

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

Cambridge Greek Testament for Schools and Colleges

THE PASTORAL EPISTLES.

Aondon: C. J. CLAY AND SONS, CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE, AVE MARIA LANE.

Glasgow: 263, ARGYLE STREET.



Leipig: F. A. BROCKHAUS. Aew York: THE MACMILLAN COMPANY. Bombay: E. SEYMOUR HALE.

Cambridge Greek Testament for Schools and Colleges

GENERAL EDITOR:—J. ARMITAGE ROBINSON D.D.
CANON OF WESTMINSTER

THE

PASTORAL EPISTLES

WITH INTRODUCTION AND NOTES

BY

J. H. BERNARD D.D.

FELLOW OF TRINITY COLLEGE AND
ARCHBISHOP KING'S LECTURER IN DIVINITY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF DUDLIN

EDITED FOR THE SYNDICS OF THE UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS

1899

[All Rights reserved.]

Cambridge:

PRINTED BY J. & C. F. CLAY, AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

PREFACE

BY THE GENERAL EDITOR.

The Greek Text upon which the Commentaries in this Series are based has been formed on the following principles: Wherever the texts of Tischendorf and Tregelles agree, their readings are followed: wherever they differ from each other, but neither of them agrees with the Received Text as printed by Scrivener, the consensus of Lachmann with either is taken in preference to the Received Text: in all other cases the Received Text as printed by Scrivener is followed. It must be added, however, that in the Gospels those alternative readings of Tregelles, which subsequently proved to have the support of the Sinaitic Codex, have been considered as of the same authority as readings which Tregelles has adopted in his text.

In the Commentaries an endeavour has been made to explain the uses of words and the methods of construction, as well as to give substantial aid to the student in the interpretation and illustration of the text.

The General Editor does not hold himself responsible except in the most general sense for the statements made and the interpretations offered by the various contributors to this Series. He has not felt that it would be right for him to place any check upon the expression of individual opinion, unless at any point matter were introduced which seemed to be out of harmony with the character and scope of the Series.

J. ARMITAGE ROBINSON.

CHRIST'S COLLEGE, February, 1893.

EDITOR'S PREFACE.

In the Notes and Introduction to this edition of the Pastoral Epistles I have thought it desirable to state the opinious which have been adopted after consideration, without, as a rule, giving references to the views of the many commentators who have travelled over the same ground. It is therefore necessary now to express my chief obligations. The problems of date and authorship are handled most fully by Holtzmann, whose edition is indispensable to the student who desires to learn the difficulties in the way of accepting St Paul as the writer. These are also stated, with brevity and candour, in Jülicher's Einleitung in das N.T. The Introductions of Dr Salmon and Dr Zahn should be read on the other side; and the chapter on the Pastoral Epistles in Dr Hort's Judaistic Christianity should not be overlooked. A more complete and elaborate statement of the conservative case is given by Weiss, whose edition of these Epistles is, on the whole, the best now accessible, whether for criticism or for exegesis. Of modern English commentaries Bishop Ellicott's is the most exact and trustworthy, in its detailed exposition of the text. Among the Patristic writers, St Chrysostom and St Jerome will often be found instructive; and Bengel's *Gnomon* can never be safely neglected.

I have to thank my friends, Dr Gwynn, and the General Editor, for their great kindness in reading the proofs and for much valuable criticism.

J. H. BERNARD.

21st August, 1899.

CONTENTS.

1.	Introduction.	110155
	Chapter I. The Literary History of the Pastoral Epistles	xi — x xi
	Chapter II. The Place of the Pastoral Epistles in St Paul's Life	xxi—xxxiv
	Chapter III. The Style and Vocabulary of the Pastoral Epistles	xxxv—xlv
	Chapter IV The Heresies contemplated in the Pastoral Epistles	xlv—lvi
	Chapter V. Bishops and Presbyters in the Primitive Church	lvilxxv
	Chapter VI. The Greek Text	lxxv—lxxviii
П.	Text	1-18
ш.	Notes	19183
IV.	INDEX GRAECITATIS	184192

INTRODUCTION.

CHAPTER I.

THE LITERARY HISTORY OF THE PASTORAL EPISTLES.

The interpretation of the several books of the Bible is necessarily affected in many directions by the view which is taken of their author and their date. In the case of some of St Paul's Epistles, those for instance addressed to the Romans, Galatians, and Corinthians, there is such a general consensus of opinion among scholars that they proceed from St Paul, that it is not necessary for an editor to spend much space in elaborating the proofs of what everyone who reads his commentary is likely to admit.

In the case of other Epistles, however, questions of date and authorship become of primary importance; the data may be uncertain, the phenomena which the documents present may have received widely different explanations; and it thus becomes a duty to present in detail all the evidence which is available. The Epistles to Timothy and Titus offer peculiar difficulties in these respects. They have been reckoned by the Church as canonical books, ever since the idea of a Canon of the N.T. came into clear consciousness; and they claim for themselves to have been written by St Paul, the Apostle of the Gentiles. But for various reasons which shall be explained as we proceed, serious difficulty has been felt by many in accepting the Pauline authorship; and critics are not in agreement as to whether we

are justified in believing them to have been written in the Apostolic age.

We have to consider, then, at the outset, the problem of the date and authorship of the Pastoral Epistles. The distribution of the argument in this Introduction will be as follows. We shall summarise (Chap. I.) the external evidence as to the diffusion of these letters in the early Christian communities, and consider how far this evidence justifies us in placing their origin in the apostolic period. We go on (Chap. II.) to examine the place which the Epistles must occupy in St Paul's life, if they are to be regarded as the work of that Apostle. The arguments which will here engage our attention will be mainly those derived from the historical notices of events and individuals to be found in the Epistles themselves. Chapter III. is devoted to a discussion of the peculiar vocabulary, phraseology and style of these letters, which admittedly vary much in this respect from the Pauline letters universally conceded to be genuine. Chapter IV. treats of the heresies which the writer had in his mind. In Chapter V. an attempt is made to examine the nature of the ecclesiastical organisation which the Pastoral Epistles reveal to us as existing at the time of their composition.

To treat these large subjects exhaustively would require a treatise; and only a brief sketch can be attempted here. But the main drift of the argument will be to shew that external and internal evidence conspire to place the Epistles to Timothy and Titus in a very early period of the history of the Christian Society, and that, this being established, there is no good reason for denying that their author was the Apostle whose name they bear.

It will be convenient to remark in this place that these three epistles are so closely linked together in thought, in phraseology, and in the historical situation which they presuppose, that they must be counted as having all come into being within a very few years of each other. The general consent of critics allows that they stand or fall together; and it is therefore not always necessary to distinguish the indications of the existence of one from those of the existence of another. We may speak generally,

without loss of accuracy, of evidences of knowledge of the Pastoral Epistles if we come upon reminiscences of any one of them. And so, in investigating their literary history, we consider them not separately, but together.

Let us take, for clearness' sake, the testimony of the East before we consider that of the West. In either case, we may begin our enquiry about the year 180 of our era, after which date there was no controversy as to the reception and authority of our letters. We shall then work backwards as far as we can.

§ I. The testimony of the East.

- (i) Theophilus, Bishop of Antioch circa 181, may be our first witness. Two passages from his apologetic treatise ad Autolycum present certain traces of our letters:—
- (a) Ad Autol. iii. 14 p. 389 ξτι μήν και περί τοῦ ὑποτάσσεσθαι ἀρχαῖς και ἐξουσίαις, καὶ εὕχεσθαι περὶ αὐτῶν, κελεύει ἡμᾶς θεῖος λόγος ὅπως ἡρεμον καὶ ἡσύχιον βίον διάγωμεν.

(b) Ad Autol. p. 95 δια ύδατος και λουτρού παλινγενεσίας πάντας τους προσιόντας τῆ άληθεία.

Tit. iii. 1 ύπομμνησκε αὐτούς ἀρχαις εξουσίαις ὑποτάσσεσθαι.

1 Tim. ii. 2 υπέρ βασιλέων και πάντων των έν υπεροχή όντων, το ήρεμον και ήσύχιον βίον διάγωμεν.

Tit. iii. 5 δια λουτρού παλινγενεσίας και άνακαινώσεως πνεύματος άγίου.

It will be observed that Theophilus not only quotes the Pastorals, but speaks of them as proceeding from 'the Divine Word.'

- (ii) An entirely different kind of witness may next be brought into court. The apocryphal Acts of Paul and Thecla, a romance setting forth certain legendary adventures of St Paul, is believed by the best authorities to have been originated in Asia Minor, and to have received its present form not later than 170 A.D.¹ Now these Acta depend for many details of their
- ¹ Some writers, e.g. Ramsay (Church in the Roman Empire p. 381) hold that the nucleus of the book was a first century legend, which was added to between 130 and 150. More recent investigations have disclosed the fact that the Acts of Paul and Thecla is only one chapter of a much larger work, the Acts of Paul, which is classed among the antilegomena by Eusebius (H. E. III. 25).

story upon 2 Tim. The romancer borrows phrases (λέγει οδτος ἀνάστασιν γενέσθαι ὅτι ἥδη γέγονεν ἐφ οἶς ἔχομεν τέκνοις § 14; cp. 2 Tim. ii. 18), and names (Demas, Hermogenes, Onesiphorus) from that Epistle, and works them up into his tale. Whether these details were part of the original document, or were added by a reviser, is uncertain; but in any case we have here another indication of the circulation of 2 Tim. in Asia before the year 170.

- (iii) Hegesippus, the earliest Church historian, may be cited next as an Eastern witness; for, though he travelled to Rome and to Corinth, his home was in Palestine. The date of his work, which we only know from the citations in Eusebius, was probably about 170. In the following extract Eusebius seems to be incorporating the actual words of Hegesippus.
- ap. Eus. H. E. III. 32 διά τής των έτεροδιδασκάλων άπάτης, οξ και, άτε μηδενός έτι των άποστόλων λειπομένου, γυμνή λοιπόν ήδη κεφαλή τής της άληθείας κηρύγματι την ψευδώνυμον γνώσιν αντικηρύττειν έπεχείρουν.
- 1 Tim. i. 3 Γνα παραγγείλης τισίν μη έτεροδιδασκαλείν. Cp. 1 Tim. vi. 3.
- 1 Tim. vi. 20 αντιθέσεις τῆς ψευδωνύμου γνώσεως.

The references to the $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho o \delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa a \lambda o \iota$ and to their 'knowledge falsely so called' are unmistakeable.

- (iv) Justin Martyr (circa 146) has two or three allusions to the phraseology of our letters.
- (a) Dial. 7. 7 τὰ τῆς πλάνης πνεύματα καὶ δαιμόνια δοξολο-γοῦντα.

Dial. 35. 3 άπο των της πλάνης

πνευμάτων.

- (b) Dial. 47. 15 ή γάρ χρηστότης και ή φιλανθρωπία τοῦ θεοῦ.
- 1 Tim, iv. 1 προσέχοντες πνεύμασιν πλάνοις και διδασκαλίαις δαιμονίων.
- Τίτ. iii. 4 ὅτε δὲ ἡ χρηστότης καὶ ἡ φιλανθρωπία ἐπεφάνη τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ.
- (v) The letter to the Philippians by Polycarp, bishop of Smyrna (circa 117), betrays several times a familiarity with the thought and language of the Pastorals.
- (a) § 8 προσκαρτερώμεν τῆ 1 Tim. i. 1 ...καὶ Χριστοῦ ἐλπίδι ἡμών...ὅς ἐστιν Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦ τῆς ἐλπίδος ἡμών. Ἰησοῦς.

See note on 1 Tim. i. 1 below.

(b) § 12 Orate etiam pro regibus ... ut fructus vester manifestus sit in omnibus. [Fragment preserved only in Latin.]

(c) § 5 δμοίως διάκονοι άμεμπτοι...μή διάβολοι, μή δίλογοι,

άφιλάργυροι...

1 Tim. ii. 1, 2 παρακαλώ... ποιείσθαι δεήσεις... ύπερ βασιλέων. 1 Tim. iv. 15 ίνα σου ή προκοπή φανερά η πάσιν.

1 Tim. iii. 8 f. διακόνους...μη διλόγους μη αισχροκερδείς... γυναίκας ώσαύτως σεμνάς, μ**η διαβό**λους.

The directions about deacons in these two passages are much more closely parallel than even the above coincidences in language would suggest.

(d) § 4 άρχη δέ πάντων χαλεπῶν φιλαργυρία...είδότες οῦν ὅτι ούδὲν εἰσηνέγκαμεν εἰς τὸν κόσμον άλλ' οὐδὲ έξενεγκεῖν τι έχομεν.

1 Tim. vi. 10...βίζα γάρ πάντων των κακών έστιν ή φιλαργυρία.

1 Tim. vi. 7 οὐδὲν γὰρ εἰσηνένκαμεν είς τὸν κόσμον, ὅτι οὐδὲ έξενεγκείν τι δυνάμεθα.

This is an unmistakeable quotation.

(e) § 5 καλ συνβασιλεύσομεν αὐτῷ είγε πιστεύομεν.

2 Tim. ii. 12 εί ὑπομένομεν καὶ συνβασιλεύσομεν.

It is just possible that in this passage Polycarp may be quoting, not from 2 Tim. ii. 12, but from the hymn there quoted by St Paul See note in loc.

(f) § 9 οὐ γὰρ τὸν νῦν ἡγάπησαν αίωνα.

2 Tim. iv. 10 Δημᾶς γάρ με έγκατέλιπεν άγαπήσας τὸν νῦν αίῶνα.

Note that Polycarp generally uses the phrase δ αλών οδτος, not ຽ ນູນິນ ຕູໃໝ່ນ.

- (vi) We turn from Polycarp, the disciple of St John, to Ignatius, Bishop of Antioch (circa 116), of whose letters (in the shorter Greek recension) Lightfoot's investigations may be taken as having established the genuineness. There is no long quotation from the Pastorals in Ignatius as there is in Polycarp. But the coincidences in phraseology can hardly be accidental.
- (a) ad Magn. 11 &c. 'Inσοῦ Χριστοῦ τῆς ἐλπίδος ἡμῶν.

1 Tim. i. 1 Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ της έλπίδος ήμων.

So also ad Trall. inser, and 2.

- (b) ad Polyc. 6 deferere $\tilde{\psi}$ στρατεύεσθε.
- (c) ad Eph. 2 και Κρόκος... κατὰ πάντα με ἀνέπαυσεν ὡς και αὐτὸν ὁ Πατὴρ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ ἀναψύξαι.
- (d) ad Magn. 8 μη πλανᾶσθε ταις έτεροδοξίαις μηδε μυθεύμασιν τοις παλαιοις άνωφελέσιν οῦσιν ε ε γλρ μέχρι νῦν κατά 'Ιουδαισμὸν ζώμεν κ.τ.λ.
- (e) ad Magn. 3 καὶ ὑμῖν δὲ πρέπει μὴ συγχρᾶσθαι τῆ ἡλικία τοῦ ἐπισκόπου.

- 2 Tim. ii. 4 οὐδεὶς στρατενόμενος ἐμπλέκεται ταῖς τοῦ βίου πραγματίαις, ἵνα τῷ στρατολογήσαντι ἀρέση.
- 2 Tim. i. 16 δώη έλεος ὁ Κύριος τῷ 'Ονησιφόρου οἰκῳ, ὅτι πολλάκις με ἀνεθυξεν.
- Tim. iv. 7 γραώδεις μύθους παραιτοῦ.
- Τit. iii, 9 μωράς δὲ ζητήσεις... περιΙστασο είσιν γάρ **ἀνωφελείς.**
- Tit. i. 14 μη προσέχοντες 'Ιουδαϊκοις μύθοις.
- 1 Tim, iv. 12 μηδείς σου της νεότητος καταφρονείτω.
- (f) We have some peculiar words in Ignatius only found elsewhere in the Pastoral Epistles, e.g. ἐτεροδιδασκαλεῖν (ad Polyc. 3; cp. 1 Tim. i. 3, vi. 3); καλοδιδασκαλία (ad Philad. 2; cp. Tit. ii. 3). Again κατάστημα (ad Trall. 3) is only found in N.T. at Tit. ii. 3, and πραϋπάθεια (ad Trall. 8) only at 1 Tim. vi. 11; and αlχμαλωτίζειν is used by Ignatius of the machinations of heretical teachers (ad Philad. 2, Eph. 17) as it is at 2 Tim. iii. 6.

There is thus a continuous testimony to the circulation of the Pastoral Epistles in the East as far back as the year 116.

§ II. The testimony of the West.

- (i) We begin with Irenaeus, bishop of Lyons (cir. 180), the disciple of Polycarp. The witness of his treatise contra Haereses is express and frequent to the circulation, the authority, and the Pauline authorship of the Pastoral Letters. The passages are familiar and need not be quoted. Cp. Pref. with 1 Tim. i. 4; IV. 16. 3 with 1 Tim. i. 9; II. 14. 7 with 1 Tim. vi. 20; III. 14. 1 with 2 Tim. iv. 9—11; III. 2. 3 with 2 Tim. iv. 21; and I. 16. 3 with Tit. iii. 10. In the last-mentioned passage it is noteworthy that Irenaeus is appealing to the Epistle to Titus as written by St Paul, against heretics, who would certainly have denied the authority of the words quoted if they could have produced reasons for doing so.
- (ii) Eusebius has preserved a remarkable Letter of the Churches of Vienne and Lyons to their brethren in Asia, written

about the year 180 to acquaint them with the details of the great persecution in which they had recently lost their venerable bishop. Pothinus, the predecessor of Irenaeus, was martyred in the year 177, when he was ninety years of age. The witness of the Church over which he presided to the use of any N.T. book thus brings us a long way back into the second century. And the following phrases in the Letter betray a knowledge of the First Epistle to Timothy.

(a) Eus. H. E. V. i. 17 "Ατταλον ... στῦλον και έδραίωμα τῶν ἐνταῦθα άεὶ γεγονότα.

(b) ap. Eus. H. E. v. iii. 2 'Αλκιβιάδης μὴ χρώμενος τοῖς κτίσμασι τοῦ θεοῦ... π εισθεὶς δὲ... πάντων ανέδην μετελάμβανε και ηύχαρίστει $τ\hat{\varphi}$ $\theta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\varphi}$.

(c) ap. Eus. H. E. v. i. 30 ds ύπὸ τῶν στρατιωτῶν ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα κομισθείς ... ἐπιβοήσεις παντοίας ποιουμένων, ως αυτοῦ όντος τοῦ Χριστού, άπεδίδου την καλην μαρτυρίαν.

1 Tim. iii. 15 ... ήτις ἐστὶν έκκλησία θεοῦ ζώντος, **στύλος καί** έδραίωμα της άληθείας.

1 Tim. iv. 3, 4 ...α ό θεὸς ἔκτισεν είς μετάλημψιν μετά εύχαρισ-

1 Tim. vi. 13 Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ μαρτυρήσαντος έπὶ Ποντίου Πειλάτου την καλην όμολογίαν. (The vg. is qui testimonium reddidit.)

Dr Robinson has argued that the text of this Letter of the Churches of Vienne and Lyons betrays a familiarity with a Latin version of the N.T., rather than the Greek original 1. If this could be regarded as established (and his arguments seem to me to be well founded), it would prove that by the year 180 the Pastoral Letters were so firmly received as canonical that a Latin version of them had been made and was current in Gaul.

(iii) Contemporary with Irenaeus and the Letter from Vienne and Lyons is the work of Athenagoras of Athens (cir. 176); there is at least one remarkable parallel to a phrase in 1 Tim.

Legat, pro Christianis 16 p. 291 πάντα γάρ ὁ θεός έστιν αὐτὸς αὐτῷ άθανασίαν φῶς οἰκῶν ἀπρόσυτον. φῶς ἀπρόσιτον.

1 Tim. vi. 16 ὁ μόνος ἔχων

Note that the word ἀπρόσιτος does not occur again in the Greek Bible, although it is used by Philo and Plutarch.

The Passion of St Perpetua, p. 99.

- (iv) Our next Western witness, Heracleon, must be placed a few years earlier (cir. 165); one phrase seems to recall 2 Tim.
- ap. Clem. Alex. Strom. 1v. 9 διόπερ dρνήσασθαιξαυτόν οὐδέποτε δύναται.

2 Tim. ii. 13 αρνήσασθαι γὰρ έαυτὸν οὐ δύναται.

See note below in loc.

(v) In the year 140 we find the heretic Marcion at Rome excluding the Pastoral Epistles from his Apostolicon, possibly on the ground (though this can be no more than conjecture) that they were only private letters and not on a par with formal declarations of doctrine. But whatever Marcion's reason for the omission, Tertullian who is our earliest authority for the fact cites it as a novel feature in his heretical teaching. "Miror tamen cum ad unum hominem literas factas receperit, quod ad Timotheum duas et unam ad Titum, de ecclesiastico statu compositas, recusaverit" are Tertullian's words (adv. Marc. v. 21). Thus Marcion may be counted as an unwilling witness to the traditional place which the Epistles to Timothy and Titus occupied in orthodox circles at Rome about the year 140.

The parallels to our letters in the 'Epistle to Diognetus' (a composite work of the second century) are not uninteresting (op. e.g. §§ iv. xi. with 1 Tim. iii. 16 and § ix. with Tit. iii. 4), but inasmuch as the date of the piece is somewhat uncertain, and as the parallels are not verbally exact, we do not press them

- (vi) The writer of the ancient homily which used to be called the Second Epistle of Clement, and which is a Western document composed not later than 140, was certainly familiar with the Pastorals.
- (a) § 20 τῷ μόνῳ θεῷ ἀοράτῳ, π ατρὶ τῆς ἀληθείας κ.τ.λ.
- (b) § 7 οὐ πάντες στεφανοῦνται, εἰ μὴ οἱ πολλὰ κοπιάσαντες καὶ καλῶς ἀγωνισάμενοι.
- (c) § 8 τηρήσατε την σάρκα άγνην καὶ την σφραγίδα άσπιλον ζυα την αιώνιον ζωήν απολάβωμεν.
- 1 Tim. i. 17 τῷ δὲ βασιλεῖ τῶν αἰώνων, ἀφθάρτω, ἀοράτω μόνω θεῷ κ.τ.λ.
- 1 Tim. iv. 10 εἰς τοῦτο γὰρ κοπιῶμεν καὶ ἀγωνιζόμεθα, ὅτι κ.τ.λ.
- 1 Tim. vi. 14 τηρήσαι σε την έντολην άσπιλον άνεπίλημπτον κ.τ.λ.
- 1 Tim. vi. 19 ίνα ἐπιλάβωνται τής όντως ζωής.

The whole of §§ 6, 7, 8 recalls the language and thought of 1 Tim. vi. In addition to the above parallels there are noteworthy verbal coincidences, κοσμικαὶ ἐπιθυμίαι (§ 17; cp. Tit. ii. 12); κακοπαθεῖν (§ 19; cp. 2 Tim. i. 8, ii. 3, 9; iv. 5); and the word ἐπιφάνεια (§ 11) used as a synonym for the Parousia of Christ, a usage not found in the N.T. outside the Pastorals (see note on 1 Tim. vi. 14 below).

- (vii) We may also with some degree of confidence cite Clement of Rome as a writer who was familiar with the phraseology of the Pastorals.
- (a) § 2 Etolpol els $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ Epyov $\hat{a} \gamma a \theta \delta \nu$.
- (b) § 29 προσέλθωμεν οὖν αὐτῷ ἐν ὁσιότητι ψυχῆς, ἀγνὰς καὶ ἀμιάντους χειρας αἰροντες πρὸς αὐτόν.
- (c) § 45 των ἐν καθαρᾳ συνειδήσει λατρευόντων τω παναιρέτω.
- (d) § 7 και ιδωμεν τι καλόν και τι τερπνόν και τι προσδεκτόν ενώπιον τοῦ ποιήσαντος ήμᾶς.

Tit. iii. 1 π pòs π âv ℓ pyov dya- θ òv ℓ τοίμους ℓ iva. Cp. 2 Tim. ii. 21, iii. 17.

1 Tim. ii. 8 βούλομαι οὖν προσεύχεσθαι τοὺς ἄνδρας...ἐπαίροντας
όσίους χεῖρας χωρίς ὁργῆς καὶ
διαλογισμοῦ.

2 Tim. i. 3 φ λατρεύω άπο προγόνων εν καθαρά συνειδήσει.

1 Tim, ii. 3 τοῦτο καλον και ἀπόδεκτον ἐνώπιον τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ.

We may also compare § 54 with 1 Tim. iii. 13, § 21 with 1 Tim. v. 21, § 32 with Tit. iii. 5, and the title $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$ $\hat{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$ alwhow (§ 61) with 1 Tim. i. 17 (but cp. Tobit xiii. 6, Rev. xv. 3).

Holtzmann explains these coincidences between Clement and the Pastorals to be due to 'the common Church atmosphere' in which they all originated; but it seems as if they were too close to admit of any other hypothesis save that Clement wrote with the language and thoughts of the Pastorals in his mind.

Holtzmann's explanation is sufficient, we think, of the parallels between the Pastorals and the Epistle of Barnabas, which occur for the most part in doctrinal phrases that may well have become stereotyped at a very early period. Thus we have (§ 7) μέλλων κρίνειν ζῶντας καὶ νεκρούς (cp. 2 Tim. iv. 1) and (§ 12) ἐν σαρκὶ Φανερωθείς (cp. 1 Tim. iii. 16); but that two writers both use these expressions does not by itself prove that one borrowed from the other. See notes on 1 Tim. iii. 16, v. 17, 2 Tim. iv. 1 below.

The conclusion which we derive from this survey of the literature of the period is that we find traces of the Pastoral Epistles in Gaul and Greece in 177, in Rome in 140 (certainly)—as far back as 95, if we accept Clement's testimony—and in Asia as early as 116. The remains of primitive Christian literature are so meagre for the first hundred years of the Church's life that we could hardly have expected à priori to have gathered testimonies from that period so numerous and so full to any book of the New Testament. And this attestation appears the more remarkable, both as to its range and its precision, if we consider the character of the letters under examination. They are not formal treatises addressed to Churches, like the Epistles to the Romans and the Galatians, but semi-private letters to individuals, providing counsel and guidance which to some extent would only be applicable in special circumstances. And yet we find that their language is already familiar to the Bishop of Smyrna, who was St John's pupil, so familiar that he naturally falls into its use when he is speaking of the qualifications of Christian ministers. No subsequent Pastoral letters thus imprinted themselves on the consciousness of the Church. Further, we observe that these Epistles claim to come from St Paul. There can be no mistake about that. Hence a writer who quotes from them as Polycarp does, indicates his belief in their apostolic authorship.

External evidence, such as has been under review, is the most trustworthy of all; for, although men may differ as to the internal evidence,—the tone, the temper,—of a document, they rarely differ as to the fact of its citation by a subsequent writer. And so it has been worth giving in detail.

Finally, a word must be said as to the additional emphasis that is given to the use of a New Testament Epistle when its words are used as authoritative or as familiar, not merely by individuals whose only claim to memory is that they have written books, but by bishops who represent the continuous tradition of their respective sees. Clement, Polycarp, Ignatius, are not single authorities. Their use of the Pastorals is not to be compared to the use by a literary man of our own day of a

phrase or an argument that he has seen somewhere, and that has caught his fancy. It bears witness to the belief of the primitive Christian communities at Rome, at Smyrna, at Antioch, that the Pastoral letters were, at the least, documents "profitable for teaching, for reproof, for correction, for instruction which is in righteousness." When speaking of early Christian literature it must always be remembered that, however fragmentary it be, it is the outcome of the continuous life of a society, a society which has been ever jealous of change, for from the beginning it has claimed to be in possession of the truth of God. And thus we must read and interpret the literature in the light of the common faith which lies behind it.

From our study then of the evidence of the early and wide diffusion of the Pastoral Epistles, we are forced to conclude, that, if not genuine relics of the Apostolic age, they must have been forged in St Paul's name and accepted on St Paul's authority all over the Christian world, within fifty years of St Paul's death—within twenty-five years if we accept the testimony of Clement of Rome. At any rate, the documentary evidence forces them back to the first century. We have next to consider how far their internal witness agrees with the recorded tradition of the Church, the claim that they make for themselves, that they were written by St Paul, the Apostle of the Gentiles.

CHAPTER II.

THE PLACE OF THE PASTORAL EPISTLES IN ST PAUL'S LIFE.

We have now considered the evidence which history gives us of the diffusion of the Epistles to Timothy and Titus in the primitive Christian communities; and we have learned, from the traces of these letters which are to be found in the fragmentary remains of early Christian literature, more especially in the letter of Polycarp of Smyrna, that they were in the possession of the Church at the very beginning of the second century.

This conclusion, it will be borne in mind, is entirely independent of their authorship. Whether they were written by St Paul or not, at all events they were current in Christian circles, and were accepted as authoritative, within fifty years of his death.

We now proceed to interrogate the letters themselves, that we may determine how far their internal character corresponds with the early date that history demands for them; and we begin with the enquiry, as to how far they agree with what we know or can surmise of the facts of St Paul's life. Since they claim St Paul as their author, it is natural to expect that they will connect themselves with his troubled career. What then do they tell us about the circumstances of their composition, and about the history of the Apostle of the Gentiles?

Our chief authority for St Paul's life is, of course, the book of the Acts of the Apostles; but that book does not give us any account of St Paul's death. It brings him to Rome where he has appealed to the Emperor Nero; and it leaves him there, in custody, it is true, but yet permitted in his own hired house to enjoy the society of his friends and acquaintances. Whatever be the reason of his silence, St Luke does not tell us what happened as the result of that hazardous appeal. As far as St Luke's narrative is concerned, St Paul's subsequent history is a blank. We could not tell from the Acts whether that imprisonment in Rome was ended by death, or whether the great prisoner was released from his bonds and again permitted to pursue his missionary labours. The opinion on the subject most widely held among scholars is that the Epistles to the Philippians, Colossians, Ephesians and Philemon, were written during the period of St Paul's life at Rome of which St Luke gives us a glimpse in the closing verses of the Acts; just as it is agreed that the Epistles to the Churches of Thessalonica, Corinth, Galatia and Rome were written on previous missionary journeys. The question that comes before us now is: At what period of St Paul's life do the Pastoral Epistles claim to have been written? Is it when he was on his early missionary travels, or when he was in Rome expecting daily the issue of his appeal to the Emperor, or is it at a later period of his life of which we

have no information from St Luke? We do not assume at this stage that they were written by St Paul; but we ask, At what period of his life do they profess to have been written, and is there any inherent difficulty as to the period which they claim for themselves?

Taking up the question in this form, we are soon forced to the conclusion that they cannot be fitted into St Paul's life as recorded in the Acts. Let us first examine the Second Epistle to Timothy. This letter might seem at first sight to be suitably placed in the period covered by the closing verses of St Luke's account, for the place of writing is plainly Rome, where the Apostle represents himself as calmly awaiting his martyrdom. He has finished his course; he has kept the faith; henceforth is laid up for him the crown of righteousness (2 Tim. iv. 7, 8). But a closer inspection reveals to us that the allusions to individuals and events in the Epistle do not harmonise with such an hypothesis. For we know from the Acts that before St Paul sailed for Italy he was two years in custody in Palestine (xxiv. 27), and that then he was at least two years longer in Rome (xxviii. 30). And yet here is a letter which alludes to events as quite recent that could only have taken place when he was a free Take for instance the words, "Erastus abode at Corinth, but Trophimus I left at Miletus sick" (2 Tim. iv. 20). This would be a strange way of telling news now some years old. As a matter of fact, on the last occasion that St Paul was at Miletus before he sailed for Italy, Timothy was with him, and would have been fully cognisant of all that had happened (Acts xx. 4, 17). And further on that occasion Trophimus was not left at Miletus sick, for we find him immediately afterwards in Jerusalem at the time of St Paul's arrest. Indeed St Luke tells us that it was because the Jews saw Trophimus the Ephesian in the city with him, that they made a disturbance on the ground that Paul was defiling the Temple by introducing a Greek into the holy place (Acts xxi. 29). It is impossible to suppose that the little piece of information given at 2 Tim. iv. 20 referred to an event so long past. It was evidently a recent occurrence. A like observation may be made on 2 Tim. iv. 13, "The cloke that I left at Troas with Carpus, bring when thou comest, and the books, especially the parchments." It is unnatural to imagine that St Paul's concern for the baggage that he had left behind at Troas was drawn out by the recollection of a travelling cloak and some books that had been parted from him years before. We cannot, then, with any plausibility place 2 Timothy in the period of imprisonment mentioned by St Luke. It presupposes a recent period of freedom.

Similar difficulties beset all theories by which it is attempted to place I Tim. or Titus in the years preceding the voyage to Rome. "I exhorted thee to tarry at Ephesus when I was going into Macedonia," are the opening words of the first letter to Timothy, following immediately after the customary salutation (1 Tim. i. 3). When could this have been? There are only two occasions on which St Paul was at Ephesus mentioned in the Acts. (i) On the first of these visits, which was very brief, he was on his way to Caesarea (Acts xviii. 19-22), not to Macedonia, so that this cannot be the visit alluded to in 1 Tim. (ii) The other visit was of longer duration. It is described in Acts xix. and lasted for some three years. And the suggestion has been made (though it is not adopted now by critics of any school) that we may find room in this period for both 1 Tim, and Titus. It is the case that after the termination of this long residence in Ephesus, St Paul journeyed to Macedonia (Acts xx. 1); but then he did not leave Timothy behind him. On the contrary he had sent Timothy and Erastus over to Macedonia beforehand (Acts xix, 22). This journey, then, cannot be the one alluded to in 1 Tim. i. 3. In short, if we are to suppose that the first letter to Timothy alludes to an expedition which started from Ephesus during St Paul's long stay there, some years before he visited Rome, we must recognise that St Luke tells us nothing about it. The same may be said of the visit of St Paul to Crete which is mentioned in the Epistle to Titus (i. 5). Now it is not improbable that the Apostle may have made several excursions from Ephesus of small extent, during the period mentioned in Acts xix., of which no information is given us by St Luke. It is likely, for instance, that he paid a brief visit to

Corinth during the three years (2 Cor. xii. 14, xiii. 1). But it is not possible to suppose that great and important journeys like those indicated in the Pastorals could have been passed over by the historian. Indeed there would hardly be time for them. We should have to take out of the three years not only a visit to Macedonia, of which we have no other record, but what would necessarily be a prolonged residence in Crete, when the Church was being organised there, and (apparently) a winter at Nicopolis (Tit. iii. 12). Events such as these are not the kind of events that are omitted by St Luke, who is especially careful to tell of the beginnings of missionary enterprise in new places, and of the "confirmation" of distant Churches. And further, if we are to take all these journeys out of the three years at Ephesus, St Paul's statement "By the space of three years I ceased not to admonish every one [sc. the elders of Ephesus] night and day with tears" (Acts xx. 31), becomes an absurd exaggeration1.

Hence we come to the conclusion that the Pastoral Epistles do not fit into the life of St Paul as recorded in the Acts of the Apostles. They presuppose a period of activity subsequent to the imprisonment in Rome mentioned by St Luke; they indicate certain events in his life which are not mentioned and for which no room can be found in the Acts. 1 Tim. and Titus tell us of missionary enterprise of which we have no record in that book, so that they imply his release from his captivity; and 2 Tim., inasmuch as it places him again at Rome, daily expecting death, presupposes a second imprisonment there.

Up to this point there is practically no difference of opinion

1 Against a visit of St Paul to Ephesus after his release from imprisonment, it has been objected that his own words to the Ephesian elders at Miletus seem to preclude it: οἶδα δτι οὐκέτι δψεσθε το πρόσωπόν μου ὑμεῖς πάντες....Το this it may be said, (i) the language of Phil. i. 25 (τοῦτο πεπουθώς οἶδα) expresses a like confident belief that he will be released from his bonds, and that he is strongly hopeful (ελπίζω γλρ Philem. 22) of revisiting Colossae, so that in any case his own words do not forbid us to believe that he revisited the neighbourhood of Ephesus, which would be quite sufficient to justify the language of 1 Tim. i. 3. (ii) It is quite perverse to press the words of a presentiment, like that of Acts xx. 25, as if we certainly knew that they were justified by the issue. See Lightfoot, Biblical Essays, p. 422.

among scholars, whether they accept or deny the Pauline authorship of the Pastoral letters. The fact is admitted. Epistles to Timothy and Titus cannot be fitted into the history of the Acts. But from this admitted fact widely different inferences have been drawn. Those who accept the prima facie evidence which the Pastoral Epistles afford, urge that the assumptions underlying them, of St Paul's release from captivity and his second imprisonment, afford no solid ground for disputing their authenticity, inasmuch as the whole of St Paul's life is not told in the Acts. If we take them as they stand they give a quite conceivable though necessarily incomplete picture of the later history of St Paul. It would be impossible that they should receive direct verification from the Acts or from the other Pauline letters, for they deal with a later period than do those books. If they are consistent with themselves, that is all that can be demanded.

