ${ }^{\text {revy. h. ferguson. }}$

A conditional sentence may be defined as a compound sentence in which the second clause is so limited by the first as to be necessarily dependent upon it, while it, in its turn, is equally necessary as explaining and completing the sense of the first clause.
The First Clause or Irotasis may express
Either a pure condition, i.e., " if I come;"
or a temporal limitation, i.e., " when I come;"
or a causal limitation, i.e., "since I am coming;"
or a concession, i.e., "though I come."
This statement, though true in any language, is most evidently so in Hebrew: as the language uses the same particles indifferently to express any of these relations; accordingly, in this paper, temporal, causal and concessive clauses will be considered simply as forms of conditions.

My intention in this paper is to give, first, a statement of the different expedients made use of by the Hebrew to express a condition and conclusion, without regard to the class of the condition; and, secondly, to consider what rules may be gathered for the use of the tenses or other verbal forms in expressing the various classes of conditions.

## VARIOUS METHODS USED.

The Methods used in the Hebrew writings that have come down to us, to express a Condition and its Conclusion, are as follows:

## I.

Without any introductory particle, either (1) by simple juxtaposition of the clauses, or (2) after a relative or interrogative expression.
II.

With the Condition introduced by Waw.

## III.

With Condition introduced by a Conditional Particle:
(a) by $\mathrm{C}:$ and its compounds,
(b) by
(c) by "s,
(d) iל and its compounds.

A few words as to the results obtained may, perhaps, properly be inserted here, although in anticipation of the examination.

We shall find that, taking all these methods together, the tense which is used most frequently in the first clause of a Conditional Sentence is the Imperfect.

Next to it in frequency is the simple Perfect.
In Conditional Sentences, as in all others, when the verb in either clause is the substantive verb, or may be readily supplied from the con-


In asseverations, which in Hebrew are usually expressed by a defective form of the Conditional Sentence (i.e., with second clause omitted by aposiopesis) the Imperfect is most commonly used. Out of seventyseven instances noted, there are fifty-nine cases of the Imperfect to eleven of the Perfect, and seven in which the verb is not expressed.

Next in frequency to the Perfect and Imperfect is the use of a Voluntative form, either one of the modal forms of the Imperfect or the Imperative, usually, though not always, without an introductory Particle.

A quite common use is that of the Modified Perfect (Perfect with Waw conversive) introducing the condition.
The Participle is also frequently found in the first clause, usually after a conditional participle.

Much less frequent is the use of the Infinitive, either with an introductory Particle or with a Preposition.

An almost anomalous use is also found, a very few times, of the Modified Imperfect introducing a condition.

To analyze results still further, we shall find that in Class I. (without any Introductory Particle whatever) the Perfect is the most common form; next to it the Voluntative forms; then the Imperfect; much more rarely the Participle and the Infinitive.

In Class II. (with $\cdot$ ), which may indeed be considered to differ but little in theory from Class I., but which is put as a separate class for convenience of examination, the Modified Perfect is the most common form. The simple Perfect would stand next to it, and next to that the simple Imperfect. Rarer forms are the Modified Perfect, Voluntative, and Participle.

In Class III. (with an introductory Particle) the Imperfect is by far the most common form in use in the first clause. Next in frequency is the Perfect. (As has been mentioned above, the substantive verb is very frequently omitted, or its place supplied by $\mathfrak{v}$. or $\mathfrak{j}$. This is especially the case in this class.)

Note．－As in some of the instances cited below，the logical Protasis may seem to be，according to grammatical form，the conclusion instead of the condition，it is well to note that this，if it ever occurs，is due to the peculiar genius of the Hebrew language and its great capacity for inversion．Still，for grammatical purposes，the clause which limits and conditions the other clause，whether put first or last，must be considered as the Protasis of the conditional sentence，as it presented itself to the Hebrew mind．

Class I．Sentences without any Introductory Particle．（I）Simple juxtaposition of the clauses．We may have

1．The Perfect in the Protasis followed in the Apodosis by：
a．The Perfect；
 he finds good．＂
b．By the Imperfect；
 said some great thing unto thee，wouldst thou not have done it？＇，
c．By a Voluntative（ 7 ussive or Imperative）；
e．g．，Prov．xxv．16： honey，eat（only）enough for thee．＂
d．By an Imperfect with Waw Conversive；
 eth，then cometh shame．＂
c．Or the verb in the Apodosis may be understood and not expressed；

＂Whether his flesh run with his issue，or whether his flesh be stopped with his issue，it is his uncleanness．＂
2．The Imperfect in the Protasis，followed by：
a．Imperfect；
e．g．，Ex．xv．7：．．＂．ñ： est forth thy wrath，it consumed them like stubble．＂
See also Ps．civ．28：ニ゙ゥ
＂When thou givest them they gather it，when thou openest thy hand they are filled with good．＂So vv． 29 and 30.
b．By the Perfect with Waw；
 midst but for one moment I should consume thee．＂Also，i
Kings xviii．12：＂And when I go away from thee，it will come to pass．＂
c．By the Imperative；
e．g．，Ps．xxvii．7：＂Hear my voice 0 Lord when I cry．＂
3. Voluntative in Protasis, followed by:
a. An Imperfect;

" If I take (Let me take) the wings of the morning, and dwell in the uttermost parts of the sea; even there also shall thy hand lead me and thy right hand shall hold me."-cf. Gen. xlii. 37.
b. By a Perfect;
e.g., Psalm xl. 6: " them (Let me declare them) and speak of them, they are more than can be numberecl."
 the wicked, (though farour bi shown to the wicked) he will not learn righteousness."
c. Or by another Voluntative;
 and let his enemies be scattered." If God arises, his enemies
 be clean."
4. Participle in Protasis followed in the Apodosis by:
a. The Imperfect:

" Behold, if the Lord opens windows in heaven, shall this thing be ?"
b. The Perfect in the Apodosis;

"He that oppresseth the poor reproacheth his Maker; but he who honoureth Him pitieth the needy." So also xvii. 5, xix. 17.
c. The Imperfect with Wraw Conv.;
 one was felling wood, it happened," \&c.
5. Infinitive with Preposition in the Protasis, followed by:
a. The Imperfect:

hear me, when I cry unto Him."
(2) Instead of the simple juxtaposition of the clauses, we may have in the Protasis, a Relative, Indefinite, or Interrogative expression, which indicates its conditional character.

Thus we may have after such expressions as - ロッ, (but with far less variability than under (1) since we find only three tense forms in the Protasis, and those with less variation in the Apodosis).

1. A Perfect in the first clause followed in the Apodosis by:
a. A Perfect;
 hath been hath been named aiready."
b. Or an Imperfect;
e. g., Hosea ix. 6:
"For, behold they have gone away from destruction! Mizraim shall gather them. Noph shall bury them."
c. Or a $\mathcal{F} u s s i v e ;$
 and afraid let him return," \&c.
d. Or a Participle;
 the days that he liveth he shall be lent unto the Lord."
Or instead of the Perfect in the first clause, we may have:
2. An Imperfect followed by:
a. Another Imperfect or Modified Perfect
 He shall show unto me I will tell thee."
3. Or a Participle in the Protasis followed by:
a. A Modified Perfect (with Waw Conv.);

"Behold, he is hid in some pit or in some other place, and it will come to pass," \&c. Here the verb might in form be Niphal Perfect, but from the use of the $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ it is best to consider it a Participle.

Class II. Condition introduced by Waw.
Besides continuing conditions already introduced in some other manner, Waw is used independently with almost the force of a conditional particle, and may introduce sentences having in the Protasis, 1. the Perfect, 2. the Modified Imperfect, 3. the Simple Imperfect, 4. the Modified Perfect, 5. the Voluntative; thus giving much greater variety in Protasis than in Class I., but with less corresponding variation in the Apodosis.
I. With Perfect in the Protasis, followed by:
a. Another Perfect;
e. g., Ex. xvi. 22: "And when the sun waxed hot, it melted."
This is a very unusual construction, but Gen. xxxiii. 13, xlii. 38,
xliv． 22 may be best considered under this head，though the Perfects in them may be modified by the Waw．
b．Or by an Imperfect：
e．g．，Lev．x．19：• ニベツㄴ．．． eaten to－day the sin offering，would it have been good in the eyes of the Lord？＂
c．Or by a Modified Perfect；
 thou shalt go to the vessels．＂
2．Modified Imperfect in Protasis is followed in the Apodosis by：
a．The Perfect；
e．g．， 1 Sam．ii．16：si sins＂：．．．． the man said unto him，\＆c．，then he would say，＂\＆c．
b．The Modified Imperfect；
e．g．，Psalm cvii．25：•－Tunc：－＂He spake，then rose
the stormy wind．
c．The Participle；
e．g．，Ex．iv．23：．． refuse to let him go，behold I will slay thy son．＂
3．With Imperfect in Protasis，followed in the Apodosis by：
a．Another Imperfect；
 ת ת ת shall return to their place，and when Samaria and her daugh－ ters return to their place，then thou and thy daughters shalt return to your place．＂
b．By a Voluntative；
 is overwhelmed I will complain．＂
c．By a Modified Perfect；

＂If thou shalt return and obey the voice of the Lord
then the Lord thy God shall make thee plenteous，＂\＆c．
d．By a a second clause in which the verb is understood；

surely darkness shall cover me，then the night is light about me．＂

## 4．The Modified Perfect in Protasis．

This is of the most common occurrence of all forms of conditional sentences with Waw．We may have in the Apodosis either：
a. Another Modified Perfect, which is the usual construction.
 this one also, and mischief befall him, then shall ye bring down my grey hairs in sorrow to the grave."
b. An Imperfect;
 ye return to me, and keep my commandments though, \&c. . . yet from thence will I gather them."
5. The Voluntative in Protasis:
a. Verb omitted in second clause;
 bed, behold Thee!"
The verb in the Protasis is sometimes omitted, or instead of it we find $\because$.
a. An Imperfect;
 in the forest if he have no prey?"
b. A fussive;
 neighbor, go and come again and to-morrow I will give, if thou hast it with thee."
e. g.. 2 Sam. xiii. 26: . . . יל: . . "And Absalom said, ' If not, let Amnon, my brother, come with us.' "*
c. A Perfect;
 why hath all this come upon us?"

Class III. More commonly, however, conditional sentences are in-
 , אלּ kind of condition, it and its compounds are used when the condition is viewed as impossible or as contrary to reality.

There is great diversity in the use of tenses after these particles, although the vąst majority of instances fall under only a few heads. The Imperfect is the favorite tense in the Protasis; and the Modified Perfect (Relatively progressive Perfect, bezuglich fortschreitendes Perfectum), the favorite tense in the Apodosis when the supposition is a simple one.

[^0]The Perfect is，however，frequently found in the Protasis in cases where it is difficult at first sight to detect any reason for prefering it to the Imperfect；usually，however，it refers to the completion of the con－ dition either in past time or in future time viewed as past from the standpoint of the second clause．

The use of the Perfect in Irotasis is much more common after $\mathbf{E N}$ than after $\underset{\sim}{4}$ ，and is the most common use after $i \frac{1}{c}$ and its compounds．

The Infinitive construct is also found in the Protasis after Es，in simple suppositions，and may be followed in the Apodosis by either Perfect or Imperfect as required．This use，however，is very rare．

The Participle is found in the Protasis several times after こ心，$\ddagger$ ， and $\dot{i}$ ．It is most frequently followed in the Apodosis by the Volun－ tative（ $\mathcal{F}$ ussive or Imperative），but also by Imperfect with $\cdot$ ．Imperfect， or by another Participle．

In asseverations $\operatorname{IN}$ and $\underset{\text { EN }}{ }$ are used，with the respective signifi－ cations of surely not and surely，with an ellipsis of second clause， which may be supplied as＂God do so to me and more also，＂or other form of imprecation．This second clause is sometimes expressed．

In this use the Imperfect most commonly occurs，but also the Perfect several times，and in several instances the verb is omitted，or its place supplied by $\because \because \because$ ．．．or

Often the verb in the Protasis has to be understood．Its place is sometimes supplied，as above mentioned，by $\because \because$. it is simply omitted．Vith this use in the Protasis，the Apodosis most commonly will have either an Imperfect（or Modified Perfect）or a Voluntative（Cohortative，Fussive，Imperative．）The Perfect and the Participle are，however，also found，（though rarely）．

The Compound Particle It may frequently be rendered＂but if，＂or＂for if，＂or＂that if，＂or ＂unless，＂in all of which cases the conditional character is apparent； but it frequently，also，seems to lose its conditional force，and to become， when used with nouns，a preposition with the meaning of＂except，＂ ＂save，＂and when used with verbs will have the sense of＂but，＂＂only＂； i．e．，strongly adversative like the German＂sondern．＂

In the first of these cases，when used with nouns，it is only necessary to understand the substantive verb as omitted，or to supply the verb which follows，and the conditional character will be clear，and the force and value of the particie will be apparent；e．g．，Gen．xxxix．6：＂And he（Potiphar）knew not ought he had save（ニバ・•••）the bread which he did eat．＂－This is equal to＂he knew not ought he had except（but if） it were the bread，＂\＆c．，or＂unless he knew the bread．＂
 ter is not apparent, it will be necessary to resort to an ellipsis to explain the use of the particle. Thus we have in Fer. vii. 22, 23: "For I spake not to your fathers, nor commanded them in the day that I brought them out of the land of Egypt concerning burnt offerings or sacrifices; but (بִּי゙) this thing commanded I them," \&c. Here the ellipsis to be supplied will perhaps be "But (if or when) I gave them any commands, this I commanded them." So also in feremiah xvi. 14, 15: "Therefore, behold the days come, saith the Lord, that it shall no more be said, The Lord liveth that brought up the chil-
 that brought up," \&c. Here also there is evidently an ellipsis to be supplied; "but if, or when, (anything of the kind is said, it shall be said) The Lord liveth," \&c.