Those, on the other hand, who deny the Pauline authorship of the Pastorals begin by assuming that St Paul's first imprisonment at Rome under Nero was his only imprisonment, it being terminated by his death, and that therefore there is no time available in which we may place our letters. And it is insisted that, in the absence of additional testimony, the inferential witness of the Pastorals to a second imprisonment can only be doubtful. From this the transition is easy to the statement that such a second imprisonment is unhistorical. This is the judgment of many writers of repute, and must receive detailed examination. At the outset the criticism is obvious, that such a method of historical enquiry, if pressed to extremes, would result in discarding all documentary evidence for which direct corroboration could not be produced; and such procedure can hardly be called scientific. Unless there is some better reason for discarding the Pauline authorship of the Pastoral Epistles than the reason that they tell us of events in his life, which, without them, we should not know, they may still continue to rank as authentic. It is not a sound maxim of law that a single witness must necessarily mislead. But it is worth our while to ask. Is there any corroboration forthcoming of the testimony of the Pasteral letters to missionary labours of St Paul outside the period embraced by the Acts of the Apostles?

In the Epistle to the Philippians, written during his first sojourn in Rome, probably about the year 62 or 63 A.D., St Paul apparently anticipates that his captivity will not be prolonged much further. "I trust in the Lord," he says, "that I myself also shall come unto you shortly" (Phil. ii. 24). And, again, writing to Philemon under the same circumstances he bids him be ready to receive him: "Withal prepare me also a lodging, for I hope that through your prayers I shall be granted unto you" (Philemon 22). No doubt such anticipations might be falsified, but it is worth noticing that the tone of St Paul's letters at this period is quite different from the tone of a letter like 2 Tim., which breathes throughout the spirit of resignation to inevitable martyrdom.

It ought not to be forgotten that there was no reason for anticipating that the issue of an appeal, such as that which St Paul made to Nero when he was brought before Festus (Acts xxv. 11), would be unsuccessful or unfavourable to the prisoner. On hearing the facts King Agrippa said that, had St Paul not appealed to the Emperor, his liberty would probably have been assured (Acts xxvi. 32), so little was there that could fairly be counted against him. And, although such appeals to the imperial jurisdiction might involve protracted delays, we cannot but suppose that they were on the whole fairly conducted. The stern justice of the imperial policy was, in large measure, independent of the personal character of the reigning Caesar. And it must be remembered that, although matters were different ten or twenty years later, there would be no question of putting a citizen on his trial merely for being a Christian, at as early a date as that of St Paul's first imprisonment in Rome. St Luke represents him as abiding "two whole years in his own hired dwelling," receiving all that visited him, "teaching the things concerning the Lord Jesus Christ with all boldness, none forbidding him" (Acts xxviii. 31). The specification of "two years" seems to indicate that the historian is conscious that at the end of that time a change in St Paul's circumstances

was brought about, and this would most naturally be by his release.

St Paul at any rate did not despair of release; nay, at times he expected it. Was it granted to him? As we have seen, the New Testament does not tell us directly. The scanty fragments of information that survive must be gathered from subsequent Christian literature. Now in the letter of Clement, Bishop of Rome, addressed to the Corinthian Church about the year 95, there is a passage bearing on this question which is worthy of our careful attention. "Paul," says Clement (§ 5), "pointed out the prize of patient endurance. After that he had been seven times in bonds, had been driven into exile, had been stoned, had preached in the East and in the West, he won the noble renown which was the reward of his faith, having taught righteousness unto the whole world and having reached the bounds of the West; and when he had borne his testimony before the rulers, so he departed from the world and went unto the holy place." The passage is significant when the date and position of the writer are remembered. St Paul's long sojourn in Rome must have left an abiding impression on the members of the Church there, to whom indeed he had addressed before he saw them one of the most important and closely reasoned of his epistles. And we now find that the Bishop of Rome, writing less than thirty years after St Paul's death, seems to know of trials and adventures of the great Apostle of which we have no record in the New Testament. The phrase "seven times in bonds" may not perhaps be pressed; we do not know of precisely so many imprisonments of St Paul, but it is not impossible that Clement may be speaking in general terms, and the number seven serves well to round off a rhetorical sentence. But what is to be made of the phrase "having reached the boundary of the West" (ἐπὶ τὸ τέρμα τῆς δύσεως under whose dominion had now come Gaul, Spain, Britain. Rome itself, whatever it might seem to an Asiatic, was certainly not to a Roman the furthest Western limit of the Empire. Clement in this sentence distinctly implies that St Paul extended his missionary labours towards the western boundary of the then civilised world. But it is plain from the history in the Acts that he had not travelled further West than Rome before the year 63 a.p. His appeal to Nero was the occasion of his first visit to Italy. And thus it seems that Clement knew of some further journey of St Paul for which a place cannot be found in his life save by supposing that the result of the appeal was that he was set at liberty for a season. Clement's testimony is emphatic. He had the best opportunities for acquainting himself with the facts, and he mentions a journey of St Paul to the utmost limit of the West, not as if it were a little known expedition, but as if, on the contrary, it were one not needing fuller description in the summary that he is giving to the Corinthians of the labours of the Apostle of the Gentiles. Clement, then, is a witness for the release of St Paul from his first imprisonment.

What locality is meant by "the boundary of the West"? Whatever the phrase means, as we have seen, it must have reference to a place west of Italy. But we may bestow upon it a little closer scrutiny. The most natural meaning of the phrase τὸ τέρμα τῆς δύσεωs in the first century would be the Pillars of Hercules at the Straits of Gibraltar, as Lightfoot has shewn1 by quotations from Strabo and Velleius Paterculus: and if this be what Clement meant to convey, it indicates a visit of St Paul to Spain. Now we are not without evidence that such a visit was both planned and undertaken by St Paul. Writing to the Romans as far back as the year 58, he says (xv. 23, 24): "having these many years a desire to come unto you, whensoever I go unto Spain"; and again, "I will go on by you unto Spain" (xv. 28). There was, then, the intention in his mind to proceed, as soon as he could, from Rome to Spain, and there is every probability that if opportunity were given him he would carry out the intention.

There is, however, in Christian literature no direct assertion, for more than a century after St Paul's death, that such a visit to Spain was actually paid. Perhaps the earliest corroboration of Clement's hint is found in the interesting catalogue of books of

¹ St Clement of Rome, II. 30.

the New Testament, which is called, from the name of its discoverer, the Muratorian fragment on the Canon. The date of this is somewhere about the end of the second century; and the writer distinctly mentions a journey of Paul to Spain, although in a passage which is so corrupt that its meaning is not quite certain. Like Clement, the author of the Muratorian fragment was probably a Roman; so that he had whatever benefit might be derived from local traditions about St Paul.

As we go later, the story becomes quite common. Quite a number of fourth and fifth century writers assert that St Paul visited Spain; and a still larger number speak of his release from captivity and his subsequent missionary labours, although they do not mention the quarter of the world which witnessed them². Eusebius, for instance, one of the most trustworthy of these writers, introduces a probably erroneous interpretation of a verse in 2 Tim. by saying that "Report has it" ($\delta \lambda \delta \gamma \sigma s \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota$) that St Paul's martyrdom took place on his second visit to Rome. But it does not seem safe to place reliance on any of

¹ The passage in Zahn's transcript reads as follows:

acta autem omnium apostolorum sub uno libro scribta sunt lucas obtime theofile conprindit quia sub praesentia eius singula gerebantur sicuti et semote passionem petri euidentur declarat sed et profectionem pauli ab urbe ab spaniam proficiscentis.

Zahn emends this so that the meaning will be that while Luke tells in the Acts the things of which he was a personal witness, he does not tell of the Martyrdom of Peter or of Paul's journey from Rome to Spain. This seems to be the best interpretation of the passage. But, on any interpretation, it is plain that the Muratorian writer had heard of this Spanish visit. It is probable, indeed (see James, Apocrypha Anecdota, n. xi.), that this writer derives some of his information, including this very point, from the Leucian Actus Petri cum Simone, which begin with the profectio Pauli ab urbe in Spaniam, and end with the passio Petri. These Acts, in their present form, are of uncertain date; but the latest date which is possible for them is the second half of the second century. Thus the argument in the text is not affected, if Dr James' theory of the sources of the Muratorian fragment be adopted; for we are then certain that the Muratorian writer is not inventing but borrowing from an older (apocryphal) document.

² See, for references, Lightfoot, Biblical Essays, pp. 425 f.

these writers. There is no evidence that they were possessed of any information that we have not got; and most of them were quite capable of building up a superstructure of history on the verse in the Epistle to the Romans which speaks of St Paul's intention to go to Spain. It would be easy to infer loosely from this, and state as a fact, that he did go.

To sum up, then, the results to which we have been led so far. We can find no place for the Pastorals in the life of St Paul as recorded in the Acts. If they are genuine letters of his we must suppose that he was released from his first captivity at Rome, spent some years in missionary enterprise in the East and West, was again imprisoned at Rome, and met his death by martyrdom, the Second Epistle to Timothy containing the last words that he has for the Church. There is nothing in any way inconsistent with any known fact in this supposition; it was put forward as history by the most competent of Christian scholars in the fourth and fifth centuries, when formal commentaries on Scripture became common. That St Paul paid a visit to Spain is mentioned as early as the second century in the Actus Petri cum Simone. It is in the highest degree probable that if released he would have done so. But the only piece of early direct evidence, outside the Pastorals, which we have for a period of activity additional to that described by St Luke is the passage cited from Clement of Rome.

All attempts to reconstruct, from these scanty materials, the life of St Paul after the period covered by the *Acts* must be more or less conjectural. But it is necessary to indicate the leading points brought out by the evidence, imperfect as it is.

We learn from Phil. ii. 24 and Philemon 22, as has been said, that St Paul proposed to proceed to Macedonia and to the churches of Asia Minor after his release. We may therefore conclude that his steps were immediately turned eastward, and it is in no way improbable that he should have paid a short visit to Crete about the same time. If he sailed from Ephesus on his long intended voyage to Spain (Rom. xv. 24, 28), Crete would lie on his way. Of this voyage and visit we have no detailed knowledge whatever; although it probably lasted for some time.

If we are to translate $\Gamma a\lambda aria$ in 2 Tim. iv. 10 by 'Gaul' (see note in loc.), he may have extended his journey to the towns along the Gulf of Lion.

Our next fixed point is that presented in 1 Tim. i. 3. Paul is at Ephesus again; he proceeds to Macedonia (i. 3), and at the moment of writing he intends to return to Ephesus shortly (iii. 14). We do not know the *place* from which this Epistle was written, but that it was from some town in Macedonia seems probable ¹.

We then find him at Crete (Tit. i. 5), where he leaves Titus in charge of the infant Church. When he wrote this Epistle, he intended to pass the following winter (Tit. iii. 12) in Nicopolis (probably the city in Epirus of that name); and the letter was probably despatched from some of the towns on the coast of Asia Minor, which we hear of his visiting on his journey northward.

He is at Miletus (2 Tim. iv. 20) where he leaves Trophimus; he is at Troas (2 Tim. iv. 13) with Carpus; and then passes through Corinth (2 Tim. iv. 20). Not improbably he was arrested here and carried to Rome, his intention of going to Nicopolis being frustrated. Titus, who had been invited to Nicopolis (Tit. iii. 12), is with him at Rome for a time (2 Tim. iv. 10), but has left for Dalmatia when the Second Epistle to Timothy is written.

So far the Pastoral Epistles. Tradition adds one more fact, and that a kind of fact as to which its witness is hardly to be gainsaid, viz. in respect of the place and circumstances of St Paul's death. The concurrent testimony of many writers affirms that he ended his life by martyrdom at Rome, being beheaded under Nero. To Paul's martyrdom Clement (§ 5) is a witness, and, as Bishop of Rome, his testimony is peculiarly weighty. Tertullian² notes that the Apostle was beheaded, which is likely enough in itself, inasmuch as he was a Roman citizen, to whom the ignominious torture of crucifixion would

² De Praescr. Haer. 36.

¹ The 'subscriptions' to the Epistles are of no authority; see note on 1 Tim. vi. 21.

have been inappropriate. Dionysius of Corinth, writing about 170¹, says that Peter and Paul suffered at Rome "at the same time" (κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν καιρόν), a perplexing phrase, which however does not necessarily imply that they perished in the same year. And Gaius the Roman presbyter², who lived about the year 200, mentions the grave of Peter on the Vatican and of Paul on the Ostian Way³. The force of this testimony is not to be evaded. A Church in whose early progress St Paul was so deeply interested, to which he had addressed the most elaborate and closely reasoned of his letters, many of whose members had been his personal friends—it is impossible to suppose that the tradition of such a Church could be mistaken about an event which must have affected it so deeply.

As to the exact year of St Paul's martyrdom we have no such certainty. We have no express evidence until the 4th century; the 13th year of Nero is the date registered by Eusebius in his Chronicle⁴, and Jerome puts it a year later⁵. That is to say, according to these writers the date of St Paul's death is 67 or 68 A.D. There is nothing improbable in itself in this date. It is true that the great outbreak of persecution at Rome arose in July 64, being caused by the indignation directed against Christians as the supposed incendiaries; and the language of Clement of Rome (§ 5) suggests (though it does not explicitly assert) that it was in this persecution that Paul suffered. But it would be a grave mistake to suppose that persecution of Christians was not heard of again during Nero's reign. On the contrary it seems from that time forth to have been a standing matter, like the punishment of pirates or of brigands, to which Mommsen compares it. There would be nothing unusual or extraordinary in the execution of Christian believers at Rome in any year after that in which suspicion was directed to them on account of their alleged share

¹ ap. Euseb. *H. E.* II. 25.

³ The concluding chapter of the Acts of Paul (see above p. xiii note) relates the return of Paul to Rome, and his martyrdom by decapitation at the hands of Nero. This early apocryphon implies at least a release of the Apostle from his first Roman imprisonment, and a further missionary journey.

⁴ Chr. Ann. 2083. ⁵ Cat. Script, Eccl. s.v. Paulus.

in the destruction of the city. Thus St Paul's martyrdom is quite as credible in the year 68 as in the year 64, although it is only of the persecutions of the earlier year that we possess a full account.

According to the received chronology, then, St Paul's death took place in 68 A.D., his first Roman imprisonment being terminated by release in the year 63. And this leaves a period of five years of which the only record in the N.T. is that to be found in the Pastoral Epistles¹. The notices of St Paul's life found therein are in conflict with no known facts, and they are consistent with themselves. When we remember that admittedly apocryphal Pauline letters, such as the so-called Third Epistle to the Corinthians, invariably go astray when they deal with events and individuals, we find in this consistency a significant note of truth.

Further than this we cannot go with the evidence before us; but it is not too much to say that, if the only objections to the genuineness of the Pastoral Epistles were derived from the novelty of the information that they give as to the life of St Paul, there would be very little question as to their authorship. The really grave objections to them are based on their style and language, and these with kindred matters must now be considered in some detail.

¹ Mr Turner has recently discussed afresh the whole subject of the Chronology of St Paul's life (s.v. "Chronology" in Hastings' Bible Dictionary). He concludes that A.D. 62 is the true date of the end of the First Roman Captivity, and he accepts Clement's testimony to St Paul's martyrdom in the great persecution under Nero, which began in July 64 (Harnack takes the same view as to the date of the martyrdom). He thinks that Eusebius only worked backwards by means of the papal lists, and that he had no independent tradition for assigning the year 67 for the deaths of Peter and Paul. If Mr Turner's conclusions be adopted, we should have a period of two years only between the first and second imprisonments of Paul. This, however, would be a quite long enough period to contain the events recorded in the Pastorals and a journey to the West as well; and thus the argument in the text holds good.

CHAPTER III.

THE STYLE AND VOCABULARY OF THE PASTORAL EPISTLES.

Adopting the received chronology, we must place the Second Epistle to Timothy, if genuine, in the year 68; for that letter purports to be written from Rome while St Paul was waiting for his end. It contains his last words to his friend and disciple, his son in the faith. And the First Epistle to Timothy and the Epistle to Titus cannot have been written many months before, for they allude to long journeys undertaken after St Paul's release in 63, which had been brought to a successful issue before the time of writing. We can thus hardly date either of these letters before 67. The marked similarities indeed between our three epistles, in respect alike of subject-matter and of style, forbid us to place any long interval between their several dates.

The Pastoral Letters constitute then a distinct group, differing from the other groups of Pauline Letters in various particulars. The following are the main points which it will be necessary to bear in mind. (1) They are addressed to individuals, not, like all the other letters (save the brief note to Philemon), addressed to Churches. (2) They were written some (possibly four or five) years later than any other letter from St Paul's hand, which has come down to us. (3) These intervening years were years of varied experience and of travel in many lands. It was in this period that, according to Clement, St Paul visited "the utmost limit of the West." These facts help us to meet the most serious difficulty in the way of accepting the Pastoral Epistles as genuine. Nothing has yet appeared in the course of our investigation which gives fair cause for suspicion; but it must now be pointed out that our three letters differ widely in point of vocabulary and style from the other letters which bear the name of Paul.

I. In each group of St Paul's writings, as in the writings of most authors, we find a number of words which he does not use elsewhere; but this tendency to a different vocabulary is especially marked in the Epistles to Timothy and Titus. It has

been computed that the number of words in the Pastoral Epistles which occur nowhere else in the New Testament is 176, a proportionately larger number of $\tilde{a}\pi a \hat{\xi} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$ than we find in the earlier letters of St Paul. They are of all kinds; some, common Greek words, the use or neglect of which would depend largely on a man's peculiarities of style or the circumstances of his life; some, uncommon and curious, which might or might not come within his range of knowledge.

First, it is worth while to examine the value of such arguments in general. There are 77 hapax legomena in 1 Tim., 49 in 2 Tim., and 29 in Titus (all such words are indicated by an asterisk in the Index Graecitatis at the end of this volume). Mr Workman² has shewn that this means for Titus and 1 Tim. that there are 13 hapax legomena for every page of Westcott and Hort's edition, the figure for 2 Tim. being 11. In the case of the other epistles the figures become: Philippians 6.8, Colossians 6.3, 2 Corinthians 6.0, Ephesians 4.9, 1 Corinthians 4.6, Romans 4.3, 1 Thessalonians 4.2, Galatians 4.1, Philemon 4, 2 Thessalonians 3.6. Now this shews at once that the number of unusual words in the Pastorals is proportionately twice as great as in any other of St Paul's letters, and three times as great as in most of them. Upon this remarkable fact, Mr Workman makes two very interesting observations. (i) It appears from the figures that, speaking broadly, there are more hapax legomena in the later epistles than in the earlier ones, a circumstance which may be observed in the writings of many authors. As a man gains experience as a writer, his command over the language becomes greater, and his vocabulary is less limited to the words in common use among his associates. (ii) If a similar table of "relative frequency of hapax legomena" be drawn up for Shakespeare's plays, it is found that the frequency ranges from 3.4 in The Two Gentlemen of Verona to 10.4 in Hamlet, all

¹ These are the numbers resulting from an examination of the *Index Graecitatis* at the end of this volume. Holtzmann's computation is that there are $146~\tilde{a}\pi a\xi~\lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$; but he follows a somewhat different method of numeration from ours.

² Expository Times, June 1896, p. 418. His figures are slightly different from those given above, but the argument remains unaffected.

the other plays lying between these limits. This shews that any argument based on the mere fact that hapax legomena occur in very large numbers in any given work must be applied with great caution, and that, indeed, by itself such a fact is no disproof of traditional authorship. Indeed the untrustworthiness of such a line of argument when applied to the particular case of the Pastoral Epistles becomes plain when we reflect that if we push it a little further, we should be driven to conclude that each of these epistles is by a different hand, for each has its own list of hapax legomena. Yet nothing can be more certainly shewn by internal evidence than that these letters form a group written by the same person about the same time.

Secondly, of the 176 hapax legomena which occur in the Pastorals, it must be observed that no less than 78 are found in the LXX. These were, therefore, entirely within St Paul's sphere of knowledge. And of the rest while some are strange words, uncommon or unknown in Greek literature, others are cognate to words elsewhere used by St Paul (e.g. $\partial \nu \hat{a} \lambda v \sigma \iota s$, cp. Phil. i. 23; or $\sigma \hat{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$, cp. Rom. xii. 3), or are words which must have been familiar to any educated man of his time. Examples will be given, as they occur, in the notes on the text.

The character of this peculiar vocabulary will be better understood by studying it under the heads suggested by Lightfoot. We have, for instance, a new set of terms to describe moral and religious states; $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \beta \eta \lambda os$ (see on 1 Tim. i. 9), $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \beta \dot{\epsilon} \iota a$ and $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta s$ (see on 1 Tim. ii. 2), $\kappa a \lambda \dot{o} s$ which occurs with unusual frequency (see on 1 Tim. i. 8). Also a new set of terms relating to doctrine; $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda \dot{\iota} a$ which is far more frequent in these letters than generally in St Paul (see on 1 Tim. i. 10), $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \zeta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota s$, $\dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota s$, $\dot{\mu} \nu \partial \sigma s$, $\lambda o \gamma o \mu a \chi \dot{\iota} a$, $\pi a \rho a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$, and $\dot{\nu} \gamma \dot{\eta} \dot{s}$ and its cognates as applied to doctrine (see on 1 Tim. i. 10). In considering such phenomena as these, we must not forget that the subject-matter of our letters is quite different from that of any other letter of St Paul. Now a difference in subject presupposes a certain change in vocabulary. In speaking of the qualifications of a deacon or a

¹ Biblical Essays, pp. 401 ff.

presbyter, or of the organisation and discipline of the early Christian communities, the writer is moving in a different ecclesiastical atmosphere from that of the days when he had to contend with opponents who counted the Jewish synagogue the only doorway of the Church. He has done with Judaism. He now recognises the existence of a distinctively Christian theology and the possibility of its development whether for good or for evil. And such a conception requires the use of words which did not naturally come in his way before. Words after all are only the expression of thoughts; as new thoughts arise in the mind, a new vocabulary is demanded.

We come now to consider the traces of liturgical formulae which the Pastorals present, of expressions, that is, which have become stereotyped through usage. Such are the five Faithful Sayings (πιστὸς ὁ λόγος, see on 1 Tim. i. 15), and the rhythmical confession of faith introduced by the words "Great is the mystery of Godliness" (1 Tim. iii. 16). Such passages teach us that at that moment of the Church's life when the letters were written, there had grown up a doctrinal and religious phraseology which would come naturally to the lips of a Christian teacher addressing a well-instructed Christian disciple and friend. By this St Paul would be influenced as much as another man and it is not extravagant to suppose that as time went on he would acquire phrases and words from the use of the society with which he associated which did not form part of his earlier style. The hypothesis which we have found necessary on other grounds. viz. that he spent the years immediately succeeding his release from captivity in wanderings both East and West, renders it in the highest degree probable that his later style would be modified by his more extended experience.

Stress has sometimes been laid on new ways of speaking of

¹ Mr Workman points out, in the Essay already cited, that similar phenomena occur in Shakespeare. "Pulpit occurs six times in one scene in Julius Caesar, and never elsewhere, not even in the Roman plays; equivocator four times and equivocate twice in the same scene in Macbeth and never elsewhere; hovel five times in King Lear; mountaineer four times in Cymbeline; disposer four times in Troilus and Cressida; moon calf five times in the Tempest, and so forth."

God, which appear in these letters. He is called e.g. $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho$ (1 Tim. i. 1), $\mu\alpha\kappa\omega\rho\iota\sigma\sigma$ (1 Tim. i. 11), $\delta\nu\nu\alpha\sigma\tau\eta\sigma$ (1 Tim. vi. 15). But it is believed that the notes in loa will help to remove the difficulty in these instances; and the like may be said of the use of $\epsilon\pi\iota\phi\alpha\nu\alpha\iota\sigma$ for the $\pi\alpha\rho\sigma\nu\sigma\iota\sigma$ of Christ (see on 1 Tim. vi. 14 and cp. 2 Macc. xiv. 15)1.

The salutation with which 1 and 2 Tim. open, viz. χάρις, έλεος, εἰρήνη, is not in the form adopted in all the other epistles ascribed to Paul, which is simply χάρις καὶ εἰρήνη (see on Tim. i. 1). Here, it has been urged, is an indication of a different hand. Such an argument is singularly unconvincing. For all through these investigations we are bound to consider not only the difficulties in the way of ascribing the Pastoral Epistles to St Paul, but the difficulties in the way of counter-hypothesis, viz. that they were forged in his name. Now it is all but certain that a forger would be careful to preserve so obvious a note of Pauline authorship as the salutation common to all his letters. He would not venture to change the familiar "Grace and peace." The one man who would have no scruple in changing his ordinary mode of address would be St Paul himself. The reasons for the change must remain conjectural; but the change itself is rather in favour of the Pauline authorship than against it.

II. Not only are these traces of a new vocabulary important to notice, but we have also to take account of the absence from the Pastoral Epistles of a large number of familiar Pauline words

¹ It is easy to exaggerate the force of verbal coincidences, but a comparison of the vocabulary of the Pastoral Epistles and of the Second Book of the Maccabees shews striking resemblances. Thus God is called in both δυνάστης (1 Tim. vi. 15; 2 Macc. iii. 24, xii. 15), δεσπότης (1 Tim. vi. 1; 2 Macc. xv. 22), δ δίκαιος κριτής (2 Tim. iv. 8; 2 Macc. xii. 6); and the following words occur in St Paul's writings only in the Pastorals and in the LXX. only in 2 Macc. ic κατάγνωστος (Τit. ii. 8; 2 Macc. iv. 47), ἀνδροφόνος (1 Tim. i. 9; 2 Macc. ix. 28), δυθίξειν (1 Tim. vi. 9; 2 Macc. xii. 4), γυμνάζειν (1 Tim. iv. 8; 2 Macc. x. 15), ἐντευξις (1 Tim. ii. 1; 2 Macc. iv. 8), παρακολουθεῖν (1 Tim. iv. 6; 2 Macc. viii. 11), προδότης (2 Tim. iii. 4; 2 Macc. x. 13), σεμνότης (1 Tim. ii. 2; 2 Macc. xiv. 39), σωφροσύνη (1 Tim. ii. 9; 2 Macc. iv. 37), ὑπόμνησις (2 Tim. i. 5; 2 Macc. vi. 17), φιλανθρωπία (Tit. iii. 4; 2 Macc. vi. 22).

and phrases. Some of these, indeed, could not be expected here. ἀκροβυστία does not occur, but then the controversy about circumcision had gone by; διαθήκη does not occur, but the idea does not naturally enter into the argument of the Pastorals as it enters into Epistles like Romans and Galatians which deal with the burning questions about the permanent authority of the Jewish constitution. ἄδικος, ἀκαθαρσία, δικαίωμα, κατεργάζεσθαι, μείζων, μικρός, μωρία, παράδοσις, πείθειν, σῶμα, χαρίζεσθαι, χρηστός, appear in Holtzmann's list of Pauline words not found in the Pastorals, but in each case words cognate to them are found in the Pastorals. The other words in his list are hardly numerous enough to be significant, all things being considered; the most interesting being καυχάσθαι and ἀποκαλύπτειν with their cognates, which are very prominent in St Paul's other letters and yet have no place in these.

Against such differences may be fairly set some undoubted resemblances to the earlier letters, to which attention is called in the notes. Holtzmann has endeavoured to minimise the significance of these by urging that the Pastorals agree better as to vocabulary with the Epistles of the Third Missionary Journey than with the Epistles of the First Captivity; but, not to speak of the fact that the letters are all too short to permit of such arguments being regarded as trustworthy, the resemblances with *Philippians* (which is not improbably the last written of the letters of the First Captivity and therefore the nearest in time to the Pastorals) are unmistakeable¹; cp. $d\nu d\lambda \nu \sigma \iota s$ (2 Tim. iv. 6) and $d\nu a\lambda \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Phil. i. 23), $\sigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (2 Tim. iv. 6; Phil. ii. 17), $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\delta} s$ (1 Tim. iii. 8, and in St Paul only at Phil. iv. 8 outside the Pastorals), $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \rho \delta \sigma s$ (Tit. i. 11; Phil. i. 21), $\pi \rho \sigma \kappa \sigma \eta \dot{\eta}$ (1 Tim. iv. 15; Phil. i. 12, 25).

III. We pass to differences of syntax and structure of sentences. These, if present, would afford far better grounds for declaring in favour of difference of authorship than do differences of vocabulary. And there are a considerable number of such differences. The absence of connecting particles such as $\tilde{a}\rho a$,

¹ Cp. Speaker's Comm. on Philippians, p. 591.

διό, διότι (we have δὶ ἡν αἰτίαν three times, a form which does not occur in any of the other Pauline writings), ἔπειτα, ἔτι, and many others enumerated by Holtzmann, is curious, for St Paul is very fond of connecting sentences together by means of such. The sentences of the Pastorals are more rigidly constructed than in the earlier letters, and the style has less of their ease and unconventionality. The prepositions ἀντί, ἄχρι, ἔμπροσθεν, παρά with the accusative, and (a remarkable singularity) σύν are never once used in our epistles. The definite article is used very sparingly. All this is very puzzling on any hypothesis.

Possibly the most plausible explanation that has yet been offered of these differences between the earlier and the later letters is that they are due to the employment after St Paul's first captivity of a new amanuensis. That it was the Apostle's habit to avail himself of such assistance we know (see Rom. xvi. 22; 1 Cor. xvi. 21; Gal. vi. 11; Col. iv. 18; 2 Thess. iii. 17); and we can readily imagine that whoever wrote the Pastoral Letters for him may have introduced some peculiarities of phrase and diction, such as would have been foreign to the style of Tertius (Rom. xvi. 22) or any former secretary.

At the same time, we must not exaggerate these differences between the style of the Pastorals and that of the earlier letters. The Pauline fashion of repeating and playing on a word appears several times (1 Tim. i. 18, vi. 5, 6; 2 Tim. ii. 9, iii. 4, 17). Sentences are strung together sometimes until grammar is lost, quite in the Apostle's old manner, e.g. 1 Tim. i. 10; Titus i. 1-3 (cp. Eph. i. 3, iii. 1; Col. i. 3 ff.). It would not be easy, for instance, to find a sentence more Pauline in its involved parenthesis and in its rough vigour than the following from 2 Tim. i. 8-11, "Suffer hardship with the gospel according to the power of God: who saved us and called us with a holy calling, not according to our works, but according to his own purpose and grace, which was given us in Christ Jesus before times eternal, but hath now been manifested by the appearing of our Saviour Christ Jesus, who abolished death, and brought life and incorruption to light through the gospel, whereunto I was appointed a

¹ See note on Tit. iii. 15 infra.

herald, and an apostle, and a teacher." Again St Paul's thoughts often seem to travel so fast that they outstrip his powers of expression; there is in his confessedly genuine writings a marked tendency to leave sentences unfinished, to the occurrence of the figure which grammarians call anacoluthon. This is hardly a peculiarity that would occur to anyone writing in his name to reproduce; still less is it likely that a forger (and, if the Pastorals be not by St Paul, their author was nothing else, however well-intentioned) would begin a letter with an anacoluthon. And yet so one of the letters opens. The first sentence after the salutation in 1 Tim. has no end; it is imperfect and ungrammatical. This is not a probable beginning to an epistle laboriously constructed by a literary artist simulating the manner of another. If the syntax and structural form of the letters be appealed to on the one side, they may also be appealed to on the other.

Such are some of the reasons which tend to diminish the force of the argument based on vocabulary and style. If there are traces of fresh experience in the language employed by the writer of these letters, that is what might have been expected; and it must not be forgotten that in many particulars the agreement with Pauline usage is remarkably close.

This topic of internal evidence may be examined from another point of view. If the letters were not written by St Paul, they must have been written by some one thoroughly imbued with his style and possessed of considerable insight into his ways of thinking. It is conceivable that the idea might have occurred to some enterprising person to compose letters in the name of the great Apostle with the laudable object of placing on an undisputed basis the edifice of Church organisation. But as we read the Second Epistle to Timothy we can hardly persuade ourselves that it was so produced. The many personal salutations and references to slight incidents at the end of the letter are quite too lifelike to have been introduced for the sake of artistic effect. Even supposing that the minute knowledge which is displayed of St Paul's friends and associates does not point to anything more than intimate acquaintance on the part of the writer with the history of St Paul's last days at Rome, are we to

admit that touches like the request that Timothy would not forget to bring with him the cloak and books that had been left behind at Troas (2 Tim. iv. 13) could have been due to a forger? Such a request is founded on no recorded incident, nor does it lead to any result. Or again, can the twice repeated "Do thy diligence to come shortly unto me" (2 Tim. iv. 9, 21) have any other explanation than that of the eager anxiety of the writer to see once more his best beloved son in the faith? Or to take one other instance which, curiously enough, has been appealed to by those who find indications of the spuriousness of our letters in their internal evidence. In the first letter to Timothy (iv. 12) the advice is given, "Let no man despise thy youth"; and again in the second letter (ii. 22), "Flee youthful lusts!" And all through both letters Timothy is addressed in language savouring somewhat of distrust and misgiving. All this, it has been said, implies that the writer conceives of Timothy as a very young man, young enough to be led away by passion, so young that he finds his legitimate authority difficult to enforce. And this is inconsistent not only with his implied position as head of an important Church, but also with the fact that he could not well have been less than 30 years old in the year 68, his association with St Paul having extended over 13 years. Here, it is urged, is an impossible use of language. The forger has but a confused notion of Timothy's age, and thinks of him at one moment as he is represented in the Acts, at another as old enough to be entrusted with the supervision of the Ephesian Church. It makes us view all arguments based on internal evidence with some suspicion when we find that a passage which to another is a token of spuriousness seems to ourselves a manifest note of genuineness. For it displays but a small experience of life and little knowledge of human nature to be surprised that an old and masterful man writing to one who had been his pupil and associate for thirteen years should continue to address him as if he were a youth. Timothy was, as a matter of fact, young for the responsible post which he filled; at this early period there were of necessity appointments of this sort; and St Paul's language

¹ See notes in loc. in each case.

might be justified from this point of view. And furthermore, the suspicion (underlying both letters) of Timothy's possible lapses into folly, whether it were well founded or not, is exactly what we might conjecture as present to the mind of the older man (see on 2 Tim. i. 6). He had seen Timothy grow up as it were; and to him therefore Timothy will for ever be in a condition of pupilage, needing the most minute directions on points of detail, likely to make false steps as soon as he begins to stand alone, not free from the hotheadedness which perhaps might have been his failing ten years before. To find in these directions, in this undercurrent of thought, anything but the most natural and affectionate anxiety is to display a perverted ingenuity.

The note of truth which appears in passages similar to those which have just been cited is so conspicuous that many critics1, who, for various reasons, find it impossible to advocate the genuineness of the Pastoral Epistles as a whole, have put forward the hypothesis that in these interesting relics of an early Christian period are embedded precious fragments of true letters of St Paul. The hypothesis is not inconceivable in itself; but it is not easy to work out satisfactorily in detail, and it has not a shred of external evidence in its favour. Certainly the presence of such passages as 2 Tim. i. 15-18, iv. 13, 19-21, which fall in naturally with their context, makes it extremely difficult to doubt the genuineness of that epistle as a whole. And if 2 Tim. be from the hand of St Paul, it carries 1 Tim. and Tit, with it, to a very high degree of probability. It cannot be said that the attempts which have been made to dismember 1 Tim. are very convincing2; nor is there any general agreement among those who indulge in such critical exercises as to the passages that are to be counted genuine remains of St Paul.

¹ E.g. Credner, Ewald, von Soden, Knoke, Harnack, to mention only representative names.

² One of the most carefully considered of these analyses, that of Knoke, postulates three documents behind 1 Tim.; viz. (a) a private letter of instruction from Paul to Timothy, (b) a doctrinal letter, (c) fragments of a manual of Church Order. The reader may exercise his own ingenuity in determining how the dissections are to be made.

The result of the foregoing discussion may be thus summarised. The internal character of the Pastoral Epistles, their vocabulary and their style, presents a very perplexing literary problem. The peculiarities of vocabulary have not yet received full explanation. But, on the whole, these peculiarities are not of so anomalous a character as to outweigh the strong external testimony (see Chap. I.) to the Pauline authorship of the letters, supported as it is by the significant personal details in which the letters abound. The solution of our difficulties perhaps lies in facts of which we have no knowledge. We have already suggested (p. xli) that the employment of a new secretary by St Paul during his second imprisonment at Rome might account for a good many of the linguistic peculiarities which these Epistles present. No doubt this is only an hypothesis; but it is an hypothesis which contradicts no known facts, and, inasmuch as itserves to coordinate the phenomena, it deserves to be taken into serious consideration.

CHAPTER IV.

THE HERESIES CONTEMPLATED IN THE PASTORAL EPISTLES.

No discussion of the characteristics of the Pastoral Epistles would be complete which omitted to take notice of the warnings against heretical teachers with which the letters abound. The growth of vain, or irrelevant and useless, doctrine seems to have been present to the mind of the writer as a pressing danger to the Church; and he recurs again and again to the more prominent features of the teaching which he deprecates, that he may remind Timothy and Titus how serious is their danger when brought into contact with it. The Pastoral Epistles are, however, not controversial treatises; they are semi-private letters written for the guidance of friends. And thus it is not easy to discover the exact nature of the heresies that were prevalent at Ephesus and at Crete. The allusions are casual; and our knowledge of the conditions of Christian thought in the later

Apostolic and sub-Apostolic age is so imperfect, that it is not possible to arrive at conclusions more than probable on this and many kindred questions. In a former epistle of St Paul, the Epistle to the Colossians, we have a somewhat similar polemic directed against the innovating teachers at Colossae; and it is possible that we may find in the earlier document hints by which we may interpret the latter. And, on the other hand, the letters of Ignatius written half a century later contain warnings against the strange doctrines then spreading in the cities of Asia Minor, which may perhaps shew us what the fruit was like of the seed which we see growing in the Pastoral Epistles.

But we shall begin by interrogating our epistles themselves, and then we may compare their witness with the information gained from other sources.

We notice first the direct advice which St Paul gives to Timothy and Titus as to the manner of their own teaching. They are not to teach anything new, in view of the new developments in the Churches entrusted to their care; but they are to reiterate the doctrine that the Church has held from the beginning. "Abide thou in the things which thou hast learned and hast been assured of" (2 Tim. iii. 14). "Hold the pattern of sound words" (2 Tim. i. 13). "Guard that which is committed unto thee" (1 Tim. vi. 20). Positive statement of the main principles of the faith is suggested as the best safeguard against error. And such methods of meeting perversions of the truth seem to have been specially applicable to the circumstances of the Churches for whose benefit the Pastoral Epistles were written. For it will be observed that all through the epistles it is not so much the falsity as the irrelevance of the new teaching that is insisted on. The opponents of Timothy and Titus do not come before us, save perhaps in one particular to which we shall return, as openly denying any cardinal article of the Christian Creed. They are not represented, for instance, as are the heretics of the days of Ignatius, as denying the doctrine of the Incarnation. But the teaching with which they beguile the unwary is quite irrelevant. They are έτεροδιδάσκαλοι: their gospel is a 'different Gospel.' Their teachings are 'divers and

strange' like those deprecated in another epistle of the Apostolic age, the Epistle to the Hebrews (Heb. xiii. 9). And so St Paul says in reference to them: "Foolish and ignorant questionings refuse" (2 Tim. ii. 23). "Shun foolish questionings...for they are unprofitable and vain" (Tit. iii. 9). The heretical teachers themselves are described as men who "strive about words to no profit" (2 Tim. ii. 14); and their vain talking and "profane babblings" are spoken of more than once (1 Tim. vi. 20; 2 Tim. ii. 16).

This irrelevance in speculation, however, is not merely foolish; it is positively mischievous. The history of religion presents many instances of the intimate connexion between vague and unmeaning theory and absurd or immoral practice. For the inevitable consequence of laying stress in religious matters on topics which have no proper significance in relation to life is that religion ceases to be a trustworthy guide to conduct. Mysticism encourages the ascetic habit in the best and purest souls whom it attracts, and so withdraws them from the discharge of common human duties. And when it has become the property of those whose passions are unruly, it furnishes a cloak for immorality and extravagance of every kind. In both directions St Paul saw the danger of the έτεροδιδασκαλία against which he warned Timothy and Titus; but the more immediate danger was that of undue asceticism. "The Spirit saith expressly," he writes, "that in later times some shall fall away from the faith, giving heed" to those who "forbid to marry and command to abstain from meats, which God created to be received with thanksgiving by them that believe and know the truth" (1 Tim. iv. 1-4). And again he declares that "in the last days grievous times will come"; for the result of this unreal religion will be the increase of teachers who "have the form of godliness, but have denied the power thereof" (2 Tim. iii. 1 ff.). "Of these are they that creep into houses, and take captive silly women laden with sins, led away by divers lusts, ever learning, and never able to come to the knowledge of the truth." Such grave irregularities are, as yet, no doubt, in the future; but nevertheless the Apostle is careful to warn Timothy about his own conduct in the presence of undue licence or undue asceticism. "Flee youthful lusts" (2 Tim. ii. 22): "Keep thyself pure" (1 Tim. v. 22); that is essential. But on the other hand do not give any sanction, by your practice to asceticism which may be injurious to health: "Be no longer a drinker of water, but use a little wine for thy stomach's sake, and thine often infirmities" (1 Tim. v. 23).

We have seen that the teaching against which the Pastorals give warning is irrelevant to religion and therefore likely to be mischievous in practice. But we must try to determine its character a little more closely. The heresy-for so we must call it—was essentially Jewish. So much is plainly implied and must be borne in mind. The men "whose mouth must be stopped" are "specially they of the circumcision" (Tit. i. 10). The fables to which no heed is to be given are "Jewish fables" (Tit. i. 14). The opponents against whom Timothy is to be on his guard "desire to be teachers of the law, though they understand neither what they say, nor whereof they confidently affirm" (1 Tim. i. 7). It is the "fightings about the law" that are pronounced in the Epistle to Titus to be "unprofitable and vain" (Tit. iii. 9). Thus, whatever the growth of the heresy may have been like, it had its roots in Judaism. We are not, of course, to confuse these apostles of novelty with the Judaizing opponents whom St Paul had to face in earlier years. There is nothing here of any insistence upon circumcision, or upon the perpetual obligations of the Mosaic law. That is now a thing of the past within the Christian Society. Christianity had won for itself a position independent of Judaism, though no doubt its independence would only be fully appreciated by its own adherents. To the eye of a stranger Christianity was still a Jewish sect. But it was not so counted by Christians themselves. Jewish thought would necessarily influence men brought up in the atmosphere of the synagogue and the temple, but the influence would hardly be consciously felt. And we find that the opposition which Timothy and Titus were to offer to the novel doctrines that were gaining popularity, was suggested not because the doctrines were Jewish, but because they were fabulous and unedifying. "I exhorted thee," writes St Paul to

Timothy, "to tarry at Ephesus,...that thou mightest charge certain men not to teach a different doctrine, neither to give heed to fables and endless genealogies, the which minister questionings rather than a dispensation of God which is in faith" (1 Tim. i. 1—3). So he bids Titus "shun foolish questionings and genealogies" (Tit. iii. 9).

What then are these "genealogies" which the Apostle finds so unfruitful? The answer that has been most commonly given to this question of late years has been found in the peculiar tenets of the Gnostics. It has been supposed that traces of a kind of Judaistic Gnosticism may be found in the Epistle to the Colossians, that it becomes more prominent in the Pastorals, and that we see it in full vigour in the Letters of Ignatius. And no opinion on the condition of parties in the early Church which has the authority of Bishop Lightfoot can be lightly treated, or discarded without the most careful examination. We shall thus have to scrutinise with attention the language of the Pastorals to determine whether it affords sufficient ground for our ascribing the term Gnostic to the frivolous teaching condemned by St Paul.

Of the beginnings of Gnosticism we know very little. We find it fully developed in various forms in the second century, as soon as the Church had become affected by Greek speculation; and there is no serious historical difficulty in the way of supposing it to have been current at Ephesus as early as the year 67. But of direct evidence we have little to produce. The term Gnostic is generally taken to include all those who boast a superior knowledge of spiritual things to that possessed by their neighbours; and the Gnostics of whom history tells us constructed elaborate theories as to the precise relations between God and His universe, as to the origin of evil, as to the various ranks and orders of created beings-theories which repel everyone who now examines them, inasmuch as one feels that they are quite unverifiable where they are not demonstrably unscientific or absurd. It is not necessary to explain how natural was such a development in the religion of Jesus when brought into contact with Greek philosophy; we go on to point out that, however true it is that such teaching was popular fifty years later, there is no certain trace of it in the Pastoral Epistles.

To begin with, it has been acutely pointed out by Weiss that language is used in the Epistle to Titus of the strange teachers which is quite inconsistent with the claims made by the Gnostics with whom history has made us familiar: "They confess that they know God" says St Paul—θεὸν ὁμολογοῦσιν εἰδέναι (Tit. i. 16). For, surely, ὁμολογοῦσιν would be a most inappropriate word to use of the claim to the exceptional and superlative knowledge of the Supreme put forward by Gnostic teachers; their claim was more than a 'confession,' it was a boast of exclusive privilege. And when we turn to the phrases in the Pastoral Epistles which are supposed distinctively to indicate Gnostic doctrine, we find that they afford but an insecure basis for any such opinion, and that in every case a more natural explanation is suggested by the Jewish roots and affinities of the teaching under consideration. "Shun genealogies and strifes and fightings about the law," says St Paul (Tit. iii. 9), "for they are unprofitable." "Do not give heed to myths and endless genealogies which minister questionings" (1 Tim. i. 4). Now the close association in the former passage of the yevealoyia with μαγαὶ νομικαί, 'fightings about the law,' should of itself teach us that here is no thought of long strings of emanations of æons or angels, such as Irenæus speaks of in later days, but some speculation intimately allied to Judaism. And Dr Hort seems to have pointed out the true explanation. 'Myths and genealogies' occur in similar close connexion in Polybius (IX. 2. 1); and the historian seems to refer to the legendary Greek mythologies, and the old world stories about the pedigree and birth of heroes. too Philo includes under τὸ γενεαλογικόν all the primitive history in the Pentateuch. And we know that legends had been multiplied during the later periods of Hebrew history as to the patriarchs and the early heroes in a degree for which there is, perhaps, no parallel elsewhere. One branch of the Haggadah, or illustrative commentary on the Old Testament, was full of such legend: and traces of Jewish Haggadoth have been found by

¹ Judaistic Christianity, pp. 135 ff.

some in the canonical books themselves. In the curious production called the *Book of Jubilees* we have a conspicuous proof of the stress laid upon genealogies as the bases upon which legends might be reared. Indeed the care with which family pedigrees were preserved is illustrated by the remarkable genealogies incorporated in two of the Gospels. There were, to be sure, special reasons why these should be counted of deep interest for Christians; but the fact that genealogies were regarded as appropriate subjects for curious and respectful enquiry may be established from many other sources. When the Pastoral Letters, then, tell us that genealogies and strifes about the law and foolish questionings formed part of the stock in trade of the new teachers, we are not led to think of any specially Greek lines of speculation, but of Hebrew legend and casuistry.

Once more, the "oppositions of the knowledge falsely so called" (1 Tim. vi. 20) have been supposed to have reference to certain peculiar tenets of Gnosticism. And it is true that a Gnostic teacher, Marcion, nearly a century later published a bookentitled ἀντιθέσεις, "Oppositions of the Old and New Testaments"; and equally true that the phrase ψευδώνυμος γνῶσις is used by the Fathers of the second and third centuries as having special applicability to the controversies in which they were themselves interested. But such coincidences are merely verbal. The fact that the orthodox of later times caught up a phrase of St Paul which might serve as a convenient missile to hurl at adversaries is a fact not so entirely without parallel in later days that it need cause us to delay long over its explanation. And in truth, the phrase would be quite inapplicable to Marcion, who (despite his general description as a Gnostic) did not claim the possession of yv@ous in any marked degree. However, it is only here needful to point out that a quite natural explanation of the phrase ἀντιθέσεις της ψευδωνύμου γνώσεως follows from the

¹ In the curious treatise concerning Jewish antiquities wrongly attributed to Philo (printed in *Mikropresbyticon*, Basle 1550, pp. 295 ff.), a good deal of space is devoted in like manner to an enumeration of the descendants of the antediluvian patriarchs. See *Jewish Quarterly Review* for January, 1898.

conception of the heretical teachers as casuistical doctors of the law, which has just been suggested. 'Antitheses'—oppositions—might well describe "the endless contrasts of decisions, founded on endless distinctions'," with which the casuistry of the scribes was concerned. And allusions may be traced in the Gospels themselves to this claim of the scribes to superior $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s$; the lawyers, for instance, were reproached for having taken away the key of knowledge $(\tau \hat{\eta} s) \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$, Luke xi. 52).

These are the main features of the heretical doctrine that have been brought forward as suggesting affinities with Gnosticism; but we have found a more natural as well as a more exact correspondence in the speculations of Jewish doctors, and this agrees well with the general description of the heretical myths as Jewish.

It has been urged indeed by Lightfoot and others that the earlier forms of Gnostic error were of Jewish origin; and that all Gnostics were accustomed to treat the Old Testament as a field for mystical speculation. They also took much the same view of the impurity of matter as is hinted at in the Pastorals. And there is no reason for denying that Gnostic doctrine, in the large sense, may have had its roots in teaching such as that described in the Pastorals. It may very possibly have been præ-Christian. But of Gnosticism, properly so called, the Gnosticism of the second century, which was closely allied with Docetic views as to the Person of Christ, there is no distinctive trace; and thus to use the term 'Gnostic' in reference to the heretical teachers of Ephesus and Crete is somewhat misleading, as it imports into our documents the ideas of a later age. There is nothing whatever specifically Gnostic; there is much that is best explained as a Jewish development. And although this is not the place to enter on an enquiry as to the heresies treated of in the Epistle to the Colossians, it is probable that the same may be said of them. The φιλοσοφία and vain deceit of which St Paul speaks (Col. ii. 8) is really Jewish speculation which has taken to itself a Greek name; the angelology of which the

¹ Judaistic Christianity, pp. 140 ff.

Colossian Epistle tells is Hebrew rather than Greek; the injunction "let no man judge you in meat and drink" (Col. ii. 16) is of Jewish reference. Here and also in the Pastorals we are dealing with a heretical form of Christianity which arose from contact with Hebrew thought; and when we call it Gnostic we are using a word that has already—whether rightly or wrongly—been appropriated to a different period and has different associations.

There remain to be considered some minor peculiarities of the heretical teachers, which may enable us to fix with greater precision their place in Jewish thought. We are, indeed, not now in Palestine, but in South-west Asia Minor; and it would be rash to assume that the divisions of the Jewish schools which are found in the neighbourhood of Jerusalem are also to be found among the Jews of the Dispersion; but Jews are and always have been so conservative in their habits of thought that such an assumption—though we need not make it—would be at least plausible.

i. The new doctrine seems to have been not only esoteric in character, but exclusive in tendency. All religion which emphasises unduly subtle distinctions and dogmas only to be apprehended by a learned and cultivated minority tends to spiritual pride and contempt of less favoured individuals. And it is hardly too much to see in the emphatic and prominent directions given by St Paul to Timothy as to the Catholic range of Christian prayer a reference to this growing tendency to spiritual exclusiveness. "I exhort you to make supplications and prayers...for all men....This is good and acceptable in the sight of God our Saviour, who willeth that all men should be saved and come to the knowledge of the truth. For there is one God, one mediator also between God and men, himself man, Christ Jesus" (1 Tim. ii. 4, 5). In earlier epistles (Rom. i. 16, v. 18, x. 12; 2 Cor. v. 15, &c.) St Paul had emphasised the universality of salvation, but in an entirely different context. He formerly had to do with those who were fain to exaggerate the spiritual privilege of the Jew, who claimed for the children of Abraham a monopoly of God's grace. He now has to do with those who are in danger of divorcing the religious from the secular life, and counting the Divine promises as exclusively meant for a few favoured persons.

ii. The Apostle's forecast of trouble conveys a significant warning: "Some shall fall away from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits and doctrines of devils" (1 Tim. iv. 1). "Evil men and impostors $(\gamma \acute{o} \eta \tau \epsilon s)$ shall wax worse and worse, deceiving and being deceived" (2 Tim. iii. 13). We are not to confuse the predictions of future error with descriptions of that which was actually a present danger; but nevertheless the germ of the future apostasy lay in the existing disorders. And so it is worth noting that the adherents of the new teaching are described by a name which literally means 'wizards' ($\gamma \acute{o} \eta \tau \epsilon s$), those who practise mysterious or magical rites. This harmonises well with what we read in the Acts (xix. 19) and elsewhere of the practice of magical arts at Ephesus. Such superstition was no new thing there.

iii. And, lastly, we are given one specific instance of an error of which two at least of the heretical teachers were guilty. "Shun profane babblings," says the Apostle in his last letter, "for they will proceed further in ungodliness, and their word will eat as doth a gangrene: of whom is Hymenæus and Philetus: men who concerning the truth have erred, saying that the resurrection is past already" (2 Tim. ii. 16). Weiss, who is perhaps the most judicious of the commentators on the Pastoral Letters, here warns us that we must not take the perversions of individuals as direct evidence for the general character of the erroneous teaching. And the warning is salutary; but still it can hardly be doubted that the errors into which Hymenæus and Philetus fell were the outcome of the general principles on which they based their speculations, and that therefore this denial of a resurrection may be counted, if not a necessary, yet a natural accompaniment of the heretical teaching which Timothy had to oppose,

We have then arrived at this point. The heretical teachers at Ephesus and Crete were marked by the following characteristics: (1) They laid much store by irrelevant and unprofitable speculation about the Mosaic law and the Hebrew history. (2) They held views as to the impurity of matter which had

already led them to set too high a value on the ascetic life, and which would, in the future, lead to immorality of conduct.

(3) The future developments of their tenets would be associated with magic and diabolical arts. (4) They were exclusive in their attitude to their fellow men, and had not fully realised the Universality of the Gospel as revealed in the Fact of the Incarnation.

(5) Some of them denied the doctrine of the Resurrection, interpreting it in a spiritual sense of the new life of believers. To sum up, they were professing Christians, but they display Jewish affinities rather than Greek.

Is there any sect of Judaism in which the germ of similar peculiarities may be found? "Speaking of the heresy of the later Epistles," said Bishop Lightfoot¹, "with reference to its position in the Gnostic system, we may call it *Judaic Gnosticism*. Speaking of it with reference to its position as a phase of Jewish thought, we may call it *Essene Judaism*." We have seen that the first description here given of the heresy prevalent at Ephesus is open to misconception; we pass on to enumerate the facts which seem to shew that the second suggestion is far more likely to be instructive.

All the peculiarities which have been collected of the heretical teaching contemplated in the Pastorals, save one, are found among the tenets of the Essene brotherhood as described by Josephus and Philo. The Essenes were ascetic to an extraordinary degree²; they conceived of themselves as a kind of spiritual aristocracy; they are said to have possessed an apocryphal literature, and to have practised occult science; and they spoke of the immortality of the soul rather than of the Resurrection of the Body, here standing in sharp contrast to the more conspicuous sect of the Pharisees. The one point for which direct evidence cannot be adduced is that we do not know that the Essenes devoted any special attention to the Haggadoth or legendary literature of Judaism, though the hint that they possessed secret books is significant. But in any case this feature of Jewish belief, though no doubt more prominent

¹ Biblical Essays, p. 416.

² Sec Josephus, Bell. Jud. 11. 8. 2 ff. and Antt. xvIII. 1.

among the learned doctors of the law, would more or less affect all Jewish sects, and there would be nothing in it foreign to the habits of thought of the Essene brotherhood.

We conclude therefore that the heresiarchs at Ephesus and Crete were Christians who were affected by Essene tendencies of thought and practice. This conclusion has been derived from the internal evidence of the Pastoral Epistles, and it falls in with the date which we have assigned to them on other grounds. Were they of a later period we should expect to find the heretical tendencies afterwards called Gnostic much more strongly marked, and the heresies themselves more exactly defined.

CHAPTER V.

BISHOPS AND PRESBYTERS IN THE PRIMITIVE CHURCH.

An investigation of the date of the Pastoral Epistles cannot leave out of account the nature of the ecclesiastical organisation which they seem to contemplate. We must ask ourselves if the stage which the development of the Church's life has reached in them is compatible with their origin in the lifetime of St Paul. And thus we are constrained to attempt here a brief summary of the existing evidence as to the growth of the several orders of the Christian Ministry during the first century of the Church's life. Few questions have been more warmly debated than this, and

¹ There is an additional circumstance, which may be adduced to support this conclusion. Among the fragments of the literature of this period which have survived, not the least remarkable is the Fourth Book of the Sibylline Oracles, a curious collection of verses reciting the fortunes of the towns in S. W. Asia Minor, ascribed on all hands to a date about 10 years subsequent to the Fall of Jerusalem. This book—whether written by a Christian or not—has points of contact with Essenism which can hardly be due to chance. Here then we have independent evidence for the influence of Essene teaching about 80 a.d. in the very district which has been the subject of our enquiry. And it is certainly remarkable that the word used all through this poem for the elect or the faithful is a word which is characteristic in the N.T. of the Pastoral Epistles; they are called $\epsilon \dot{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\beta\epsilon\hat{c}s$, their habit of mind $\epsilon \dot{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\beta\epsilon\iota a$.

controversy has run high as to the precise functions of Christian $\hat{\epsilon}\pi l\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma o$ and $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta b\tau\epsilon\rho o$ in the Apostolic age. By some the terms are regarded as almost synonyms, and as used in the New Testament to designate the same persons and to describe the same duties; by others it is held that, while the two terms indicate different functions, yet these functions were discharged by the same individuals¹; by others, again, it has been argued that from the beginning the $\hat{\epsilon}\pi l\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma s$ has been distinct from the $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta b\tau\epsilon\rho s$ as regards his duties and his gifts. The decision at which we arrive on these disputed points will necessarily modify and colour our interpretation of several important passages of the Pastoral Epistles, and is inextricably involved in any discussion of their date.

Before beginning the investigation, it may be well to remind ourselves of one or two distinctions that may keep us from confusing the issues. And first, we must not assume without proof that the significance of the Episcopate in the continuous life of the Church is bound up with its monarchical or diocesan character. Such an assumption would be entirely without foundation. For centuries (for example) in the Celtic Church there was a bishop attached to each monastery in subordination to the abbot, possessed of no special temporal dignity or administrative authority, but distinguished from the presbyters among whom he lived solely by virtue of his consecration to the Episcopal office, and by the powers which that consecration was believed to impart. It has never been counted part of the essentia of a Christian bishop, that he should exercise any absolute supremacy over the presbyters among whom he is resident. The function of rule is a function which has been accorded to him by the almost universal consent of Christendom, but that his rule should be of a monarchical character or even that he should have a dominating influence in the counsels of the presbyterate is something that would not be easy to establish as an ordinance of the primitive Christian Church. That such functions have been granted to the Episcopate is a matter of history; that it is highly beneficial

¹ Cp. Chrysostom in Phil, i. 1 οἱ πρεσβύτεροι τὸ παλαιὸν ἐκαλοῦντο ἐπίσκοποι καὶ διάκονοι τοῦ Χριστοῦ, καὶ οἱ ἐπίσκοποι πρεσβύτεροι.

that they should be exercised—that disobedience to them as an infringement of established order and wholesome discipline is in the highest degree reprehensible—all this may be true. But it does not settle the question as to whether or not these functions belonged to the Episcopate in its earliest days, any more than it nullifies the fact that they were not exercised to any large extent by the bishops of at least one ancient Church.

Secondly, it is to be borne in mind that there is nothing inherently repugnant to the idea of the Christian episcopate in the presence of several bishops at one time in a Christian community. The diocesan idea is one of early growth, it is true; and it is not hard to see its obvious and many advantages. But again it is not part of the essentia of the Episcopate. The Episcopal $\chi \acute{a}\rho \iota \sigma \mu a$ might be conferred upon several men who happened to be living in one city if the conditions of life in the early Church rendered it desirable that more than one bishop should be available to perform the special duties attaching to the Episcopal office.

And, once more, there is little reason for the assumption often confidently made that the development of the episcopal dignity must have proceeded exactly at the same rate and by the same route in the many widely separated Churches of primitive Christendom. It is entirely a question of evidence. If the evidence teach us that a monarchical Episcopate was developed more slowly in the West than in the East, or that the relations of the bishop or bishops to the presbyters were not always quite the same in all centres of Christian life in the first century, we must be prepared to admit and to interpret it.

Our first enquiry must be, Were there persons called $\epsilon\pi i\sigma\kappa \sigma\pi o\iota$ in the Church of the first century who exercised different functions from the $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\dot{\nu}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\imath$? And, secondly, if we are thus to differentiate the $\epsilon\pi i\sigma\kappa\sigma\pi\sigma$ s from the $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\dot{\nu}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s, on what facts are we to found our distinction? What was the original difference in function?

Prima facie it would appear that there was some important distinction between them, not only because of the different

etymology of the terms, but because the distinction became so soon rooted in the Christian consciousness. When we find that so well instructed a writer as Irenaeus, writing in the last quarter of the second century, not only counts the threefold order of bishop, priest, and deacon as the sole rule for the Church, but seems unconscious that any other rule had ever existed in fact or was possible in theory, we are at once impressed with the antiquity of the offices which he thus regards.

It is well to work backwards in this enquiry, and to start where the evidence is full and indisputable. We begin, then, with Ignatius, whose martyrdom took place cir. 115 A.D. The language of his epistles is very remarkable.

"Submit yourselves to the bishop and the presbytery" is the constant burden of his exhortations to the Churches of Asia Minor (Eph. 2, Magn. 2, Trall. 2, 13, Smyrn. 8). "As the Lord did nothing without the Father, so neither do ye anything without the bishop and the presbyters" (Magn. 7). "Let all men respect the deacons as Jesus Christ, even as they should respect the bishop as being a type of the Father and the presbyters as the council of God and as the college of Apostles. Apart from these there is not even the name of a Church" (Trall. 3). "There is one altar, as there is one bishop, together with the presbytery and the deacons my fellow-servants" (Phil. 4). It has been pointed out by more than one critic, and the remark seems well founded, that the emphasis laid by Ignatius upon this submission to the ministry in its threefold order is an indication that such submission was not universally practised as a Christian duty when he wrote. If there were no symptoms of insubordination at Ephesus, at Tralles, or at Philadelphia it would not have been natural for him to have dwelt in his letter of farewell on such a point at such length. But although we may not infer from his correspondence that the threefold ministry was as firmly established in the Churches of Asia Minor in his day as it was everywhere in the days of Irenaeus, we must infer that it was recognised there as the existing, though perhaps not the necessarily existing, system of Church rule.

It is remarkable that in Ignatius' letter to the Church of

Rome allusion to the Episcopate is not at all so prominent; unlike the other letters it contains no directions to be obedient to the bishop and the presbytery. It recognises the episcopal office solely by the words "God hath vouchsafed that the bishop from Syria should be found in the West, having summoned him from the East" (Rom. 2) and "Remember in your prayers the Church which is in Syria, which hath God for its shepherd in my stead. Jesus Christ alone shall be its bishop—He and your love" (Rom. 9). It thus appears that the evidence which Ignatius gives as to the Episcopate in the West and its relation to the presbyterate is not of the same formal and definite character as that which he supplies for the East. It is true at the same time that he speaks elsewhere (Eph. 3) of bishops as being settled in the farthest parts of the earth.

Next it is to be observed that, from the allusions made by Ignatius to the Christian ministry in the churches of Asia Minor, it seems that the presbyters constitute a sort of college or council, and are not merely individual ministers working under the sole and direct control of the bishop. Their authority is recognised as well as his. They are indeed to submit to him in reverence. as he tells the Magnesians (§ 3), who seem to have had a young bishop; but it is plain that they have a collective authority resident in their own body, in addition to whatever personal authority they may have had from their ministerial office. "Do all things in concord, the bishop presiding after the likeness of God and the presbyters after the likeness of the council of the Apostles" (§ 6). "Do nothing without the bishop; but be obedient also to the presbytery," he says to the Trallians (§ 2). And the particulars of the bishop's duty as distinct from the duty of the presbyterate. seem to come out most clearly in his letter to Polycarp. "Have a care for union" (§ 1). "Be not dismayed by those that teach strange doctrine, but stand firm" (§ 3). "Neglect not the widows" (§ 4). These three characteristics we shall see in the sequel to be especially significant.

The next witnesses that are to be cited are both of Rome, viz. Hermas and Clement.

Hermas speaks of deacons (Sim. 9. xxvi.) who "exercised

their office ill," as persons who "plundered the livelihood of widows and orphans, and made gain for themselves from the ministrations which they had received to perform." Their function was evidently concerned with the temporal relief of the poor, and they had to do with Church money. The bishops he goes on to speak of in direct connexion with the deacons, and describes them as "hospitable persons who gladly received into their houses at all times the servants of God...without ceasing they sheltered the needy and the widows in their ministration" (Sim. 9. xxvii.). It is noteworthy that this relief of widows, perhaps the administration as opposed to the distribution of alms, has already appeared in Ignatius as one of the prominent parts of the duty of the $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \sigma s$. In addition to these, Hermas knows of a distinct class of persons entrusted with duties on behalf of the Church, of a very serious character. He speaks in one place (Vis. 3. v.) of "Apostles and bishops and teachers and deacons who ... exercised their office of bishop and teacher and deacon in purity...some of them already fallen on sleep and others still living." Leaving on one side the Apostles, who only continued for one generation, we have in addition to bishops and deacons, teachers. And we hear of them again (Vis. 3. ix.): "I say unto you that are rulers of the Church, and that occupy the chief seats (τοις προηγουμένοις της έκκλησίας και τοις πρωτοκαθεδρίταις),...be not ye like the sorcerers... How is it that ye wish to instruct the elect of God while ye yourselves have no instruction?" The persons who instruct are then, for Hermas, in a position of rule. Who are they? Hear him again. The little book that is written by Hermas in Vis. 2. iv. is to be read to the people of the city of Rome by himself and by "the presbyters who preside over the Church" (τῶν πρεσβυτέρων τῶν προϊσταμένων της έκκλησίας). One copy of the little book is to be sent to Clement (the bishop of Rome at this time), and it is notable that then come the words, "He is to send it to the foreign cities, for this is his duty." The special function of the bishop in this matter is that of communication with other Churches (as above we have seen it to be the entertainment of strangers); the special function of the presbyters is to teach, and they have also (as in

Ignatius) certain ruling powers, they preside over the Church. This is the sum of the evidence of Hermas.

It is not too much to say that neither the language of Ignatius nor of Hermas would lead us to infer that the offices of the $\epsilon\pi$ i- $\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma$ s and the $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta$ i $\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s were identical. So far they seem clearly enough defined, though the evidence is too scanty to enable us to learn in what relation the bishop stood as regards ruling power to the council of the presbyterate, or whether he always stood in the same relation.

We now come to the letter of Clement of Rome¹, the evidence of which as to the position of the $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o \sigma$ as compared with that of the $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta i \tau \epsilon \rho o \sigma$ happens to be peculiarly hard to interpret. The first passage to be cited is from § 42.

"The Apostles received the Gospel for us from the Lord Jesus Christ: Jesus Christ was sent forth from God. So then Christ is from God, and the Apostles from Christ. Both therefore came of the will of God in the appointed order....Preaching everywhere in country and town, they appointed their first-fruits, when they had proved them by the Spirit, to be bishops and deacons unto them that should believe. And this they did in no new fashion: for indeed it had been written concerning bishops and deacons from very ancient times; for thus saith the Scripture in a certain place, I will appoint their bishops in righteousness and their deacons in faith" (Is. lx. 17). This passage shows at the least that Clement (and his correspondents, for he does not argue the point as if it were one that could be disputed) held that the institution of bishops and deacons in the Christian Church was of Apostolic origin. He then proceeds (§ 44): "And our Apostles knew...that there would be strife over the name of the

¹ This document does not, indeed, purport to come from any individual, but from "the Church of God which sojourneth in Rome to the Church of God which sojourneth in Corinth." The true inference to be derived from this mode of address, when we remember the universal and early ascription of the letter to Clement, is that he occupied a position in the Roman Church which justified him in speaking on her behalf in communications with another Christian community. And this position, as we should gather from Hermas, would naturally be that of bishop which the tradition of early ages assigned to him.

bishop's office. For this cause, therefore...they appointed the aforesaid persons [sc. bishops and deacons], and afterwards they gave a further injunction, that if these should fall asleep, other approved men should succeed to their service. These therefore who were appointed by them or afterward by other men of repute, with the consent of the whole Church," he goes on, in reference to the schism which was the occasion of his letter, "these men we consider to have been unjustly thrust out from their service (λειτουργία). For it will be no light sin in us, if we thrust out of the bishop's office those who have offered the gifts unblameably and holily." So far Clement's witness is clear enough. He objects to the irregular removal from the bishop's office at Corinth of some regularly-appointed men. And two things seem to be fairly inferred from his language:-(1) that there were several bishops in the Corinthian Church at the time, i.e. that the monarchical episcopate was not yet established there; and (2) that a special function of the bishop was "to offer the gifts " $(\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\phi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\nu\nu \tau \dot{a} \delta\hat{\omega}\rho a)$. That is, in all probability, the function of the persons here called $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o \iota$ was to offer the alms and other gifts (including the elements) at the Eucharistic celebration. Their service is a λειτουργία; this function is performed by them in the name of the whole Church. The next sentence contains the crux of the passage. "Happy are those presbyters who have gone before, seeing that their departure was fruitful and ripe; for they have no fear lest anyone should remove them from their appointed place. For we see that ye have displaced certain persons, though they were living honourably, from the service (λειτουργίας) which they had respected blamelessly." Are we to say, on the strength of this passage, that the terms πρεσβύτεροι and ἐπίσκοποι are used interchangeably by Clement?

That is the inference adopted by Lightfoot and many other writers. But it does not seem to be by any means certain that this is involved in Clement's words. Before we examine them more closely we shall turn back to § 40 of the Epistle. Clement is there illustrating the importance of Church order by an appeal to the O.T. dispensation; and he uses language which

suggests that he had a threefold ministry in his mind. "Unto the high priest," he says, "his proper services $(\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \iota \rho \gamma \iota \iota a)$ have been given, and to the priests their proper place $(\tau \circ \pi \circ s)$ is assigned, and upon the Levites their proper ministrations $(\delta \iota \iota \kappa \circ \iota \iota a)$ are laid. The layman $(\delta \lambda \iota \iota \iota \kappa \circ \delta \iota \nu \theta \rho \iota \iota \sigma \circ s)$ is bound by the layman's ordinances." We may not press this passage so as to urge that it indicates a single bishop, as there was only a single high-priest under the Hebrew religion; but it certainly seems that the application of the term $\lambda \iota \iota \iota \tau \circ \iota \iota \rho \iota \iota$ to the first-mentioned Church officer, and of the term $\delta \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$ to the third, fixes the sense of the analogy, and entitles us to see here Clement's recognition of a distinction between $\epsilon \pi \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$ the office of the other as a $\tau \circ \pi \circ \iota \iota$.

What duties came within the presbyteral τόπος? That for Clement, as for Hermas, the duty of rule belongs to the presbyters seems plain from §§ 54, 57. They constitute the body to which the rebels are exhorted to submit, and with which they should be at peace. And forming, as they do, the supreme authority in matters of discipline we naturally look among them for the 'men of repute' by whom 'with the consent of the whole Church' lawful bishops are appointed (§ 44). To make these appointments is, in fact, an important part of their duty. It is thus plain why the schism which occasioned Clement's letter is described as a "sedition against the presbyters" (§ 47). Certain έπίσκοποι had been thrust out from their functions at the instigation of two or three agitators (§§ 1, 47). But this was an invasion of the presbyteral prerogative. The right of deposition cannot belong to a less authoritative body than that which has the right of appointment. And that such irregular proceedings should have been acquiesced in by any considerable number of 'the faithful would naturally be most grievous to the presbyters whose place $(\tau \delta \pi os)$ had been usurped.

In the light of these considerations let us read again the concluding words of § 44. "Happy are those presbyters who have gone before...for they have no fear lest anyone should remove them from their appointed place $(\tau \circ \pi \circ \sigma)$. For we see

that ye ($\dot{v}\mu\epsilon\hat{i}s$, with special emphasis) have displaced certain persons from their service ($\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau\sigma\nu\rho\gamma\dot{a}$)." In other words, the deposition of $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\omega$ from their $\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau\sigma\nu\rho\gamma\dot{a}$ by unscrupulous agitation, would be a grievous attack upon the authority of the $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\dot{\nu}\tau\epsilon\rho\omega$, within whose $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\pi\sigma s$ such deposition would properly fall. The language is carefully chosen; the $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\pi\sigma s$ of the presbyter is distinct from the $\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau\sigma\nu\rho\gamma\dot{\epsilon}a$ of the bishop, and yet it is upon the confusion of these words that the identification of $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega$ and $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\omega$ depends.

If this interpretation of Clement's language be accurate, it shews us a plurality of $\epsilon\pi i\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma$ at Corinth, appointed by the $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\acute{\nu}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\iota$ —still indeed to be counted $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\acute{\nu}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\iota$ from one point of view, but exercising special functions on behalf of the Christian congregation at large. And this institution of $\epsilon\pi i\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma$ Clement traces to the act of the Apostles themselves, in providing for the regular succession of ministers in the Church.

The testimony of Hermas and Clement is, as we have seen, primarily testimony as to the organisation of the Church at Rome, although Clement gives important incidental information as to the Christian community at Corinth. The only other documents which could tell us anything about the primitive rulers of the Church at the seat of Empire are 1 Peter and the Epistle to the Hebrews, both of which seem to have been written from Italy; and the evidence they afford as to the primitive $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\iota}$ - $\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\iota$ is very scanty. The author of 1 Peter recognises the existence of such a title, but he does not apply it directly to the heads of the Christian society. The great Head of the Church is spoken of as a "bishop of souls" (ii. 25), but the exhortation in the letter is addressed to the presbyters of certain Asiatic Churches!