The presence of $\mathbf{I}$ © cannot be purposeless, and the particle, at some period at least of the history of the language, must have had a sensible value, though it is not necessary to suppose that the Hebrews were very conscious of any special force at the comparatively late period in which the books of the Old Testament were written. In
 xv. 21, Prov. xxiii. 17, Fer. xxxi. 30.

Class III. First Clause introduced by a Conditional Particle (IN, [!
a. When introduced by EN
I. We have most commonly the Imperfect in the Protasis followed by:
a. The Modified Perfect;
 righteous men in Sodom, I will spare the whole place for their sake."
So most frequently, when the verb is the first word in the second clause. When any other word intervenes, we have
b. The Imperfect;
 not destroy it if I find forty and five."
c. The Perfect may also stand in the second clause, though rarely found:

-קִ . . . house, the builders of it will have labored in vain upon it. Except the Lord keep the city, the watchman will have watched in vain."
d. Very rarely the Modified Imperfect;
e. g., Ps. lix. 16b: "If they be not satisfied, they remain all night."
e. Frequently a Voluntative (Cohortative, $\mathcal{F} u s$ sive or Imperative); e. g., Ps. cxxxvii. 5: thee, O Jerusalem, let my right hand forget its cunning." So also v. 6 , and frequently.
Here the verb is $\mathcal{F u s s i v e ; ~ f o r ~ I m p e r a t i v e , ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ a ~ r a r e r ~ c o n - ~}$ struction, vide fob. xxxiii. 5: הִ "If thou art able to answer me, set (thy words) in order before me, stand up." (Here also belong second clauses with (הָלילָה ).
$f$. With Participle in the second clause (rare);
 thou wash thee with nitre, and take thee much sope, yet thine iniquity is marked before me, saith the Lord God."
$g$. Without any verb expressed in second clause;
e.g., Ps. cxxxix. 8: "ņin up to heaven, Thou art there." So also, though not so frequently, with $\because . .$. or
 according to this word, they are of those for whom is no morning."
h. With second clause omitted by Aposiopesis;
 forgive their sins-."
2. Perfect in the Protasis:
a. With Perfect or Modified Imperfect in the second clause (rare);
 said, 'I will speak thus,' behold I should have offended against the generation of thy children."
Ps. l. 18. Modified Imperfect: thou sawest a thief, then consentedst thou with him."
b. With Modified Perfect or Imperfect in second clause;
隹 "And it shall come to pass, if thou hast no delight in her, that thou shalt send her away," \&c.
Num. xxxii. 17. Imperfect. - . of Israel, until we have brought them to their place."
c. With Voluntative ( $\mathcal{F}$ ussive and Imperative) in second clause;
 "If now I have found favour in thy sight, pass not away from thy servant." Gen. l. 4., Imperative. . . אִם-נָא מָּנָאתִי שִּים-נָא "If now I have found favor in thy sight, place now thy hand," \&c.
d. Without any verb expressed in second clause;
 : ?ְקֶה : If thou hast done foolishly in lifting up thyself, or if thou hast thought evil, (lay) thy hand upon thy mouth." Prov. xxiv. 14b: (אֻ) "When thou hast found it, then is there a reward."
e. Second clause omitted by Aposiopesis;
 found favour in thy sight" ("do as I request," understood).
3. With Infnitive in the Protasis (rare):
a. With Perfect in second clause;
 say, I will forget my sorrows, I will leave off my complaints and comfort myself; I am afraid of all my sorrows: I know that thou wilt not hold me innocent." $v$. Ewald (Ausfilhrl. Lehrbuch) p. 859.
b. With Imperfect in second clause;
 shalt not see my face unless thou bringest back Michal the the daughter of Saul."
4. With Participle in Protasis:
a. With Imperfect in second clause;
 "If ye bring me back to fight with the children of Ammon * * shall I be your head?"
b. With Voluntative in second clause;
e. g., fob. xxxi. 9, 10: fussive. . .
" If my heart hath gone aside after a woman . . . then let my wife grind for another."

"If thus Thou art about to do to me, slay
me, I pray thee, at once."
c. With Participle in second clause (rarc);

know ye for certain, that if ye put me to death, ye shall be bringing innocent blood upon yourselves, and upon this city," \&c.
5. In Asseverations, where the second clause is understood, we find:
a. With Imperfect in Protasis (frequent);

will not (take) from a thread to a shoe latchet, and I will not take of anything that is thine."
 shalt surely go to my father's house and my tribe."
b. With Perfect in Protasis (not so common);
 May thy soul live, O King, I do not know." =present.
 Lord said, 'Verily, it shall be well with thy remnant; verily, I will cause the enemy to treat thee well, in the time of evil and in the time of affliction.' "=future.

 the blood of his sons, saith the Lord; and I will requite thee in this plat, saith the Lord."=past.
c. With wi.. in the Protasis (rarc);
 she said, 'As the Lord thy God liveth, I have not a cake,'" \&c.
6. Verb in the Protasis omitted:
a. With $\because .$. or ${ }^{\text {jus }}$ in Protasis;
(a) With Imperfect in second clause;

(az) With.Modificd Perfect in second clause;
 brother be with us, then will we go down."
b. With $\mathcal{F} u$ ssive or Imperative in the second clause;
 not, let fire come forth from the bramble and devour the cedars of Lebanon."
e.g., Gen. xxiv. 49: (with Participle) ? הַגִּדוֹו and truth to my master, tell me."
c. With Modified Imperfect (very rare);
e. g., $\mathfrak{F} 0$. xxxiii. 23, 24: . .
there be a messenger with him, an interpreter, one of a thousand, to shew unto man his uprightness; then he is gracious unto him and saith, 'Deliver him from going down to the pit: I have found a ransom.'"
d. With Participle in second clause (rare);
e.g., Gen. xxx. I: : $:$ : Jacob, Give me my children, or if not $I$ shall die."
B. Without any verb (or $\underset{\sim}{\text {.n }}$. $\boldsymbol{N}_{\text {) }}$ in Protasis:
a. ${ }^{1}$ With Imperfect in second clause;
 there be yet many years, according to them shall he give again the price of his redemption out of the money he was bought for."
a. ${ }^{2}$ e.g., Lev. xxvii. 4: Modified Perfect. "But if it be a female, then shall thy estimation be thirty shekels."
b. With Perfect in second clause (rare);
 there is (idolatry) iniquity in Gilead, surely they are vanity; they sacrifice bullocks in Gilgal, yea their altars are as heaps in the furrows of the fields." cf. Gen. xxiii. 13; Nahum i. 12.
c. With Voluntative in second clause;
e.g. 1 Chron. xii. 17b: (7ussive) . . ירֵ . . .
 is no wrong in my hands, the God of our fathers look thereon and judge."
e.g., Gen. xliii. II: (Imperative.) (עִ " if it must be so now, do this."
 : the right, then I will go the left."
d. With Participle in second clause;
e.g., I Sam. xxvi. 19: it be the children of men, cursed be they before the Lord."
e. 1 Second clause understood;

not (I swear) as Pharaoh liveth, that ye are spies."
e. ${ }^{2}$ Verb in second clause omitted.
 "And Samuel said, ' When thou wast little in thy sight, wast thou not made head over the tribes of the children of Israel ?'"
C. With ellipsis of first clause after
a. With Imperfect in second clause;
 shall not be thine heir, but (if when thou hast an heir) he who springs from thy loins, he shall be thine heir."
b. With Perfect in second clause;
e. g., fer. vii. 23: דִּי- Mut this thing I commanded them, saying."-"But (if I commanded, which I did) this thing I commanded, saying-"
c. With Imperative in second clause;
 rejoice forever in that which I create."
d. With Participle in second clause;
 did not hearken, but (whatever they did, they did after their former manner.")
e. Without any verb expressed in the second clause;
 delight) his delight is in the law of the Lord."
Occasionally we meet with compound conditional sentences which combine two or more of the above mentioned constructions. A striking instance of this is given in $\mathcal{F} 06$. viii. $4-6$, where we have a triple condition with a single conclusion: (1) Perfect and Modified Imperfect, (2) Imperfect, (3) verb omitted. Conclusion, Imperfect. Cf. also fob. xxxi. 16-22, 38-40.
fob. viii. 4-6: . . . [ children have sinned against Him , and He have cast them away in the hand of their transgression;"
"If thou wouldst seek unto God betimes, and make thy supplication to the Almighty;"
" If thou wert pure and upright; surely now would He awake for thee, and make the habitation of thy righteousness prosperous."

## 2

Condition introduced by

1. With Imperfect in the Protasis:
a.x With Modified Perfect in second clause;
 the Egyptians see thee, it will come to pass that they will say," \&c.
a. 2 With Imperfect in second clause;
 tillest the ground, it shall no more yield thee its strength."
b. With Perfect in the second clause;
 I know that thou favourest me when mine enemy doth not triumph against me."
c. With Voluntative in second clause;

"Hearken not to Hezekiah when he persuadeth you, saying-."

"This is the way, walk ye in it, whether ye turn to the right hand or whether ye turn to the left."
d. With Participle in second clause;
 : $]^{\square}$ ye not eating, and were ye not drinking," \&c.
Perhaps, however, this should be considered as an instance under the next subdivision, of verb omitted in second clause, considering añan and השטׁים as predicates.
e.g., Fer. xiv. 12: (Participle with
 their cry, and though they offer burnt offering and oblation I will not accept them."
$e$. Without any verb expressed in the second clause;
e.g., fer. xii. i: בִּ • • ארָ thou, O Lord, when I plead with thee."

אִּ "But if ye offer the blind for sacrifice, is it not evil? and if ye offer the lame and the sick, is it not evil?"
f. Second clause omitted;
e.g., Is. xxxvi. 7: بִהלוֹא • . . But if thou say to me, we trust in the Lord our God, (I reply) Is it not He, whose high places, and whose altars, Hezekiah has taken away?"
2. With Perfect in Protasis:
a. With Perfect or Modified Imperfect in the second clause;
 kept silence, my bones waxed old, through my roaring all the day long."
e. g., Gen. vi. 1,2: (Modified Imperfect.)
"And it came to pass, when men began to multiply upon the face of the earth, that (or and) daughters were born unto them; and (or that) the B'ne Elohim," \&c.
b. With Inperfect in second clause;

"The beast of the field shall honour me, the dragons and the owls, because (better when) I give (=shall have given) waters in the wilderness, and rivers in the desert, to give drink to my people, my chosen."
c. With $\mathcal{F}$ ussive;

 their secret; unto their assembly, mine honour, be not thou united: because in their anger they slew men, and in their selfwill they houghed oxen." (A. V., "digged down a wall.")
d. Without any verb expressed in second clause;
e.g., Ps.ciii. 16: the wind passeth over it, it is not."
 God, How much more when I send my four sore judgments upon Jerusalem, the sword and the famine, the noisome beast and the pestilence?"
3. With Participle in Protasis (rarc):
a. With Perfect in second clause (rare);

"And when we burned incense to the queen of heaven, and poured out drink offerings unto her, did we make her cakes to worship her, and pour out drink offerings unto her, without our men?"
4. With no verb expressed in Protasis:
a. With Modified Imperfect in second clause;
 child, then I loved him."
b. With Imperfect in second clause;
e.g., fosh. xvii. 18: it in
thou shalt drive out the Canaanite, because he hath chariots of iron, and because he is strong."
c. With $\mathcal{F} u s s i v e ~ o r ~ I m p e r a t i v e ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s e c o n d ~ c l a u s e ; ~ ; ~$
 not ashamed of thy mother when she is old."
This may also be Perfect, 3d sing., fem., instead of the adjective.
e.g., $\mathfrak{F} 0$.

by punishment, then let not a great ransom turn thee away."
(A very difficult passage, but perhaps so best translated.)