We pass now to the *Didache* or 'Teaching of the Twelve Apostles,' probably current in Palestine some time in the early decades

¹ The presbyters who "exercise oversight" = πρεσβύτεροι ἐπισκοποῦντες (v. 1, 2) is not the true reading. And the writer does not speak of himself as 'bishop,' but as a "fellow-presbyter." The Epistle to the Hebrews does not mention ἐπίσκοποι at all, but it speaks of those that have the rule in the Churches to which it was addressed, the ἡγούμενοι (xiii, 7, 17).

of the second century. We are now on Eastern, not Western soil. The first thing that strikes us on reading this little book is the great prominence of the prophets and apostles in the Christian communities. The distinction between the itinerant and the local ministry has now gained pretty general acceptance¹. Christianity was first spread (as it often is at the present day in heathen countries) by itinerant preachers going from place to place, local Church officials being only appointed when there was a congregation for them to minister to. The apostles of the Didache are not, of course, the original Twelve; they are simply missionaries, as the word apostles properly signifies. And the distinction between them and the prophets is not very clearly marked. But the significant passage in the Didache for our present purpose is § 15: "Appoint for yourselves therefore bishops and deacons worthy of the Lord, men who are meek, and not lovers of money, and also true and approved; for unto you they also perform the service (λειτουργούσι την λειτουργίαν) of the prophets and teachers." Here we have a hint of the gradual assumption of the prophetical office by the permanent officials of the Church. Spiritual functions begin now to be provided for by a local ministry, as ordinary gifts begin to supersede extraordinary ones, though the period of transition may have been long in some places: indeed the prominence of Montanism at one time shews the unwillingness to admit that the prophetical office had become obsolete. And, again, as in the other documents we have examined, the bishop is the officer of worship, with duties in connexion with the Eucharistic office (§§ 12, 15). We notice here two other points. (1) The bishops are mentioned in the plural, though when the Didache recognises the possibility of a prophet settling down in one place for his life, it furnishes a valuable clue as to the way in which a monarchical episcopate could readily arise even in the very earliest times. (2) There is no mention of presbyters so called, nor indeed is there any hint of any permanent Church officials save επίσκοποι and διάκονοι. But we must not build up an argument on negative evidence.

¹ Cp. Lightfoot, Phil. p. 194.

The *Didache* does not tell us of presbyters; it does tell us of bishops. That is all we have a right to say.

The Didache is far removed in time from the Epistle to the Philippians; and yet a very similar phenomenon there presents itself. The salutation at the beginning is "to the saints at Philippi, with the bishops and deacons." Neither in this Epistle nor in any of St Paul's earlier Epistles are presbyters mentioned by name; and yet it would be impossible to deny their existence. Indeed, when we remember that the bishop's office seems to have included the duty of representing the Church, as well in formal communications with other Churches as in the acts of Eucharistic worship, we find no difficulty in understanding why the bishops should be specially mentioned in St Paul's The mention of deacons follows as a corollary. salutation. Wherever deacons are mentioned in the sub-apostolic literature (with one exception 1) they are mentioned in close connexion with and in subordination to the bishops2. They are Church officials acting under the ἐπίσκοποι, who supervise or oversee their labours. This at least is part of the significance of the term έπίσκοπος.

The evidence so far would give, as it seems, no good ground for identifying the $i\pi i\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$ with the $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\betai\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma$; the terms are of distinct meaning and are kept fairly distinct in usage, the bishop being more of an official, the presbyter more of a pastor in our modern sense—both apparently having certain judicial functions. But whether they were applied to distinct individuals in the earliest Christian age is a more difficult question.

¹ The single exception occurs in the letter of 'Polycarp to the Church of Philippi, written sixty years later than the letter of St Paul to the same Church. Polycarp (§ 5) bids the young men at Philippi submit themselves "to the presbyters and the deacons as to God and Christ," the bishop or bishops of the Philippian Church not being mentioned at all. At this late date, however, it is hardly matter for doubt that the monarchical episcopate was established at Philippi as it was at Smyrna, and therefore, whatever the ground of the omission, we cannot attribute it to the non-existence of the office as a separate institution.

² Of which we have still a trace in our own Church organisation, where the archdeacon is counted the oculus episcopi.

Let us then examine the witness of the Acts. That book repeatedly recognises the existence of presbyters associated with the Apostles at Jerusalem. They are mentioned many times. the most important passages being perhaps xi. 30 (which takes it for granted that they were an existing body in the Church of Jerusalem at that early stage) and the account of the Apostolic Council of Jerusalem (see xv. 2, 4, 6, 22; xvi. 4). They are present at the reception of St Paul by St James (xxi, 18); it is to them that the alms for the poor brethren in Judæa are sent by the hands of Barnabas and Saul (xi. 30). Their prominence at Jerusalem is easy to understand. The name 'presbyter' was taken over, it is hardly to be doubted, from Judaism. Jewish presbyters appear in the Acts (xxiii, 14, xxiv. 1) and in the Gospels frequently, and we are familiar with the title in the O.T. They seem in N.T. times to have been the officers-not of the synagogue, but of the συνέδριον, the 'seat of the elders'; and their functions were in part disciplinary1. Such duties would be especially important in the earliest days of Christianity at Jerusalem: before the Catholic faith had been finally dissociated from Judaism it was natural that the old title for Church officials should remain, and that the duties connected with the term 'presbyter' should be conspicuous. And we find that the organisation of the presbyterate seemed so important even in these first years that St Paul and Barnabas appointed presbyters in every Church on the first great missionary journey to Asia Minor (Acts xiv. 23). The organisation was afterwards extended to Ephesus, where we meet with presbyters holding a position of prominence, apparently in a sense the representatives of the Christian community, in ch. xx.

So far the Acts. And so, too, in the Epistle of St James; the only servants of the Church that are mentioned are the presbyters, who are spoken of in connexion with a special spiritual function, in the passage which speaks of the anointing of the sick (Jas. v. 14). It is a little surprising to find no mention whatever of presbyters in St Paul's Epistles until we come to

¹ See Hatch, Bampton Lectures, pp. 57, 58.

the last group of all, the pastoral letters written to Timothy and Titus. But though the name is absent, the thing is present. They are the $\pi\rho\sigma\bar{\tau}d\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\iota$, those who have the rule. "We besecch you," he says to the Thessalonians, "to know them that labour among you, and are over you in the Lord" (1 Thess. v. 12). This is an instructive passage, for it suggests that the duties of $\pi\rho\sigma\bar{\tau}\sigma\dot{\tau}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\iota$ were largely pastoral, or concerned with the cure of souls. So at least the context would suggest. And in fact a comparison of the lists of $\chi\alpha\rho\dot{\tau}\sigma\mu\alpha\sigma$ and of the servants of the Church in Rom., 1 Cor., and Eph. will leave no doubt on our minds that the $\pi\rho\sigma\dot{\tau}\sigma\dot{\tau}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\iota$ of Rom. xii. 8 and the $\kappa\nu\beta\epsilon\rho\nu\dot{\tau}\sigma\epsilon\iota$ s of 1 Cor. xii. 28 are to be identified with the $\pi\sigma\iota\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon$ s of Eph. iv. 11.

But what of the $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$ in the Acts? And have we any hint as to the origin of the term?

It seems probable, on the whole, that the title of this office was taken over from the organisation of the contemporary Greek societies1. It can hardly be accident that we find no mention in the N.T. documents (or indeed in any early writings) of ἐπίσκοποι at Jerusalem, while they appear at Ephesus, at Philippi, at Crete, where Greek influences were dominant. At the same time we must not leave out of sight the fact that the words ἐπίσκοπος, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\sigma\kappa\circ\pi\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$ are common in the LXX. It is quite intelligible from this point of view how they might have gained an early place in Christian speech. Indeed in Acts i. 20, when the Apostleship vacant through Judas's death was under discussion, one of the passages in the O.T. which was appealed to was την έπισκοπην αὐτοῦ λαβέτω ἔτερος. But although this LXX, usage must have familiarised the term itself to those who were entrusted with the organisation of the Church, that the usefulness and the duties of the office were partly—at least—suggested by the practice of the Greek societies and guilds with which they came in contact is a plausible hypothesis.

What, then, it will be said, was the position of St James at

¹ Dr Hatch brings out in his Bampton Lectures (p. 37) the great similarity between some of the duties of the $i\pi t n n c n$ once especially those which were concerned with the administration of Church funds, and the duties of an officer called the $i\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta r \eta s$ in the pagan and Jewish associations of the day. See on 1 Tim. iii. 5 below.

the Apostolic Council? Was he not the $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$? He was president. He spoke in the name of the assembly and gave his sentence with authority (Acts xv. 13, 19). Are not these the functions of the bishop, and may he not therefore be counted the first bishop of Jerusalem? We should probably be nearest the truth if we said that he certainly was in a position strikingly like that of the monarchical $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$ of a later date, and that he distinctly indicates the beginnings of that dignity at Jerusalem; but it would be an anachronism to call him an ἐπίσκοπος. He is not so called by St Luke. He exercises his important functions as an Apostle, or at least as "the Lord's brother"; and it does not seem that any other title of dignity would have been deemed natural. It is noteworthy that the later bishops of Jerusalem counted themselves as his successors; but we must not import the term $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$ into the narrative at this point. We are not yet told of an ἐπίσκοπος or of ἐπίσκοποι at Jerusalem, though the presbyters are many times mentioned.

The most puzzling passage in the Acts which relates to the connexion between the presbyters and the bishops may be now considered. When St Paul was addressing the presbyters of the Church at Ephesus (Acts xx. 28) he said, "Take heed to yourselves and to all the flock, in the which the Holy Ghost hath made you bishops." This is one of the passages on which reliance is mainly placed to establish the interchangeability in the N.T. of the terms we are considering. And prima facie it points that way. Speaking (apparently) to presbyters, St Paul calls them bishops. If on this ground, however, we are to identify the offices, as well as the persons entrusted with the offices at Ephesus, we shall have great difficulty in explaining the speedy divergence of meaning between the terms, and indeed the use of two terms at all.

But the inference is surely a somewhat precarious one. No one imagines that the speeches in the *Acts* are recorded in their integrity, with all the accuracy of a modern shorthand report. And if we suppose (as Irenaeus did¹) that among the Ephesian

¹ "In Mileto enim convocatis episcopis et presbyteris qui erant ab Epheso" (Iren. Haer. III. 14).

presbyters present some were bishops, there is no difficulty in St Paul's language. An unrecorded gesture on the speaker's part may have made his meaning clear to his hearers. Is there any improbability in the hypothesis that the speaker turned and addressed (v. 28) emphatically those of the presbyters who held the episcopal office? Indeed the speech (Acts xx. 18-35) naturally falls into two divisions. (1) From v. 18 to v. 27 the Apostle addresses the presbyters: "You know (ὑμεῖς ἐπίστασθε) how faithfully I preached in public and private; you were witnesses of it." (2) But from v. 28 onward the topics are different. "Take heed to yourselves (cp. 1 Tim. iv. 16): beware of heresy, remembering how I admonished you individually in reference to this: you yourselves know (αὐτοὶ γινώσκετε)"—as if the persons addressed had special means of knowing this-"that I did not accept maintenance from the Church." Now to guard the faith against the encroachments of heresy, and to administer the Church's alms, were duties specially appropriate to the emiorous as we have seen above. The whole passage certainly establishesand the fact is important—the presence of several bishops at Ephesus, as at Philippi; but that all the presbyters who were there were necessarily $\hat{\epsilon}\pi i\sigma\kappa \sigma\pi o\iota$ is quite a different proposition, very unlikely in itself, not demanded by the context, and not supported by the history of the Church in the next generation.

We proceed to examine the testimony of the Pastoral Epistles. The qualifications and functions of a bishop in these letters (leaving out of account the moral qualifications, which were of course paramount) may be placed under these heads: (a) He is to be above suspicion in matters of money (1 Tim. iii. 3; Tit. i. 7). This recalls to us what we read in the *Didache*, and elsewhere. The bishop has at least some financial functions; probably he was the administrator of the Church funds, the deacons being subordinate dispensers (1 Tim. iii. 8). But this is not the bishop's most important function. (b) His control goes further; it extends to the preservation of the apostolic tradition. He is the guardian of discipline, the true $i\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \dot{\eta} s$ (1 Tim. iii. 5); "holding by the faithful word which is according to the doctrine, that he may be able both to exhort in the wholesome doctrine

and to convict the gainsayers" (Tit. i. 9). (c) He must be of good repute, because he is the persona ecclesiae; he represents the Church to those without (1 Tim. iii. 2, 7; Titus i. 7). All this is very like the later idea of the $\epsilon \pi (\sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \sigma s)$, and unlike the later idea of the $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \acute{\nu} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma s$, save in one point. The bishop of the Pastorals is to be apt to teach (1 Tim. iii. 2). This is not a function that appears prominently in the later writings; such a peculiarly pastoral duty becomes rather appropriated to the presbyters. It seems further from 1 Tim. v. 17 that all the presbyters of the Pastorals did not teach; "those who rule well are to be counted worthy of double honour, especially those who labour in the word and in teaching." Rule is their normal duty, but of those who rule some do not teach.

One passage in the Pastorals, indeed, suggests at first sight the identity of the $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$ and the $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \acute{v} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$. "Appoint presbyters in every city...if any be blameless...for the bishop must be blameless as the steward of God" (Tit. i. 5-7). It can hardly however be matter of accident that the $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$ is thus markedly spoken of in the singular, while the πρεσβύτεροι are mentioned in the plural, and that the definite article $\tau \delta \nu \epsilon \pi i$ $\sigma\kappa o\pi o\nu$ is here used (see note in loc.). And, apart from this consideration, we can understand the language used if we remember that the presbyterate was a very important office from the beginning, not only in view of its spiritual functions, but in respect of the powers of the presbyteral council. Thus (as in Clement) it would naturally be the body which would decide upon the person or persons to be appointed to the episcopate. At first. and probably as long as they had the power, for human nature was much the same then as now, the presbyters would nominate one of their own body for this office. The ἐπίσκοποι would be all πρεσβύτεροι, though not necessarily vice versa. And thus when St Paul bids Titus be careful about the persons to be ordained presbyter, for the bishop must be blameless, he need not imply more than this, that as the bishop would naturally be chosen out of the presbyteral body, it was of the highest importance that each member of that body should be of good character.

On a review of all the evidence it is not too much to say that the only passages which even suggest the interchangeability in the N.T. of the terms ἐπίσκοπος and πρεσβύτερος are Acts xx. 28 and Tit. i. 8. But they are susceptible of explanations which fall in with the supposition that the words represent distinct functions (which might, on occasion, be discharged by the same individual). And thus we do not regard these passages as inconsistent with the conclusions to which all the other evidence points. These conclusions are four in number. (1) The episcopate and presbyterate were distinct in origin and in function; the difference of name points to a difference in duty, although no doubt many duties would be common to both, especially in primitive and half-organised communities. (2) The bishops were originally selected by the presbyteral council, and probably from their own body. (3) There were often several bishops in one place, the number being a matter non-essential. (4) A conspicuous part of the bishop's duty was the administration of worship—the λειτουργία in the largest sense; he is above all things an official, the representative of his Church and the director of its discipline.

A larger question is, no doubt, involved as to the significance of the bishop's office in the continuous life of the Church, which it does not come within the scope of this Introduction to discuss. There does not seem, however, to be good ground for rejecting Clement's express statement that the Apostles appointed $\epsilon \pi i$ σκοποι to provide for the perpetual succession of the Christian ministry. They took over the office of presbyter from the Jewish Church, and gave to it higher and more spiritual functions, the due discharge of which was provided for by the χάρισμα or grace conveyed in the act of ordination, as the Pastoral Epistles teach (1 Tim. iv. 14; 2 Tim. i. 6). And so they took over the office of επίσκοπος from the Greek societies in which Christianity was growing; and they gave to that office also higher and more spiritual functions. The Greek ἐπίσκοπος in a secular association was a representative and responsible official, without any necessarily religious duties. The Christian επίσκοπος was also a representative and responsible official. His position in respect of Church funds, in respect of communication with other Churches, and in respect of the liturgical service of the Christian society, all mark him as representing the Church, as the persona These were all duties that in the first Christian generation were performed by Apostles. And they, as Clement informs us-and there does not seem to be any other key to the sequel, - delegated these duties to the ἐπίσκοποι that were to come after them, with the right of continuing that succession for the future. As time went on it was this last function that became especially prominent and was counted the essentia of the episcopal office; nor could we now, even if we wished, alter the conception. For whether or not the institution of the Christian episcopate in this sense was due to the direct command of our Lord Himself-a question which we have no means of answering from history-certain it is that it was due to the direct and formal action of the Apostles whom He sent.

The bearing of this discussion upon the date of the Pastoral Epistles may be thus summarised. The Pastorals shew us the episcopate in a somewhat early stage of its development. The bishop's office is not yet so distinguished from that of the presbyter that he does not take part in the instruction of the faithful. The bishop of the Pastorals must be "apt to teach" (1 Tim. iii, 2). Again, the monarchical episcopate of the days of Ignatius is not vet established. However we describe the office held by Timothy and Titus in their own persons—and that it included that of bishop seems tolerably certain—we could not infer from the instructions given to them that there must be only one bishop in each community, which very early became the common practice of the Church. And though the bishops of the Pastorals must not be greedy of money, there is no such formal assignment of the duties falling to them as administrators of Church alms as we should expect in a second century pastoral letter. They are to be "given to hospitality" (1 Tim. iii. 2); but their office as representatives of the Church in its external relations does not come into the prominence that it assumed at a later period. Some of these indications may be trivial, but taken together they do not permit us to date the Pastorals later than the first century. But if the Pastoral Letters are first century documents, there is no adequate reason forbidding us to acquiesce in their own claim, confirmed by the unbroken tradition of the Christian Church, that they were written by the hand of St Paul.

CHAPTER VI.

THE GREEK TEXT.

The principles have been already explained (p. v.) by which the Greek text of the several books of the New Testament, as printed in this series, is determined. The main authorities (exclusive of the Patristic citations) for the text of the Pastoral Epistles may be thus classified:

i. Uncial Manuscripts.

ℵ, the famous Codex Sinaiticus (saec. IV), now at St Petersburg, published in facsimile type by its discoverer Tischendorf, in 1862. It contains the Epistles without any lacuna. The symbol ℵ° is used to indicate the corrections introduced by a scribe of the 7th century, ℵ* denoting the autograph of the original scribe.

A, Codex Alexandrinus (saec. v), at the British Museum, published in photographic facsimile by Sir E. M. Thompson. It contains the Epistles without any lacuna.

C, Codex Ephraemi (saec. v), the Paris palimpsest (Bibl. nat. 9), first edited by Tischendorf. The text of the Epistles is lacking from 1 Tim. i, 1—iii. 9 and from 1 Tim. v. 20—vi. 21.

 D_2 , Codex Claromontanus (saec. vI), a Graeco-Latin MS. at Paris (*Bibl. nat.* 107), first edited by Tischendorf (1852). D_2 ° denotes the readings introduced by a ninth century corrector. The Latin text is represented by the symbol d; it follows the Old Latin version, with modifications.

E, Codex Sangermanensis (saec. IX), a Graeco-Latin MS. at St Petersburg. The Greek text is a mere transcript of D₂, and is not therefore cited in this edition, as not being an independent

authority. The Latin text e (a corrected copy of d) has been printed (not very accurately) by Belsheim (Christiania, 1885). The MS. is defective from 1 Tim. i. 1—vi. 15.

F, Codex Augiensis (saec. IX), a Graeco-Latin MS. at Trinity College, Cambridge (B. xvii. 1), edited by Scrivener (1859). The Greek text is almost identical with that of G, and therefore we do not cite it, save at I Tim. v. 21, where alone, among the readings recorded in our critical apparatus, F and G disagree. Its Latin version (f) is, however, worthy of being cited; it presents the Vulgate text, altered in some places.

G, Codex Boernerianus (saec. Ix), a Graeco-Latin MS. at Dresden, edited by Matthaei (1791). It once formed part of the same volume as Codex Sangallensis (Δ) of the Gospels, and was evidently written by an Irish scribe. Its Latin version (g) is based on the prae-Hieronymian translation, but has been modified a good deal.

H, Codex Coislinianus (saec. vI), whose fragments are dispersed in various Libraries. The portions of the Pastoral Epistles which survive (at Paris and Turin) comprise 1 Tim. i. 4—iii. 2, iii. 7—13, vi. 9—13; 2 Tim. i. 17—ii. 9; Tit. i. 1—3, 15—ii. 5, iii. 13—15. They were edited by Omont¹, and some additional leaves were read by J. A. Robinson².

I, Codex Petropolitanus (saec. v), at St Petersburg, whose fragments were edited by Tischendorf. Of the Pastoral Epistles it contains Titus i. 1—13 only.

K, Codex Mosquensis (saec. IX), at Moscow, edited by Matthaei (1782); complete for these Epp.

L, Codex Angelicus (saec. 1x), at Rome, collated by Tischendorf and Tregelles; complete for these Epp.

P, Codex Porphyrianus (saec. IX), at St Petersburg, collated by Tischendorf. It is illegible in parts between 1 Tim. vi. 7—12 and between 2 Tim. i. 2—5.

T^s, a fragment (sacc. v?), at Paris (Egyptian Mus. Louvre 7332), edited by Zahn³; it only contains 1 Tim. iii. 15, 16, vi. 3.

¹ Notices et extraits, xxxIII. i. p. 141 (1889).

² Euthaliana, p. 63 (1895).

⁸ Forschungen, Suppl. Clem. p. 277.

Ψ, an unpublished Codex (saec. Ix?), at Mount Athos. It is said to be complete.

Z, Codex Patiriensis (saec. v), at Rome (Vat. Gr. 2061); it contains, inter alia, 1 Tim. v. 6—vi. 45; 2 Tim. i. 1—ii. 25; Tit. iii. 13—15. Its text has not been published in its entirety.

The fact that B is lacking for these Epistles deprives us of a primary authority the loss of which is very serious. As in the Pauline Epistles generally, the type of text known as 'Western' (here represented by DG) does not present such wide divergences from the other types as it does in the Gospels and Acts; but nevertheless the combination DG is interesting. *ACLP often go together, and form a group which, in Westcott and Hort's nomenclature, would be described as 'Alexandrian': the later uncials KLP represent the type which they call 'Syrian.' The combination *H arm is frequent, and needs attention.

ii. Minuscules.

The minuscule manuscripts are very numerous, and only a few need be mentioned. Those numbered Paul. 1, 2, 4, 7 (all at Basle) have a historical interest from the fact that Erasmus used them for the *editio princeps* (1516), but they are not of the first rank. 17 (saec. IX), "the queen of cursives," is at Paris; 37 is the famous Leicester codex=Ev. 69; 67 (at Vienna, saec. XI); 73 (at Upsala, saec. XI); 137 (at Paris, saec. XIII), and 181 (at Florence, saec. XIII) are also of importance.

iii. Versions.

1. Latin. Of Latin, Versions d, e, f, g have been already mentioned.

We have also of the Old Latin the fragmentary Codex Frisingensis (r) of the 5th or 6th century, containing 1 Tim. i. 12—ii. 15; v. 18—vi. 13, edited by Ziegler (Marburg, 1876).

Evidence is also to be found in the citations of Tertullian, Cyprian, the Latin Irenaeus, Hilary, and the Speculum (m), which represents the Bible of the Spaniard Priscillian.

The Vulgate of the Pauline Epistles differs but little from the prae-Hieronymian Latin.

2. Syriac. Here we have (a) the Peshitto (saec. III?); and

- (b) the Harclean version (saec. VII), based on the older version of Philoxenus (saec. VI).
- 3. Egyptian. Of these versions we have (a) the Bohairic or the North Coptic, and (b) the Sahidic or the South Coptic, the language of Upper Egypt. The dates of these versions are as yet undetermined, but they are probably later than the second century.
- 4. Armenian. This version is generally regarded as of the fifth century.

Where the testimony of these witnesses is cited in the following pages, it has been derived from the eighth edition of Tischendorf's *Novum Testamentum Graece*.

πΡοΣ TIMOΘΕΟΝ Α΄ πΡοΣ TITON

ΠΡΟΣ ΤΙΜΟΘΕΟΝ Α΄

1 ¹Παῦλος ἀπόστολος Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ κατ' ἐπιταγὴν θεοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τῆς ἐλπίδος
ἡμῶν ²Τιμοθέφ γνησίφ τέκνφ ἐν πίστει. χάρις, ἔλεος,
εἰρήνη ἀπὸ θεοῦ πατρὸς καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ κυρίου
ἡμῶν.

³ Καθώς παρεκάλεσά σε προσμείναι ἐν Ἐφέσφ, πορευόμενος είς Μακεδονίαν, ίνα παραγιγείλης τισίν μή έτεροδιδασκαλεῖν ⁴μηδὲ προσέχειν μύθοις καὶ γενεαλογίαις ἀπεράντοις, αίτινες ἐκζητήσεις παρέχουσιν μᾶλλον η οἰκονομίαν θεοῦ τὴν ἐν πίστει τὸ δὲ τέλος τῆς παραγγελίας έστιν άγάπη έκ καθαράς καρδίας και συνειδήσεως άγαθης καὶ πίστεως άνυποκρίτου, 6 ων τινές αστοχήσαντες έξετραπησαν είς ματαιολογίαν, ⁷ θέλοντες είναι νομοδιδάσκαλοι, μὴ νοοῦντες μήτε ἃ λέγουσιν μήτε περί τίνων διαβεβαιούνται. ⁸οἴδαμεν δὲ ὅτι καλὸς ὁ νόμος, ἐάν τις αὐτῷ νομίμως χρηται, ° είδως τοῦτο, ὅτι δικαίφ νόμος οὐ κεῖται, ἀνόμοις δὲ καὶ άνυποτάκτοις, ἀσεβέσι καὶ άμαρτωλοῖς, ἀνοσίοις καὶ βεβήλοις, πατρολώαις καὶ μητρολώαις, ἀνδροφόνοις, 10 πόρνοις, ἀρσενοκοίταις, ἀνδραποδισταῖς, ψεύσταις, έπιόρκοις, καὶ εἴ τι ἕτερον τἢ ὑγιαινούση διδασκαλία ἀντίκειται, 11 κατὰ τὸ εὐαγγέλιον τῆς δόξης τοῦ μακαρίου

θεοῦ, ὁ ἐπιστεύθην ἐγώ. 12 χάριν ἔχω τῷ ἐνδυναμώσαντί με Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ τῷ κυρίῷ ἡμῶν, ὅτι πιστόν με ἡγήσατο θέμενος εἰς διακονίαν, 18 τὸ πρότερον ὅντα βλάσφημον καὶ διώκτην καὶ ὑβριστήν ἀλλὰ ἠλεήθην, ὅτι ἀγνοῶν ἐποίησα ἐν ἀπιστίᾳ, 14 ὑπερεπλεόνασεν δὲ ἡ χάρις τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν μετὰ πίστεως καὶ ἀγάπης τῆς ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ. 15 πιστὸς ὁ λόγος καὶ πάσης ἀποδοχῆς ἄξιος, ὅτι Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦς ἦλθεν εἰς τὸν κόσμον ἀμαρτωλοὺς σῶσαι, ὧν πρῶτός εἰμι ἐγώ· 16 ἀλλὰ διὰ τοῦτο ἡλεήθην, ἵνα ἐν ἐμοὶ πρώτῷ ἐνδείξηται Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς τὴν ἄπασαν μακροθυμίαν, πρὸς ὑποτύπωσιν τῶν μελλόντων πιστεύειν ἐπ' αὐτῷ εἰς ζωὴν αἰώνιον. 17 τῷ δὲ βασιλεῖ τῶν αἰώνων, ἀφθάρτῷ ἀοράτῷ μόνῷ θεῷ, τιμὴ καὶ δόξα εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων ἀμήν.

18 Ταύτην τὴν παραγγελίαν παρατίθεμαί σοι, τέκνον Τιμόθεε, κατὰ τὰς προαγούσας ἐπὶ σὲ προφητείας, ἵνα στρατεύση ἐν αὐταῖς τὴν καλὴν στρατείαν, ¹9 ἔχων πίστιν καὶ ἀγαθὴν συνείδησιν, ἥν τινες ἀπωσάμενοι περὶ τὴν πίστιν ἐναυάγησαν ⁰ ὧν ἐστὶν 'Υμέναιος καὶ ᾿Αλέξανδρος, οὖς παρέδωκα τῷ σατανῷ, ἵνα παιδευθῶσιν μὴ βλασφημεῖν.

2 ¹Παρακαλῶ οὖν πρῶτον πάντων ποιεῖσθαι δεήσεις, προσευχάς, ἐντεύξεις, εὐχαριστίας, ὑπὲρ πάντων ἀνθρώπων, ²ὑπὲρ βασιλέων καὶ πάντων τῶν ἐν ὑπεροχῆ ὅντων, ἵνα ἤρεμον καὶ ἡσύχιον βίον διάγωμεν ἐν πάση εὐσεβεία καὶ σεμνότητι. ³ τοῦτο καλὸν καὶ ἀπόδεκτον ἐνώπιον τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ, ⁴δς πάντας ἀνθρώπους θέλει σωθῆναι καὶ εἰς ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείας ἐλθεῖν. ⁵εἰς γὰρ θεός, εἰς καὶ μεσίτης θεοῦ καὶ ἀνθρώπων, ἄνθρωπος Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦς, ³ ὁ δοὺς ἑαυτὸν ἀντίλυτρον ὑπὲρ πάντων, τὸ μαρτύριον καιροῖς ἰδίοις, ²εἰς δ ἐτέθην ἐγὼ κῆρυξ

καὶ ἀπόστολος, ἀλήθειαν λέγω, οὐ ψεύδομαι, διδάσκαλος ἐθνῶν ἐν πίστει καὶ ἀληθεία.

⁸ Βούλομαι οὖν προσεύχεσθαι τοὺς ἄνδρας ἐν παντὶ τόπῳ, ἐπαίροντας ὁσίους χεῖρας χωρὶς ὀργῆς καὶ διαλογισμοῦ· ⁹ ὡσαύτως καὶ γυναῖκας ἐν καταστολῆ κοσμίῳ, μετὰ αἰδοῦς καὶ σωφροσύνης κοσμεῖν ἑαυτάς, μὴ ἐν πλέγμασιν καὶ χρυσῷ ἡ μαργαρίταις ἡ ἱματισμῷ πολυτελεῖ, ¹⁰ ἀλλ' ὁ πρέπει γυναιξὶν ἐπαγγελλομέναις θεοσέβειαν, δι' ἔργων ἀγαθῶν. ¹¹ Γυνὴ ἐν ἡσυχίᾳ μανθανέτω ἐν πάσῃ ὑποταγῆ· ¹² διδάσκειν δὲ γυναικὶ οὐκ ἐπιτρέπω, οὐδὲ αὐθεντεῖν ἀνδρός, ἀλλ' εἶναι ἐν ἡσυχίᾳ. ¹³ ᾿Αδὰμ γὰρ πρῶτος ἐπλάσθη, εἶτα Εὐα. ¹⁴ καὶ ᾿Αδὰμ οὐκ ἡπατήθη, ἡ δὲ γυνὴ ἐξαπατηθεῖσα ἐν παραβάσει γέγονεν, ¹⁵ σωθήσεται δὲ διὰ τῆς τεκνογονίας, ἐὰν μείνωσιν ἐν πίστει καὶ ἀγάπῃ καὶ ἁγιασμῷ μετὰ σωφροσύνης.

3 Πιστὸς ὁ λόγος εἴ τις ἐπισκοπῆς ὀρέγεται, καλοῦ ἔργου ἐπιθυμεῖ. ² δεῖ οὖν τὸν ἐπίσκοπον ἀνεπίλημπτον εἶναι, μιᾶς γυναικὸς ἄνδρα, νηφάλιον, σώφρονα, κόσμιον, φιλόξενον, διδακτικόν, ³ μὴ πάροινον, μὴ πλήκτην, ἀλλὰ ἐπιεικῆ, ἄμαχον, ἀφιλάργυρον, ⁴ τοῦ ἰδίου οἴκου καλῶς προϊστάμενον, τέκνα ἔχοντα ἐν ὑποταγῆ μετὰ πάσης σεμνότητος,—⁵ εἰ δέ τις τοῦ ἰδίου οἴκου προστῆναι οὐκ οἶδεν, πῶς ἐκκλησίας θεοῦ ἐπιμελήσεται;—⁶ μὴ νεόφυτον, ἵνα μὴ τυφωθεὶς εἰς κρίμα ἐμπέσῃ τοῦ διαβόλου. ¹ δεῖ δὲ καὶ μαρτυρίαν καλὴν ἔχειν ἀπὸ τῶν ἔξωθεν, ἵνα μὴ εἰς ὀνειδισμὸν ἐμπέσῃ καὶ παγίδα τοῦ διαβόλου. ⁶ Διακόνους ὡσαύτως σεμνούς, μὴ διλόγους, μὴ οἴνφ πολλῷ προσέχοντας, μὴ αἰσχροκερδεῖς, ⁵ἔχοντας τὸ μυστήριον τῆς πίστεως ἐν καθαρῷ συνειδήσει. ¹ο καὶ οὖτοι δὲ δοκιμαζέσθωσαν

πρῶτον, εἶτα διακονείτωσαν ἀνέγκλητοι ὄντες. ¹¹ γυναῖκας ὡσαύτως σεμνάς, μὴ διαβόλους, νηφαλίους, πιστὰς ἐν πᾶσιν. ¹² διάκονοι ἔστωσαν μιᾶς γυναικὸς ἄνδρες, τέκνων καλῶς προϊστάμενοι καὶ τῶν ἰδίων οἴκων. ¹³ οἱ γὰρ καλῶς διακονήσαντες βαθμὸν ἑαυτοῖς καλὸν περιποιοῦνται καὶ πολλὴν παρρησίαν ἐν πίστει τἢ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ.

14 Ταῦτά σοι γράφω ἐλπίζων ἐλθεῖν πρὸς σὲ τάχιον·
15 ἐὰν δὲ βραδύνω, ἵνα εἰδῆς πῶς δεῖ ἐν οἴκῷ θεοῦ ἀναστρέφεσθαι, ἤτις ἐστὶν ἐκκλησία θεοῦ ζῶντος, στῦλος καὶ ἑδραίωμα τῆς ἀληθείας.
16 καὶ ὁμολογουμένως μέγα ἐστὶν τὸ τῆς εὐσεβείας μυστήριον· δς ἐφανερώθη ἐν σαρκί, ἐδικαιώθη ἐν πνεύματι, ἄφθη ἀγγέλοις, ἐκηρύχθη ἐν ἔθνεσιν, ἐπιστεύθη ἐν κόσμῷ, ἀνελήμφθη ἐν δόξῃ.

4 ¹Τὸ δὲ πνεῦμα ρητῶς λέγει ὅτι ἐν ὑστέροις καιροῖς ἀποστήσονταί τινες τῆς πίστεως, προσέχοντες πνεύμασιν πλάνοις καὶ διδασκαλίαις δαιμονίων, ² ἐν ὑποκρίσει ψευδολόγων, κεκαυστηριασμένων τὴν ἰδίαν συνείδησιν, ³ κωλυόντων γαμεῖν, ἀπέχεσθαι βρωμάτων, ὰ ὁ θεὸς ἔκτισεν εἰς μετάλημψιν μετὰ εὐχαριστίας τοῖς πιστοῖς καὶ ἐπεγνωκόσι τὴν ἀλήθειαν. ⁴ ὅτι πᾶν κτίσμα θεοῦ καλόν, καὶ οὐδὲν ἀπόβλητον μετὰ εὐχαριστίας λαμβανόμενον ⁵ ἀγιάζεται γὰρ διὰ λόγου θεοῦ καὶ ἐντεύξεως.

⁶Ταῦτα ὑποτιθέμενος τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς καλὸς ἔση διάκονος Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ, ἐντρεφόμενος τοῖς λόγοις τῆς πίστεως καὶ τῆς καλῆς διδασκαλίας ἦ παρηκολούθηκας τοὺς δὲ βεβήλους καὶ γραώδεις μύθους παραιτοῦ. γύμναζε δὲ σεαυτὸν πρὸς εὐσέβειαν. ⁸ ἡ γὰρ σωματικὴ γυμνασία πρὸς ὀλίγον ἐστὶν ἀφέλιμος ἡ δὲ εὐσέβεια πρὸς πάντα ἀφέλιμός ἐστιν, ἐπαγγελίαν ἔχουσα ζωῆς

τῆς νῦν καὶ τῆς μελλούσης. *πιστὸς ὁ λόγος καὶ πάσης ἀποδοχῆς ἄξιος. ¹⁰ εἰς τοῦτο γὰρ κοπιῶμεν καὶ ἀγωνιζόμεθα, ὅτι ἢλπίκαμεν ἐπὶ θεῷ ζῶντι, ὅς ἐστιν σωτὴρ πάντων ἀνθρώπων, μάλιστα πιστῶν.

11 Παράγγελλε ταῦτα καὶ δίδασκε. 12 μηδείς σου τῆς νεότητος καταφρονείτω, ἀλλὰ τύπος γίνου τῶν πιστῶν, ἐν λόγῳ, ἐν ἀναστροφῆ, ἐν ἀγάπη, ἐν πίστει, ἐν άγνείᾳ. 13 ἔως ἔρχομαι πρόσεχε τῆ ἀναγνώσει, τῆ παρακλήσει, τῆ διδασκαλίᾳ. 14 μὴ ἀμέλει τοῦ ἐν σοὶ χαρίσματος, ὁ ἐδόθη σοι διὰ προφητείας μετὰ ἐπιθέσεως τῶν χειρῶν τοῦ πρεσβυτερίου. 15 ταῦτα μελέτα, ἐν τούτοις ἴσθι, ἵνα σου ἡ προκοπὴ φανερὰ ἤ πᾶσιν. 16 ἔπεχε σεαυτῷ καὶ τῆ διδασκαλίᾳ, ἐπίμενε αὐτοῖς τοῦτο γὰρ ποιῶν καὶ σεαυτὸν σώσεις καὶ τοὺς ἀκούοντάς σου.

5 ¹Πρεσβυτέρφ μὴ ἐπιπλήξης ἀλλὰ παρακάλει ὡς πατέρα, νεωτέρους ὡς ἀδελφούς, ²πρεσβυτέρας ὡς μητέρας, νεωτέρας ὡς ἀδελφὰς ἐν πάση ἀγνείą. ³ Χήρας τίμα τὰς ὅντως χήρας. ⁴ εἰ δέ τις χήρα τέκνα ἢ ἔκγονα ἔχει, μανθανέτωσαν πρῶτον τὸν ἴδιον οἶκον εὐσεβεῖν καὶ ἀμοιβὰς ἀποδιδόναι τοῖς προγόνοις τοῦτο γάρ ἐστιν ἀπόδεκτον ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ. ⁵ ἡ δὲ ὄντως χήρα καὶ μεμονωμένη ἤλπικεν ἐπὶ τὸν θεὸν καὶ προσμένει ταῖς δεήσεσιν καὶ ταῖς προσευχαῖς νυκτὸς καὶ ἡμέρας ⁶ ἡ δὲ σπαταλῶσα ζῶσα τέθνηκεν. ⁷ καὶ ταῦτα παράγγελλε ἵνα ἀνεπίλημπτοι ὧσιν. ⁸ εἰ δέ τις τῶν ἰδίων καὶ μάλιστα οἰκείων οὐ προνοεῖται, τὴν πίστιν ἤρνηται καὶ ἔστιν ἀπίστου χείρων.

⁹ Χήρα καταλεγέσθω μὴ ἔλαττον ἐτῶν ἑξήκοντα γεγονυῖα, ἐνὸς ἀνδρὸς γυνή, ¹⁰ ἐν ἔργοις καλοῖς μαρτυρουμένη, εἰ ἐτεκνοτρόφησεν, εἰ ἐξενοδόχησεν, εἰ ἀγίων πόδας ἔνιψεν, εἰ θλιβομένοις ἐπήρκεσεν, εἰ παντὶ ἔργφ

αγαθῷ ἐπηκολούθησεν. ¹¹ νεωτέρας δὲ χήρας παραιτοῦ ὅταν γὰρ καταστρηνιάσωσιν τοῦ Χριστοῦ, γαμεῖν θέλουσιν, ¹² ἔχουσαι κρίμα ὅτι τὴν πρώτην πίστιν ἠθέτησαν ¹³ ἄμα δὲ καὶ ἀργαὶ μανθάνουσιν περιερχόμεναι τὰς οἰκίας, οὐ μόνον δὲ ἀργαὶ ἀλλὰ καὶ φλύαροι καὶ περίεργοι, λαλοῦσαι τὰ μὴ δέοντα. ¹⁴ βούλομαι οῦν νεωτέρας γαμεῖν, τεκνογονεῖν, οἰκοδεσποτεῖν, μηδεμίαν ἀφορμὴν διδόναι τῷ ἀντικειμένῷ λοιδορίας χάριν ¹⁶ ἤδη γάρ τινες ἐξετράπησαν ὀπίσω τοῦ σατανᾶ. ¹⁶ εἴ τις πιστὴ ἔχει χήρας, ἐπαρκείσθω αὐταῖς, καὶ μὴ βαρείσθω ἡ ἐκκλησία, ἵνα ταῖς ὄντως χήραις ἐπαρκέση.

¹⁷ Οἱ καλῶς προεστῶτες πρεσβύτεροι διπλῆς τιμῆς ἀξιούσθωσαν, μάλιστα οἱ κοπιῶντες ἐν λόγφ καὶ διδασκαλίᾳ. ¹⁸ λέγει γὰρ ἡ γραφή, Βοῦν ἀλοῶντα οὐ φιμώσεις, καί, ᾿Αξιος ὁ ἐργάτης τοῦ μισθοῦ αὐτοῦ. ¹⁹ κατὰ πρεσβυτέρου κατηγορίαν μὴ παραδέχου, ἐκτὸς εἰ μὴ ἐπὶ δύο ἡ τριῶν μαρτύρων. ²⁰ Τοὺς ἁμαρτάνοντας ἐνώπιον πάντων ἔλεγχε, ἵνα καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ φόβον ἔχωσιν.

²¹ Διαμαρτύρομαι ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ καὶ τῶν ἐκλεκτῶν ἀγγέλων ἵνα ταῦτα φυλάξης χωρὶς προκρίματος, μηδὲν ποιῶν κατὰ πρόσκλισιν. ²² Χεῖρας ταχέως μηδενὶ ἐπιτίθει, μηδὲ κοινώνει ἀμαρτίαις ἀλλοτρίαις. σεαυτὸν ἀγνὸν τήρει. ²³ μηκέτι ὑδροπότει, ἀλλὰ οἴνῳ ὀλίγῳ χρῶ διὰ τὸν στόμαχον καὶ τὰς πυκνάς σου ἀσθενείας. ²⁴ Τινῶν ἀνθρώπων αἱ ἁμαρτίαι πρόδηλοί εἰσιν προάγουσαι εἰς κρίσιν, τισὶν δὲ καὶ ἐπακολουθοῦσιν. ²⁵ ὡσαύτως καὶ τὰ ἔργα τὰ καλὰ πρόδηλα, καὶ τὰ ἄλλως ἔχοντα κρυβῆναι οὐ δύνανται.

6 ¹⁴ Οσοι είσιν ύπο ζυγον δούλοι, τους ίδίους δεσπότας πάσης τιμής άξίους ήγείσθωσαν, ίνα μη το

δυομα τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ ἡ διδασκαλία βλασφημῆται. ²οἱ δὲ πιστοὺς ἔχοντες δεσπότας μὴ καταφρονείτωσαν, ὅτι ἀδελφοί εἰσιν, ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον δουλευέτωσαν, ὅτι πιστοί εἰσιν καὶ ἀγαπητοὶ οἱ τῆς εὐεργεσίας ἀντιλαμβανόμενοι.

Ταῦτα δίδασκε καὶ παρακάλει. 3 εἴ τις ἐτεροδιδασκαλεί καὶ μὴ προσέρχεται ὑγιαίνουσιν λόγοις τοίς τοῦ κυρίου ήμῶν Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ καὶ τῆ κατ' εὐσέβειαν διδασκαλία, 4 τετύφωται, μηδέν έπιστάμενος, άλλα νοσών περί ζητήσεις καὶ λογομαχίας, έξ ὧν γίνεται φθόνος, έρις, βλασφημίαι, υπόνοιαι πονηραί, διαπαρατριβαί διεφθαρμένων ανθρώπων τον νούν και απεστερημένων της άληθείας, νομιζόντων πορισμόν είναι την εὐσέβειαν. ε έστιν δε πορισμός μέγας ή ευσέβεια μετά αυταρκείας. ούδεν γάρ είσηνέγκαμεν είς τον κόσμον, ὅτι οὐδε έξενεγκείν τι δυνάμεθα· εξγοντες δε διατροφάς καὶ σκεπάσματα, τούτοις άρκεσθησόμεθα. οι δε βουλόμενοι πλουτείν εμπίπτουσιν είς πειρασμόν και παγίδα καὶ ἐπιθυμίας πολλάς ἀνοήτους καὶ βλαβεράς, αίτινες βυθίζουσιν τούς ανθρώπους είς όλεθρον και απώλειαν. 10 ρίζα γὰρ πάντων τῶν κακῶν ἐστὶν ἡ φιλαργυρία, ἦς τινες ορεγόμενοι απεπλανήθησαν από της πίστεως καί έαυτούς περιέπειραν όδύναις πολλαίς.

11 ∑ὐ δέ, ὧ ἄνθρωπε θεοῦ, ταῦτα φεῦγε· δίωκε δὲ δικαιοσύνην, εὐσέβειαν, πίστιν, ἀγάπην, ὑπομονήν, πραϋπαθίαν. 12 ἀγωνίζου τὸν καλὸν ἀγῶνα τῆς πίστεως, ἐπιλαβοῦ τῆς αἰωνίου ζωῆς, εἰς ἢν ἐκλήθης καὶ ὡμολόγησας τὴν καλὴν ὁμολογίαν ἐνώπιον πολλῶν μαρτύρων. 18 παραγγέλλω σοι ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ζωογονοῦντος τὰ πάντα καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ μαρτυρήσαντος ἐπὶ Ποντίου Πειλάτου τὴν καλὴν ὁμολογίαν, 14 τηρῆσαί σε τὴν ἐντολὴν ἄσπιλον ἀνεπίλημπτον μέχρι τῆς

ἐπιφανείας τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ, 15 ἡν καιροῖς ἰδίοις δείξει ὁ μακάριος καὶ μόνος δυνάστης, ὁ βασιλεὺς τῶν βασιλευόντων καὶ κύριος τῶν κυριευόντων, 16 ὁ μόνος ἔχων ἀθανασίαν, φῶς οἰκῶν ἀπρόσιτον, δν είδεν οὐδεὶς ἀνθρώπων οὐδὲ ἰδεῖν δύναται· ῷ τιμὴ καὶ κράτος αἰώνιον, ἀμήν.

¹⁷ Τοῖς πλουσίοις ἐν τῷ νῦν αἰῶνι παράγγελλε μὴ ὑψηλοφρονεῖν, μηδὲ ἠλπικέναι ἐπὶ πλούτου ἀδηλότητι, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ θεῷ τῷ παρέχοντι ἡμῖν πάντα πλουσίως εἰς ἀπόλαυσιν, ¹⁸ ἀγαθοεργεῖν, πλουτεῖν ἐν ἔργοις καλοῖς, εὐμεταδότους εἶναι, κοινωνικούς, ¹⁹ ἀποθησαυρίζοντας ἑαυτοῖς θεμέλιον καλὸν εἰς τὸ μέλλον, ἵνα ἐπιλάβωνται τῆς ὄντως ζωῆς.

^{20*}Ω Τιμόθεε, τὴν παραθήκην φύλαξον, ἐκτρεπόμενος τὰς βεβήλους κενοφωνίας καὶ ἀντιθέσεις τῆς ψευδωνύμου γνώσεως, ²¹ ἥν τινες ἐπαγγελλόμενοι περὶ τὴν πίστιν ἠστόχησαν.

'Η χάρις μεθ' ὑμῶν.

ΠΡΟΣ ΤΙΜΟΘΕΟΝ Β΄

1 Παῦλος ἀπόστολος Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ διὰ θελήματος θεοῦ κατ' ἐπαγγελίαν ζωῆς τῆς ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ
²Τιμοθέῷ ἀγαπητῷ τέκνῳ. χάρις, ἔλεος, εἰρήνη ἀπὸ
θεοῦ πατρὸς καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν.

³ Χάριν ἔχω τῷ θεῷ, ῷ λατρεύω ἀπὸ προγόνων ἐν καθαρά συνειδήσει, ώς άδιάλειπτον έχω την περί σοῦ μνείαν εν ταις δεήσεσιν μου νυκτός καὶ ήμέρας, ⁴έπιποθών σε ίδειν, μεμνημένος σου τών δακρύων ίνα χαράς πληρωθώ, δύπόμνησιν λαβών της έν σοὶ ἀνυποκρίτου πίστεως, ήτις ενώκησεν πρώτον εν τη μάμμη σου Λωίδι καὶ τἢ μητρί σου Εὐνίκη, πέπεισμαι δὲ ὅτι καὶ ἐν σοί. 6 Δι' ἣν αἰτίαν ἀναμιμνήσκω σε ἀναζωπυρεῖν τὸ χάρισμα τοῦ θεοῦ, ὅ ἐστιν ἐν σοὶ διὰ τῆς ἐπιθέσεως τῶν χειρῶν μου. του γάρ ἔδωκεν ήμιν ό θεὸς πνεθμα δειλίας, άλλά δυνάμεως καὶ ἀγάπης καὶ σωφρονισμοῦ. * μὴ οὖν ἐπαισχυνθής τὸ μαρτύριον τοῦ κυρίου ήμῶν μηδὲ ἐμὲ τὸν δέσμιον αὐτοῦ, ἀλλὰ συνκακοπάθησον τῷ εὐαγγελίω κατὰ δύναμιν θεοῦ, * τοῦ σώσαντος ήμᾶς καὶ καλέσαντος κλήσει δίγία, οὐ κατὰ τὰ ἔργα ήμῶν ἀλλὰ κατὰ ἰδίαν πρόθεσιν καὶ χάριν τὴν δοθεῖσαν ἡμῖν ἐν Χριστώ Ἰησοῦ πρὸ χρόνων αἰωνίων, 10 φανερωθεῖσαν δὲ νῦν διὰ τῆς ἐπιφανείας τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ, καταργήσαντος μὲν τὸν θάνατον, φωτίσαντος δὲ ζωὴν καὶ ἀφθαρσίαν διὰ τοῦ εὐαγγελίου, 11 εἰς δ ἐτέθην ἐγὰ κῆρυξ καὶ ἀπόστολος καὶ διδάσκαλος ἐθνῶν· 12 δι' ἡν αἰτίαν καὶ ταῦτα πάσχω, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐπαισχύνομαι· οἶδα γὰρ ῷ πεπίστευκα, καὶ πέπεισμαι ὅτι δυνατός ἐστιν τὴν παραθήκην μου φυλάξαι εἰς ἐκείνην τὴν ἡμέραν. 13 ὑποτύπωσιν ἔχε ὑγιαινόντων λόγων ὧν παρ' ἐμοῦ ἤκουσας ἐν πίστει καὶ ἀγάπη τῆ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ· 14 τὴν καλὴν παραθήκην φύλαξον διὰ πνεύματος ἀγίου τοῦ ἐνοικοῦντος ἐν ἡμῦν.

15 Οίδας τοῦτο, ὅτι ἀπεστράφησάν με πάντες οἱ ἐν τῆ ᾿Ασίᾳ, ὧν ἐστὶν Φύγελος καὶ Ἐρμογένης. 16 δψη ἔλεος ὁ κύριος τῷ Ὁνησιφόρου οἴκῳ, ὅτι πολλάκις με ἀνέψυξεν καὶ τὴν ἄλυσίν μου οὐκ ἐπαισχύνθη, 17 ἀλλὰ γενόμενος ἐν Ῥώμη σπουδαίως ἐζήτησέν με καὶ εὕρεν. 18 δψη αὐτῷ ὁ κύριος εὑρεῖν ἔλεος παρὰ κυρίου ἐν ἐκείνη τἢ ἡμέρᾳ. καὶ ὅσα ἐν Ἐφέσῳ διηκόνησεν, βέλτιον σὺ γινώσκεις.

2 Σὶ οὖν, τέκνον μου, ἐνδυναμοῦ ἐν τῇ χάριτι τῇ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ, ² καὶ ἃ ἤκουσας παρ' ἐμοῦ διὰ πολλῶν μαρτύρων, ταῦτα παράθου πιστοῖς ἀνθρώποις, οἵτινες ἱκανοὶ ἔσονται καὶ ἑτέρους διδάξαι. ³ συνκακοπάθησον ὡς καλὸς στρατιώτης Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ. ⁴ οὐδεὶς στρατευόμενος ἐμπλέκεται ταῖς τοῦ βίου πραγματίαις, ἵνα τῷ στρατολογήσαντι ἀρέσῃ. ⁵ ἐὰν δὲ καὶ ἀθλῇ τις, οὐ στεφανοῦται ἐὰν μὴ νομίμως ἀθλήσῃ. ° τὸν κοπιῶντα γεωργὸν δεῖ πρῶτον τῶν καρπῶν μεταλαμβάνειν. ¹ νόει δ λέγω· δώσει γάρ σοι ὁ κύριος σύνεσιν ἐν πᾶσιν. ° Μνημόνευε Ἰησοῦν Χριστὸν ἐγηγερμένον ἐκ νεκρῶν, ἐκ σπέρματος Δαυείδ, κατὰ τὸ εὐαγγέλιόν μου, ° ἐν ῷ κακοπαθῶ μέχρι δεσμῶν ὡς κακοῦργος, ἀλλὰ ὁ λόγος

τοῦ θεοῦ οὐ δέδεται. 10 διὰ τοῦτο πάντα ὑπομένω διὰ τοὺς ἐκλεκτούς, ἵνα καὶ αὐτοὶ σωτηρίας τύχωσιν τῆς ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ μετὰ δόξης αἰωνίου. 11 Πιστὸς ὁ λόγος εἰ γὰρ συναπεθάνομεν, καὶ συνζήσομεν 12 εἰ ὑπομένομεν, καὶ συνβασιλεύσομεν εἰ ἀρνησόμεθα, κἀκεῖνος ἀρνήσεται ἡμᾶς 13 εἰ ἀπιστοῦμεν, ἐκεῖνος πιστὸς μένει, ἀρνήσασθαι γὰρ ἑαυτὸν οὐ δύναται.

14 Ταθτα υπομίμνησκε, διαμαρτυρόμενος ενώπιον τοθ θεοῦ μὴ λογομαχεῖν, ἐπ' οὐδὲν χρήσιμον, ἐπὶ καταστροφή τῶν ἀκουόντων. 15 σπούδασον σεαυτὸν δόκιμον παραστήσαι τῷ θεῷ, ἐργάτην ἀνεπαίσχυντον, ὀρθοτομοῦντα τὸν λόγον τῆς ἀληθείας. 16 τὰς δὲ βεβήλους κενοφωνίας περιίστασο έπὶ πλεῖον γὰρ προκόψουσιν ασεβείας, ¹⁷ καὶ ὁ λόγος αὐτῶν ὡς γάγγραινα νομὴν έξει ων έστιν Υμέναιος και Φιλητός, 18 οίτινες περί την άληθειαν ηστόχησαν, λέγοντες ανάστασιν ήδη γεγονέναι, καὶ ἀνατρέπουσιν τήν τινων πίστιν. 19 ὁ μέντοι στερεὸς θεμέλιος τοῦ θεοῦ ἔστηκεν, ἔχων τὴν σφραγίδα ταύτην "Εγνω κύριος τους όντας αὐτοῦ, καί 'Αποστήτω από αδικίας πας ο ονομάζων το όνομα κυρίου. 20 εν μεγάλη δε οικία ουκ έστιν μόνον σκεύη χρυσα καλ άργυρα, άλλα και ξύλινα και όστράκινα, και ά μεν είς τιμήν ὰ δὲ εἰς ἀτιμίαν. 21 ἐὰν οὖν τις ἐκκαθάρη ἑαυτὸν άπὸ τούτων, ἔσται σκεθος εἰς τιμήν, ἡγιασμένον, εὕχρηστον τῷ δεσπότη, εἰς πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθὸν ἡτοιμασμένον. 22 τὰς δὲ νεωτερικὰς ἐπιθυμίας φεῦγε, δίωκε δὲ δικαιοσύνην, πίστιν, ἀγάπην, εἰρήνην μετὰ τῶν ἐπικαλουμένων τὸν κύριον ἐκ καθαρᾶς καρδίας. 23 τὰς δὲ μωρὰς καὶ απαιδεύτους ζητήσεις παραιτοῦ, είδως ὅτι γεννωσιν μάχας ⁴ δοῦλον δὲ κυρίου οὐ δεῖ μάχεσθαι ἀλλὰ ἤπιον είναι πρὸς πάντας, διδακτικόν, ἀνεξίκακον, ε έν πραύτητι παιδεύοντα τοὺς ἀντιδιατιθεμένους, μήποτε δώη αὐτοῖς ὁ θεὸς μετάνοιαν εἰς ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείας, εκαὶ ἀνανή-ψωσιν ἐκ τῆς τοῦ διαβόλου παγίδος, ἐζωγρημένοι ὑπ' αὐτοῦ εἰς τὸ ἐκείνου θέλημα.

3 Τοῦτο δὲ γίνωσκε, ὅτι ἐν ἐσχάταις ἡμέραις ἐνστήσονται καιροί χαλεποί. ε έσονται γάρ οἱ ἄνθρωποι φίλαυτοι, φιλάργυροι, άλαζόνες, ὑπερήφανοι, βλάσφημοι, γονεύσιν ἀπειθείς, ἀχάριστοι, ἀνόσιοι, εἄστοργοι, ἄσπουδοι, διάβολοι, ἀκρατεῖς, ἀνήμεροι, ἀφιλάγαθοι, *προδόται, προπετεῖς, τετυφωμένοι, φιλήδονοι μᾶλλον ἢ φιλόθεοι, εξχοντες μόρφωσιν εύσεβείας την δε δύναμιν αὐτῆς ἠρνημένοι· καὶ τούτους ἀποτρέπου. εἐκ τούτων γάρ είσιν οἱ ἐνδύνοντες εἰς τὰς οἰκίας καὶ αἰχμαλωτίζοντες γυναικάρια σεσωρευμένα άμαρτίαις, άγόμενα ἐπιθυμίαις ποικίλαις, πάντοτε μανθάνοντα καὶ μηδέποτε εἰς ἐπίγνωσιν άληθείας έλθεῖν δυνάμενα. 8 δν τρόπον δὲ Ἰαννῆς καὶ Ἰαμβρης ἀντέστησαν Μωϋσεῖ, οὕτως καὶ οὖτοι ἀνθίστανται τη άληθεία, άνθρωποι κατεφθαρμένοι τὸν νοῦν, αδόκιμοι περί την πίστιν. ⁹αλλ' οὐ προκόψουσιν ἐπὶ πλείον ή γαρ άνοια αὐτων ἔκδηλος ἔσται πασιν, ως καὶ ἡ ἐκείνων ἐγένετο. 10 Σὰ δὲ παρηκολούθησάς μου τη διδασκαλία, τη άγωγη, τη προθέσει, τη πίστει, τη μακροθυμία, τη άγάπη, τη ύπομονή, 11 τοις διωγμοίς, τοις παθήμασιν, οιά μοι εγένετο εν Αντιοχεία, εν 'Ικονίω, εν Λύστροις οίους διωγμούς υπήνεγκα, καὶ έκ πάντων με έρύσατο ὁ κύριος. 12 καὶ πάντες δὲ οί θέλοντες ζην εὐσεβως εν Χριστώ Ίησοῦ διωχθήσονται. 13 Πουηροί δὲ ἄνθρωποι καὶ γόητες προκόψουσιν ἐπὶ τὸ χείρον, πλανώντες καὶ πλανώμενοι. 14 σύ δὲ μένε ἐν οἷς ἔμαθες καὶ ἐπιστώθης, εἰδὼς παρὰ τίνων ἔμαθες, 15 καὶ ὅτι ἀπὸ βρέφους [τὰ] ἱερὰ γράμματα οἶδας τὰ δυνάμενά σε σοφίσαι εἰς σωτηρίαν διὰ πίστεως τῆς ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ. ¹⁸ πᾶσα γραφὴ θεόπνευστος καὶ ἀφέλιμος πρὸς διδασκαλίαν, πρὸς ἐλεγμόν, πρὸς ἐπαν-όρθωσιν, πρὸς παιδίαν τὴν ἐν δικαιοσύνη, ¹⁷ ἵνα ἄρτιος ἢ ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ ἄνθρωπος, πρὸς πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθὸν ἐξηρτισμένος.

4 Διαμαρτύρομαι ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ Χριστοῦ Ίησοῦ τοῦ μέλλοντος κρίνειν ζώντας καὶ νεκρούς, καὶ την επιφάνειαν αὐτοῦ καὶ την βασιλείαν αὐτοῦ, εκήρυξον τον λόγον, επίστηθι εὐκαίρως ἀκαίρως, ἔλεγξον, ἐπιτίμησον, παρακάλεσον, εν πάση μακροθυμία και διδαχη̂. ³ ἔσται γὰρ καιρὸς ὅτε τῆς ὑγιαινούσης διδασκαλίας οὐκ ἀνέξονται, ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὰς ἰδίας ἐπιθυμίας ἑαυτοῖς έπισωρεύσουσιν διδασκάλους κνηθόμενοι την ακοήν. *καὶ ἀπὸ μὲν τῆς ἀληθείας τὴν ἀκοὴν ἀποστρέψουσιν, ἐπὶ δὲ τοὺς μύθους ἐκτραπήσονται. δοὺ δὲ νῆφε ἐν πασιν, κακοπάθησον, έργον ποίησον εὐαγγελιστοῦ, τὴν διακονίαν σου πληροφόρησον. 6 Έγω γαρ ήδη σπένδομαι, καὶ ὁ καιρὸς τῆς ἀναλύσεώς μου ἐφέστηκεν. τον καλον ἀγῶνα ἡγώνισμαι, τον δρόμον τετέλεκα, τὴν πίστιν τετήρηκα· * λοιπον απόκειταί μοι ο της δικαιοσύνης στέφανος, δν ἀποδώσει μοι ὁ κύριος ἐν ἐκείνη τῆ ήμέρα, ὁ δίκαιος κριτής, οὐ μόνον δὲ ἐμοὶ ἀλλὰ καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς ἠγαπηκόσι τὴν ἐπιφάνειαν αὐτοῦ.

⁹ Σπούδασον ελθεῖν πρός με ταχέως. ¹⁰ Δημᾶς γάρ με ἐγκατέλιπεν ἀγαπήσας τὸν νῦν αἰῶνα, καὶ ἐπορεύθη εἰς Θεσσαλονίκην, Κρήσκης εἰς Γαλατίαν, Τίτος εἰς Δαλματίαν ¹¹ Λουκᾶς ἐστὶν μόνος μετ' ἐμοῦ. Μάρκον ἀναλαβῶν ἄγε μετὰ σεαυτοῦ· ἔστιν γάρ μοι εὕχρηστος εἰς διακονίαν. ¹² Τυχικὸν δὲ ἀπέστειλα εἰς Ἦφεσον. ¹³ τὸν φελόνην, δν ἀπέλιπον ἐν Τρφάδι παρὰ Κάρπφ,

έρχόμενος φέρε, καὶ τὰ βιβλία, μάλιστα τὰς μεμβράνας.

¹⁴ Αλέξανδρος ὁ χαλκεὺς πολλά μοι κακὰ ἐνεδείξατο ἀποδώσει αὐτῷ ὁ κύριος κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτοῦ.

¹⁵ δν καὶ σὺ φυλάσσου λίαν γὰρ ἀντέστη τοῖς ἡμετέροις λόγοις.

¹⁶ ἐν τῆ πρώτη μου ἀπολογία οὐδείς μοι παρεγένετο, ἀλλὰ πάντες με ἐγκατέλιπον μὴ αὐτοῖς λογισθείη

¹⁷ ὁ δὲ κύριός μοι παρέστη καὶ ἐνεδυνάμωσέν με, ἵνα δί ἐμοῦ τὸ κήρυγμα πληροφορηθῆ καὶ ἀκούσωσιν πάντα τὰ ἔθνη, καὶ ἐρύσθην ἐκ στόματος λέοντος.

¹⁸ ῥύσεταί με ὁ κύριος ἀπὸ παντὸς ἔργου πονηροῦ καὶ σώσει εἰς τὴν βασιλείαν αὐτοῦ τὴν ἐπουράνιον ῷ ἡ δόξα εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων, ἀμήν.

19 Ασπασαι Πρίσκαν καὶ 'Ακύλαν καὶ τὸν 'Ονησιφόρου οἶκον. 20 Εραστος ἔμεινεν ἐν Κορίνθω, Τρόφιμον δὲ ἀπέλιπον ἐν Μιλήτω ἀσθενοῦντα. 21 σπούδασον πρὸ χειμῶνος ἐλθεῖν. ἀσπάζεταί σε Εὐβουλος καὶ Πούδης καὶ Λίνος καὶ Κλαυδία καὶ οἱ ἀδελφοὶ πάντες.

²² Ὁ κύριος μετὰ τοῦ πνεύματός σου. ἡ χάρις μεθ' ὑμῶν.

ΠΡΟΣ ΤΙΤΟΝ

1 ¹ Παῦλος δοῦλος θεοῦ, ἀπόστολος δὲ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ κατὰ πίστιν ἐκλεκτῶν θεοῦ καὶ ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείας τῆς κατ' εὐσέβειαν ² ἐπ' ἐλπίδι ζωῆς αἰωνίου, ῆν ἐπηγγείλατο ὁ ἀψευδὴς θεὸς πρὸ χρόνων αἰωνίων, ³ ἐφανέρωσεν δὲ καιροῖς ἰδίοις τὸν λόγον αὐτοῦ ἐν κηρύγματι, ὁ ἐπιστεύθην ἐγὼ κατ' ἐπιταγὴν τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ, ⁴Τίτφ γνησίφ τέκνφ κατὰ κοινὴν πίστιν. χάρις καὶ εἰρήνη ἀπὸ θεοῦ πατρὸς καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν.

⁵Τούτου χάριν ἀπέλιπόν σε ἐν Κρήτη, ἴνα τὰ λείποντα ἐπιδιορθώση καὶ καταστήσης κατὰ πόλιν πρεσβυτέρους, ὡς ἐγώ σοι διεταξάμην, ⁶ εἴ τις ἐστὶν ἀνέγκλητος, μιᾶς γυναικὸς ἀνήρ, τέκνα ἔχων πιστά, μὴ ἐν κατηγορία ἀσωτίας ἡ ἀνυπότακτα. ¹ δεῖ γὰρ τὸν ἐπίσκοπον ἀνέγκλητον εἶναι ὡς θεοῦ οἰκονόμον, μὴ αὐθάδη, μὴ ὀργίλον, μὴ πάροινον, μὴ πλήκτην, μὴ αἰσχροκερδῆ, ⁸ ἀλλὰ φιλόξενον, φιλάγαθον, σώφρονα, δίκαιον, ὅσιον, ἐγκρατῆ, ⁹ ἀντεχόμενον τοῦ κατὰ τὴν διδαχὴν πιστοῦ λόγου, ἵνα δυνατὸς ἦ καὶ παρακαλεῖν ἐν τῆ διδασκαλία τῆ ὑγιαινούση καὶ τοὺς ἀντιλέγοντας ἐλέγχειν. ¹⁰ Εἰσὶν γὰρ πολλοὶ ἀνυπότακτοι, ματαιολόγοι καὶ φρεναπάται, μάλιστα οἱ ἐκ τῆς περιτομῆς, ¹¹ οῦς δεῖ ἐπιστομίζειν, οἵτινες ὅλους οἴκους ἀνατρέπουσιν

διδάσκοντες ὰ μὴ δεῖ αἰσχροῦ κέρδους χάριν. ¹² εἶπέν τις ἐξ αὐτῶν ἴδιος αὐτῶν προφήτης, Κρῆτες ἀεὶ ψεῦσται, κακὰ θηρία, γαστέρες ἀργαί. ¹³ ἡ μαρτυρία αὕτη ἐστὶν ἀληθής· δι' ἡν αἰτίαν ἔλεγχε αὐτοὺς ἀποτόμως, ἵνα ὑγιαίνωσιν ἐν τῇ πίστει, ¹⁴ μὴ προσέχοντες Ἰουδαῖκοῖς μύθοις καὶ ἐντολαῖς ἀνθρώπων ἀποστρεφομένων τὴν ἀλήθειαν. ¹⁵ πάντα καθαρὰ τοῖς καθαροῖς· τοῖς δὲ μεμιαμμένοις καὶ ἀπίστοις οὐδὲν καθαρόν, ἀλλὰ μεμίανται αὐτῶν καὶ ὁ νοῦς καὶ ἡ συνείδησις. ¹⁶ θεὸν ὁμολογοῦσιν εἰδέναι, τοῖς δὲ ἔργοις ἀρνοῦνται, βδελυκτοὶ ὄντες καὶ ἀπειθεῖς καὶ πρὸς πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθὸν ἀδόκιμοι.

2 Σύ δὲ λάλει ὰ πρέπει τῆ ὑγιαινούση διδασκαλία. 2 πρεσβύτας νηφαλίους είναι, σεμνούς, σώφρονας, ύγιαίνοντας τ $\hat{\eta}$ πίστει, τ $\hat{\eta}$ ἀγάπ η , τ $\hat{\eta}$ ὑπομον $\hat{\eta}$ * πρεσβύτιδας ώσαύτως εν καταστήματι ίεροπρεπείς, μη διαβόλους, μη οίνφ πολλφ δεδουλωμένας, καλοδιδασκάλους, "ίνα σωφρονίζουσιν τὰς νέας φιλάνδρους εἶναι, φιλοτέκνους, * σώφρονας, άγνάς, οἰκουργούς, ἀγαθάς, ὑποτασσομένας τοις ιδίοις ἀνδράσιν, ίνα μη ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ βλασφημήται. 6 Τούς νεωτέρους ώσαύτως παρακάλει σωφρονείν, ⁷ περί πάντα σεαυτόν παρεχόμενος τύπον καλῶν ἔργων, έν τη διδασκαλία άφθορίαν, σεμνότητα, ⁸λόγον ύγιη ακατάγνωστου, ίνα δ έξ εναντίας εντραπή μηδεν έχων λέγειν περί ήμων φαύλον. Δούλους ίδίοις δεσπόταις ύποτάσσεσθαι, εν πασιν εὐαρέστους εἰναι, μη ἀντιλέγοντας, 10 μη νοσφιζομένους, άλλα πασαν πίστιν ενδεικυυμένους αγαθήν, ίνα την διδασκαλίαν την τοῦ σωτήρος ήμῶν θεοῦ κοσμῶσιν ἐν πᾶσιν.

11 Έπεφάνη γὰρ ή χάρις τοῦ θεοῦ σωτήριος πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις, 12 παιδεύουσα ήμᾶς, ἵνα ἀρνησάμενοι τὴν ἀσέβειαν καὶ τὰς κοσμικὰς ἐπιθυμίας σωφρόνως καὶ

δικαίως καὶ εὐσεβῶς ζήσωμεν ἐν τῷ νῦν αἰῶνι, ¹³ προσδεχόμενοι τὴν μακαρίαν ἐλπίδα καὶ ἐπιφάνειαν τῆς δόξης τοῦ μεγάλου θεοῦ καὶ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ, ¹⁴ δς ἔδωκεν ἑαυτὸν ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν ἵνα λυτρώσηται ἡμᾶς ἀπὸ πάσης ἀνομίας καὶ καθαρίση ἑαυτῷ λαὸν περιούσιον, ζηλωτὴν καλῶν ἔργων.

15 Ταῦτα λάλει καὶ παρακάλει καὶ ἔλεγχε μετὰ

πάσης έπιταγής μηδείς σου περιφρονείτω.

3 'Υπομίμνησκε αὐτοὺς ἀρχαῖς έξουσίαις ὑποτάσσεσθαι, πειθαρχείν, πρὸς πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθὸν ἐτοίμους είναι, ² μηδένα βλασφημείν, αμάχους είναι, επιεικείς, πᾶσαν ἐνδεικνυμένους πραθτητα πρὸς πάντας ἀνθρώπους. δήμεν γάρ ποτε καὶ ήμεῖς ἀνόητοι, ἀπειθεῖς, πλανώμενοι, δουλεύοντες επιθυμίαις καὶ ήδοναις ποικίλαις, ἐν κακία καὶ φθόνω διάγοντες, στυγητοί, μισοῦντες αλλήλους. Ιότε δὲ ή χρηστότης καὶ ή φιλανθρωπία έπεφάνη τοῦ σωτήρος ήμῶν θεοῦ, δοὐκ έξ ἔργων τῶν ἐν δικαιοσύνη ἃ ἐποιήσαμεν ἡμεῖς, ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὸ αὐτοῦ έλεος έσωσεν ήμας δια λουτρού παλινγενεσίας καί ανακαινώσεως πνεύματος αγίου, ⁶ου εξέχεεν εφ' ήμας πλουσίως διὰ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ τοῦ σωτήρος ήμῶν, τίνα δικαιωθέντες τη ἐκείνου χάριτι κληρονόμοι γενηθώμεν κατ' έλπίδα ζωής αἰωνίου. ⁸πιστὸς ὁ λόγος, καὶ περὶ τούτων βούλομαί σε διαβεβαιοῦσθαι, ίνα φροντίζωσιν καλων έργων προΐστασθαι οἱ πεπιστευκότες θεώ. ταῦτά έστιν καλά και ώφέλιμα τοις ανθρώποις· ⁹μωράς δὲ ζητήσεις καὶ γενεαλογίας καὶ έρεις καὶ μάχας νομικάς περιίστασο είσιν γάρ ἀνωφελεῖς καὶ μάταιοι. 10 αίρετικον ἄνθρωπον μετὰ μίαν καὶ δευτέραν νουθεσίαν παραιτοῦ, 11 είδως ὅτι ἐξέστραπται ὁ τοιοῦτος καὶ άμαρτάνει ὢν αὐτοκατάκριτος.

12" Οταν πέμψω 'Αρτεμᾶν πρὸς σὲ ἢ Τυχικόν, σπούδασον ἐλθεῖν πρός με εἰς Νικόπολιν ἐκεῖ γὰρ κέκρικα παραχειμάσαι. 18 Ζηνᾶν τὸν νομικὸν καὶ 'Απολλώ σπουδαίως πρόπεμψον, ἵνα μηδὲν αὐτοῖς λείπη. 14 μανθανέτωσαν δὲ καὶ οἱ ἡμέτεροι καλῶν ἔργων προΐστασθαι εἰς τὰς ἀναγκαίας χρείας, ἵνα μὴ ὧσιν ἄκαρποι.