"Chasten thy son, while there is hope." cf. I Kings xviii. 27.

> ג

Condition introduced by is
I. With Perfect in the Protasis:
a. With Modified Perfect or Imperfect in the second clause;
 attains to it, then he may redeem himself."
e.g., Ex. xxi. 36: (Imperfect.) . . א
"Or if it be known that the ox hath used to push in time past, and his owner hath not kept him in, he shall surely pay ox for ${ }^{\circ}$. ox, and the dead shall be his own."
2. With Imperfect in Protasis:
a. With Imperfect in second clause;
e. g., Ezek. xiv. 17, 18: 17, . . . א
לוֹא יִֵּּילוּ , ו8
"Or if I send a sword upon the land, \&c. Though these three men were in it, as I live, saith the Lord, they shall deliver neither sons nor daughters."

$$
7
$$



1. With Perfect in the Protasis:
a. With Imperfect in second clause;
 were wise they would understand this, they would consider their latter end."

With Second or Modified Perfect in second clause:
 walking in the spirit and falsehood do lie, 'I will prophesy unto them of wine and strong drink,' then shall he be the prophet of this people."
b. With Perfect or Modified Imperfect in the second clause;

"If the Lord of Hosts had not left us a small remnant, we should be as Sodom, and should be made like unto Gomorrha."
 " If thou hadst hearkened to my commandments, then had thy peace been as a river," \&c.
c. Without any verb expressed in the second clause;
 if the people had to-day eaten of the spoil of their enemies that they found."
d. With second clause omitted by Aposiopesis;
 the goodness of the Lord in the land of the living!"
e.g., Num. xx. 3: 3 , בְּ brethren before the Lord-!"=utinam.
2. With Imperfect in the Protasis:
a. With Second Perfect in second clause;
 Joseph should hate us, he will requite to the uttermost to us all the evil which we did unto him."
Perhaps this should be rather rendered as an Aposiopesis $=$ " If perchance Joseph should hate us, and certainly requite us all the evil we did unto him-! !" but the rendering given is to be preferred.
b. Second clause omitted;
 mael might live in thy presence."
3. With 7 ussive or Imperative in the Protasis:

Second clause omitted by Aposiopesis;

Laban said, Behold, if it may be as thou hast said!"=would that it may be as thou hast said.
 thou wouldst hear me." "Oh, hear me." "Only hear me."
4. Without any verb in Protasis:
a. With Perfect in second clause;
 there were a sword in my hand, surely then had I killed thee"; or "If only there were a sword in my hand! (Aposiopesis) For then had I killed thee."
5. With Participle in the Protasis:
a. With Inperfect in second clause;
 the man said unto Joab, though I should weigh upon my hand a thousand shekels, I would not put forth my hand against the king's son."
b. Without any verb expressed in the second clause;
 "For this day I perceive that if Absalom had lived, and all of us had died, then it would have pleased thee well." אִּ
This Particle is found only in the late books of Esther and Ecclesiastes, and but only once in each, and is connected with the Perfect in both clauses.
 had been sold for slaves and bondwomen, I would have kept silence."
אוּלַי

This Particle which is usually to be translated "perchance," "peradventure," is used in Num. xxii. 33 with the force of $ל \boldsymbol{h}$, which is in in all probability the correct reading ( $\nu$. Ewald-Lehrbuch S. 805, N. 2), which has been changed into our present text by a copyist's error. The passage is as follows:
" If she had not turned aside from before me, surely now would I have killed thee and saved her alive."

Examples like Gen. xxvii. 12, Fosh. ix. 7, Is. xlvii. 12, Hosea viii. 7 belong to Class I., the indirect question supplying the conditional force to the first clause. In Amos v. 15, Fer. xxi. 2, Gen. xvi. 2 no conditional force is apparent.

## PART II.

## HOW THE DIFFERENT CLASSES OF CONDITIONS ARE EXPRESSED.

From the examples cited and those contained in the tables, we may draw the following conclusions:

That the tenses are used, not arbitrarily, but in accordance with their nature, and always with the proper force.

Hence, if the special force in the condition is upon the verbal idea itself, with no reference to time, the 1 mperfect , or one of its modifications, the Cohortative, $\mathcal{F} u$ ssive or Imperative will be used.

When the time of the condition is emphasized, if it be future, the Imperfect is the usual tense; but in Prophetic language, the Perfect, according to a well-known rule, may be found in its place.

If present, the Perfect or Participle will be generally used.
If stress be laid in any degree upon the completion of the condition, or of the action expressed by the verb in the conditional clause, the Perfect will be used.

There are in Hebrew, as in other languages, four main classes of conditions, to which nearly every example may be referred:
I. The first class assumes the condition to be real and actual.
II. The second class assumes the condition to be probable.
III. The third class makes no assumption in regard to the probability of the condition, and is merely indefinite.
IV. The fourth class views the condition as impossible and as contrary to reality.

These conditions are usually introduced by a conditional particle, such as and $\because$; sometimes by an interjection, as
 or may also simply be expressed by the juxtaposition of the clauses, without or with the copula.

When introduced by a regular conditional particle, we find the following uses of the various tenses and verbal forms:

## The Imperfect.

This tense is used mainly in conditions when the result is regarded as probable, or at least indefinite. Thus:

1. If the condition imply probability, we shall usually find the $I m$ perfect in the Protasis, followed by the Perfect with Waw conversive in the Apodosis, if the verb stand the first word in the clause; but if any words intervene, the Imperfect will be used instead. Sometimes, though rarely, the Imperfect stands first:
 they save us alive, we shall live (Imperfect), but if they kill us, we shall die" (Perfect with Waww).
2. So also in all laws and commands we have the same construction:
 found, then shall the master of the house be brought unto Haelohim," \&c. (Modified Perfect.)
 found, he shall pay double," (Imperfect).
3. When the condition is probable or indefinite, and the second clause contains a declaration of purpose, we have the same construction:

righteous men in Sodom, I will spare the whole place for their sake." (Perfect with Waw.)

it if I find five and forty there." (Imperfect.)
In any of these cases the Imperfect may be replaced by a Voluntative:
e. g., 7 ob. xxxi. 16-18 ( $\mathcal{F u s s i v e ) ; ~ P s . ~ x l i x . ~} 17$ ( $\mathcal{f u s s i v e ) ; ~ D e u t . ~}$ xii. 14 (Imperative); Is. xxi. 12 (Imperative); Hab. ii. $3^{\mathrm{b}}$ (Imperative), et passim.
4. When the hypothesis is indefinite and the conclusion merely considered possible, or when the supposition is extremely improbable, but yet possible (Class III.), the Imperfect is usually found in both clauses:

" If thou wouldst seek unto God betimes, and make thy supplication to the Almighty, - surely now he would awake for thee, and make prosperous the dwelling of thy righteousness." So also xxii. 24, li. 53; Is. i. 18, x. 22; Amos ix. 2, 3; Obad.4; Hos. ix. 12 (Perfect with 1); Ezek. xviii. 5; Hab. i. 5; Ps. xxiii. 4; Amos v. 22; i Sam. xx. 9, \&c.
In one case, at least, we have a Participle in the second clause:
 thou wash thee with nitre, and take unto thee much sope, yet thine iniquity is marked before me, saith the Lord God."
The verb in the second clause may be here, as elsewhere replaced by ן-e.g., Is. viii. 20, Fer. xv. I.
5. The Imperfect is also used in conditions of fact (Class I.), where
the conclusion is regarded as imminent; but this is not a usual form of construction:
e. g., Proo. iii. 34: " Though he scorneth the scorners, yet he giveth grace to the lowly."
e. g., Eccl. ii. 3: "If the clouds be full of rain, they empty themselves upon the earth."

It is very unusual to find a Perfect in the conclusion after an Imperfect in the Protasis. Instances, howcver, occur:

" But if ye will not do so, behold ye have (=ye shall have) sinned
against the Lord." Cf. Ps. xli. 12, Is. i. 12, Lam. iii. 8, Mal. i. 4.
Still more unusual is it to find the Imperfect with Waw conv. in the second clause, but see

Ps. lix. 16: night."
As noticed in Part I., the verb in the second clause may be understood (if it is the substantive verb, or the same that has been used in the Protasis), or its place may be supplied by $\because \because \sim$ or

The entire second clause may be omitted by Aposiopesis, when the sense may be supplied from the context.

In such cases the class of condition must be determined by the verb in the Protasis and by the context.

Note.-The Imperfect in both clauses may also express the condition viewed as contrary to reality, but this is rare, and when found should be considered simply as a poetic or rhetorical expansion of the use in the condition implying mere possibility:
e.g., fer. xxxi. 36: . . depart from before me, saith the Lord, then the seed of Israel shall cease from being a nation before me for ever." So also v. 37 .

## The Perfcct in the Protasis.

This use, which is the prevalent one in Arabic, is not so common in Hebrew, and would seem to have become disused after having once existed. (Cf. Ewald Lehrb. p. 858.)

In most, if not all, of the instances in which it is used, the special force of the tense (viz., the completion of the action) may be discovered:

1. It is found with the Modified Perfect or the Imperfect in the Apodosis, also not infrequently with the Voluntative.
2. It is also found frequently with the Perfect, more rarely with the Modified Imperfect in the second clause.
3. Rarely also with the verb of the second clause omitted, or with an Aposiopesis of the clause itself.

It is normally used in conditions, in which the idea expressed by the verb is conceived of as completed either in the past, present, or in what still is future but which will be present or past when the condition is realized.

It is the most common construction after 1 ל and its compounds, which introduce conditions contrary to reality. It is found with some frequency after $\mathrm{E} \mathbb{C}$, less commonly after 9 , , in real, probable, or impossible conditions; and is again the commonest tense when the hypothesis is expressed by a relative or an interrogative sentence, or by the simple juxtaposition of the clauses.

The Perfect, then, may be found in the Protasis of a conditional sentence implying probability when there is especial reference to the completion of the action expressed by the verb. It is then most frequently followed by the Perfect with Waw conversive, or by the Imperfect; for which (as we have seen in the case of the Imperfect in the Protasis) may be substituted the Cohortative, $\mathcal{F} u s s i v e$, or Imperative:
e.g., Gen. xxxii. 27: (Second clause—Imperfect.) לֹא אִשָׁ?
 have blessed me)."
e. g., Gen. xxxiii. 10: (Perfect with Waw.) . . אִa

- . take an offering from my hand."
e.g., Gen. xviii. 3: (fussive in second clause.) אַּ
"If now I have found favour in thy sight, pass not away from thy servant."
So also with second clause omitted by Aposiopesis:
e. g., Gen. xxx. 27: "And Laban said to Jacob, 'If now I have found favour in thy sight __.'"
With Imperative in second clause:
e. g., Gent. xlvii. 29: " in thy sight, place thy hand beneath my thigh."
This future perfect meaning passes sometimes into what is very nearly a future:

 of Israel, until we have brought them into their place."
e. g., Deut. xxi. 14: (Modified Perfect in second clause.) "And it shall be ( והָיָה) if he finds (shall have found) no pleasure in her (
e. g., 2 Kings vii. 4: (Modified Perfect in second clausc.) (M,
 said) let us go into the city, and the famine is in the city, then we shall die there, but if we sit here we shall die also."
e. g., Is. xxx. 17: (Imperfect in second clause.) -as oin on . . E ถֶּ rebuke of five shall ye flee, until ye be left (=shall have been left) as the beacon on the top of the mountain," \&c.
e. g., Is. xliii. 20: (Imperfect in second, $\because$ Qin first clause.)
 dragons and the owls, when (or because) I give ( $=$ shall have given) water in the wilderness," \&c.
When the condition is in accordance with fact, we usually find the Perfect followed either by another Perfect or by the Imperfect with Waw conversize:
e. g., Gen. vi. 1: (эִּ in Protasis, past time.) " When men began to multiply upon the face of the earth, and daughters were born to them, it came to pass (יִי) that the Sons of God saw," \&c.
Here the condition is of the ist Class.
So also in $\mathcal{F}$ udges ii. 18: (כִּ in Protasis, past time.) "And when the Lord raised them up judges, then the Lord was with the judge."

Here the verb in the conclusion is
Compare also Ps. 1. 18: (EN̦ in Protasis, indefinite past.) "When


So also in a condition of the $4^{\text {th }}$ Class, i. e., contrary to fact:
e. g., Ps. Ixxiii. 15: (EṬ in Protasis, definite past time.) " If I
had said, let me be made like unto them, behold I should have

We have seen that this class of conditions may be expressed, when referring to indefinite present or future time, by the Imperfect in both clauses ( $v$. p. 6I). The more common method, however, is by means of the Perfect after it, which will be considered later on under that particle.

Other examples of the use of the Perfect after E in this class of conditions are-Ezek. iii. 6, Fer. xxiii. 22, xxxiii. 25, Psalms xliv. 21 , lxvi. 18, Fob. ix. 15, 16, Deut. xxxii. 30, Ruth i. 12.

In all of these, however, we find an Imperfect in the second clause, even where we should expect a Perfect, showing that to the Hebrew mind the assumption of possibility or probability implied by the very act of putting the idea in a hypothetical form, influenced the choice of
tenses in the clauses, and conformed them to the common type of probable suppositions:
e. g., Ezek. iii. 6: "Surely had I sent thee to them, they would hearken (יִשְׁעָּ) unto thee."
So also fer. xxiii. 22: "עִּ "Mut if they had stood in my counsel, and had caused my people to hear my words, then should they have turned them from their wicked way and from the evil of their doings.".

So Ps. lxvi. I8; "If I had looked at vanity in my heart (רָאִיחִי) the Lord would not have heard me (רִשְׁע) But God has heard," \&c.

As after the Imperfect in the Protasis, so also we may have, after a Perfect, the verb in the second clause omitted entirely, or its place supplied by

This occurs sometimes when the condition is probable; (Class II.)
e. g., Proz. xxiv. 14: (שׁ?) "So shall knowledge be unto thy soul:
when thou hast found it there is a reward."
Sometimes when the condition is viewed as an actual fact:

it, then it is not."
The second clause is sometimes, though rarely, omitted, as with an Imperfect in the Protasis, when its sense may be supplied from the context:
e. g., Gen. xxx. 27: "If now I have found favour in thy sight(tarry with me)-."
So also i Sam. xxi. 6, Ezek. xiv. 22.

## The Participle.

When it is clesired to lay especial emphasis upon the existing or enduring nature of the verbal idea expressed in the Protasis, the Participle may be used; in this case the condition is almost always probable (Class II.):
a. It is most frequently followed by an Imperative in the second clause;
e. g., Num. xi. 15: "And if thus though art dealing with me, slay me, I pray thee, at once.".
 bramble said unto the trees, If in truth ye anoint me king over you, then come and put your trust in my shadow," \&rc.
It is also sometimes followed by an Imperfect:
$e$. g., Lev. iii. 1: "If he offer it of the herd, whether it be male
or female, he shall offer it without blemish before the Lord." (יָּריำ)
Or by the Modified Perfect:
e. g., Lev. iii. 7: "If he offer a lamb for his offering, then shall he

Or by the Perfect without :
e. g., $\mathcal{F} u d g$. xv. 3: (־ִי in Protasis. Class III.) "And Samson said concerning them, now shall I be more blameless (shall I have been) than the Philistines, though I do them a displeasure." (צִּקִיִּי)
It is once at least followed by the Perfect in a condition in accordance with fact in $\mathcal{F e r}$. xliv. 19: (כִ in Protasis.) "And when we burned incense to the queen of heaven, $\& c$., did we make her cakes to worship


It may be also followed by another Participle, in which the immediateness of the action is made prominent:
e. g., Fer. xxvi. 15: (EṬ in Protasis.) "But ye may know for a certainty that, if ye kill me, ye will bring ( blood upon yourselves," Sc.

Condition contrary to rcality introduced by is
We have seen that conditions contrary to reality may be expressed by the Imporfect in both clauscs after $\Xi$ S, or (rarely) by the Perfect in both clauses after בִּ in Protasis and Imperfect in the Apodosis.

The more usual and regular method is by means of sentences introduced by $i$ i and its compounds, in which the Perfect is generally found in the Protasis; usually with another Perfect in the second clause:
c. g., Is. i. 9; "If the Lord of Hosts had not left unto us a very
small remnant, we should have been as Sodom, and should have

So Ps. cxix. 92: "If my delight had not been in thy law, I should


But also by the Imperfect:
e. g., Deut. xxxii. 29: "If they were wise, they would consider

The verb in the second clause is sometimes omitted:
e. g., I Sam. xiv. 30: "How much more if the people had eaten



Sometimes the second clause is omitted by Aposiopesis, and the particle becomes like EN a particle of asseveration:

(=verily, I have trusted) to see the goodness of the Lord in the land of the living."
The condition after ibsemetimes relates to present or future time, in which case we may have the Imperfect in the Protasis followed by a Voluntative:
e. g., Deut. xxxii. 26, 27: (Cohortative.) "I said, I would scatter them into corners, I would make the remembrance of them to cease among men, were it not that I fear the wrath of the enemy."

Or a $\mathcal{F} u s s i v e$ in the Protasis:
e. g., Gen. xxx. 34: (Second clause omitted.) "And Laban said, 'May it be according to thy word.'" (יח? : $\quad$ )
Or an Imperative in the Protasis:
e. g., Gen. xxiii. 13: (Second clause omitted.) "If only thou

Or a Particıple in Protasis:
e. g., 2 Sam. xviii. 12: (Imperfect.) "And the man said to Joab, If I held (were now holding) in my hand a thousand pieces of silver,;
I would not put forth my hand against the king's son." אill 一)

Or it may have the verb omitted and its place supplied by
e. g., Numn. xxii. 29: (Perfect in the second clause after שָּ פָּ
" If there were a sword in my hand, surely now would I kill thee."
 sword in my hand! for then would I have killed thee." (חרחרְחִּ (ר)
So also $\mathfrak{F o b}$. xvi. 4: " If your souls were in my soul's stead, I would heap up words against you." (הַחִּיךָה Cohortative.)

With the Aramaic form the Perfect is found in both clauses, and the condition is contrary to reality:
e. g., Eccl. vi. 6: • וָאִּ "Yea, if he live a thousand years twice told, yet hath he seen no good." Cf. Esth. vii. 4., these being the only instances in which it occurs.

## Asseverations.

In asseverations we find the Hebrew made use of incomplete condi-
 considered as simple conditions implying probability, with the second
rev．h．ferguson on tenses in conditional sentences．
clause suppressed．The second clause if expressed，as it is some－ times，has a Voluntative force．Accordingly，in such sentences $E$ ． has the meaning of＂surely not，＂and $\mathfrak{N}$ ל ＂verily．＂

The second clause is sometimes expressed，and is usually some such form as＂Be it profane to me（ $-\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ），or＂God do so to me and more
 precation．

In these forms of condition the usual tense is the Imperfect，with either a future or an aorist sense：
e．g．，I Sam，xiv．45b：＂God forbid，as the Lord liveth，there shall
 （－－לפ？
e．g．，I Sam．xix．6：＂And Saul sware，＇as the Lord liveth，he

The Perfect is more rarely found，sometimes with its usual past sig－ nification：
e．g．， 2 Kings ix．26：＂Surely I have seen yesterday the blood of Naboth and the blood of his sons，saith the Lord．＂．．Nit－cy）

## רָּאִּחִּ

Sometimes with a present signification：
c．$g$ ．，Ps．cxxxi．2：＂Surely I have behaved and quieted myself （and still do）as a child that is weaned from his mother．＂siלーニs）

Sometimes in the Prophets with a future signification：
e．g．，Is．xiv．24：＂The Lord of Hosts hath sworn，saying，Surely （ $\mathfrak{K}^{2}$－ as I have purposed it shall stand＂（םוּ Imperfect）．
So fer．xv．11：＂The Lord said，Verily（ボンーロッ）it shall be well with thy remnant；verily，I will intreat the enemy for thee in the time of evil and affliction．＂（（

## Verb in Protasis omitted．

We have seen in Part I．that the verb in the first clause of a condi－ tional sentence is often omitted，and that its place is often supplied by
 but is most common when the supposition is probable（Class II．），and when the verb in the second clause is a $\mathcal{F} u$ ssive or Imperative：
e. g., 2 Sam. xvii. 6b: (א Imperative.) "If not, speak thou."
(:
So Fudges ix. 20: (אִ $\mathfrak{F}$ ussive.) "But if not, let fire come forth from Abimelech and devour the men of Shechem and the house of


So also 1 Chron. xii. 17b: (Verb omitted- Fussive.) "But if (it be) to betray me to mine enemies, seeing there is no wrong in mine hands, the God of our fathers look thereon and rebuke it." (רָּרָּ
e. g., Fer. xl. 4: (Verb omitted-Imperative.) "If (it be) good in thine eyes to come with me to Babylon, come; and I will look well unto thee," \&c. (כּאוֹר and
It is also frequently followed by the Imperfect or the Modified Perfect when expressing probability:
e.g., 2 Kings ii. 10: (אין Imperfect.) "But if not, it shall not be

e.g., I Sam. xi. 3": (אין Modified Perfect.) "And then if (there

e.g., Gen. xviii. 21: (Verb omitted-Cohortative.) 'I will go down now and see whether they have done altogether according to the crying of it which is come unto me, and if not, I shall know."
(
e.g., Ex. i. 16: (Verb omitted-Modified Perfect.) "If it (be) a son, then ye shall kill him; but if it (be) a daughter, then she shall

e.g., Lev. xxv. 51: (Verb omitted-Imperfect.) "And if there (be) yet many years, according to them shall he give again the price of his redemption out of the money he was bought for." (רָשִׁיב)
It is also, but rarely, followed by a Participle in the conclusion of a probable hypothesis:
e. g., I Sam. xix. In: (אין with Participle in Protasis.) "If thou save
 and (אַָּח מוּטָת)
Gen. xxx. Ib: (ֵN alone.) "Give me children, or if not, dying

1 Sam. xxvi. 19b: (Verb omitted.) "But if (it be) the children of men, cuirsed be they before Jehovah!" (:ֻרוּרים חִם)
When the supposition is simply in accordance with reality, we may
find in the conclusion a Perfect, or even a Modified Imperfect. The instances, howeyer, of this construction are very few:
c. g., Hos. xii. 12: (Verbomitted-Perfect.) " If (there is) idolatry (in) Gilead, surely they are vanity." (הָיָ)
Fob. xxxiii. 23, 24: (שֻ:, Modified Imperfect.) "If there be a messenger with him, an interpreter, one of a thousand, to show unto man his uprightness, then he is gracious unto him," \&c. (
When, however, the supposition is either improbable, indefinite, or contrary to reality (Class III. and Class IV.), the Imperfect is the usual tense in the conclusion:
e. g., I Sam. xiv. 39: (שִּ, Class III.) "For as the Lord liveth which saveth Israel, though it be Jonathan my son, he shall surely die." (מוֹת יָמוּת)
Fob. xxi. 4: (Verb omitted, Class IV.) "As for me (is) my complaint to man, and if (it were so) why should not my spirit be troubled ?" (חֻקְבַּר)
So also once with ib as cited above, $\mathfrak{F} o b$. xvi. 4 ( $\%$. p. 66).
When כִּי־אי is used before nouns, the implied supposition is always of the first class, i.e., in accordance with reality, as may be seen by the examples already cited (p. 47, Part I.). Compare also fosh. xiv. 4, Deut. x. 12 (where the particle stands before an Infinitive with ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ), 2 Kings xiii. 7 et al.
The Perfect is the usual tense in the second clause:
e. g., Fosh. xiv. $4^{\mathrm{b}}$ : "Therefore they gave no part unto the Levites in the land, save cities to dwell in." ( ָּחְנוּ)
However, in Num. xxxv. 33 the Imperfect is used, as also in Prov. xviii. 2 to express a general truth without limit of timé, and also in $\mathfrak{f e r}$. xliv. 14 to express a true future:
e. g., Num. xxxv. 33: "For the land cannot be purified from the blood that is shed in it, except by the blood of him that shed it." (
fer. xliv. 14 ${ }^{\text {b }}$. "For none shall return except those who escape."

When this compound particle is used with verbs, it is usually followed by an Imperfect or Voluntative, and the condition may be considered as implying probability, and so of Class II. A few examples will show this use:
e. g., fer. ix. 23: "But he that glorieth (i.e., But if any man glorieth), let him glory in this." (יחקהֵל )
c. g., Fer. xxxix. 12: ( $K^{\prime}$ tib.) "Take him, and look well unto
him, and do him no harm, but (whatsoever thou doest unto him) do


When followed by the Perfect, the reality of the supposition is implied:
e.g., 2 Kings xxiii. 9: "Nevertheless the priests of the high places came not up to the altar of the Lord at Jerusalem, but (if they had priestly privileges) they did eat of the unleavened bread among

So also Fer. vii. 23, cited above: "But (if I commanded them anything) this thing commanded I them, saying," \&c. (بִּחִּיחִי)

Very frequently the verb in the second clause is omitted entirely, in which case the class of condition must be determined from the context: e. g., Fer. xxiii. 7, 8: "Therefore, behold the days come, saith the Lord, that they shall no more say. The Lord liveth, which brought up the children of Israel out of the land of Egypt; but (בִּי־חִ) if they say anything, they shall say (The Lord liveth," \&c.)
In one passage we have a Participle in the second clause:
e.g., 2 Kings xvii. 40: " Howbeit they did not hearken, but according to their former manner they are doing (to this day.')