16 'Ασπάζονταί σε οἱ μετ' ἐμοῦ πάντες. ἄσπασαι τοὺς φιλοῦντας ἡμᾶς ἐν πίστει.

'Η χάρις μετὰ πάντων υμών.

NOTES.

ANALYSIS OF FIRST EPISTLE TO TIMOTHY.

Introductory. Salutation (i. 1—2). Repetition of charge already given to Timothy (i. 3—20).

- I. Practical directions about Public Worship.
 - It is to include prayers for all men (ii. 1--8).
 - ii. Women are not to lead the devotions of the congregation (ii. 9—15).
- II. Qualifications of officials of the Church.
 - i. Bishops (iii. 1-7).
 - ii. Deacons (iii. 8-13) and Deaconesses (iii. 11).

The aim of all the foregoing instructions is:-

ἵνα εἰδῆς πῶς δεῖ ἐν σἴκφ θεοῦ ἀναστρέφεσθαι (iii. 15). A quotation from an early hymn (iii. 16).

- III. The dangers of the future (iv. 1-5).
 Timothy's duty, in respect of:
 - i. The false asceticism (iv. 6—10).
 - ii. His personal conduct (iv. 11—16).
- IV. The status in the Church of:
 - Its older members (v. 1, 2).
 - ii. Widows in respect of
 - (a) Their maintenance (v. 3-8).
 - (b) Their organisation in an order (v. 9—16).
 - iii. Presbyters (v. 17—25).
 - iv. Slaves (vi. 1, 2).

Renewed warnings against false doctrine (vi. 3—5) and in especial against the vanity and the perils of wealth (vi. 6—11).

- Epilogue. i. Personal encouragement to Timothy (vi. 11-16).
 - ii. Charge to the rich Christians at Ephesus (vi. 17—19).
 - Timothy's responsibility as guardian of the faith (vi. 20).

Benediction (vi. 21).

CHAPTER I.

1. dπόστολος Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ. So ND₂GP dfg; but Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ ΑΚL. St Paul's use as to the order of words in these introductory formulae varies. In Rom. (?), 1 Cor. (?), Gal., Tit., he adopts the order Ἰησ. Χρ., whereas in 2 Cor., Eph., Phil., Col., 2 Tim. he has Χρ. Ἰησ. as here.

Before the second Χριστού Ἰησού ΝDgeKL prefix κυρίου; om

AD₂*GPdfg.

- πατρός. So X*AD₂*G dfg; πατρὸς ἡμῶν X°D₂°KLP.
- 4. ἐκζητήσειs. So NA and some cursives; D₂GHKLP have ζητήσειs. The compound form, as occurring nowhere again in N.T. or LXX., would readily be corrected into ζητήσειs. Cp. vi. 4; 2 Tim. ii. 23; Tit. iii. 9.

οἰκονομίαν. So NAGHKLP the Bohairic and Armenian versions. The rec. text has οἰκοδομίαν with D_2° ; this is the source of the Western reading οἰκοδομήν, found in D_2^{\star} ; d fg m have aedificationem with which the Peshito agrees.

- 9. πατρολώαις και μητρολώαις. This is the spelling of the best MSS.; the rec. text, following the majority of the cursives, has πατραλώαις, μητραλώαις.
- 12. Before $\chi \acute{a}\rho \iota \nu$, the rec. text with D_2 KL inserts $\kappa a \acute{a}$, which would be quite in accordance with Pauline usage; it is, however, omitted by NAGP fg.

** and some cursives have ἐνδυναμοῦντι (as in Phil. iv. 13); but ** AD₂GKLP support ἐνδυναμώσαντι.

- 13. τὸν πρότερον is the 'received' reading, with D_2 "KL; NAD2*GP &c. have τὸ πρ.
- 16. 'Inσοῦς Χριστός. So NKLP with the Syriac and Egyptian versions; Westcott and Hort follow AD_2 and the Latin Versions in reading $X\rho_1\sigma_1\sigma_0$ ' Inσοῦς (which occurs six times in the preceding verses of the chapter) giving 'Inσ. $X\rho$. a place in their margin.

ämaoav with NAG &c. is preferable to $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ of D_2 KLP and the rec. text. At the same time it is noteworthy that $\hat{a} \pi a s$ only occurs once elsewhere in the Pauline Epistles (Eph. vi. 13), $\pi \hat{a} s$ being the usual form.

- 17. $\mu \acute{o} v \psi \theta \acute{e} \widetilde{\phi}$. So N*AD₂*G and nearly all versions. N°D₂*EKP and the Peshito add $\sigma o \phi \widetilde{\phi}$ after $\mu \acute{o} v \psi$; but this (the reading of rectext) is probably a corruption introduced from Rom. xvi. 27. See Jude 25 for a similar variation.
- 18. στρατεύση. So N*D₂*; but στρατεύη (the reading of the rectext) is supported by N°AD₂°GKLP &c., and is preferred by Westcott and Hort.

1, 2. SALUTATION.

The ordinary form of salutation in a private letter of the period would be simply: Παθλος Τιμοθέφ χαίρειν. But St Paul's Epistles differ from ordinary letters in two respects; (a) they were written with a direct religious purpose, (b) they are semi-official in character, not merely the communications of a private friend, but the instructions of one entrusted with authority. Hence (a) for the brief xalpew (which is the form of salutation in the Ep. of St James alone among N.T. Epistles; cp. Acts xv. 23) is substituted γάρις καὶ εἰρήνη in eleven of the Pauline Epistles (as in St John's greeting to the Seven Churches, Rev. i. 4), the fuller $\chi \acute{a}\rho \iota s$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda \dot{\epsilon} o s$, $\dot{\epsilon} l \rho \acute{\eta} \nu \eta$ being used in the remaining two (1 and 2 Tim.), both forms having a deep religious significance: (b) the apostolic office of St Paul is explicitly mentioned at the outset in nine out of his thirteen Epistles, the remaining four being letters written in conjunction with others (1 and 2 Thess., Phil., and Philemon), and (with the exception of Philemon) having their official character indicated in other ways. It would seem from v. 3 in this Epistle that St Paul's authority had been challenged at Ephesus, and hence his claim to the title of ἀπόστολος is here especially in place.

κατ' ἐπιταγήν θεοῦ κ.τ.λ. The more frequent form with St Paul is διὰ θελήματος θεοῦ (1 Cor. i. 1; 2 Cor. i. 1; Eph. i. 1; Col. i. 1; 2 Tim. i. 1), and some see in the alteration of phrase an intention to lay especial stress here on the apostolic office of St Paul as given him by Divine command. But it is hardly safe to find so much significance in the change. The central thought is one which was ever present to St Paul, viz. that the Apostolic ministry with which he was entrusted was a direct commission from God and not from men. κατ' ἐπιταγήν is thoroughly Pauline; ep. Rom, xvi. 26; 1 Cor. vii. 6; Tit. i. 3.

θεοῦ σωτήρος ήμῶν. The title σωτήρ is not applied to God the Father by St Paul outside the Pastoral Epistles (see 1 Tim. ii. 3, iv. 10; Tit. i. 3, ii. 10, iii. 4, but cp. 1 Cor. i. 21 for the same thought), and the only other instances in the N.T. of this usage are Luke i. 47 and Jude 25. But the title was familiar to the Hebrew religion and often occurs in the LXX.; see Ps. xxiv. 5, lxi. 7; Isa. xii. 2; Wisd. xvi. 7; Bar. iv. 22; 3 Macc. vii. 16. We have it also in Philo (de migr. Abr. 5, de Vita cont. 11), and in the Sibylline Oracles (iii. 35). St Paul, who in his earlier letters uses σωτήρ of Christ, generally reverts in these latest letters to the old Jewish thought that the ultimate source and fount of salvation is the Eternal Father, a thought which the Gospel explained and enriched; but cp. Tit. ii. 12, for σωτήρ applied to Christ.

The article is omitted before $\sigma\omega\tau\hat{\eta}\rho\sigma$, as the title has become almost like a proper name. See on Tit. i. 13.

και Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τῆς ἐλπίδος ἡμῶν, i.e. the ground of our hope, Him on whom our hopes are fixed. Cp. Col. i. 27 Χριστὸς ἐν ὑμῖν, ἡ ἐλπὶς τῆς δόξης. See also for the σωτήρ as the ἐλπίς Ps. lxiv. 6; Ecclus. xxxi. 15. The phrase Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ τῆς ἐλπίδος ἡμῶν is used afterwards by Ignatius (Magn. 11 and Trall. inser.).

2. Τιμοθέφ γνησίφ τέκνφ ἐν πίστει. To Timothy, true child in faith. Timothy (see Acts xvi. 1—3) might fitly be so described; ἐν πίστει expresses the sphere of the relationship between him and St Paul (see Titus iii. 15). The older man was to him, as we say, a 'father in God.' Cp. the parallel phrase in Tit. i. 4 γνησίφ τέκνφ κατὰ κοινὴν πίστιν and I Cor. iv. 17. Timothy was thus a recognised representative of his spiritual father. The young men among the Therapeutae (Philo de Vit. cont. 9) are described in like manner as ministering to their elders καθάπερ νίοι γνήσιοι.

χάρις, έλεος, εἰρήνη. As has been already said, this full formula of salutation is used by St Paul only here and in 2 Tim. i. 2 (ελεος is spurious in Tit. i. 4); it is found again in the N.T. letters only in 2 John 3. Lightfoot (note on 1 Thess. i. 1) finds "in the additional touch of tenderness communicated by έλεος in these later Epistles a sense of the growing evils which threatened the Church," But we have είρηνη έπ' αύτους και έλεος in Gal. vi. 16; and, again, έλεος υμίν και εἰρήνη καὶ ἀγάπη πληθυνθείη in Jude 2. The combination of έλεος and είρήνη occurs also in Tobit vii. 12 (8): and that of χάρις και έλεσς in Wis, iii, 9, iv. 15. Even grace will not give peace to man, unless mercy accompany it; for man needs pardon for the past no less than strength for the future. And so the combination of the Greek with the Hebrew salutation, of χάρις with εlρήνη (first suggested. perhaps, by the form of the priestly blessing in Num. vi. 24), was not doctrinally exact or complete, if it was intended to convey the idea of the best Christian blessing, without the addition of έλεος. As persecution came on the Church, we find Ignatius (Smyrn. 12) adding yet another word, ὑπομονή, as a grace needful for the Christian. this subject Hort on 1 Pet. i. 2.

ἀπὸ θεοῦ πατρὸς καὶ Χρ. Ἰησοῦ τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν. Christ is coupled with the Father as the source of blessing in the salutation in all of St Paul's letters, with the exception of Colossians, where we have the shorter form χάρις ὑμῶν καὶ εἰρήνη ἀπὸ θεοῦ πατρὸς ἡμῶν. It is through Christ that the blessings of the Father come upon the Church,

3-11. REPETITION OF CHARGE ALREADY GIVEN TO TIMOTHY.

3. καθώς παρεκάλεσά σε. There is no apodosis here; the sentence is unfinished, and grammatically incoherent. The writer meant to add words like σῦτω καὶ νῦν παρακαλῶ οι σῦτω ποίει, but he was carried away by the rapid flow of his thought (see note on v. 18). Thus the A.V. adds at the end of v. 4 "so do," in italics. This is quite in St Paul's manner (op. Gal. ii. 6), and would be beyond the art of a forger to produce.

παρεκάλεσα, I exhorted, is perhaps a shade less strong than the parallel διεταξάμην, I charged, of Tit. i. 5; see on 1 Tim. iv. 13.

προσμένου. Το abide. προσμένειν is not used by Paul outside 1 Timothy; cp. Acts xviii. 18.

¹ It is worth remarking that in vv. 13, 16 St Paul twice draws attention to the čλεος which was so conspicuously shewn to himself.

πορευόμενος els Maκεδονίαν. When I was going into Macedonia. For the necessity of remanding this visit to a time outside the period covered by the Acts, see Introd. p. xxiv. ff.

ίνα παραγγείλης τισίν. That thou mightest charge certain men. Classical Greek would require the optative mood after the past tense $\pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \Delta \lambda \epsilon a$: but in the N.T. the use of $i \nu a$ with the optative is seldom found. $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda l a$ is a regular term for 'an order' passed along the line $(\pi a \rho a)$; see v. 5. The purpose of Timothy's continued residence in Ephesus was that he might check the progress of heretical doctrine. The false teachers are not named (their names were no doubt known to Timothy), but they are described vaguely as $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon s$: this is St Paul's usual way of referring to opponents (ep. v. 19 and 1 Cor. iv. 18, 2 Cor. iii. 1, Gal. i. 7).

μη ἐτεροδιδασκαλεῖν. Not to teach other [so. incongruous] doctrine. The word ἐτεροδιδασκαλεῖν only occurs in the Greek Bible here and at vi. 3. The element ἐτερο- points to irrelevance and incongruity of teaching (see Introd. p. xlvi.), as in 2 Cor. xi. 4, Gal. i. 6 εὐαγγέλιον ἔτερον; it is equivalent, in fact, to ἔτερα διδάσκευ, 'to be a teacher of ἔτερα.' In our own Ordinal both priests and bishops are instructed that it is their duty to drive away not only "erroneous" but "strange doctrine. So the false teachers are to be warned not 'to play at deviations' from the faith. ἐτεροδιδασκαλεῖν is used by Ignatius (Polyc. 3); similar verbal forms are νομοδιδασκαλοί (1 Tim. i. 7), καλοδιδάσκαλοί (Tit. ii. 3), ψευδοδιδάσκαλοί (2 Pet. ii. 1), κακοδιδασκαλείν ([2 Clem.] 10), ἐθελοδιδάσκαλοί (Hermas Sim. ix. 22. 2), λαθροδιδασκαλείν (Iren. Haer. iii. 4. 2).

4. μηδέ προσέχειν. Not to give heed, cp. especially Tit. i. 14. The word is not used by St Paul outside the Pastorals, but is found in other N.T. writers and is common in the LXX.

μόθοις και γενεαλογίαις ἀπεράντοις. To myths and endless genealogies. The reference of these words, and the nature of the heretical teaching which is deprecated, have already been discussed in the Introduction (chap. iv.). The myths and genealogies were of Jewish origin, and related to the heroes and patriarchs of early Hebrew history; such legendary matter was foreign to the Gospel, and study of it would distract from the essential doctrines of the Christian faith,

The word μῦθος (see 1 Tim. iv. 7; 2 Tim. iv. 4; Tit. i. 14) only occurs once in the N.T. outside the Pastorals, viz. in 2 Pet. i. 16, and once in the LXX. (Ecclus. xx. 19); γενεαλογία is only found in the Greek Bible here and at Tit. iii. 9, but we have γενεαλογίαθαι in 1 Chr. v. 1; άπέραντος, interminable, occurs twice in the LXX., but only here in N.T. The connexion between μῦθοι and γενεαλογίαι is illustrated by the rule of interpretation laid down by Cornutus, one of the later Stoics: δεῖ δὲ μὴ συγχεῖν τοὺς μύθους...μηδ' εἴ τι προσεπλάσθη ταῖς κατ' αὐτοὺς παραδιδομέναις γενεαλογίαις ὑπὸ τῶν μὴ συνέντων κ.τ.λ. (see Zeller's Stoics &c. p. 356).

 $d\pi \epsilon \rho a \nu \tau o \sigma$ means endless and so 'tiresome.' There is no limit $(\pi \epsilon \rho a s)$ to this sort of speculation, and nothing comes of it.

altives. Inasmuch as they = quippe quae; cp. Tit. i. 11.

έκξητήσεις παρέχουσι. Minister questionings. In like manner in Tit. iii. 9 the γενεαλογίαι are preceded by μωρὰς ζητήσεις. These questionings, according to the view which has been taken above of the heresies in the thought of the writer, were not so much concerned with abstract speculations (like the Gnostic enquiries about the origin of evil) as with legend and casuistry. Dr Hort suggested¹ that as myths and genealogies would include the Haggadoth or legendary developments of Hebrew history, so the questionings would embrace the problems of the Halacha, the other great province of Jewish teaching. This may have been the case, but it seems more natural in this context to understand by the ἐκζητήσει something like the Quaestiones in Genesin of Philo. The vanity and unprofitableness of such enquiries may well have been present to the mind of St Paul.

μάλλον ή οἰκονομίαν θεοῦ τὴν ἐν πίστει. Rather than the dispensation of God which is in faith. olyopoula may mean either (a) the office of an olkovous, or (b), as here, the system by which he orders his household. Here the Church is the olkla, its members olkelot, the plan on which God the great οlκονόμος distributes His blessings, the οlκονομία. So the word is often used by early writers of the Incarnation, as being the heart and kernel of the οίκονομία. Cp. Aristides Apol. xv. καὶ τελέσας την θαυμαστήν αὐτοῦ οἰκονομίαν διά σταυροῦ θανάτου ἐγεύσατο ἐκουσία βουλη κατ' οίκονομίαν μεγάλην. The heretical myths would do far more to encourage idle enquiries about matters of no importance than to promote that Divine dispensation whose sphere is faith, and not antiquarian curiosity. See the critical note, and, for St Paul's use of olkovoula, cp. Col. i. 25; Eph. i. 10, iii. 2, 9. Lightfoot (Revision of N.T. p. 184) called attention to the curious fact that in the English Bible of 1611 the word $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ was left untranslated by inadvertence, the rendering there found being "edifying (reading olkodoular) which is in faith": in 1638 the mistake was discovered, and 'godly' was inserted after the earlier English versions.

5. τὸ δὲ τέλος. But (sc. in contrast with the irrelevant teaching of the ἐτεροδιδάσκαλοι) the aim, or final cause: cp. Rom. x, 4.

ris mapayyellas. Of the charge. The reference is not to the Mosaic law, but to the whole of the practical teaching bound up with the Gospel; the word is suggested by $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon l \lambda \eta s$ of v. 3 (where see note). This is the charge with which Timothy was entrusted (v. 18).

čorly ἀγάπη. Is love, so to men, not to God, which is not here in question. On the other hand, the fanciful ζητήσεις of the false teachers bred strife (2 Tim. ii. 23). As 'love is the fulfilling of the Law" (Rom. xiii. 10), so it is the aim and purpose of the Gospel ethics, as the greatest Christian grace (1 Cor. xiii. 13). The word ἀγάπη has been described as "foreign to profane Greek" and as an ecclesiastical word, first appearing in literature in the LXX. But we find it in Egyptian Greek, in a letter, e.g., of the second century B.O.;

¹ Judaistic Christianity, p. 137.

and it is probable that the LXX. only took over and consecrated to high uses a word already current in the popular speech of Greek Egypt¹.

έκ καθαράς καρδίας κ.τ.λ. The source of this άγάπη is threefold:— (i.) a pure heart, for which the Psalmist prayed (Ps. li. 6); cp. Matt. v. 8. καρδία stands in Scripture for the moral affections and emotions, the pathological, as contrasted with the intellectual, element of the moral faculty. Where this is corrupted (as was the case with the false teachers at Ephesus, vi. 5), the springs of moral action and spiritual insight (Matt. v. 8) are poisoned, cp. 2 Tim. ii. 22;—(ii.) a good conscience. The συνείδησις represents the self sitting in judgement on self; it stands for the self-conscious and rational element in the man. Emphasis is specially laid on a good conscience in the Pastorals, e.g. v. 19, iii. 9, 2 Tim. i. 3; in sharp contrast with one who has a good conscience, the false teachers are κεκαυστηριασμένοι την Ιδίαν συνείδησιν (iv. 2); cp. 1 Pet. iii. 16; Heb. xiii. 182;—(iii.) faith unfeigned. This brings in a reference to God, as the source and spring of love. Love is indeed for man the outward and appropriate manifestation of faith; cp. πίστις δι' ἀγάπης ἐνεργουμένη (Gal. v. 6). The juxtaposition of a good conscience and faith is significant; all through the Pastorals the intimate connexion of the two, the close relation between creed and life, is a prominent thought (see on Tit. i. 15). Again, we find this test of faith unfeigned lacking in the false teachers; they are άδόκιμοι περί την πίστιν (2 Tim. iii. 8). The word άνυπόκριτος is applied to faith here and at 2 Tim. i. 5; it is applied to love. Rom. xii. 9; 2 Cor. vi. 6,

- **6.** $\delta \nu$, so, the three above-mentioned sources of $\dot{\alpha}\gamma d\pi \eta$. $\dot{\omega}\nu$ is apparently governed by $\dot{\alpha}\sigma\tau \alpha \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$, not by $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\tau \rho \dot{\alpha}\pi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.
 - τινές. Note the usual vague reference to the false teachers.

dστοχήσαντες. Having missed (their aim). dστοχεῖν is only used here and at vi. 21, 2 Tim. ii. 18 in N.T. (cp. Ecclus. vii. 19, viii. 9), and, in each case, of the failure of the ἐτεροδιδάσκαλοι; they may have meant well, but through want of sound method they failed to reach their goal.

eξετράπησαν. Have swerved aside, as from the straight path. Being once in the right way, they did not keep to it. ἐκτρέπεσθαι occurs four times in the Pastorals, but not again in St Paul; cp. Amos v. 8 and Hebr. xii. 13.

els ματαιολογίαν. To vain talking. This was a special characteristic of the false teachers, who busied themselves unduly with vain and irrelevant questions; they are called ματαιολόγοι in the parallel passage Tit. i. 10. The abstract word ματαιολογία does not occur again in the Greek Bible.

¹ See Deissmann, Bibelstudien, p. 81.

² The necessity of a "pure conscience," if prayer is to be acceptable and effective, is frequently alluded to in the early liturgies, and also by Clement of Rome. See, for references, Lightfoot Clement 1, 389 n. Cp. also the strong expression [2 Clem.] § 16 προσευχή δὲ ἐκ καλής συνειδήστων έκ θανάτου ρύεται.

I. TIMOTHY.

ματαιολογία, vaniloquium, has in many ages and countries, and not only at Ephesus in the days of Timothy, proved the bane of theology. The subtleties of the Talmud are not worse than the absurdities of speculation to be found in so great a book as the Summa Theologica of St Thomas Aquinas.

θέλοντες είναι νομοδιδάσκαλοι. Desiring to be (i.e. almost claiming to be) teachers of the law, sc. of the Mosaic law. The false teaching had its roots in Judaism, and the intention of its exponents was good; they failed in their aims for the reasons now to be explained.

μή νοοῦντες μήτε α λέγουσιν κ.τ.λ. Understanding neither what they say, nor the subjects concerning which they make confident assertions. Their ματαιολογία was, in many instances, devoid of meaning (μη) $\nu oo \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon_{\rm S} \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$); and they did not understand the principles underlying the Mosaic law which they professed to expound (μήτε περί τίνων $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$). Cp. 2 Tim. ii. 7 νόει δ λέγω. διαβεβαιοῦσθαι is found in the Greek Bible only here and at Tit. iii. 8 περί τούτων βούλομαί σε διαβεβαιοῦσθαι; it signifies positive affirmation and entire confidence on the part of the speaker.

8-11. DIGRESSION TO AVOID MISUNDERSTANDING OF WHAT HAS BEEN JUST SAID.

8. o'l δαμεν δε κ.τ.λ. But we know that the law is good, if a man use it lawfully. For οίδαμεν δέ cp. Rom. ii. 2, iii. 19, viii. 28 and οδαμεν ότι πάντες γνωσιν έχομεν (1 Cor. viii. 1) 'we grant that &c.': the phrase introduces a concession. St Paul hastens on to explain that a true νομοδιδάσκαλος is a valuable minister of godliness; it is only the irrelevances and trivialities of these would-be teachers of the law that he deprecates. The law (sc. the Mosaic law) is good, if it be used for the purposes for which law (not only the law of Moses, but law in general) is intended, viz. to restrain evil-doing; but not, if it be used as a peg on which to hang unverifiable speculation, or as a system of casuistry by which either asceticism, on the one hand, or licence, on the other, may be defended. He does not here take into account the function of law in developing a consciousness of sin which he elsewhere expounds (e.g. Rom. v. 20); the primary subject of law, in his thought, is not the rightcous man, but the sinner, as he proceeds to explain.

καλός ὁ νόμος. The adj. καλός (also used of law at Rom. vii. 16) is used with unusual frequency in the Pastorals, occurring 24 times, as against 16 occurrences in the other letters of St Paul. It expresses the 'beauty of holiness' in a fashion which no single English word can reproduce. To a Greek the union between 'goodness' and 'beauty' was almost inseparable in thought, and the best translation for καλός is, often, simply 'good.' But it has a shade of meaning which αγαθός has not, inasmuch as it directs attention to the outward and visible beauty of that which is 'good,' whilst ἀγαθός does not suggest anything beyond the intrinsic quality. See on ch. ii. 10 below.

νομίμως. The paronomasia or word-play is quite in St Paul's manner; law is good, if it be used lawfully, i.e. suitably to the purposes which law is intended to serve. The adverb νομίμως only occurs elsewhere in the Greek Bible at 2 Tim. ii. 5; 4 Macc. vi. 18.

9. ¿ίδως τοῦτο. This refers to the foregoing τις; the view which must be taken of the law by the teacher who would use it lawfully is now expounded.

δικαίφ νόμος οὐ κείται. The law (so. the Mosaic law, in particular, although the proposition is true of law in general) is not laid down (enacted) for a righteous man (δίκαιος being here used in its largest sense). κείμαι is the passive of τίθημι. τίθημι νόμον 'I enact a law,' sc. for other people; but κείται νόμος, 'the law is enacted,' and so is binding. It is quite in accordance with St Paul's usage to omit the article before νόμος when it signifies the Mosaic law; there are many examples in the Epistles to the Romans (e.g. ii. 25) and the Galatians (ii. 19).

ανόμοις δε και ανυποτάκτοις. But for the lawless and unruly, a general description of those who will not submit to the restraints of law, viewed as an ordinance of man. We have the epithet ανυπότακτος again in Tit. i. 6, 10, and (in the sense of 'not subject to') in Heb. ii. 8; it is not found in the LXX., nor elsewhere in the N.T., but ὑποτάσσεων is a common Pauline word.

doeβέσι και άμαρτωλοῖς. The ungodly and sinners, a general description of those who will not obey the law, viewed now as an ordinance of God. $d\sigma$ εβής is the man without inward reverence, $d\mu$ μργωλός the man who defies God by outward act. The two epithets are conjoined again 1 Pet. iv. 18 (a quotation of Prov. xi. 31) and Jude 15.

These lawless ones are now more exactly described, the order of the Decalogue being followed, and the extremest form of the violation of the Commandment being specified in each case.

ἀνοσίοις και βεβήλοις. The unholy and profane. Such is the temper which lies at the root of the sin of perjury, explicitly forbidden in the Third Commandment. ἀνόσιος is a LXX, word, only occurring again in N.T. at 2 Tim. iii. 2; βέβηλος is also a LXX, word, but not found in St Paul outside the Pastorals. βέβηλος conveys the idea of secularity (see esp. Lev. x. 10; Heb. xii. 16), and strictly means what may be 'walked on' (βα-), and so is outside the shrine.

πατρολφαις καl μητρολφαις. Smiters of fathers and smiters of mothers. These words do not occur again in the Bible, but are common in Greek literature; the rendering of A.V. and R.V. 'murderers of fathers' is, no doubt, legitimate, but it is not the sin of murder, but of dishonouring parents, which is here uppermost in the writer's thought, and the wider translation is justified by the usage of the words elsewhere. For this extreme and outrageous violation of the Fifth Commandment the punishment of death was provided in the Mosaic law (Ex. xxi. 15).

ἀνδροφόνοις. Manslayers. The word only occurs in the Greek Bible elsewhere at 2 Macc. ix. 28. Murder is, in itself, the worst and most explicit manifestation of human hate, forbidden in the Sixth Commandment.

10. πόρνοις, ἀρσενοκοίταις. Fornicators, sodomites; the most repulsive forms of the violation of the Seventh Commandment. Cp. 1 Cor. vi. 9.

ἀνδραποδισταΐε. Men-stealers. A man's most precious possession is himself, and the worst form of thieving (condemned in the Eighth Commandment) is that practised by slave-dealers, whose booty is not things, but persons. Thus Philo (de Spec. Leg. IV. 4) has a section $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ ἀνδραποδιστῶν, whom he explains to be the worst kind of thieves. This crime, again, was punishable with death according to the Pentateuchal Code (Exod. xxi. 16; Deut. xxiv. 7), though the word ἀνδραποδιστής is not found elsewhere in the Greek Bible.

ψεύσταις, ἐπιόρκοις. Liars, perjurers. To suppress the truth is a form of 'false witness,' but the worst form is a false charge made on oath. ἐπίορκοι is not found again in the N.T.; but op. Matt. v. 33.

και εί τι ἕτερον κ.τ.λ. Only those sins have been enumerated of which human law can take cognisance, and so violations of the Tenth Commandment are not specified in this dreadful catalogue. The concluding phrase is very like Rom. xiii. 9 και εί τις έτέρα ἐντολή κ.τ.λ., and is quite in St Paul's manner.

τη ύγιαινούση διδασκαλία. To sound doctrine. This remarkable metaphor, according to which the true doctrine is wholesome, and the false, diseased, is repeated again and again in the Pastoral Epistles. We have ψγιαίνουσα διδασκαλία here; 2 Tim. iv. 3; Tit. i. 9, ii. 1; υγιαίνοντες λόγοι vi. 3; 2 Tim. i. 13; υγιαίνειν τŷ πίστει 2 Tim. i. 13; Tit. ii. 2: λόγος ὑγιής Tit. ii. 8; and in 2 Tim. ii. 17 the false λόγος is. compared to a γάγγραινα. It has been suggested that this medical phraseology may be due to the influence of St Luke the physician. Again, it might be urged that such language only continues the metaphor by which in earlier letters of St Paul the Christian Society is compared to a body. When the Body of Christ is in a sound condition, the expression of its belief will be healthy; and if it be diseased, the false doctrine will be like a gangrene eating into its vitals. But in truth the comparison of the soundness of the moral and spiritual judgement to the health of the body is not so far-fetched or so novel as to need elaborate explanation. In Greek literature it is common. Clement of Alexandria, commenting on ch. vi. 3 (Strom. I. 8), quotes in illustration a line of Euripides (Phoen, 473) in which the αδικος λόγοs is said to be νοσῶν ἐν αὐτῷ. Plato, in a famous passage (Republ. IV. 18), explains άρετη μέν άρα, ώς ξοικεν, ύγιειά τέ τις αν είη και κάλλος και εὐεξία ψυχής, κακία δὲ νόσος τε καὶ αΐσχος καὶ ἀσθένεια. (Cp. also Plutarch Vir. mor. 2.) And so in the LXX. of Prov. xxxi. 8 (xxiv. 76) we have κρίνε πάντας ύγιως, as parallel to κρίνε δικαίως. But we perhaps come nearest to the metaphor as used in the Pastorals in the Stoic idea that the $\pi \dot{a} \theta \eta$ were diseases, which the wise man should

eradicate by every means in his power. So in Philo we have the very phrase of St Paul anticipated: ἐτι τῶν παθῶν καὶ νοσημάτων παρευημερούντων τοὺς ὑγιαίνοντας λόγους (de Abrah. 38), i.e. 'the passions and diseases prevailing over the sound λόγοι.' And with this well accords the language of the Collect for St Luke's Day, where we pray that "by the wholesome medicines of the doctrine delivered by him, all the diseases of our souls may be healed."

The word διδασκαλία is used with peculiar frequency in the Pastorals, occurring 13 times in the sense of doctrine, as in Eph. iv. 14; Col. ii. 22. (Cp. Matt. xv. 9.) It is found twice (1 Tim. iv. 13, where see note, and v. 17) in the sense of instruction or art of teaching, as in Rom. xii. 7, xv. 4. It was natural that, in the development of the Church's life, the word for teaching should gradually come to be used for the content of the teaching, the doctrine taught. See note on iv. 13.

- 11. κατά τὸ εὐαγγέλιον κ.τ.λ. This seems to be in connexion with the 'sound doctrine' of which the Apostle has just spoken; viz. if there be anything else opposed to the sound doctrine, according to the gospel of the glory &c.
- τὸ εὐαγγέλιον τῆς δόξης τοῦ μακαρίου θεοῦ. Cp. the expression τὸ εὐαγγέλιον τῆς δόξης τοῦ Χριστοῦ in 2 Cor. iv. 4. In both cases δόξης is the genitive of contents; the import or substance of the good tidings preached is 'the glory of God,' which is described in Rom, v. 2 as the object of the Christian's hope (cp. also Tit. ii. 13). δόξα is in these passages used for a glorious revelation of God, as in Acts vii. 2; and the meaning of the whole phrase is that, according to the Gospel of the glorious revelation vouchsafed in Jesus Christ, justification comes not through the law. The use of the law is negative, to restrain and punish evildoers; but obedience to it has of itself no justifying efficacy, Cp. Rom. iii. 20.
- τοῦ μακαρίου θεοῦ. This and vi. 15 are the only two passages either in O.T. or N.T. where μακάριος is applied to God. God is not only εὐλογητός, the Object of His creatures' blessing, but μακάριος, having in Himself the fulness of bliss (cp. Tit. ii. 13). So in Homer and Hesiod the gods are called μάκαρες θεοί, and the epithet is frequently used by Philo.
- δ ἐπιστεύθην ἐγώ. This is characteristically Pauline; cp. Rom. iii. 2; 1 Cor. ix. 17; Gal. ii. 7; 1 Thess. ii. 4; Tit. i. 3.

12-17. PARENTHETIC DOXOLOGY.

These verses are a digression, quite in the manner of St Paul, suggested by the thought of the Divine mercy vouchsafed to him personally. Cf. 1 Cor. xv. 9; Eph. iii. 8.

12. χάριν ἔχω. This formula of thankfulness (instead of the more usual εὐχαριστῶ with which St Paul begins nearly all his letters) occurs again 2 Tim. i. 3. Cp. Luke xvii. 9 and Heb. xii. 28.

τῶ ἐνδυγαμώσαντι. Το Him that hath enabled me; a favourite expression with Paul in reference to the grace of Christ. In the Ephesian letter he bids his correspondents ενδυναμοῦσθε εν κυρίφ (Eph. vi. 10); he charges Timothy ενδυναμοῦ εν τῆ χάριτι τῆ εν Χρ. Ίησοῦ (2 Tim. ii. 1); of himself he says ὁ κύριος...ἐνεδυνάμωσέ με (2 Tim. iv. 17), and (a close parallel to the present passage) πάντα $l\sigma\chi\psi\omega$ έν $\tau\hat{\omega}$ ένδυναμοῦντί με (Phil. iv. 13). In the beginning of his ministry it was said Σαθλος δὲ μᾶλλον ἐνεδυναμοθτο (Acts ix. 22); and the spiritual δύναμις, needed for the due discharge of the apostolic office, was never lacking throughout his course. The agrist participle here suggests a direct reference to the early days of his preaching (see v. 13), but we must not limit the reference to these. A study of the verb in the various contexts in which it is found is instructive. Of all the faithful may the words be used, έδυναμώθησαν άπὸ ἀσθεvelas (Heb. xi. 34); none can more fully realise their truth than those upon whom the burden and responsibility of the pastoral office have been imposed.

on. That, not because. The sentence expresses the reason of his thankfulness.

πιστόν. The word occurs eleven times in this Epistle. Here it means 'trustworthy,' as at 1 Cor. iv. 2; Heb. xi. 11. See on i. 15 and iv. 3 below.

iγήσατο. This is a common Pauline word. Cp. 1 Thess. v. 13; 2 Cor. ix. 5; Phil. ii. 3, 25 &c.

θέμενος. Appointing me (note the tense); the word is used of the Divine purpose (as in 1 Thess. v. 9) and so is not equivalent to 'putting me,' cp. 1 Tim. ii. 7; 2 Tim. i. 11.

διακονίαν. The word διακονίαν is used here, not specially of the function discharged by a διάκονος, but in the general sense of 'ministry.' St Paul frequently speaks of his apostolic office as a διακονία and of himself as a διάκονος. Compare, e.g., Rom. xi. 13 την διακονίαν μου δοξάζω, also 2 Cor. v. 18, vi. 3; and, again, Col. i. 23 τοῦ εὐαγγελίου...οδ έγενόμην έγω Παῦλος διάκονος, and 1 Cor. iii. 5: 2 Cor. iii. 6; Eph. iii. 7 &c. διακονία, in short, originally meant service of any sort; it is applied in Acts i. 17, 25 to the service of apostleship, and is continually used throughout the Pauline Epistles in a wide and general sense. By the second century the words διακονία, διάkovos were generally restricted to the third order of the Christian ministry, and the beginnings of this specialisation of meaning may be traced in the N.T. Cp. e.g. Rom. xvi. 1; Phil. i. 1; 1 Tim. iii. 8, 12 (where see notes). Thus the use of this word here to denote the apostolic office is in favour of an early date for the Epistle. No writer of the second century (by which time the distinction of orders was fully recognised) would have used a term then significant of the lowest grade in the ministry for St Paul's ministerial work; cp. 2 Tim. iv. 6.