## The Infinitive.

When the Infinitive stands in the Protasis after conditional particles, it is followed by the Imperfect when the supposition is probable (Class II.), but the construction is rare:
e. g., 2 Sam. iii. 13b: "Thou shalt not see my face unless thou bringest (חרְיִּקִ) Michal the daughter of Saul," \&c.
So 2 Sam. v. 6.
It is also once followed by the Perfect in a sentence belonging to Class I. (Hypothesis in accordance with reality):


that thou wilt not hold me innocent."
Conditions introduced by is being mainly continuative, are governed by the context. All that have been observed, however, belong to the class of probabte suppositions (Class II.).

## Condition introduced by Waw.

Very frequently a condition introduced by $\mathbf{E s}$ or $\boldsymbol{\sim}$, is continued in a following sentence by ?. In this case, as with is, the class of condi-
tion is determined from the context, and the use of the tenses is the same as if the particles had been used.

Frequently, however, Conditional Sentences are introduced by Waw, without any preceding conditional particle or clause.

When this occurs, we usually find the Modified Perfect in the Protasis, followed in the Apodosis either by another Modified Perfect or, though rarely, by an Imperfect. Sentences of this form almost always imply probability:
e. g., Ex. xii. 13: (Modified Perfect in second clause.) "And the blood shall be to you for a sign upon the houses where ye are, and
 e. g., Num. xxiii. 20b: (Imperfect in second clause.) "Since he

A probable condition may also be expressed by the Imperfect in the Protasis followed by a Modified Perfect, another Imperfect, or a Volunt.ative:
e. g., Deut. xxx. 8, 9: (Modified Perfect in second clause.) "And if thou shalt return and obey the voice of the Lord, and do all his commandments which I command thee this day, then the Lord thy God will make thee plenteous in every work of thine hand." ( . . ָ
e. g., I Sam. ix. 7: (Imperfect in second clause.) "Then said Saul unto his servant, But behold if we go, what shall we bring the

e. g., Ps. lxxvii. 4: (Cohortative in second clause.) "When I am


The same kind of condition is very rarely expressed by a Modified Imperfect in the Protasis, followed by a Participle:

I will slay thy son, (אָּבִי הרֹג) even thy firstborn."
The literal translation would be: "And thou hast refused to let him go, behold I am slaying," \&c. (The action is viewed as completed and bringing with it its consequences.)

Another variation is in the use of the Voluntative in the Protasis: e. g., Ps. cxxxix. 8: (With verb omitted in second clause.) ' If I make Sheol my bed, behold Thee!" (:
e.g., Fob. xvi. 6: (With Imperfect in second clause.) "-and if I speak, what am I eased?" (:
It may, indeed, be questioned whether all the instances of the Imper-
fect thus occurring after Waw should not be regarded as properly Fussive, according to the corresponding use in Arabic.

But besides these methods of expressing a condition regarded as probable when introduced by Waw, there are a number of instances where the verb in the first clause must be regarded not as a Modified but as a simple Perfect. I pass by many examples where (as in Gen. xxxiii. 13) it must be considered at least doubtful whether the verb is to be rendered as a Perfect or as a Modified Perfect, and in which there is no difference in accent by which the tense may be recognized; but in four instances at least- $\mathcal{F o b}$. x. 15, Ezek. iii. 18, xxxiii. 8, Nah. i. 2-the accent shows that the verb was regarded as Perfect by the Masoretes:
e.g., Fob. x. 15: (Imperfect in second clause.) "If (IN̦) I be
 lift up my head."
e. g., Ezek. iii. 18: (Imperfect in second clause.) " When I say (בּאְמְרִי) to the wicked, 'Thou shalt surely die,' if thou givest him
 to turn the wicked from his evil way, \&c.-he shall die (יָּחוּ) in his iniquity, but his blood will I require ( $\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{U}} \boldsymbol{\sim}$

When the Condition is in accordance with reality (Class I.), we may have the Perfect or the Modified Imperfect in the Protasis, with the corresponding tenses in the conclusion:
c. g., Ex. xvi. 21: (Perfect in both clauses.) "And when the sun

e.g., I Sam. ii. 16: (Modified Imperfect and Perfect.) "And if

e. g., 2 Sam. xviii. 16: (Modified Imperfect in both clauses.) "And when Joab blew the horn (

To express conditions in which the supposition is contrary to reality (Class IV.), we find sometimes the Perfect in the Protasis, with the Imperfect in the conclusion:
e. g., Num. xii. 14: "And Moses said, If her father had but spit in
 days?"
Sometimes the Imperfect with the Imperfect in the conclusion: e. g., Ezek. xvi. 55: "When thy sisters, Sodom and her daughters, shall return (תָׁme to their former estate, and when, \&c., then thou and thy daughters shalt return (חּשְֶׁיצָה) to your former estate."

Once, at least, the Modified Perfect in Protasis, and no verb expressed in second clause:
 captivity, the captivity of Sodom and her daughters, and the captivity of Samaria and her daughters, then (will I bring again) the captivity of thy captives in the midst of them."

## Sentences zvithout any Introductory Particle.

A sentence which contains an interrogative idea, i.e., either a direct or an indirect question, may stand as the Protasis of a conditional sentence, or, as we have seen, two clauses placed together, either without any connecting particle or with simple Waw, may bear to each other the relation of condition and conclusion.

When the supposition is a probable one, or simply indeterminate, the usual construction is with the Imperfect in the Protasis-usually with the Imperfect or the Modified Perfect in the second clause:
e. g., Is. xlvi. 7b: " Yea (if) one shall cry unto him (יִּ2 ), yet can

It may also be followed by an Imperative, though when this is used the Perfect usually precedes:
 voice, hear, O Lord."
Or the verb may be understood in the second clause:
e. g., 2 Sam. xxiii. 4: "And (he shall be) as (is) the light of the morning (when) the sun ariseth." (חי!?)
The Voluntative ( $\mathcal{F u s s i v e}$ or Imperative) may be found in the Protasis in place of the Imperfect.

In this case the verb in the second clause may be in the Imperfect: e. g., Prov. xix. 25: "Smite a scorner (תֶָּּ
 standing, he will understand knowledge." (ָּרִּ)
Or it may be in the Perfect, with Future Perfect sense:
e.g., Is. xxvi. 10: " Let favour be shown ( will he not learn (have learned) (בַּלִלִַּ) righteousness."
Or it may be another $\mathcal{F} u s s i v e$ or Imperative:
e. g., Ps. lxviii. 2: "Let God arise, and let his enemies be scat-


The Perfect is sometimes found in a condition implying probability, but only when the verb in the second clause is of 7 ussive signification:
e.g., Prov. xxv. 16: (Imperative.) "Hast thou found honey, eat so much as is sufficient for thee." ( מַּאָא
e. g., $\mathcal{F u d g}$. vii. 3: ( $\mathcal{F u s s i v e . ) ~ " W h o s o e v e r ~ i s ~ f e a r f u l ~ a n d ~ a f r a i d , ~}$ let him return, and depart early from Mt. Gilead." ( $\mathfrak{N}$ e. g., Prov. xxii. 29: (Fussive.) "Seest thou a man that is diligent in business; he shall stand before kings." (יִּיְּצְ ()
e. g., Is. xxvi. Ir: (Imperfect with fussive force.) "Lord, though thine arm is lifted up, they will not see." (יחֵּוֹיוּן)
(The two last are the only instances observed where there can be any question as to the $\mathcal{F} u$ ssive character of the verb.)

Beside these forms of the finite verb, the Construct Infinitive with a Preposition $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ or $\}$ may stand in the Protasis of a conditional Sentence implying probability.

When the verb in the second clause is expressed, it is usually, if not always, in the Imperfect:
e. g., Ps. iv. 5: "The Lord will hear when I call unto him."

When the supposition is viewed as a fact, the most common construction is with the Perfect in the Protasis, and also in the Conclusion:
e. g., Ps. cxix. 51: " Though the proud have had me greatly in

 (though) He led them through the deserts."

The verb in the second clause is sometimes understood, as in all classes of conditional sentences:
e. g., Prov. xxvi. 12, xxix. 20, xxiv. 10, Lev. xv. 3.

Though the Perfect is the usual tense in conditions of this description, we also, in poetry, find the Imperfect not infrequently, and always when the verb is expressed followed by another Imperfect:

wrath, it devoured them like stubble."
 waters, and they dry up." "When or if he shutteth up the waters, they dry up."
Ps. civ. 28, 29, 30 : : . .

" Thou givest them, they gather; thou openest thine hand, they are filled with good; Thou hidest thy face, they are troubled; thou takest away their breath, they die and return to their dust; Thou sendest forth thy word, they are created."
Another not unusual form of this condition is with the Participle in
the Protasis, followed usually by the Perfect in the second clause.
This occurs most frequently in the book of Proverbs, and is a very terse form of expression:
e. g., Prov. xvii. 5a: "(Whoso) mocketh the poor, reproacheth

The Modified Imperfect is once found:
2 Kings vi. 5: "It came to pass, when one was felling a beam,

The Infinitive with Preposition may stand as the Protasis in a condition of this kind, though this is of rare occurrence. It is followed by
 say to their mothers, where is corn and wine? when they swoon in the streets of the city, when their soul is poured out (\%) mothers' bosom."

In Ps. lxii. Io the verb in second clause is omitted:
 with emptiness."

In Ps. xlii. in the verb in second clause is Perfect: ... . . (בּאָּרְ . . "As with a sword in my bones, mine enemies reproach me, when they daily say unto me, where is thy God?"

Conditions contrary to reality are rare without an introductory Particle, but occur in a few instances. They are sometimes expressed by the Perfect in the Protasis, followed by the Inperfect in the second clause:
e. g., 2 Kings v. 13: "Had the prophet said (דָּרֶ) some great

And also by the Imperfect in the Protasis, followed either by an $I m$ perfect in the second clause:
e. g., fob. xiii. I5: (q'ri) after j... "Behold, though He kill me

Or by a Perfect:
e. g., Fob.ix. 13: "If God withdraw not his wrath, the proud

The Cohortative also may appear in Protasis, followed by the Perfect in second clause:
e. g., Ps. xl. 6: "If I should (wish to) declare them (אֵ: אֲּד) or speak of them, (יָארָּ) they are more than can be numbered."


The Participle also may stand in the Protasis, and be followed by an Imperfect:
e. g., 2 Kings vii. 2 and also 19: "Behold, if the Lord opened

(ה)
From the instances that have been given, in this article and in the accompanying Tables, it may be seen that, while there are four classes of condition which must appear in any translation of the Hebrew into a modern language, there are in fact only three that are distinguishable by the verbal forms used:
ist. When the supposition is a fact (Class I.).
2d. When the supposition is probable, possible, or even a mere assumption (Classes II. and III.).

3d. When the supposition is contrary to fact or very highly improbable (Class IV.).

In the first, the Perfect, or its corresponding secondary tense, is most common in the Protasis.

In the second, the Imperfect, or its corresponding secondary tense, is usual in the Protasis.

In the third, the condition is usually indicated by ib or one of its compounds. When this is the case the Perfect is the usual tense; but if the introductory particle be ES, or if there be no special introductory particle, we generally find the Imperfect.

In the Apodosis there is great liberty of usage in all forms of condition, but the appearance of a Voluntative form (Fussive, Cohortative, or Imperative) will invariably show the hypothesis in the Protasis to be probable; while a Perfect or a Modified Imperfect are rarely found in the conclusion, except after suppositions in accordance with reality.

The underlying principles are the same as in Greek or English, but the niceties of expression and the exactness of grammar had been lost to the Hebrew language long before it began to be preserved and fixed by being committed to writing.

From the analogy of the Arabic, we may see that the use of the Ferfect to express a probable hypothesis in future time, is a remnant of an earlier, more highly developed, grammatical structure, similar to the Arabic. The same may also be inferred from the use of the $\mathcal{F} u s s i v e$ in conditions, and of the Imperfect in a $\mathcal{F} u$ ssive sense, even when Indicative in form.

Note.-In the accompanying Tables will be found the whole collection of Conditional Sentences observed by the writer, from which the above instances have been taken. He has no idea that the collection is a complete one, but trusts that it is sufficiently extensive to justify the inferences drawn from it in this article.

## TABLE OF INSTANCES

OF CONDITIONAL SENTENCES IN HEBREW, WITH A GENERAL SUMMARY AND RECAPITULATION.

This Table explains itself. In the first column is found the reference; in the second, the tense, verbal form, or substitute therefor, used in the Apodosis; in the third column, the number indicates to which of the four classes of conditions the particular instance is to be referred. The passages are arranged according to the verbal form used in the Protasis, and are given in the order of arrangement of the English Bible.

## I.

Without any Introductory Particle.

> 1.-With Perfect in the Protasis.

| Passago. | $\Delta$ podosis. | Passage. | Apodosis. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lev. $\quad \mathrm{x} .19$. | Imperfect, | Prov. $\quad$ xi. 2. | Mod.Imperfect, I |
| Num. xv. 3. | Verb omitted, |  | Imperfect (Jus- |
| Num. xii. 14. | Imperfect, |  | sive force), |
| Deut. $\quad$ xx. 5. | Jussive, | xviii. 22. | Perfect, |
| $\text { xx. } 6 .$ $\mathrm{xx} .7 .$ | Jussive, 2 <br> Jussive 2 |  | 3 |
| di. | Imperfect, |  | Imp'ft (k'tib) |
| Fosh. xxii. 18. | Mod. Perfect, | xxii. 3.b | Perfect, |
| $7 u d g$. vii. 3. | Jussive, | xxii. 29. | Jussive, |
| Ruth i. 12. | Imperfect, | xxiv. 10. | Verb omitted, |
| I Sam. i. 28. | Participle, | xxy. 16 | Imperative, |
| xvii. 34. | Perfect, | xxvi. 12. | erb omitted, |
| 2 Kings v. 13. | Imperfect, | xxvi. 15. | Perfect (cf. xix. |
| Fob. iv. | Imper |  | 24), |
| iv. 21. | Imperfect, | xxvii. 12.a | Perfect, |
| vii. | Imperfect, | xxvii. 12.b | Perfect, |
| xix. 4 | Imperfect, | Eccl. vi. 10. | Perfect, |
| xxi. | Verb omitted, | $I s . \quad$ xxvi. 1 I . | Imperfect, |
| xxiii. | Imperfect, 2 | lii. 21. | Perfect, |
| xxiv. 24 | \|אֵ and Perfect, 3 | liii. 7. | Imperfect, |
|  |  | fer. xxxiii. 25. | Imperfect, |
|  |  | Lam. i. 21. | mperfect, |
| Ps. xxxix. 12. | Mod.Imperfect, I | iii. 37. | Perfect, |
| xix. 33. | Imperfect, 2 | Hos. | Imperfect |
| iii. 16. | \|nand Imp'ft, I |  | rf |
| ix. 51 | Perfect. | iii. 8.b | merfec |
| cxix. 6I. | Perfect, 1 | Hag. ii. 16.a | Perfect, |
| cxaxix. 18.b | Verb omitted, | ii. 16.b | Perfect, |

2.-With Imperfect in Protasis.


## 4.-With Imperative in Protasis.

| Passage. | Apodosis. Class. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gen. $\quad$ xxx. 28. | Cohortative, |
| xxxiv. 12. | Cohortative, |
| xlii. 18. | Imperative, |
| xlii. 37.b | Imperfect, |
| $E x . \quad$ vii. 9. | Jussive. |
| xviii. 19. | Jussive. |
| I Kings xxii. 12. | Imperative, |
| xxii. 15. | Imperative, |
| 2 Kings v. 13. | Imperative, |
| 2 Chron.xx. 20.a | Imperfect, |
| xx. 20.b | Mod. Perfect, |
| 706 i. 11. | Imperfect, |
| ii. 5 . | Imperfect, |
| xii. 7. | Jussive, |
| xii. 8. | Jussive, |
| xxii. 21. | Imperative, 2 |
| xl. 32. | Imperative and Jussive, |


|  | Passage. | Apodosls. | Class. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ps. | xxi. 14. | Cohortative, | 2 |
|  | xxxiv. 12. | Jussive, | 2 |
|  | 1. 15. | Imperfect, | 2 |
|  | li. 16. | Jussive, | 2 |
|  | lxxxvi. 11. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Imperfect, | 2 |
|  | cxviii. 19. | Imperfect, | 2 |
|  | cxix. 17 | Cohortative, | 2 |
|  | cxix 145. | Cohortative, | 2 |
| Prov. | iii. 3, 4. | Imperative, | 2 |
|  | iii. $7 . f$ | Jussive, | 2 |
|  | iii. 9.f | Imperfect, | 2 |
|  | iii. $21 . f$ | Imperfect, | 2 |
|  | iv. 4 . ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Imperative, | 2 |
|  | iv. 6.b | Imperfect, | 2 |
|  | iv. 8. | Imperfect, | 2 |
|  | iv. 10. | Imperfect, | 2 |
|  | vi. 3.a | Imperative, | 2 |
|  | vi. 3.b | Imperative, | 2 |

## 4.-With Imperative in Protasis.-Continued.

| Pasage. |  | Apodosis. Clasa. |  | ssag | Apodosla. Clasb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prov. | vi. 6.b | Imperative, | Is. | viii. 9. | Imperatives (3), 2 |
|  | vii. 2. | Imperative, |  | viii. 10. | Jussive, 2 |
|  | ix. 6. | Imperative, 2 |  | lv. I, 3. | (1) Jussive, |
|  | xiii. 20. | Imperative (k't), 2 |  |  | (2) Cohortative, 2 |
|  | xvi. 3. | Imperfect, | Fer. | xvii. $14{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Imperfect, 2 |
|  | $\text { xx. } 22 .$ | Imperfect, |  | xvii. 14.b | Cohortative, |
|  | xxiii. 19. | Imperative, |  | xxv. 5. | Imperative, |
|  | xxv. | Imperfect, |  | xv. 15 | Imperative, |
|  | xxvii. $11 .{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Imperative, | Amos | v. 4. | mperative, |
|  | xxxi. 6.f | Jussive, |  | v. 6. | Imperative, |

5.-With Participle in the Protasis.

| Passage. | podosis. Clask | Passage. | apod |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Sam. xvii. 3 | Perfect, | Prov. xiii. 20. | Imperfect (q'ri), 2 |
| 2 Sam. xvii. 9. | Mod. Perfect, 3 | xiv. $31 .{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Perfect, |
| 2 Kings vi. | Mod.Imperfect, I | xiv. 3 r . ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Perfect, |
| vii. 2 | Imperfect, | xvii. 5. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Perfect, |
| vii. 19. | Imperfect, | xix. 17. | Perfect, |

6.-With Infinitive with Preposition in Protasis.


## II.

Condition Introduced by Waw.
1.-With Imperfect in the Protasis.

| Passage. |  | Apodosia. Class. | Pasamgo. | Apodozis. Class. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deut. <br> I Sam. | xxx. 8. | Mod. Perfect, 2 | Ps. lxxvii. $4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Cohortative, 2 |
|  | ix. 7 . | Imperfect, 2 | lxxvii. 4 | Cohortative, |
|  | xx. 12. | Imperfect (Jussive force), | cxxxix. II | Verb omitted, 2 |

2.-With Modified Perfect in Protasis.

| Passage. |  | clasa. |  | Passago. |  | Apod | Class. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G$ | ix. 16. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | Exod. | xxxiii. 10. | Mod. Perfect, |  |
|  | xxxiii. 13. | Mod. Perfect, | 3 |  | xxxiii. ${ }^{2} 3$. | Mod. Perfect, |  |
|  | xlii. 38. | Mod. Perfect, | 3 | Lev. | xxii. 7. | Mod. Perfect, | , |
|  | xliv. 22. | Mod. Perfect, | 3 |  | xxvi. 41. | Mod. Perfect, | , 2 |
|  | xliv. 29. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | Num. | x. 3-5. | Mod. Perfect, |  |
| Exod. | iii. 13. | Imperfect, | 2 |  | x. 17. | Mod. Perfect, |  |
|  | iv. 14. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 |  | x. 21. | Mod. Perfect, |  |
|  | xii. 13. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 |  | xiv. 15. | Mod. Perfect, |  |
|  | 23. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 |  |  | Mod. Perfect, |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { xiv. 3.f } \\ \text { xxiii. } 25 . \end{array}$ | Mod. Perfect, Mod. Perfect, | 2 | Deut. | iv. $29.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mod. Perfect, Mod. Perfect, |  |

2.-With Modified Perfect in Protasis.-Continued.

| Passago. | Apodosls. Class. |  | assage. |  | $\Delta$ podosis. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g. vii. | od. Perfec | 2 | ov | 22. | mperfect, |  |
| Sam. xxix. 10. | perative, | 2 |  | x. 12. | Imperfect, |  |
| Tings viii. |  | 2 |  |  | Mod. Perfe | , 2 |
| xvii. 12. |  | 2 |  | Vili | od. Perfec | , 2 |
| vili. | Mod. Perf | I |  | , 11 | Mod. Perfec |  |
|  |  | 2 | ze |  | Mod Perf |  |
| $v$. | Imperfec | 2 |  |  | Verb omitt |  |
| Prov. iii. 24. | Perfect, | 2 |  | IO-I3 | Mod. Perfect, | , 2 |

## 3.-With Voluntative in Protasis.


4.-With Perfect (not Modified) in Protasis.

| Passage. | Apodosls. Cla |  | Passage. |  | apodosis. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E x . \quad$ xvi. 21. | Perfect, | I | 706 | xxiii. 13. | Mod.Imper |  |
| Lev. $\quad$ x. 19. | Imperfect, | 4 | Ezek. | iii. 18. | Imperfect, | 2 |
| Num. xii. 14. | Imperfect, | 4 |  | xxxiii. 3. | Imperfect, | 2 |
| Ruth ii. 9. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 |  | xxxiii. 8. | Imperfect, | 2 |
| I Sam. xvii. 34. | Perfect, | 1 | Nah. | i. 12. | Imperfect, | 2 |
| 7ob. x . 15. | Imperfect, | 2 |  |  |  |  |

> 5.-With Modified Imperfect in Protasis.

6.-Waw with verb omitted in Protasis.


## III.

## Condition Introduced by Particles-a. by CS

1.-With Imperfect in Protasis.

| Passage. |  | $\Delta$ podosis. Class. | Passage. | Cla |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. | iv. $7{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Verb omitted, 2 | Levit. . v. 1. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | iv | Participle, 2 |  | od. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xiii. | Imperfect, 2 |  | od. Perfect, |
|  | xviii. | Mod. Perfect, 2 | xii. 8 | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xviii. | Imperfect, | xiii. 23 | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xviii. | Imperfect, 2 | ii | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  |  | Mod. Perfect, 2 |  | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xxviii. 17. | Second clause | xvii. 10 | Mod. Perfect, |
|  |  | omitted, | xvii. | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xxviii | Mod. Perfect, 2 | xx | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | $\mathrm{x}$ | Imperfect, 2 | Num. xv | Perfect, |
|  | xxxi. | Mod. Perfect, 2 | xvi | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xxxi. | א | xix. | Imperfect. |
|  | X | b omitted, 2 |  | 2 |
|  | xxxi | Mod. Perfect, 2 |  | 3 |
|  | xlii. 37 | Imperfect (Jus- |  |  |
|  |  | sive force), | Xxx. 13 | Imperfect. |
| Exod. | . iv. 8 | Mod. Perfect, 2 | xxx. 15 | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  |  | Mod. Perfect, | xxx. | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xix. | Mod. Perfect, | xxxi | Perfect. |
|  | xx. | Imperfect, | xxxiii | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xxi. 3 xxi. | Imperfect, Imperfect | Deut ${ }^{\text {xxxvi. } 4}$ | Imperfect, 2 |
|  | xxi. 3 | Imperfect, | Deut. viii. 19 | Perfect (cf. iv. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | i. I 3 | d. Perfect, 2 |
|  |  |  | xi. 22 | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  |  |  | i. 28 | Verb omitted, 2 |
|  |  |  |  | mperfect, 2 |
|  | xxi | Mod. Perfect, 2 | xx. | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  |  |  | xxii. 2 | Mod. Perfect, |
|  |  |  | xxv. | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  |  |  | xxi |  |
|  |  | , | Xi11. |  |
|  |  | Verb omitted, 2 |  | sive force), 2 |
|  | xxi | Imperfect, | Fudges | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xxii. | Imperfect, | iv. | perfect, 2 |
|  | xxii | Mod. Perfect, |  | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xxii. 1 | Imperfect | xi. | Imperfect, 2 |
|  | xxii. | Imperfect | iii. 16 | Imperfect (2), 2 |
|  | xii | Imperfect, |  | Mod. Perfects, 2 |
|  | Xxil. | Imperfect, | Ruth iii. 13. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Imperfect (Jus- |
|  | xxii. | Imperfect, |  | sive force), 2 |
|  | xxiii |  | iii. 1 | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xxxii. 32. | 2d clause omit'd | I Samı. i. II. | Mod. Perfect |
|  |  | by Aposiopesis, 2 |  | and Impf., 2 |
| Levit. | iv. | Mod. Perfect, 2 | vi. 9 | Verb omitted |
|  | $\text { iv. } I$ | Mod. Perfect, 2 |  | (cf. 2d clause), 2 |