13. τὸ πρότερον ὅντα. Although I was aforetime. Cp. Gal. iv. 13 for the significance of τὸ πρότερον.

βλάσφημον και διώκτην και ὑβριστήν. The βλάσφημος displays his hostility to the truth chiefly in words (see Acts xxi. 11); the διώκτης, in deeds (see Gal. i. 13, where St Paul refers to his zeal as a persecutor). The term ὑβριστής only occurs once again in N.T., viz. Rom. i. 30; it conveys the idea of violence and outrage (see Acts viii. 3). It is a stronger word than either of the other two.

άλλὰ ἡλεήθην, ὅτι κ.τ.λ. Howbeit I obtained mercy because, &c. See Acts iii. 17, and our Lord's prayer for His executioners, Luke xxiii. 34.

14. ὑπερεπλεόνασεν. A rare word, not found elsewhere in N.T. or in the LXX.; it occurs in the Psulms of Solomon, v. 19, and in Hermas, Mand. v. 2. St Paul shews a marked inclination in all four groups of his letters for verbs compounded with ὑπέρ, e.g. ὑπεραυζάνω (2 Thess. i. 3); ὑπερβαίνω (1 Thess. iv. 6); ὑπερεκτείνω (2 Cor. x. 14), ὑπερεντεγχάνω (Rom. viii. 26); ὑπερενικάω (Rom. viii. 37); ὑπερυψόω (Phil. ii. 9); ὑπερφονέω (Rom. xii. 3); all of which are ἄπαξ λεγόμενα in the N.T. Compare with the present passage ὑπερεπερίσσευσεν ἡ χάρις (Rom. v. 20).

The simple title ὁ κύριος ἡμῶν, without the addition of Ἰησοῦς Χριστός either before or after, is only used by St Paul here and at

2 Tim. i. 8; op. Heb. vii. 14.

μετά πίστεως κ.τ.λ. Faith and love are the characteristic concomitants of the grace of our Lord. The best gifts of the grace which is from Christ are faith in Him, and love which, centred in Him, necessarily embraces all the members of that human family whose brotherhood is revealed in the Fact of the Incarnation. There is an intimate connexion between them; $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\pi\eta$ μετὰ πίστεωs is part of St Paul's benediction at the close of the Ephesian letter (Eph. vi. 23); the breastplate 'πίστεωs καὶ ἀγάπηs' is part of the Christian panoply (1 Thess. v. 8); it is indeed through 'love' that 'faith' manifests itself most plainly; cp. Gal. v. 6, πίστις δι' ἀγάπης ἐνεργουμένη. See on 1 Tim. i. 5 and Tit. ii. 2.

πιστὸς ὁ λόγος. This remarkable formula is peculiar to the Pastorals. Here and in iv. 9 the words καὶ πάσης ἀποδοχῆς αξιος are added; in iii. 1, 2 Tim. ii. 11, and Tit. iii. 8 we have the simple form πιστὸς ὁ λόγος. In iii. 1 it introduces a saying which may well have become proverbial at this stage of the Church's development, If a man seeketh the office of a bishop, he desireth a good work. In 2 Tim. ii. 11 the words which follow probably formed part of an early Christian hymn (εί γὰρ συναπεθάνομεν, καὶ συνζήσομεν κ.τ.λ.). In the three remaining cases it refers to some important statement of doctrine tersely and generally expressed (as here and in iv. 8, 9), or with more detail (as in Tit. iii. 8). πιστός is used in the sense of trustworthy (see below on iv. 3); and a 'faithful saying' in the Pastorals indicates a maxim (whether of doctrine or practice) on which full reliance may be placed. There is nothing in the N.T. quite analogous to the phrase. We have $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \delta s \delta \theta \epsilon \delta s$ (1 Cor. i. 9, x. 13; 2 Cor. i. 18), $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \delta s$ ο καλών (1 Thess. v. 24), but these do not help us much. A more instructive parallel is afforded by οὖτοι οἱ λόγοι πιστοὶ καὶ ἀληθινοἱ εἰσιν of Rev. xxi. 5, xxii. 6. The usual Latin rendering of πιστός in the phrase πιστὸς ὁ λόγος is fidelis; but at this verse r has humanus, a reading also adopted by Augustine in one place. See crit. note on iii. 1.

πάσης ἀποδοχῆς ἄξιος. ἀποδοχή only occurs again in the Greek Bible at iv. 9. It had come to mean approbation in late Greek; ep. Philo (de Praem. et Poen. 2) where the man who is ἀποδοχῆς ἄξιος is contrasted with the ὑπαίτιος. Cp. also an inscription found at Ephesus!:

Τίτου Αίλίου Πρίσκου ἀνδρὸς δοκιμωτάτου καὶ πάσης τιμῆς καὶ ἀποδοχῆς άξίου.

The rendering acceptation gives the nearest sense here; cp. Acts ii. 41,

οι μέν οδν άποδεξάμενοι τον λόγον αύτοῦ έβαπτίσθησαν.

We thus translate: worthy of all (universal) acceptation. As always in such constructions in St Paul, #ās is used extensively, not intensively, and the phrase is equivalent to 'acceptation by everyone,' or as we have it in our office of Holy Communion (where this verse is one of the Comfortable Words) "worthy of all men to be received."

Χρ. Ἰη. ἡλθεν εἰς τὸν κόσμον. The phrase is, with this exception, only found in the Fourth Gospel (see John i. 9, xii. 46, xvi. 28), and is not characteristically Pauline; it here occurs in a doctrinal formula so familiar and undisputed among Christians as to take rank as a 'faithful saying.' Indirectly the expression involves, as has been often pointed out, the pre-existence or $\pi\rho o i\pi a \rho \xi s$ of the Redeemer; but the prominent thought in the 'saying' is simply that Redemption was part of the purpose of the Incarnation. The 'coming into the world' is the assumption of human nature by the Eternal Word. It is worth observing that throughout this Epistle the name of our Lord is Χριστός Ἰησοῦς, not Ἰησοῦς Χριστός. It is God's Anointed who is man's Saviour.

άμαρτωλούς σώσαι. Parallels from the Gospels readily suggest themselves; St Luke v. 32 is the nearest in form. The statement is quite general.

αν πρῶτός εἰμι ἐγώ. "Non quia prior peccavit, sed quia plus peccavit" (Aug. Serm. 299); πρῶτος here applies not to time, but to degree; Paul is 'chief,' not 'first' of sinners. The phrase may seem extravagant, and indeed would hardly have commended itself to a forger; but it is quite in conformity with St Paul's way of speaking of himself and his conversion. Cp. 1 Cor. xv. 9 and Eph. iii. 8, where the expressions "the least of the Apostles," "less than the least of all saints," are used by him. Such language is not to be described as mere rhetoric; it is too often found in the writings of the most saintly and most sincere to permit of any such explanation. For instance, Ignatius again and again speaks of himself as 'the last' (ξοχατος) of

the Christians at Antioch, among whom he is not worthy to be reckoned (Ephes. 21; Magn. 14, &c.). The Confessions of St Augustine, the autobiography of Bunyan, the letters of Dr Pusey, furnish other notable illustrations. The truth is that in proportion as a man fixes his ideal high, in proportion as he appreciates the possibilities of what St Paul calls 'life in Christ,' in that proportion will his actual progress in the spiritual life appear poor and unworthy of the grace with which he has been endowed. It is noteworthy that the Apostle does not say 'of whom I was chief,' but 'I am,' by the present tense marking the abiding sense of personal sinfulness.

16. ἀλλὰ διὰ τοῦτο ἡλεηθην, ἵνα κ.τ.λ. 'Howbeit for this cause I obtained mercy, viz., that &c.' διὰ τοῦτο emphasises the following ἴνα, as in 2 Cor. xiii, 10.

èν ἐμοὶ πρώτῳ, in me as chief; this is the rendering of the Revisers, and certainly brings out the connexion with ὧν πρῶτός εἰμι ἐγώ of the preceding verse better than A.V. 'first.'' As Bengel puts it: 'Incomparabile exemplum Pauli, sive peccatum sive misericordiam spectes.' This is borne out by the words which follow, that in me as chief Jesus Christ might shew forth ('display,' 'give a signal instance of') the entire range of His long-suffering. ἀπας (see critical note) is stronger than the more usual πᾶς, and is deliberately used by St Paul here. A close parallel is found in Eph. ii. 7, 'να ἐνδείξηται ἐν τοῖς αἰῶσων τοῖς ἐπερχομένοις τὸ ὑπερβάλλον πλοῦτος τῆς χάριτος αὐτοῦ ἐν χρηστότητι ἐψ' ἡμᾶς ἐν Χρ. 'Ιη.

μακροθυμίαν. This is a late Greek word, of frequent occurrence in N.T. and LXX., but rarely elsewhere (it is found e.g. in Plutarch). In 2 Tim. iii. 10 and iv. 2 (and generally in St Paul) it is applied to the longsuffering which becomes a Christian apostle; here, as in Rom. ii. 4, ix. 22; 1 Pet. iii. 20, it is used of God.

πρὸς ὑποτύπωσιν κ.τ.λ. ὑποτύπωσις does not occur in the Greek Bible save here and in 2 Tim. i. 13. It is, literally, an 'outline sketch,' and so a 'pattern' or 'ensample'; and the meaning is that the purpose of the manifestation of the Divine longsuffering to St Paul was that he might furnish a type or ensample of them which should hereafter believe. A somewhat similar expression is found in 2 Pet. ii. 6, ὑπόδειγμα μελλόντων ἀσεβεῖν τεθεικώς, where it is applied to the Cities of the Plain, which were, as we say in common speech, 'made an example of' for their abominations.

πιστεύειν ἐπ' αὐτῷ εἰς ζωήν αἰώνιον. Faith in Christ has as its consequent eternal life. For $\pi\iota\sigma\tau$. ἐπ' αὐτῷ, ep. Is. xxviii. 16 (quoted in Rom. ix. 33 and x. 11) πῶς ὁ πιστεύων ἐπ' αὐτῷ οὐ καταισχυνθήσεται.

17. We have here a characteristic breaking out into a doxology. A complete list of the Apostolic doxologies has been drawn out by Dr Westcott (Additional Note on Heb. xiii. 21)1, and will repay careful study. In the three doxologies of the Pastoral Epistles (1 Tim. i. 17, vi. 16, and 2 Tim. iv. 18) we may perhaps observe a greater

¹ See also Chase, Lord's Prayer in the Early Church, p. 168 ff.

tendency to dwell on the absolute Eternity, Power, Unity of the Godhead, than in the expressions of thanksgiving in the earlier letters; but the main features are the same in all. In only one instance, 1 Pet. iv. 11, is the verb expressed, $\ddot{\varphi}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau l\nu$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \dot{\delta} \xi a \kappa a l$ $\tau \delta$ $\kappa \rho \dot{a} \tau o s$; and it seems probable that in every instance $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau l\nu$ rather than $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau \omega$ should be understood. So the verb in the doxology at the end of the Lord's Prayer (Matt. vi. 13) is in the indicative mood. A doxology is not a prayer or an aspiration; it is a reverent and thankful statement of the Divine glory.

τῷ δὲ βασιλεῖ τῶν αἰώνων. This exact phrase occurs elsewhere in the Greek Bible only in Tobit xiii. 6, 10, and in Rev. xv. 3 (where the received text has τῶν ἀγίων); but it naturally flows from the language of Ps. exlv. 13, ἡ βασιλεία σου βασιλεία πάντων τῶν αἰώνων. Cp. Exod. xv. 18 (where Philo read βασιλεύων τῶν αἰώνων, De Mundo, 7), Ecclus. xxxvi. 19, and Bk of Enoch ix. 4, where one of the texts has βασιλεύν τῶν αἰώνων. See also Book of Jubilees, xxv. 15; xxxi. 13. The corresponding expression of βασιλεῖαι τοῦ αἰῶνος τούτων, which occurs in Ignatius (Rom. 6), brings the meaning out well. There is no reference to the acons of Gnostic heresy; αἰών in the singular means an 'age,' a certain limit of time, and so ὁ αἰῶν οὖτος is 'this present age.' But in the plural, when we sum up these 'ages' or 'world periods,' we arrive at the idea of eternity; and 'the King who rules over the ages' is 'the King eternal.' So too cls τὸν αἰῶνα= 'to the end of this present age'; but els τοὺς αἰῶνας= 'for ever.'

άφθάρτφ ἀοράτφ μόνφ. All three adjectives qualify $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, not the preceding $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ alώνων. ἀφθαρτος θε δs is a combination only found again in Rom. i. 23; but άφθαρτος is a regular epithet of Deity in Philo (e.g. Quod deus immut. 6). For άδρατος we may compare Rom. i. 20; Col. i. 15, and Heb. xi. 27. With both expressions cp. δ μόνος έχων άθανασίαν... $\delta \nu$ είδεν οὐδείς άνθρώπων of vi. 16.

μόνφ θεφ. Bengel calls this a 'magnifica lectio' (see critical note). Cp. John xvii. 3, τὸν μόνον ἀληθινὸν θεόν, Rom. xvi. 27 and ch. vi. 15. Compare also Philo's μὰ τὸν ἀληθῆ μόνον θεόν (Leg. All. ii. 17) and ἡ θεοῦ μόνου θεραπεία (De Prof. 7).

τιμή και δόξα. This combination in a doxology occurs again only in Rev. v. 13. Cp. Rev. iv. 9 and Rom. ii. 7, 10.

els τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰῶνων ἀμήν. Perhaps this phrase implies that the form of doxology in this verse had become stereotyped by liturgical use. At all events this is a common ending. See Heb. xiii. 21; 1 Pet. iv. 11, v. 11; Rev. vii. 12: cp. Psalms passim.

18, 19. THE CHARGE TO TIMOTHY REITERATED.

18. ταύτην τὴν παραγγελίαν. If the interval of 15 verses were not so long, it would be natural to take this as the apodosis of $\kappa a\theta \dot{\omega}s$ παρεκάλεσά σε of v. 3, but it seems better to suppose (see note in loc.) that the protasis there is never complemented, and that the sentence (quite in St Paul's manner) breaks off unfinished. Chrysostom and

many commentators explain $\tau a \dot{\nu} \tau \eta \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i a \nu$ by what follows, $i \nu a \sigma \tau \rho a \tau e \dot{\nu} \sigma \eta$, &c.; but this is not so much the matter as the motive of the charge. The reference is rather to the $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i a$ of ν . 5, the main subject of the Epistle; and this is confirmed by the close similarity of verses 6 and 19.

παραπίθεμαί σοι. The same word is used in 2 Tim. ii. 2; Timothy in his turn is to 'commit' to faithful men that which he has received; cp. 1 Tim. vi. 20; 2 Tim. i. 12, 14.

κατά τας προαγούσας έπι σε προφητείας. This committal of trust is according to the prophecies directed to thee previously. We have the phrase προαγούσης έντολής, 'a foregoing commandment' in Heb. vii. 18; but here $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \epsilon$ requires the sense 'leading up to' (cp. Ezek. xiii. 16). What the prophecies spoken of were it is impossible to determine with confidence. Hort (Christian Ecclesia, p. 181 ff.) put forward the hypothesis that St Paul's action in the circumcision of Timothy at Lystra (Acts xvi. 3), and his choice of the young convert as an associate in the work of the Gospel, were prompted by prophetic voices which then led the way to Timothy. But, when we compare the language of iv. 14, in which the χάρισμα given to Timothy, διὰ προφητείας μετά έπιθέσεως των χειρών του πρεσβυτερίου, is mentioned, it seems more probable that in both this yerse and iv. 14 the reference is to prophecies uttered at the ordination of Timothy. Cp. Clem. Alex. Quis Dives, § 42, Eva $\tau\epsilon$ τινα κληρώσων $\tau\hat{\omega}$ ν ὑπὸ $\tau\hat{\circ}\hat{0}$ πνεύματος σημαινομένων. Thus the plural προφητείας would be explained by the number of the 'prophets' present. The description in Acts xiii. 2 of the ordination of S. Paul himself helps us in some measure to realise such a scene.

19. ἔχων πίστιν καὶ ἀγαθὴν συνείδησιν. Cp. v. 5, where faith and a 'good conscience' are named as sources of that love which is the τέλος τῆς παραγγελίας.

ην τινες ἀπωσάμενοι. Which [so. the good conscience] some having thrust from them. The verb is expressive of a wilful and violent act. For τινες see on v. 3 above.

περί την πίστιν εναυάγησαν. Have made shipwreck in the matter of the faith. ναυαγεῦν only occurs in the N.T. here and in 2 Cor. xi. 25; and so far may be called a 'Pauline' word, but it is not uncommon in late Greek.

 $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi l \sigma \tau \iota s$ here (though the presence of the article would not by itself determine this) is to be taken objectively, as equivalent to 'the Christian faith,' not subjectively, of the faith of individuals. The words $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \delta s$, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota s$ have an interesting history, which cannot be here discussed at length1; but a few references must be given. \(\pi \io \tau \is, \) which in Philo is used quite vaguely of belief and trust in God, became to the early Christians gradually equivalent to faith in Christ as the supreme revelation of God. This faith grew by degrees in clearness and distinctness, until it embraced the Incarnation, the Atonement, and all the great dogmas of the Gospel; from this the transition was easy to the word being used objectively to signify the content, as it were, of a Christian's belief, to signify, in short, the Christian Creed, the Gospel. Among the more conspicuous instances of this use of the word in the N.T. outside the Pastorals may be noted Acts vi. 7, xiii. 8, xvi. 5; Gal. i. 23, iii. 23; Phil. i. 27. In the Pastorals, which give us a more developed form of Christianity, we find as is natural a proportionately larger number of examples of this usage; and out of 33 occurrences of mlovis in these Epistles the objective sense seems to be required in 1 Tim. i. 19, iv. 1, 6, v. 8, vi. 10, 21; 2 Tim. iii. 8, iv. 7; Tit. i. 13. See notes in loc. in each case.

20. Examples of 'shipwrecks of faith.'

20. Υμέναιος. This is doubtless the same Hymenæus who is mentioned as a heretical teacher in 2 Tim. ii. 17.

'Aλίξανδρος. An Alexander is mentioned three times in connexion with Ephesus: (i.) here; (ii.) an Alexander was put forward as their spokesman by the Jews on the occasion of the uproar excited by the silversmiths at Ephesus (Acts xix. 33); (iii.) 'Alexander the coppersmith' (2 Tim. iv. 14) who 'did much evil' to St Paul. The designation ὁ χαλκεύς suggests that there were at all events two men of the same name; and this appears again from the consideration that (i.) was a heretical Christian, while (ii.) was a Jew (Acts xix. 34). ὁ χαλκεύς might be either; but there are no sufficient data to determine the question.

παρέδωκα τῷ σατανᾳ. In 1 Cor. v. 5 St Paul directs the Corinthian Church in the case of a certain notorious sinner, παραδούναι τὸν τοιοῦτον τῷ σατανᾳ els δλεθρον τῆς σαρκός, Για τὸ πνεθμα σωθῆ κ.τ.λ.; and the formula to deliver to Satan has plainly the same significance there as here. It is certainly a disciplinary or remedial and not a merely punitive penalty in both cases (cp. Job ii. 6, where a similar expression is used of Job's sufferings, εἶπεν δὲ ὁ κόριος τῷ διαβόλῳ Ἰδου παραδίδωμί σοι αὐτόν), and it was a penalty within the power of the Church to inflict. The aorist παρέδωκα here seems to indicate that St Paul's action, whatever it was, took place at Ephesus at a definite time; and this marks its official character. It seems then best to suppose that the 'delivering over to Satan' was a spiritual penalty, like excommunication, the strong phrase resting on the principle that the kingdoms of Christ and of Satan are mutually exclusive (see

¹ See Lightfoot, Galatians, p. 154 ff., and Hort, 1 Peter, p. 81 ff.

Acts xxvi. 18; Col. i. 13), and that this was accompanied by the supernatural infliction of bodily sickness, which it was believed would follow the authoritative sentence. The cases of Ananias and Sapphira (Acts v. 1—11) and of Elymas (Acts xiii. 11) witness to the power granted to the Apostles of calling down supernatural punishments on evil-doers in exceptional circumstances.

iva παιδευθώσιν. This is the purpose of the sentence, that they may be disciplined &o., either by supernatural penalties (δλεθρον τῆς σαρκός, 1 Cor. v. 5) or by the mere fact of exclusion from the Christian society and consequent loss of privilege.

CHAPTER II.

- 3. Rec. text with $\aleph^{\circ}D_2GKLP$, and all (except the Egyptian) versions add $\gamma \partial \rho$ after $\tau \circ \hat{v} \tau \circ 0$. It is omitted by \aleph^*A and was apparently introduced solely to emphasise the connexion between v. 2 and v. 3.
- 7. Rec. text with \aleph^*D_2 *KL adds $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\varphi}$ after $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$; this is plainly a gloss introduced from Rom. ix. 1, and is omitted by $\aleph^\circ\mathrm{AD}_2$ *GP and all early versions.
- 8. διαλογισμού. So κ*AD₂KLP dfm and the received text; the plural διαλογισμών (used everywhere else in N.T. except Luke ix. 46, 47) is supported by κ°Gg and the Syriac versions, and is preferred by Westcott and Hort, who put διαλογισμού in their margin.
- 9. Rec. text is ωσαύτως και τὰς γυναῖκας. τάς (added in D₂bcKL) and most cursives) is omitted by NAD₂*GP. και is found in N°D₂GKL and all the versions, but N*AP omit it. Westcott and Hort read ωσαύτως γυναῖκας.

κοσμίω. So the bulk of authorities; but κοσμίως is found in $\aleph^{\circ}D_{2}^{*}G$ and is given a place in Westcott and Hort's margin.

χρυσφ. So rec. text with ND2KL. AGP have χρυσίφ.

- 12. διδάσκειν δὲ γυναικί. γυναικί δὲ διδάσκειν is the order in KL and most cursives; text NAD₂GP.
- 14. Example coa. So N*AD₂*GP. Rec. text has $d\pi a r \eta \theta e i \sigma a$, following N*D₂ bokL and most cursives; this is obviously a correction of the text suggested by the simple verb $\dot{\eta}\pi a r \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$, in the first clause of the verse.

CHAP. II. 1-8. I. PRACTICAL DIRECTIONS ABOUT PUBLIC WORSHIP.

- i. PRAYER IS TO BE MADE FOR ALL MEN.
- 1. ov. As in 2 Tim. ii. 1, so here, ov marks the transition from the general charge to the particular injunctions.

πρώτον πάντων. The expression does not occur again in N.T.; it does not merely denote the order of time, but the order of dignity. The directions which follow relate to public prayer and the conduct of

public devotions; and of these the most important is that which emphasises the Catholic nature of Christian worship. The opening sentence of the Prayer for the Church Militant is taken from this verse, viz., "Almighty and everliving God, who by Thy holy Apostle hast taught us to make prayers, and supplications, and to give thanks, for all men," and such intercessions for those in authority in Church and State are found in the primitive liturgy in the Apostolic Constitutions. In these latest Epistles of St Paul we seem to have a more developed form of common worship than is found in earlier letters,

ποιείσθαι is middle voice, as the order of words shews, not passive: 'I exhort (you) to make &c.' Cp. Luke v. 33, οι μαθηταί Ἰωάνου...δεήσεις ποιούνται, and Phil. i. 4, μετά χαρᾶς τὴν δέησιν ποιούμενος. ποιείσθαι is often used with a noun to express by way of periphrasis what would be more simply stated by a verb, e.g. Luke xiii. 22, πορείαν ποιούμενος.

δεήσεις, προσευχάς, έντεύξεις, εύχαριστίας. The four words are not to be too sharply distinguished, inasmuch as they point to different moods of the suppliant rather than to the different forms into which public prayer may be cast. $\delta \epsilon \eta \sigma u$ expresses the sense of need (what we require, $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$), and is a less comprehensive term than $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \nu \gamma \hat{n}$: the former being equivalent to 'supplication' (imploratio), and the latter to 'prayer' in general (oratio). προσευχή is always used in a religious sense of prayers addressed to God, and in this differs from the other three terms, which are all used of human intercourse as well. Εντευξις is the regular word for a 'petition' to a superior, e.g. to the emperor, as in Just. Apol. i. 1, in the Petrie Papyri passim, and in inscriptions. In 2 Macc. iv. 8, the only place where it occurs in the Greek Bible outside the Pastorals, it has a reference to a conference between Jason and Antiochus. It is used of a petition to God here and in ch. iv. 5; and also in Philo (Quod det. pot. § 25, ἐντεύξεις καὶ ἐκβοήσεις), and in Hermas (Mand. x. 3). Probably the leading idea in the word is that of boldness of access, of confidence. Though the substantive is not employed elsewhere by St Paul, εντυγχάνειν, 'to entreat,' is Pauline; see e.g. Rom. viii. 27. The translation 'intercessions' in A.V. and R.V. is misleading, as it suggests a limitation of the meaning to petition for others, which is not involved. ('Intercession,' however, in the English of the A.V. had a wider sense, as may be seen from Jer. xxvii. 18, xxxvi. 25.) εὐχαριστία is not yet confined to the special ecclesiastical significance which it was soon to have; in this context it is simply that 'thanksgiving' which is the complement of all true prayer (cp. Phil. iv. 6, έν παντί τῆ προσευχή και τῆ δεήσει μετ' εὐχαριστίας). Augustine, who interprets it here of the Eucharist, understands by the three preceding terms the liturgical prayers before the consecration, at the consecration, and at the blessing of the congregation, respectively (Ep. exlix. (lix.) 16). This is an anachronism. To sum up, then, we may (1) with Origen, regard the four words as arranged in an ascending scale: the needy suppliant (δέησις) as he goes on is led to ask for larger blessings (προσευχή), and then becoming bold he presents his εντευξες, which being granted, his devotion issues in thanksgiving. Or (2) we may more simply take the words in two contrasted pairs, δέησιs being related to προσευχή as the particular to the general (see Eph. vi. 18), and ἔντευξις to εὐχαριστία as petition to thanksgiving.

ύπὲρ πάντων ἀνθρώπων. This is the key-note of Catholic worship, perhaps emphasised here in reference to the growing exclusiveness of the heretical sects. But it is an element of worship which always needs emphasis in times of stress and difficulty, as it is then very often neglected. Cp. Eph. vi. 18.

2. ὑπὰρ βασιλέων, 'for kings'; not 'for the kings,' as Baur interpreted, finding here a reason for placing the Epistle in the time of the Antonines, when two emperors shared the throne. The practice, commendable at all times and not without parallel in Jewish history (see Ezra vi. 10 and Josephus, B. J. 11. 17. 2), was especially important for Christians to observe in early days, when their attitude to the state religion exposed them to the suspicion of disloyalty, and is frequently insisted on by the early Apologists (e.g. Tert. Apol. 30, 31). Prayers for rulers are a conspicuous feature in the early liturgies. Cp. also Rom. xiii. 1; 1 Pet. ii. 13, and Tit. iii. 1. Polycarp (§ 12) repeats the injunction, apparently with reference to this passage. It will be remembered that Nero was the reigning emperor when St Paul wrote these words, which adds to the impressiveness of the injunction.

και πάντων τῶν ἐν ὑπεροχῆ ὄντων, all in authority; for the phrase cp. 2 Macc. iii. 11, ἀνδρὸς ἐν ὑπεροχῆ κειμένου, and see 1 Pet. ii. 13. The Latin versions render qui in sublimitate sunt.

The distinction drawn by commentators between ήρεμος and ἡσύχιος, that the former refers to freedom from trouble without, and the latter from trouble within, is hardly to be pressed. For the latter word cp. Plato's ἡσύχιος ὁ σώφρων βίος (Charm. 160 B).

The word εὐσέβεια calls for special notice as being one of a group of words occurring in St Paul's writings for the first time in the Pastoral Epistles, and there used repeatedly. In these letters εὐσέβεια occurs 11 times, εὐσεβεῖν once, and εὐσεβῶs twice, the only other instances in the N.T. of these terms being 4 in 2 Peter and 2 in Acts; we have also εὐσεβήs in Acts x. 2, 7, xxii. 12, and 2 Pet. ii. 9. These words are all found in the LXX., with greater frequency in the later books; and, indeed, are common in Greek literature, both early and late (e.g. in Philo and Josephus). That they were within St Paul's sphere of knowledge is thus assured; and, as a matter of fact, he has the corresponding forms ἀσέβεια and ἀσεβής in Romans. But why he should not have used them before and yet should use them so often in these latest letters is among the unsolved problems of the phraseology of the Pastorals, although corresponding literary phenomena have been often observed (see Introd. p. xxxviii.). It is worth remarking that this group of words is similarly prominent in Book IV. of the Sibyliline Oracles (cir. 80 A.D.), as designating the elect of God. εὐσέβεια is a more general word than θεοσέβεια (see ii. 10) and is almost equivalent to the Latin pietas, due esteem of superiors, whether human or Divine, while θεοσέβεια is restricted to God as its object. However in the N.T. εὐσέβεια always has reference to God; and in the present passage this is well brought out by the juxtaposition of σεμνότης; σεμνότης manifests itself by our demeanour in human society, εὐσέβεια by the fulfilment of duty to God. In the later days of Athanasius εὐσέβεια had almost come to be equivalent to orthodoxy; and Arius, writing to Eusebius, plays upon this, ending his letter with the words ἀληθῶς εὐσέβειε

σεμνότης is also peculiar to these letters (see iii. 4 and Tit. ii. 7); gravity best conveys the meaning, an intense conviction of the seriousness of life, and the difficulty of realising the Christian ideal (see note on Tit. i. 7). One of the resolutions set down in Dr Pusey's penitential rule was "to pray daily for σεμνότης1"; and the underlying idea is one that must not be left out of sight. Bishop Butler's comment on the passage, though he takes no account of the context, is itself a signal example of such σεμνότης1: "It is impossible," he says (Sermons on Public Occasions, v.), "to describe the general end which Providence has appointed us to aim at in our passage through the present world in more expressive words than these very plain ones of the Apostle....To lead a quiet and peaceful life &c. is the whole that we have any reason to be concerned for. To this the constitution of our nature carries us; and our external condition is adapted to it."

3. 4. Reasons for the foregoing direction to pray for all men.

3. τοῦτο καλὸν καὶ κ.τ.λ. This is good and acceptable in the sight of God our Saviour. The $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ of the received text is unnecessary and is insufficiently supported (see crit. note): $\tau \acute{o}\iota \acute{v}\sigma$ refers back to v. 1, v. 2 being of the nature of a parenthesis. It is a question whether both καλόν and ἀπόδεκτον, or only the latter word, are to be taken with ἐνώπιον τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ. The passage usually cited as in point is 2 Cor. viii. 21: προνοοῦμεν γὰρ καλὰ οὐ μόνον ἐνώπιον Κυρίου, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐνώπιον ἀνθρώπων. And there is no doubt that καλόν in the presage might in like manner be taken with the following ἐνώπιον. But it seems simpler to take καλόν by itself, as marking the intrinsic excellence of such prayers as those in question, the Apostle going on to add that they are specially acceptable in the sight of God, the Universal Saviour.

ἀπόδεκτος is found in the Greek Bible only here and in v. 4; cp. εὐπρόσδεκτος of Rom. xv. 16. See note on ἀποδοχή (i. 15).

For the phrase God our Saviour, see the note on i. 1; here the expression has peculiar point and force, and is further defined by the words which follow.

4. δs $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau as$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. whose will it is &c. of is equivalent to quippe qui, and introduces a clause explanatory of what has preceded. $\theta \acute{e}\lambda \epsilon_i$, not $\beta \acute{o}\acute{b}\lambda \acute{e}\tau a\iota$, is the word used; not a single Divine volition, but the

¹ Liddon's Life of Pusey, 111. 105.

general purpose of God, antecedent to man's use of His grace, is here in the Apostle's thought. Whatever be the ultimate issue in fact, the Divine intention is that all men shall be saved. That this Divine intention may be thwarted by man's misuse of his free will, is part of the great mystery of evil, unexplained and inexplicable; but that its bounty is not confined to particular races or individuals but takes in the whole race of man, is of the very essence of the Gospel. Cp. Matt. v. 45; Tit. ii. 11. It is possible that certain forms of Gnostic heresy, which held that certain classes of men, the uninitiated and unspiritual, are incapable of salvation, are here aimed at; but the introduction of the statement of the breadth of the Gospel is sufficiently explained by the context. See, however, Introd. p. liii.

καl els ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείαs ἐλθεῖν. This is inseparably connected with σωθήναι; the Life is only reached through the Truth, Who is also the Way. Cp. αὐτη ἐστὶν ἡ αἰωνιος ζωή, το γινώσκωσίν σε τὸν μόνον ἀληθινὸν θεόν κ.τ.λ. (John xvii. 3). ἐπίγνωσις is a thoroughly Pauline word. (See Eph. i. 17; Phil. i. 9; Col. i. 9.) The phrase ἐπίγνωσις ἀληθείας occurs thrice again in the Pastorals (2 Tim. ii. 25, iii. 7; Tit. i. 1; ep. Heb. xi. 26, and Philo Quod omn. prob. 11), and is significant of that aspect of the Gospel, which naturally comes into prominence, when its mutilation or perversion has begun to lead souls astray into heresy.

5-7. Further reasons for the doctrine that all men come within the scope of God's saving purpose.

These are threefold, (i.) the Unity of God, (ii.) the Incarnation, and (iii.) the Atonement of Christ. To take them in order:

- (i.) 5. εἶs γὰρ θεός, for God is one. This is connected immediately with v. 4, and only indirectly with v. 1. The Unity of God was indeed the centre of the Hebrew religion, but the inference here derived from it was not self-evident to the mind of the Jew. To him Jehovah was the God of the chosen people, and the exclusion of Gentiles from His grace and bounty did not present itself as strange or inconsistent with the character of the Supreme. But when it is analysed the conception of the Unity of God is seen to carry with it the truth that the Supreme stands in the same ultimate relation to all His creatures, and that His Divine purposes of love and mercy must embrace all mankind. So St Paul explains in Rom. iii. 30 that God is the God of Gentile as well as Jew, είπερ εῖς δ θεός, δς δικαιώσει περιστομήν ἐκ πίστεως καὶ ἀκροβυστίαν διὰ τῆς πίστεως; cp. also Rom. x. 12.
- (ii) There is also one mediator between God and men, Himself man, Christ Jesus. As there is only one God, so there is only one Way to God: "No man cometh unto the Father, but by Me" (John xiv. 6). Christ is the only Mediator (the mediation of saints or angels is quite unscriptural), and He has, in becoming man, taken up all human nature into Himself. In Him all men are summed up, and so He is the representative, not of this or that man only, but of all mankind. Thus, again, all men in Him "shall be made alive"; the saving graces of the Risen Lord are placed within the reach of all. This

is clearly brought out in the words $\tilde{\alpha}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma$ $X\rho$. In. at the end of the clause. Christ is not a man, but man in the widest sense.

The title μεσίτης must not be overlooked. In Gal. iii. 19 it is used of Moses (as in the Assumptio Mousis, i. 14, iii. 12, and in Philo, Vit. Mos. iii. 19); but frequently in Hebrews of our Lord. In the latter Epistle it is always found in conjunction with διαθήκη. In the present case it is used more simply than in either of the other Epp. where it occurs, and indicates that as there is only one mediator or go-between between God and man, so the way of mediation must be alike open to all. This is brought out forcibly by the addition of the word $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma$ (without the article) at the end, which involves in itself, as has been shewn, the universal bounty of the Incarnation. It is possible that there was here present to St Paul's mind the contrast between Moses the μεσίτης for the Jews only, and the Mediator of a new covenant (Heb. ix. 15), whose mediation was for all mankind. Jew and Gentile alike.

(iii.) 6. The third doctrinal reason for the salvability of all men, is the universal purpose of the Atonement: δ δούς ξαυτών ἀντίλυτρον ὑπἐρ πάντων. Jesus Christ gave Himself a ransom on behalf of all, and hence we may conclude that it is God's will that all should be saved. The phraseology requires careful attention. ὁ δοὺς ἐαυτόν, He gave Himself, not merely His Death. Cp. Gal. i. 4, ii. 20; Eph. v. 2; Tit. ii. 14, &c., and δούναι την ψυχην αύτου λύτρον άντι πολλών (Matt. xx. 28 | Mark x. 45). ἀντίλυτρον is a word occurring only here, though the full meaning of it is contained in the passage last cited from the Gospels; the doctrinal bearing of the preposition is by no means to be lost sight of. The usual language of the N.T. is, that Christ died ὑπἐρ ἡμῶν, i.e. on our behalf; but at Matt. xx. 28 the prep. ἀντί is used. Here we have the compound $d\nu\tau t\lambda v\tau\rho\rho\nu$ preceding $v\pi\dot{e}\rho$ $\pi\dot{d}\nu\tau\omega\nu$, which suggests that both the elements represented by avil instead of. and $b\pi \epsilon \rho$ on behalf of, must enter into any Scriptural theory of the Atonement. Cp. 4 Macc. vi. 29.

τὸ μαρτύριον καιροίς ίδίοις. The testimony in its own seasons. These words are parenthetical, and in apposition to all that has gone before. τὸ μαρτύριον is equivalent to τὸ μαρτυρούμενον, the thing which is testified to, the purport of the Church's witness. The great subject of the testimony to be borne by the Church from age to age is the Universality of Redemption through the One Mediator. The antecedent is not merely ο δούς...πάντων, but the whole of verses 4, 5, 6. This witness was not of a character which could have been borne by the Jewish Church: it was reserved for the dispensation of the Gospel. καιροίς ίδίοις.