# 1.-With Inperfect in Protasis after ce.-Continued. 



| Passage. | Apodosis. Class |
| :---: | :---: |
| Esther iv. 14. | Imperfect, |
| Fob. viii. 5, 6. | Imperfect, |
| iii. 18. | Mod. Perfect, |
| ix. 3 . | Imperfect, |
| ix. | Imperfect, |
| ix. | Imperfect, |
| xi. | Imperfect, |
| xiii. | Imperfect, |
|  | mperfect, |
| xiv. | Imperfect, |
| xvi. 6 | Imperfect, |
| xvii. 13 | Verb omitted, |
|  | Imperative, |
| XX. 12 | Participle, |
| xx. 6. | Imperfect, |
| xxii. 23. | Imperfect, |
| xxvii. | הT |
| xvii. | Verb om |
| ii. 16, 17. | Imperfect, |
| xxxi. 7, 8. | Cohortative and Jussive, |
| xxxi. | Imperfect, |
| xxxi. 16, 17. | Apodosis wanting, |
| xxxi. 19. | Apodosis want- <br> ing, <br> 2 |
| xxxi. 25. | Verb (or perhaps second clause)om't'd, 2 |
| xxxi. 38. | Jusssive, 2 |
| xxxiii. 5. | Imperative, |
| xxxiv. 14. | Imperfect, |
| xxxvi. II. | Imperfect, |
| xxxvi. 12. | Imperfect, |
| Psalms vii. 13. | Imperfect, |
| xxvii. $3{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Imperfect, |
| xxvii. 3.b | Participle, |
| 1. 12. | Imperfect, 2 |
| lix. 16. | Mod. Imperfect, 2 |
| lxviii. 14. | Verb omitted, 1 |
| lxxxi. 9 | Cohortative, 2 |
| Ixxxix. 31-3. | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
| xcv. $7,8$. | Jussive (acc. to |
|  | Mas. pointing, verb omitted), 2 |
| cxxvii. 1. | Perfect (2), I |
| cxxx. 3. | Imperfect, |
| cxxxii. 12. | Imperfect, |
| cxxxvii. 5. | Jussive, |

[^1]1.-With Imperfect in Protasis after Eṣ.-Continued.

2.-With Perfect in Protasis after EN

2.-With Perfect in Protasis after عא.-Continued.

| Passage. | Apodosis. Class. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Num. v. 28. | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
| xi. $15 . \mathrm{b}$ | Imperative, |
| xv. 24. | Mod. Perfect, |
| xxi. 9. | Verb omitted, |
| xxii. 20. | Imperative, |
| xxx. 6. | Imperfect, |
| xxxii. 17. | Imperfect, |
| xxxv. 16. | Verb omitted, |
| xxxv. 17. | Verb omitted, |
| xxxv. 22-24. | Mod. Perfect, |
| Deut. xxi. 14. | Mod. Perfect, |
| xxii. | Mod. Perfect, |
| xxxii. 30. | Imperfect, |
| xxxii. 41. | Imperfects, |
| fosh. xxii. 24. | Second clause omitted |
| fudges vi. 3 . | Mod. Perfect, |
| ix..16-19. | Imperative, |
| xv. 7. | Imperfect, |
| Ruth ii. 21. | Jussive, |
| iii. 18. | Imperfect, |
| 1 Samı xxvi. 19. | Jussive, |
| 2 Sam. xv. 33. | Mod. Perfect, |
| 1 Kings xx. 18. | Jussive, |
| 2 Kings iv. 24. | Jussive, |
| vii. $4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mod. Perfect, |
| 4.b | Mod. Perfect, |
| v. 20. | Mod. Perfect, |
| 1 Chron.xii.17. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Imperfect, |
| Esther v. 8. | Jussive, |
| viii. 5 . | Jussive, |
| fob vii. 4. | Perfect, |
| ix. 15. | Imperfect, |
| ix. 16. | Imperfect, |
| ix. 30. | Imperfect, |
| x. 14. | Mod. Perfect, |
| x. $15 .^{\text {a }}$ | 2\%s |
| x. I 5.b | Imperfect, |
| xi. 13,15 . | Imperfects, |
| xxi. 6. | Mod. Perfect, |
| xxii. 20. | Perfect, |
| xxxi. 5, 6. | Cohortative, |
| xxxi. 20-22. | Jussive, |
| xxxi. 39, 40. | Jussive, |
| xxxv. 6. | Imperfect, 3 |
| xxxv. 7. | Imperfect, 3 |
| Ps. vii. $4,5$. | Jussives, 2 |



* In Protasis we have the unusual construction of a Perfect followed by an Imperfect with the same force.
†Cf. Fer. xlix. 9.
rev. h. ferguson on tenses in conditional sentences. 85
3.-With Participle in Protasis after C (̧)

| Passage. | Apodosis. Class. | ass | ${ }^{\text {apod }}$ | Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. $\quad$ xx. 7. | Imperative, | $\mathcal{F} u d g . \quad$ ix. 15. | Imperative, |  |
| xxiv. 42. | Mod. Perfect, |  | Imperfect, |  |
| xxiv. 49. | Imperative, | 1 Sam. xi. 3. | Mod. Perfec |  |
| xliii. 5. | Imperfect, | 1. | Participle, |  |
| Exod. viii. 17. | Participle, | 2 Chron. xxv. 8. | Imperative, | 2 |
| ix. 2.f | Participle, | $70 b$ xiv. 5 . | Imperative, |  |
| xxxiii. ${ }^{15}$ | Jussive, | 9, 10. | Jussive, |  |
| Levit. iii. I. | Imperfec | xxxvi. 8. | Imperfect, |  |
| iii. 7 . | Mod. Perfect, 2 | Fer. xxvi. 15. | Participle, |  |
| Num. xi. 15. | Imperative, 2 | xlii. 15. | Imperative, |  |
| Fudg. vi. 36, 37. | Mod. Perfect, |  |  |  |



| Passage. | Apodosis. Cla |  | c. | Apodosis. Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. | Participle, | 2 | Fudg. ix. 20. | Jussive, |
| xliv. 26 | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | 1 Samt. xiv. 39. | Imperfect, |
| Exod. xxii. 2. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | 2 Sam. xvii. 6. | Imperative, |
| xxxii. 32.b | Imperative, | 2 | 2 Kings ii. 10. | Imperfect, |
| Nım. ${ }^{\text {c }}$, 8. | Participl | 2 | 706 xxxiii. 23. | Mod.Imperfect |
| V1 | Mod. P | 2 | xxxii 32 | Imperative, |
| xvii. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | xxxiii. 33. | Imperative, |
| xxvii. II. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | Prov. xxii. 27. | Imperfect, |

- 4. (b)-Verb omitted in Protasis after こら:

| Pas | Apodosls. Class. | Passage. | Apodosls. Clme |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. $\quad$ xiii. 9. | Cohortative, | 2 Chron. ii. 5. | mitted, |
| I | Imperfect, | Neh. ii. 7. | ssive, |
| xxiii. I 3 . | Perfect, | Esther i. 19. | Jussive, |
| xlii. 16. | Cl. ommitted, |  | sive, |
| xliii. 11. | Imperative, | V. | Jussive, |
| Exod. i. 16. | Mod. Perfect, | I. 1 | Imperfect, |
| x. 13. | Imperfect, |  | ussive, |
| Lev. iii. I. | Verb omitted, | viii | Jussive, |
|  | Im | x. | Jussive, |
| xxv. | Imp | $70 b$ | Imperfec |
| xxvii. 3 | Mod. Perfect, |  |  |
| xxvii. 4 | Mod. Perfect, | xi. | perative, |
| xxvii. 6 | Mod. Perfect, | vii. | perfect, |
| ii |  |  | mperfect, |
| 70s xxvii. 1 | Mod. Perfec | iv. | Imperfect, |
| /2. xxii. |  |  | Imperfect |
| xii |  | xxxiv. 16 | perative, |
| xxii. 23 | Jussive, | xxxvii. ${ }^{13}$ | Imperfect, |
| xxiv. I | Imperative | Prov. xxiii. 2. | Mod. P'rf't |
| 1 Sam. ii. I ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Perfect |  | sperative, |
|  | itted |  | mperative, |
| xv. 7. | Verb omitted, | Hosea iv. 15. | Jussive, |
| vi. 19.b | Participl | xii. 12. | Perfect, |
| m. xii. | Cohortative, 4 | mm. i. 12. |  |
| hron.xii. 17. | Jussive, 2 | Zech. xi. 12. | Imperative (2), |
| xv. 2. | Verb omitted, | Mal. | Verb |



| Passage. | Apodosls. | Class. | Passage. | Apodosls. | Class. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. $\quad$ xxxix. 6. | Perfect, | I | 2 Kings v. 17. | Imperfect, | 2 |
| $\text { xxxix. } 9 .$ | Perfect, | I | ix. 35. | Periect, | I |
| $\text { xlii. } 15$ | Imperfect, | 2 | xiii. 7. | Perfect, | I |
| $E x . \quad$ x. 4 . | Participle, | 2 | I Chron. ii. 34. | Perfect, | I |
| Num. xxvi. 33. | Perfect. | 1 | xxiii. 22. | Perfect, | I |
| xxvi. 65. | Perfect, | I | 2 Chr. xviii. 30. | Imperfect, | 2 |
| xxxv. 33. | Imperfect, | I | xxi. 17. | Perfect, | 2 |
| xiv. 30. | Imperfect, | 2 | xxiii. 6. | Jussive, | 2 |
| Deut. x. 12. | Participle, | I | Neh. ii. 12. | Gus | I |
| $\text { fosh. } \quad \text { xiv. } 4$ | Perfect, | I |  |  |  |
| xvii. 3. | Perfect, | I | Esther ii. I5. | Perfect, | I |
| 1 Sam. xxx. 17. | Perfect, | I | $\text { v. } 12 .$ | Perfect, | 1 |
| $\text { xxx. } 22 .$ | Imperfect, | 2 | Prov. xviii. 2. | Imperfect, | 1 |
| 2 Sam. xii. 3. | 1) | I | Eccl. iii. 12. | 19\% | I |
| xix. 29. | Perfect, | I | viii. I 5. | 19\% | I |
| I Kings xvii. . | Imperfect, | 2 | Fer. iii. ı0. | Perfect, | I |
| XVil. 12. | 凹゙. | 2 | xxii. 17. | אין | I |
| xxii. 3!. | Imperfect, | 2 | xliv. 14. | Imperfect, | 2 |
| 2 Kings iv.ii. | $1 \div$ | I | Dan. $\quad$ x. 21. | הֵין | I |
| V. 15. | YN | 1 | Micah vi. 8. | Perfect, | I |

4. (d)-With Ellipsis of First Clause after $\mathrm{E}-\stackrel{-}{\square}=$ But.

| Passage. | Apodosis. Class. | Passage. | Apodosls. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. $\quad \mathrm{xv} .4$. | Imperfect, | Psalms i. i. 4. | Verb omitted, |
| v. 10. | Imperfect, | Prov. xxiii. 17. | Verb omitted, |
| Lev. $\quad$ xxi. 2. | Imperfect, | Is. xxxiii. 21 | Verb omitted, |
| xxi. 14. | Imperfect, | xxxvii. 19. | Verb on |
| Num. x. 30. | Imperfect, | lix. 2 | Perfect, |
| Deut. vii. 5. | Imperfect, | - lxv. 18. | Imperative, |
| xii. 5. | Imperfect, | Fer. vii | Perfec |
| xii. 14. | Imperfect, | vii. | Verb omitted, |
| xii. 18. | Imperfect, | ix. 23 | Imperfect, |
| 7osh x x xiii. 6. | Imperfect | xvi. 15 | Verb omitted, |
| 7osh. xxiii. 8. | Imperfect, | xix. 6 | Verb omitted, |
| I Sam. ii. 15. | Verb omitted, | xx. | Verb omitted, |
| viii. 19. | Imperfect, | xxiii. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Verb omitted, |
| xxi. 2. | Verb omitted, | xxi. 30. | Imperfect, |
| viii. 1 | Imperfect, | viii. 4 | Verb omitted, |
| xiii. iii. | Verb omitted, | xxviii. 6 | Verb omitted, |
| ngs xiv. | Imper | zek. | p |
| xvii. 3 | Imperfect, | xxxiii. 11. | Verb omitted, |
| . 39. | Imperfect, | xxxvi. | erb omitted, |
| xvii. 40. | Participle, | xliv. | Mod. Perf |
| xix. 18. | Verb omitted, | xliv. | imperfect, |
| xiii. 9. | Perfect, | xliv. 25. | Imperfect, |
| xxiii. 23 | Imperfect, | Amos viii. 11. | Verb omitted, |
| $70 b$ xlii. 8. | Imperfect, | Zech. iv. 6. | Verb omitted, |

5.-In Asseverations and Denials, the Apodosis being understood as a



## 6.-With Infinitive Construct in Apodosis after EN

| Passage. | Apodosis. | Class. | Passage. | Apodosis. | Class, |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 Sam. | iii. 13. | Imperfect, | 2 | $\mathcal{F} 0 b$ ix. 27, 28. | Perfect, | I |
|  | v. 6. | Imperfect, | 2 |  |  |  |

b.-After $\mathfrak{P}=$ =if, when, since.

| Passage. |  | Apodosis. Class. |  | Passage. | Apodosis. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. | iv. 12. | Imperfect, | 2 | Levit. xxii. 29. | Imperfect, |
|  | iv. 24. | Verb omitted, | 2 | xxiii. 10. | Imperfect, |
|  | xii. 12. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | xv. 25 | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xxiv. | Imperfect, | 2 | xxv. 35. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xxxii. 18. | Mod. Perfect | 2 | Num. v. 6. | Mod. Perfect, |
| Exod. |  | Mod. Perfect | 2 | v. 12. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | $\text { vii. } 9 .$ | Mod. Perfect | 2 | . | Mod. Perfect, |
|  |  | Mod. Perfect | 2 | x. 2. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | x | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | X. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xiii. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | xv .14 . | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xviii. | Participle, | 1 | xxvii. 8. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  |  |  | I | XXX. | Imperfect, |
|  | xxi. 7. | Imperfect | 2 | xxx. | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xxi. | Imperfect, | 2 | xxxii. 15. | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xxi. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | Deut. iv. 25. | Inserted clause |
|  | xxi. | Imperfect, | 2 |  | place of reg- |
|  | xxi. | Imperfect, | 2 |  | ular Apodosis, 2 |
|  | xxi. 2 | Imperfect, | 2 | iv. | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xxi. 28. | Imperfect, | 2 | vi. | Mod. Perfect, 2 |
|  | xxi. 33. | Imperfect, | 2 | vi. 20 | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xxi. 37. | Imperfect, | 2 | vi. | Imperfect, |
|  | xxii. 4 | Imperfect, | 2 | vi | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xxii. 6 | Imperfect | 2 | vii. | Imperfect, |
|  | xxii. 9 | Imperfect | 2 | xiii. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xxii. I | Imperfect | 2 | xiv. 24. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xxii. 26 | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | x | Imperfects, |
|  | xxx. 12. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | xv. 16 | Mod. Perfect, |
| Levit. | i. 2 . | Imperfect, | 2 | xviii. 6. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | ii. |  | 2 | xix. 16. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | iv. |  | 2 | x | Imperfect, |
|  |  | Mod. Perfect | 2 | xxi. 22 | Imperfect, |
|  | V . | Mod. Perfect | 2 | xxii. 28 | Mod. Perfect, |
|  |  | Mod. Perfec | 2 |  | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | vii. 2 | Mod. Perfect | 2 | xxvii | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xii. | M | 2 | xxviii. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xiii | Mod. Perfect | 2 | xxxi. 21 | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xiii. | Mod. Perfect | 2 | Fosh. vii | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xiii. | Mod. Perfec | 2 | x | Imperfect, |
|  | xiii. | Mod. Perfect | 2 | xii. 2 | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xiii. | Mod. Perfect | 2 | Fudg. xxi. 22. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xv . | Mod. Perfect | 2 | 1 Sam. | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xix. 33. | Imperfect, | 2 |  | Mod. Perfect |
|  | xx. 27. | Imp | 2 | xxi. | Imperative, |
|  | xxi | Imperfect, | 2 | I Kings viii. | Inf. with |
|  | xxii. | Imperfect, | 2 | viii. 37 | ssive, |
|  | xxii. | Imperfec | 2 | viii. 44 | Mod. Perfect, |
|  | xxii. 27. | Mod. Perfect, | 2 | viii. 46. | Mod. Perfect, |

Imperfect in Protasis after פִּי.-Continued.


## 2.-Perfect in Protasis after

| Passage. |  | ss. | Passage. | Apodosls. Class. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n. | , | Mod. Imp'fects, I | Fob xxix. 1 | Mod.Imperfect, I |
|  | xxxi. 15. | Perfect, | xxxiv. 33 | Imperfect, |
|  | xlix. 6. | Jussive, 2 | ms xxi. 12 |  |
| rod. | xiii. 15. | Mod.Imperfect, I | xxx | 兂 |
| cm. | v. 20. | 2 c clause om'd, 2 | lviii. | Imperfect, |
|  | ii. | Mod.Imperfect, I |  | - |
| $7 u d g$. | i. 2 | Mod.Imperfect, I | cxix. | re |
|  | ii. 18 | Perfect, | h xliii | ct, |
|  | vi. 7. | Mod.Imperfect, I | r. | mperfect, |
|  | xvi. 16. | Mod.Imperfect, I | xxxi. 25 | mperfect, |
| Ruth | i. 12. | Imperfect, 4 | Ezek. iii. | Perfect |
| 1 Sam. | i. 12. | Perfect, I | xiv. ${ }^{2}$ | 2d clause |
| 2 Sam. | vii. $\frac{1}{}$ | Mod.Imperfect, I | xxxiii. | Perfect, |
|  | xix. 26. | Mod.Imperfect, I |  | Imperfec |
| Neh. | ix. 18. | Perfect, I | Micah vii. 8.a | Perfect, |
| $70 b$ | ii | Mod. Perfect, I | Nah. i. 14. | Imperfect, |
|  | xxii. | Imperfect, | Zech. vii | ct, |

## 3.-Participle in Protasis after כִּ


4.-Without any verb expressed in Protasis.

| (a) Verb omitted. |  | (b) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passage. | Apodosls. Class. | Passage. | Apodosis. Class. |
| Fosh. xvii. 18. | Imperfect, 3 | Prov. xix. 18. | Imperative, 2 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Kgs. xviii. 27. | Imperative, 2 |  |  |
| 706 xxxvi. 18. | Jussive, 2 |  |  |
| Prov. xxiii. 22. | Jussive, 2 |  |  |
| Hosea xi. I, | Mod.Imperfect, 2 |  |  |
| c.-Condition Introduced by is |  |  |  |
| 1.-Imperfect in Protasis. |  | 2.-Perfect in Protasis. |  |
| Passage. | Apodosis. Class. | Passage. | Apodosis. Class. |
| 1 Sam. xx. 10. | Imperfect, 2 | Exod. ii. 36. | Imperfect, 2 |
| Ezek. $\begin{aligned} & \text { xiv. } 17 . \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { xiv. } 19 .\end{aligned}$ | Imperfect, 2 | Levit. xxv. 49. | Mod. Perfect, |


(d) 1.-With Imperfect in Protasis. 2.-With $\mathcal{f} u$ ssive or Imperative in Protasis.
Passage. Apodosis. Class. Passage. Apodosis. Class.
*Gen. xvii. 18. 2d clause om'd, 4 l. 15. Imperfect, 4 Deut. xxxii. 27. Imperfect, 4 * $\mathfrak{F} o b$ vi.2. 2d clause om'd, 4 Ezek. xiv. 15. Imperfect, 4

Note.-Perhaps I Sam. xx. 14 belongs here, reading iל! for sit?
*Gen. xxiii. 13. 2d clause om'd, 4
*xxx. 34. 2d clause om'd, 4

* In these and similar passages ib has come to be equal to a particle of wishing=utinam. Perhaps verb in Job vi. 2 should be considered a $\mathfrak{F} u$ ssive.
3.-With Perfect in Protasis after iל or its compounds.

| assage. | apodosis. Class. | Passage. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| en. $x$ | Per | sther | Perfect ( ${ }^{\text {Pren }}$ ), |
| iii. |  |  |  |
|  | omitted, | Ps. xciv. 17. | Perfect, 4 |
| xi. | 2d clause om'd, 4 | crix. 23. | Mod. Im |
| ut. xxxii. ${ }^{2} 9$ | Imperfect, 4 | xix. 92 | Perfect, |
| osh. vii. 7. | 2d clause om'd, | xiv. | Perfect, |
| xiii. |  | l. vi. | erft |
| xiv. 18. | Perfect, | a/h |  |
| c. xiv. | Verb omi | xlviii. | Mod. Imperfect,4 |
| ii. | Pr | lxiii. | dause om' |
| ii. 27 |  | ii. | dod Perfect |

4.-With Participle in Protasis. 5.-With verb omitted in Protasis.

| Passage. | Apodosis. | Passage. | apo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iii. | (q'ri) Impft., 4 | Num. ${ }^{\text {xx }}$ | Perfect (יֶׁ) |
| 2 Kings iii. 14. | Imperfect (after |  |  |
|  | Eşneg.), 4 |  |  |
| lxxxi. | Imperfect, | $70 b$ xvi. | mperfect (יָּ) 4 |

Note. -In Num. xxii. 33 we have ${ }^{2}$, fect in both clauses. It is probably a textual error for the latter word, Ct. Ewald, Lehrbuch, 805. Note 2.

GENERAL SUMMARY.

| Verbal Form. | $\underset{\substack{\mathrm{No} \\ \text { Instance of }}}{\substack{\text { of } \\ \hline}}$ | I. | II. | III. | IV. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imperfect in Protasis after $\mathbf{~ C N}$ | 243 | 17 | 201 | 16 | 8 |
| "דִּ | 182 | 21 | 154 | 7 | $\bigcirc$ |
| " " " is | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| " " " ib | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| " " ، | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| " " alone, | 33 | 9 | - 18 | 5 | I |
| Total No. instances of Imperfect, | 473 | 47 | 383 | 28 | 14 |
| Perfect in Protasis after EN | 109 | 12 | 82 | 8 | 7 |
| כִי | 35 | 19 | 14 | 1 | 1 |
| " " " is | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| " " " ib | 24 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 24 |
| " " ، , | 11 | 3 | 6 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 |
| " alone, | 53 | 26 | 15 | 6 | 6 |
| Total No. instances of Perfect, | 234 | 60 | 119 | 15 | 40 |
| Participle in Protasis after E¢ | 21 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| " ${ }^{\text {T }}$ | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | - |
| " ${ }^{\prime}$ | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| " ، " | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | I | 0 |
| " ، alone, | 10 | 6 | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 |
| Total No.instances of Participle, | 39 | 7 | 26 | I | 5 |


| Verbal Form. | $\underset{\substack{\text { No. of } \\ \text { instancos. }}}{ }$ | I. | II. | III. | IV. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Verb omitted in Protasis after | 161 | 48 | 108 | 2 | 3 |
| " 9 ִִי | 6 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| " " ، " ו | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| " ، " ، , | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| Total No. instances, | 178 | 49 | II9 | 3 | 4 |
| In Asseverations and Denials with <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imperfect in Protasis, | 59 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 |
| Perfect in Protasis, | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Verb omitted in Protasis, | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| שׂי. in Protasis, | 4 | 0 | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Total No. instances, | 77 | $\bigcirc$ | 77 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Infinitive in Protasis after $\mathbb{E}$ | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| alone, | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Total No. instances, | 9 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Voluntative in Protasis after | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - |
| " "، alone, | 71 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 1 |
| Total No. instances, | 75 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 3 |
| Modified Perfect in Protasis, | 38 | 4 | 31 | 3 | 0 |
| Modified Imperfect in Protasis, | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Total No. instances, | 42 | 6 | 32 | 4 | - |

RECAPITULATION.


An examination of the forms used in the Apodosis will give us the following Summary:

Verbal Forms in Apodosis.

| Verbal Forms. |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { No, of } \\ \text { Instances }}}{\text { a }}$ | I. | II. | III. | IV. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imperfect in Apodosis, | - - | 381 | 33 | 277 | 37 | 32 |
| Perfect | - - | 101 | 62 | 17 | 4 | 19 |
| Participle | - - | 21 | 3 | 16 | I | I |
| Apodosis omitted " | - - | 108 | 2 | 95 | - | 9 |
| Verb omitted " | - - | 93 | 44 | 42 | 4 | 4 |
| Voluntative | - - | 167 | $\bigcirc$ | 166 | - | I |
| Mod. Perfect " | - - | 233 | 10 | 218 | 5 | I |
| Mod. Imperfect " | - - | 22 | 19 | 1 | I | 2 |
| Total No. of instances, | - - | 1,126 | 173 | 832 | 52 | 69 |

Dr. Pick's paper will be found in the December proceedings.


[^0]:    *A better reading in this passage would be : לְ: "And would that now my brother Amnon," \&c.

[^1]:    * Cohortative in Protasis