The formula καιροίς lòlois occurs twice again in the Pasteral Epistles. at 1 Tim. vi. 15 and Tit. i. 3, in the former of which passages the reference of thios is clearly defined by the context to God, the subject of the sentence in each case. Here (as at Tit. i. 3) it is simply in due seasons as in Gal. vi. 9, καιρώ γὰρ ιδίω κ.τ.λ., and the outlook is to the future of the Church.

^{7.} είς ő. sc. μαρτύριον.

čτέθην. The Apostle's ministry was not self-chosen. Cp. ch. i. 12, θέμενος els διακονίαν; the entire clause is repeated 2 Tim. i. 11, els δ ἐτέθην ἐγώ κῆρυξ καὶ ἀπόστολος καὶ διδάσκαλος. The emphatic ἐγώ should not be overlooked. $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \xi$ is only found in the N.T. in these two passages and in 2 Pet. ii. 5, where it is used of Noah. But κηρύσσειν is a common Pauline word; see e.g. for the collocation of κήρυξ and απόστολος, Rom. x. 15: πως κηρύξωσιν έαν μη αποσταλώσιν; As κήρυξ expresses his work, so ἀπόστολος (here used in the higher sense of the word) expresses his Divine mission.

The parenthetical ἀλήθειαν λέγω, οὐ ψεύδομαι (cp. Rom. ix. 1 and critical note) may be taken to refer either to what precedes or to what follows. If the former, it would be a strong assertion of his apostolical authority, perhaps introduced with a view to false teachers at Ephesus who denied it. But it is far better to take it as introducing his claim to be διδάσκαλος έθνων, doctor gentium, the mention of which is especially in place here, as he is insisting on the Universality of the Gospel message. See esp. Rom. xi. 13; Gal. ii. 7-9, for his assertion of this great claim.

in whose kal dandela. There can be little doubt that $d\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon_{i}$ is here to be explained in connexion with the $d\lambda \dot{\eta}\theta \epsilon a$ of v. 4, to the knowledge of which it is God's will that all men should come. is to say, $a\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\iota a$ does not directly refer to the spirit of the teacher, but to the content of his lesson; it is the $\lambda \delta \gamma$ os $d\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon las$ (2 Cor. vi. 7) which he preaches. And this objective sense of αλήθεια makes it natural to take mloves in the same way; it does not refer to the Apostle's confidence, or to the subjective conditions of his ministry, but to the faith which he commends to his hearers. See note on 19 above.

8-15. ii. Further directions as to the demeanour at public WORSHIP OF (a) MEN, (b) WOMEN.

(a) THE DUTY OF MEN.

8. βούλομαι οὖν. βούλομαι is more specific than $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$, and conveys here the idea of an authoritative desire; cp. v. 14; our resumes the general subject, after the quasi-digression of vv. 3-7.

τοὺς ἄνδρας. the men, in antithesis to the women, for whom separate instructions follow in v. 9. The men are to lead the worship of the faithful; the women are to be silent.

έν παντί τόπφ. This makes the directions general, in every region, i.e. where the Gospel is known; cp. 1 Cor. i. 2; 2 Cor. ii. 14; 1 Thess. i. 8. St Paul is only speaking of public prayers, not of private devotion; but he lays down as his first rule that men shall lead the worship of the congregation wherever Christians are assembled. Observe the connexion is προσεύχεσθαι έν παντί τόπφ, not έν παντί τόπω έπαίροντας κ.τ.λ. The thought that prayer may be offered in any and every place, as at every time (1 Thess. v. 17), is not relevant to the context here.

ἐπαίροντας ὁσίους χεῖρας. To pray with uplifted and outspread hands was the Jewish habit. See Pss. cxli. 2, cxliii. 6; Lam. iii. 41; 1 Kings viii. 22; 2 Macc. xiv. 34, and (an interesting parallel) Philo, de Hum. 2, τὰς καθαρὰς...χεῖρας εἰς οὐρανὰν ἀνατείνας; cp. de vita Cont. §§ 8, 11. It was also the posture adopted in blessing (Lev. ix. 22; Luke xxiv. 50). The practice seems to have been followed in the early Christian Church. Cp. Clem. Rom. 29, προσέλθωμεν αὐτῷ ἐν ὁσώτητι ψυχῆς, ἀγνὰς καὶ ἀμιάντους χεῖρας αἴροντες πρὸς αὖτὸν. See also Clem. Alex. Strom. vii. 7, and Tert. Apol. 30; de Orat. 11. The posture of the orantes depicted in the Catacombs is one of standing with uplifted and outstretched arms.

As the attitude of body is prescribed, so is the state of mind. The hands must be holy, i.e. the life must be without reproach; compare for this phrase Ps. xxiii. 4 and James iv. 8. Observe that we have $\delta\sigma lovs$ $\chi\epsilon\hat{i}\rho as$, not $\delta\sigma las$, as we should naturally expect. But adjectives in -tos are not infrequently used as if they were of two

terminations only; cp. Luke ii. 13.

χωρls ὀργῆς καὶ διαλογισμοῦ, without wrath and disputation. Either would mar the charity which prays for all men. "Anger," says Jeremy Taylor, "is a perfect alienation of the mind from prayer." χωρls ὀργῆς is the reflexion of that clause in the Lord's Prayer "as we forgive them that trespass against us"; to be able to recite it with sincerity is to have advanced far indeed in the Christian life. And again, χωρls διαλογισμοῦ, without disputation; in our prayers we leave our differences behind us, and in the awe of the Divine presence we realise in some measure how poor a thing is theological controversy.

διαλογισμοῦ (see critical note) is probably the true reading. διαλογισμός might mean 'doubting' (see Luke xxiv. 38), but this would seem foreign to the context here; the general N.T. sense (see e.g. Rom. xiv. 1; Phil, ii, 14) is 'disputation.'

9-15. (b) The conduct of women in the Christian assembly.

9. ὁσαύτως κ.τ.λ. We must understand βούλομαι. Some commentators take the words down to σωφροσύτης as referring to the demeanour of women at public prayer, προσεύχεσθαι being supplied: "I wish likewise that women pray in modest apparel with shame-fastness and sobriety," κοσμεῦν ἐαυτάς going with what follows. Such directions would be similar to the rule laid down in 1 Cor. xi. 13, that women should be veiled at the assemblies of the faithful, when prayer is being offered. But this would be a very unnatural arrangement of the words; and the position of κοσμεῦν especially would be awkward. It is better to suppose that St Paul, beginning his sentence with ὡσαύτως as if he were going to add directions about the public devotions of women, goes off in a different direction and supplies principles for their general deportment and dress. This is quite in his manner. We take κοσμεῦν ἐαυτάς, then, as co-ordinated with προσεύχεσθαι of v. 8.

The introductory ώσαύτως occurs with peculiar frequency in the

Pastorals (see iii. 8, 11, v. 25; Tit. ii. 3, 6); it is only used twice elsewhere by St Paul (Rom. viii. 26; 1 Cor. xi. 25).

καταστολή. A word only found in the Greek Bible here and in Isa. lxi. 3. It means dress; κατάστημα of Tit. ii. 3 is a more general word, equivalent to 'demeanour' or 'deportment.'

μετά αίδοῦς και σωφροσύνης. With shamefastness and sobriety. This, the rendering of both A.V. and R.V., is as near to the Greek as we can go in English. The Greek words have a long history behind them, and have no exact equivalents in modern speech. Both together well describe the discretion and modesty of Christian womanhood.

atôús is almost=verecundia; it is a nobler word than $al\sigma\chi\acute{\nu}r\eta$, inasmuch as it implies (1) a moral repugnance to what is base and unseemly, and (2) self-respect, as well as restraint imposed on oneself from a sense of what is due to others; neither (1) nor (2) enters into $al\sigma\chi\acute{\nu}r\eta$. Thus $al\delta\acute{\omega}$ s here signifies that modesty which shrinks from overstepping the limits of womanly reserve. Wielif's felicitous rendering shamefastness has been retained in nearly all the English versions, although both etymology and meaning have been obscured by the corrupt spelling 'shame-facedness'; shamefastness is really that which is established and held fast by an honourable shame¹. $al\delta\acute{\omega}$ s is a common term in philosophical writers, but in the LXX. it is found only 3 Macc. i. 19, iv. 5; it does not occur elsewhere in the N.T.

σωφροσύνη is a word of much wider meaning. It was one of the four cardinal virtues in the Platonic philosophy, the others being φρόνησες, δικαιοσύνη, and ἀνδρεία (cp. Philo, Leg. Alleg. i. 19). Primarily it signifies (as in Aristotle) a command over bodily passions, a state of perfect self-mastery in respect of appetite. It marked the attitude towards pleasure of the man with a well-balanced mind, and was equally opposed to asceticism and to over-indulgence. Sobriety is perhaps its nearest equivalent in English, but this fails to do justice to the high place which the idea of 'moderation' occupied in the Greek mind. The old etymology given by Chrysostom, σωφροσύνη λέγεται ἀπὸ τοῦ σώσε τὰς φρένας ἔχεω, shews how intimately it was connected with the sense of self-control.

The word does not occur in the older books of the LXX., for there is nothing corresponding to it in Hebrew moral systems. To the Hebrews ethics had always a religious basis, the revealed will of God supplied an objective standard of right and wrong; and thus the self-regarding aspect of Greek philosophy had no place in their thoughts. And for a somewhat similar reason—though qualifications would here be necessary—it can never occupy as high a place in Christian ethics as it did in Greek 2. See note on $\phi t \lambda a u \tau o s$, 2 Tim. iii. 2.

But, in the later books of the LXX., as soon, indeed, as Hebraism came into contact with Hellenism, the word $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\rho\sigma\delta\nu\eta$ and its cognates make their appearance. Thus we have $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\delta\nu\omega$ s in Wisd.

¹ See Trench, Synonyms of N.T., xx. (First Series).

² And this is true, despite the fact that σωφροσύνη has a much wider sphere in modern Christendom than it ever had in Greece, and is really conceived of as a nobler virtue. Op. Green, Prologomena to Ethics, p. 289 ff.

èν πλέγμασιν, with plaitings; this finds its explanation in the $\dot{\epsilon}$ μπλοκ $\dot{\gamma}$ s τριχών of 1 Pet. iii. 3, a passage strictly parallel to this in its warnings against excessive finery. There is probably no literary connexion between these two passages, similar as they are; they both breathe the same spirit, inasmuch as they deal with the same topic from the same point of view.

10. The adornment is to be δι' ξργων ἀγαθῶν. This is certainly the true construction; ὅ πρέπει...θεοσέβειαν is parenthetical. The stress laid on 'good works' all through the Pastoral Epistles is very remarkable: no other Epistles of St Paul lay at all the same emphasis on right living, as the index to right belief. It is possible that the particular forms of heresy with which the Churches of Ephesus and Crete were threatened rendered it necessary to expose the vanity of theological speculations without ethical background, and the impossibility of treating creed apart from life. Thus the heretics of Tit. i. 16 while they 'confess that they know God' yet 'deny Him by their works'; they are πρὸς πῶν ἔργον ἀγαθὸν ἀδόκιμοι. As here the best adornment of womanhood is found $\delta i' \, \ell \rho \gamma \omega \nu \, d\gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, so the test of a widow to be placed on the Church's list is at marri forw άγαθω επηκολούθησεν (1 Tim. v. 10). The phrase, prepared (or 'equipped') for every good work occurs three times (2 Tim. ii. 21. iii. 17; Tit. iii. 1).

There is nothing, of course, in all this inconsistent with St Paul's previous teaching. Similar expressions occur, though with less frequency, in his earlier Epistles. Ενα περισσεύητε εἰς πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθόν was his hope for the Corinthians (2 Cor. ix. 8); ὑπομονή ἔργον ἀγαθοῦ is the spirit which shall be rewarded hereafter (Rom. ii. 7); he prays for the Colossians that they may be fruitful ἐν παντὶ ἔργω ἀγαθοῦ (Col. i. 10); and in another Epistle he explains that these ἔργα ἀγαθοῦ αναθοῦ are prepared of God that we should walk in them (Eph. ii. 10). And in the Pastoral Epistles themselves there are passages which bring out the complementary truth, that it is not by works that we are saved, with all the clearness and distinctness of the Epistle to the Romans. Thus in 2 Tim. i. 9 Paul speaks of God who saved us οῦ κατὰ τὰ ἔργα ἡμῶν ἀλλὰ κατὰ ιδίαν πρόθεσιν; and again in Tit, iii. 5 οῦς ἔξε

έργων των έν δικαιοσύνη α έποιήσαμεν ήμεις άλλα κατά το αύτου έλεος έσωσεν ήμως κ.τ.λ.

We have not yet, however, exhausted the references in the Pastorals to 'good works.' In eight other passages $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\alpha$ kalá are spoken of, a phrase similar to though not identical with $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\alpha$ $\tilde{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\tilde{\alpha}$, and specially noteworthy because it is not found in any of the other letters of St Paul.

Something has already been said (see on i. 8) of the distinction between άγαθός and καλός, and the usage of the phrase καλά έργα in the Gospels (Matt. v. 16; Mark xiv. 6; John x. 32), in the Ep. to the Hebrews (x. 24), and the First Ep. of St Peter (ii. 12) corroborates the distinction there suggested. So in the Pastoral Epistles the phrase καλά έργα is used in reference to good works which are seen of men and which illustrate the beauty of the Christian life. If not πρόδηλα, notoriously evident, at all events they cannot remain always hidden (1 Tim. v. 25). The true riches are those of έργα καλά (1 Tim. vi. 18); if a man desires a bishopric he desires a καλόν έργων (1 Tim. iii. 1); God's chosen are a λαὸς περιούσιος, ζηλωτής καλῶν έργων (Tit. ii. 14); Titus is to be a τύπος καλῶν έργων (Tit. ii. 7); and he is to bid the people under his care καλῶν έργων προΐστασθαι (Tit. iii. 8, 14).

It would, however, be unsafe to press the distinction between $\xi\rho\gamma\alpha$ $\kappa\alpha\lambda\delta$ and $\xi\rho\gamma\alpha$ $d\gamma\alpha\theta\delta$ in the Pastorals. The two phrases seem to be used interchangeably in 1 Tim. v. 9, 10, and it is not impossible that they are renderings of an Aramaic phrase which had come into use. To speak of $\xi\rho\gamma\alpha$ $\kappa\alpha\lambda\delta$ or of $\xi\rho\gamma\alpha$ $d\gamma\alpha\theta\delta$ is quite foreign to Greek ethics.

δ πρέπει κ.τ.λ. Cp. Eph. v. 3 καθώς πρέπει άγιοις.

ἐπαγγελλομέναις θεοσέβειαν. I.e. professing religion. ἐπαγγελλεσθαι in N.T. generally means 'to promise'; but the meaning to profess, necessary for the sense here, is quite legitimate and is exemplified by the lexicons; cp. vi. 21. θεοσέβεια is a LXX. and classical word, occurring here only in N.T. It is used in a quasi-technical sense for 'the religious life'; and θεοσεβής has something of the same ambiguity as our word 'religious,' which, rightly applicable to all God-fearing persons, is yet sometimes confined to members of a conventual or monastic order. The A.V. and R.V. make no distinction between θεοσέβεια and εὐσέβεια, rendering both words godliness. See on ii. 2 above.

Some Latin authorities (τ and Cyprian) render $\theta\epsilon o\sigma\epsilon \theta\epsilon a\nu$ curiously by castitatem, and am has pudicitiam, but the usual Latin rendering is pietatem.

11. γυνή ἐν ἡσυχία μανθανέτω. We should observe the close parallelism in thought between these directions and those laid down in 1 Cor. xiv. 34, 35: αὶ γυναῖκες ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις σιγάτωσαν, οὐ γὰρ ἐπιτρέπεται αὐταῖς λαλεῖν ἀλλὰ ὑποτασσέσθωσαν, καθώς καὶ ὁ νόμος λέγει. εἰ δὲ τι μανθάγειν θέλουσιν, ἐν οἰκω τοὺς ἰδίους ἄνδρας ἐπερωτάτωσαν, αἰσχρὸν γάρ ἐστιν γυναικὶ λαλείν ἐν ἐκκλησία.

Women are to be learners & πάση ὑποταγῆ. This is not, of course, primarily in reference to their general attitude to men, but only to their behaviour at public worship. The reason assigned, however, in vv. 13, 14 gives the direction a wider bearing. Cp. 1 Pet. iii. 5.

The 'subjection of women' is a topic freely debated at the present day; and, although it has been argued that St Paul is basing his rules on the position assigned to the sex in the society of his time, rather than laying down precepts of universal and permanent obligation, there can be no doubt that the distinction which he makes between the respective duties of men and women lies deep down in the facts of human nature as originally constituted. See on Tit. ii. 5. With $\epsilon \nu$ $\pi \delta \sigma p$ $\nu \sigma \sigma \sigma \gamma \gamma$ may be compared $\pi \delta \sigma \eta s$ $\delta \sigma \delta \omega \gamma \gamma s$ $\delta \delta \omega \gamma s$ (i. 15) and $\delta \nu$ $\pi \delta \sigma p$ $\delta \sigma \delta \omega \gamma s$ $\delta \delta \omega \gamma s$ (ii. 2) and $\delta \sigma \tau \omega \gamma s$ $\delta \omega$

12. διδάσκειν δὲ γυναικὶ οὐκ ἐπιτρέπω. A woman is to learn; she is not permitted to teach in the public assembly of Christians. The renewal of the prohibition at the Fourth Council of Carthage in 398 seems to shew, as Ellicott observes, that a neglect of this Apostolic ordinance had crept into the African Church. Women were, however, expressly permitted to teach others of their own sex; and we have not to go outside the Pastoral Epistles for a recognition of the value of their private teaching of the young. See 2 Tim. iii. 14; and Tit. ii. 3, where it is recommended that the πρεσβύτιδες should be καλοδιδάσκαλοι.

The construction οὐ...οὐδέ, which occurs in this verse, is thoroughly Pauline; see Rom. ii. 28, ix. 7, 16.

αὐθεντεῖν. This is a ἄπ. λ εγ. in the Greek Bible, although we have αὐθέντηs and αὐθεντἱα in Wisd. xii. 6 and 3 Macc. ii. 29. The αὐθέντηs is the perpetrator of a crime, as distinguished from an accomplice, and the word was especially applied to a murderer. From this it came to mean one who does anything with his own hand,—'the responsible person,' and so 'a ruler'; and thence we have the verb in the sense 'to lord it over.'

έν ήσυχία. The repetition of this word at the end of the sentence is emphatic. It is a favourite word with St Paul, in reference to the Christian life. See, e.g., ch. ii. 2 and 2 Thess. iii. 12.

- 13. 14. From the history of human origins two reasons are assigned for the prohibition to women to teach and exercise authority over men.
 - (i.) The first of these is derived from the order of creation.
- 13. 'Αδάμ γάρ πρῶτος ἐπλάσθη, εἶτα Εἴα. There is a somewhat similar argument in 1 Cor. xi. 9, which see. That Adam was created first implies a certain superiority; such at least seems to be the Apostle's thought.

The word $\pi\lambda\delta\sigma\sigma\epsilon\omega$ is specifically used for the creation of man; see e.g. Gen. ii. 7; the usual rendering of the Latins for $\epsilon\pi\lambda\delta\sigma\theta\eta$ is formatus est, but am has figuratus.

(ii.) The second reason is based on the history of the Fall; the woman was deceived, not the man, and this suggests that she will be an unfit guide. 'From a woman was the beginning of sin' said the Son of Sirach (Ecclus. xxv. 24). Facilius decepta, facilius decipit, as Bengel tersely puts it.

14. 'Αδάμ οὐκ ήπατήθη. What Adam did, he did of his own choice and with open eyes.

On the other hand Eve was entirely deceived, $\xi\xi\alpha\pi\alpha\tau\eta\theta\epsilon i\sigma a$. (See crit. note.) Compare Gen. iii. 13 δ $\delta\phi$ is $\eta\pi\alpha\tau\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$ $\mu\epsilon$. The compound verb $\xi\xi\alpha\pi\alpha\tau\delta\omega$ is a common Pauline word (see Rom. vii. 11; 1 Cor. iii. 18). And so, Eve being beguited hath fallen into transgression. The perfect tense, $\gamma\epsilon\gamma$ ore, is used in preference to the aorist, as the case of Eve has permanent application; cp. Gal. iv. 23. Note that the construction $\gamma\epsilon\gamma$ verbau $\epsilon\nu$ (1 Cor. ii. 3; 2 Cor. iii. 7) is Pauline. The term $\tau\alpha\rho\alpha\beta\alpha\sigma$ is here used in its strict sense of a transgression of law (Rom. iv. 15; Gal. iii. 19).

At this point the writer passes from Eve, the mother and prototype of the sex. to womankind generally.

15. $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$. The connexion of thought is as follows. The woman fell into transgression, and the judgement pronounced on her for all time was $\epsilon \nu \lambda \delta \pi a \iota s \tau \epsilon \xi \eta \tau \tau \epsilon \nu a$ (Gen. iii. 16): the fulfilment of her proper duty shall be accompanied with pain. But yet shall she be safely brought through her $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \sigma \gamma \nu \iota a$, if she abide in faith and love &c. That which may be her curse may also be her highest blessing if she use it aright. St Paul has been deprecating the assumption by woman of duties, such as that of public teaching, which have not been assigned to her in the Providence of God; he ends with a word of encouragement to her if she confine herself to her own sphere; $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a$ she shall be saved not only in her body, but in the highest sense of all 1.

The construction $\sigma\omega\theta\eta\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$ $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$ has a strict parallel in 1 Cor. iii. 15: $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\delta}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $\sigma\omega\theta\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$, $\sigma\dot{\nu}\tau\omega\delta\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu\rho\dot{\delta}\delta$. $\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu\sigma\rho\sigma\iota\dot{\alpha}$ is not the meritorious cause of woman's salvation; it is the sphere, being her natural duty, in which she may hope to find it. The emphasis laid in these Epistles on good works, especially on the performance of the common duties of life, has already been remarked (see on v. 10 above).

Two other interpretations have been proposed: (1) that of Chrysostom, who regards τεκνογονία as identical here with τεκνοτροφία, the Christian education of children, and supposes an implied τέκνα to be the subject of μείνωσιν. But τεκνογονία cannot be thus explained; τεκνογονείν is used in this very Epistle (v. 14) in its ordinary sense of bearing children. And further such an interpretation does not harmonise with the context. (2) Many modern commentators lay stress on the article τῆς and interpret διὰ τῆς τεκνογονία as through the Child-Bearing, so. of the Blessed Virgin, the τεκνογονία in the Apostle's mind being the Saviour's Birth, foreshadowed in Gen. iii. 16. But it is impossible to suppose that St Paul would have spoken of the Nativity of Christ as η τεκνογονία without any further explanation. The interpretation must be counted among those pious and ingenious flights of fancy, which so often mislead the commentator on Holy

PAST. EPP.

¹ The cases of man and woman are exactly parallel. For man there is pronounced the doom of labour (Gen. iii. 17); yet labour is discipline through which he may win his way to God. 'Laborare est orare.' For woman it is ordained, 'In sorrow she shall bring forth' (Gen. iii. 16). Yet by it and by the duties involved, she is trained for the kingdom of Heaven.

Scripfure The Latin versions give the sense correctly, per filiorum generationem.

, μένωστν. The promise is given to woman (η γυνή); its fulfilment is for such women as continue in faith, &c. Hence the plural, and likewise the aorist, specifying to these what was given generally. The thought of the whole passage may be illustrated by 1 Cor. vii. 20: ξκαστος ἐν τῆ κλήστι ἡ ἐκλήση ἐν ταύτη μενέτω.

'èν πίστα και άγάπη και άγιασμῷ. Faith and love will issue in holiness. Op. ch. i. 14.

μετά σωφροσύνης. σωφροσύνη has already been spoken of as a grace specially to be commended to Christian women. See on v. 9 above.

- 1. For $\pi\iota\sigma\tau$ of D_2^* has $\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\iota\nu$ os, following its Latin version humanus, which is also read by m; g has humanus vel fidelis. See on i. 15.
- 2. The best MSS. (KAD₂GH) have $\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\pi i\lambda\eta\mu\pi\tau\sigma\nu$ (which should also be read in v. 7 and vi. 14); the received spelling $\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\pi i\lambda\eta\pi\tau\sigma\nu$ has the support of KL and most cursives.
- 3. Rec. text after πλήκτην inserts μη αlσχροκερδή (from Tit. i. 7) with 37 and many other cursives; om. all uncials and versions.
- 7. Rec. text after $\delta \hat{\epsilon i}$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ inserts $a\dot{v}\tau \delta v$ with D_2KLP and Latin Vss.; om. NAGH.
- 8. ** and 3 cursives omit σεμνούς here, but it is unquestionably part of the primitive text.
- 14. πρὸς σὲ. These words omitted by G (but represented in f g) and the Armenian version are placed by Westcott and Hort in square brackets.
- τάχιον. This, the reading of rec. text, is supported by ND_2 °GKL and most cursives; Westcott and Hort and the Revisers read $\ell\nu$ τάχει with ACD_2*P .
- 15. After $\delta \hat{si}$, some Western authorities (followed by the Latin Vulgate) insert $\sigma \epsilon$; but its insertion is due to a misconception of the meaning. See note in loc.
- 16. The important variants in this verse require close attention. $\theta\epsilon\delta s$ of the rec. text is found in C*D_2*KLP and the vast majority of cursives; but it has no support from the versions, and the earliest fathers who have it, viz. Didymus of Alexandria and Gregory of Nyssa, date from the latter part of the fourth century. On the other hand δs is read in NA*C*G 17. 73. 181, and the Egyptian versions, and is witnessed to by Origen (probably) and by Epiphanius, Theodore and Cyril of Alexandria (certainly). And again, the Western reading δ , found in D₂*fg, the Vulgate and the Latin fathers generally, is a manifest corruption of δs , introduced because of the preceding $\tau\delta$ $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\eta\rho\nu\sigma$. The Syriac versions have a relative pronoun, but it is

not certain whether it is meant to render δs or δ , as in Syriac there is no neuter. Thus, on the whole, external authority is overwhelmingly on the side of δs . The variant $\theta \epsilon \delta s$ would readily arise from the true reading, as confusion of $\overline{\Theta G}$ and OC would be easy; the similarity, indeed, being so great that the reading of A has long been matter of controversy. That it witnesses to δs and not to $\theta \epsilon \delta s$ is, however, the opinion of most of the experts who have recently inspected the manuscript, although competent persons who had access to it a hundred years ago, when it was in better condition, believed it to read $\theta \epsilon \delta s$. For a full discussion of all the evidence, reference should be made to Tischendorf in loc. or to Westcott and Hort's note (Notes on Select Readings, p. 133) or to Scrivener's Introduction, m. 390.

II. QUALIFICATIONS OF THE OFFICIALS OF THE CHURCH.

1—7. і. Візнорз.

1. πιστὸς ὁ λόγος. This formula (see on i. 15) has been referred (e.g. by Chrysostom) to the words which precede, but it seems better to take it with the terse sentence which follows, viz.: If any man aspires to the episcopate, he desires a noble work. On the force of καλός see on i. 8, ii. 10 above; it is, however, the word ξργον upon which stress is laid, not the dignity or the honour of the episcopate, but its proper duties (negotium not otium). There is nothing in the maxim inconsistent with the spirit expressed by Nolo episcopari; unwillingness to undertake so heavy a burden may coexist with a full sense of the gravity and importance of the episcopal function. It is to be borne in mind that at this stage of the Church's existence, the duties of the ἐπίσκοπος would be rather hazardous than honourable in the sight of men; and a maxim like this might well have arisen from the unwillingness of Christian converts to be raised to so conspicuous a position as that which the official representatives of the Church would necessarily occupy.

όρέγεται. Outside 1 Tim. the word is only found in the Greek Bible in Heb. xi. 16; but it is common in profane authors. It conveys no bad sense of 'grasping,' and is a true vox media. Aspires to gives its proper force.

2. δει οὖν: Therefore is it necessary &c. The ἔργον is καλόν, and demands therefore men of high moral character no less than of ability in affairs. Bonum negotium bonis committendum says Bengel.

τον ἐπίσκοπον. Stress is perhaps not to be laid on the singular number (see *Introd.* p. lxxii.), since it may be used generically. Yet it is remarkable that both here and at Tit. i. 7 the singular is found, while the διάκονοι are mentioned (v. 8) in the plural. And the presence of the definite article, which is so sparingly used in the Pastorals, seems to be significant.

ἀνεπίλημπτον. The bishop must be without reproach. This is a classical word, not found outside this Epistle (cp. v. 7, vi. 14) in N.T. or LXX.; it is stronger than ἀμεμπτος οr ἀνέγκλητος, for it implies not only that the man is of good report, but that he deserves it: μη παρέχων κατηγορίας ἀφορμήν is the Scholiast's comment on the word, Thuc. v. 17. "The rule that a defectus bonae famae is a canonical impediment to Ordination is based upon this, although the Apostolic language is in reality more exacting.... The si quis before Ordination and the confirmation before Episcopal Consecration, at the present day, are designed to secure what this word prescribes." (Liddon

in loc.

The qualifications now given are not, it will be observed, descriptive of the actual functions of Church officers; they have reference to spiritual and moral, not to official, requirements, and are not to be regarded as exhaustive. The list of a bishop's qualifications in vv. 2-7 should be compared with that in the parallel passage Titus i. 6-9. There are some differences, although on the whole there is a marked similarity. Here e.g. we have κόσμιον, ἐπιεικῆ, ἄμαχον (but see Tit. iii. 2), μη νεόφυτον, and δεί μαρτυρίαν καλήν έχειν άπο των έξωθεν, which are not found in Titus; while μη αὐθάδη, μη δργίλον, φιλάγαθον, δίκαιον, δσιον, έγκρατή, άντεχόμενον τοῦ κατά την διδαχήν πιστοῦ λόγου of the later Epistle have no place here. It is not necessary to invent a theory (such as that each list was drawn up in view of the needs of the local Church) to account for these differences. They are neither more nor greater than might be expected in two letters written during the same period by the same man to two friends under somewhat similar circumstances. Neither list, as has been said, can be regarded as exhaustive.

μιᾶς γυναικὸς ἄνδρα. The sense is fixed by the parallel clause in ch. v. 9 (see note) ἐνὸς ἀνδρὸς γυνή which cannot possibly mean anything but a woman who has not re-married after the death or divorce of her husband. It excludes from ecclesiastical position those who have been married more than once. For ordinary Christians second marriages are not forbidden: see esp. Rom. vii. 3; 1 Cor. vii. 9 and 39; and 1 Tim. v. 14. But they are forbidden to the ἐπίσκοπος, to the διάκονοι (v. 12), and to the χήραι who are put on the Church's list, he asimuch as it is all important that they should be ἀνεπίλημπτοι². For these persons is prescribed περί τὸν ἔνα γάμον σεμνότης (Clem. Alex. Strom. III. 1). Clement (l.c.) goes on to explain that second marriages,

1 Yet the same thing occurs in v. 1, 2 where πρεσβυτέρφ is singular and νεωτέρουs plural, but there we find no definite article.

² Under the Pentateuchal law, the regulations about marriage were in like manner stricter for the priests than for the people; the priest was forbidden to marry a widow or a divorced woman (Lev. xxi. 14).

though not forbidden by the law, are a breaking in upon the Christian ideal of faithful union between one man and one woman. But, whatever truth there be in this view (see Matt. xix. 4; Eph. v. 32) it is not expressed here by St Paul, whose injunction $\mu \hat{a}s$ $\gamma \nu r a \kappa \delta s$ $\delta \nu \delta \rho a$ is directly suggested by the statement that the bishop is to be $\delta \nu \epsilon \pi t \lambda \eta \mu$ - $\pi r o s$. The point is that he must not lay himself open to charges like that of $\delta \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon t a$.

How far such a prohibition is binding in the present condition of the world and of the Church is another question. It must be remembered that St Paul is not enumerating here the essential characteristics of a bishop; he is dwelling upon certain moral and personal qualities which, in the Church of that day, it was desirable that he should possess. And it has been argued with considerable force that regulations of this sort cannot be regarded as of universal and permanent obligation, for circumstances may so change as to render them unwise or unnecessary. The Roman, the Greek, and the Anglican Communions have, as a matter of history, all departed from the letter of this rule; the Roman in forbidding the marriage of the clergy in general; the Greek in requiring celibacy of bishops; and the Anglican in permitting their re-marriage. The sense of the Church plainly is that this regulation, at least, may be modified by circumstances. See below on διδακτικός.

Other interpretations of these disputed words are (a) that they forbid polygamy. But, although polygamy is said to have been not unknown among the Jews of the Apostolic age (Joseph. Antt. xvII. 12; Just. Mart. Trypho 134), it was quite an exceptional thing; and it was never countenanced by Christians. Polygamy would not have been lawful for any Christian convert, whether from Judaism or from heathendom; and therefore the special prohibition in the case of a bishop would have been without point. Such an interpretation is indeed absolutely excluded by the parallel clause ένδι ἀνδρὸι γυνή of ch. v. 9. (b) That they forbid any deviation from the ordinary laws of Christian purity of life. But this is not a satisfactory or precise interpretation of the words. (c) That the $\epsilon\pi l\sigma\kappa \sigma\pi \sigma\sigma$ must be a married man, not a celibate. This would not only be inconsistent with 1 Cor. vii. 17, but does not represent the force of mas, the emphatic word in the sentence. No explanation is adequate save that which lies on the surface, viz. the $\epsilon\pi i\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma$ must be married only once, if at all.

mpάλιον. The word does not occur in the Greek Bible outside the Pastoral Epp.; but νήφειν is a Pauline word (see 1 Thess. v. 6 &c.). Primarily having reference to sobriety in the case of wine, it has here the more extended sense of temperate.

σώφρονα. See on ii. 9 above. σώφρων is a word of higher meaning and wider use than νηφάλιος. Compare the juxtaposition in 1 Pet. iv. 7: σωφρονήσατε οὖν καὶ νήψατε.

κόσμιον, orderly. This expresses the outward manifestation of the spirit of σωφροσύνη. The 'wise man' of the Stoics was to be κόσμιος (Stob. 11. 240); and the idea is also found, though in an absurd and exaggerated form, in Aristotle's description of the μεγαλόψυχος: καὶ

κίνησις δε βραδεία τοῦ μεγαλοψύχου δοκεῖ είναι, καὶ φωνή βαρεῖα, καὶ λέξις στάσιμος οὐ γὰρ σπευστικὸς ὁ περὶ ὁλίγα σπουδάζων κ.τ.λ. (Nic. Eth. Iv. iii. 34). The ἐπίσκοπος, at least, must be vir compositus et ordinatus (Seneca, de vita beata 8).

φιλόξενον. The duty of hospitality was especially incumbent on the ἐπίσκοπος as the persona ecclesiae; but it is also recommended to widows (ch. v. 10), and to all Christians (Rom. xii. 13; Heb. xiii. 2; 1 Pet. iv. 9; 3 John 5). The duty was of even greater moment in the Apostolic age than now; a Christian e.g. might readily find cause of offence in the meat set before him in any heathen household (see 1 Cor. x. 28 &c.), and it was therefore specially incumbent on Christians to minister hospitality to their brethren.

διδακτικόν. So 2 Tim. ii. 24 and Tit. i. 9, where this qualification is more fully expressed. Cp. also Eph. iv. 11. This was, perhaps, not part of the formal duty of the $\epsilon\pi i\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma$ (see Introd. p. lxxii.); it was a desirable qualification in view of the special circumstances of Ephesus and Crete. That it should be mentioned at all as pertaining to the $\epsilon\pi i\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma$ is an argument in favour of the comparatively early date of the Pastoral Epistles.

3. $\mu\eta$ πάροινον. πάροινος expresses more than ϕ lλοινος or than the $\mu\eta$ οἰν ψ πολλ ψ προσέχοντας of v. 8; it means a man given over to wine. It is generally rendered quarrelsome over wine (cp. Isa. xli. 12), a brawler, but there does not seem sufficient reason for importing this into it, as the idea is brought out in the next mentioned attribute.

μή πλήκτης, no striker; this vice is a common outcome of παρουία. πάρουσε and πλήκτης are to be taken in their literal sense, and not in any refined meaning. The absence of such vices would not now be regarded as necessary to mention in a list of episcopal qualifications; but each age has its own special sins to guard against. A Regula solitariorum founded on the Benedictine Rule has a quaint comment on the words, which shews how necessary such an injunction remained many generations after St Paul's day. "Non percussorem, ...sed non its dictum est ut si discipulum habuerit, et facultas permiserit, non pie uerberetur," with a reference to Prov. xxiii. 131.

ἐπιεικῆ. Forbearing perhaps best expresses this word; in the N.T. it is found outside the Pastorals in Phil. iv. 5 only. Cp. 2 Cor. x. 1. Aristotle devotes a chapter (Nic. Eth. v. 10) to the ἐπιεικής, the 'equitable' man, who does not press for the last farthing of his legal rights. We are not to emphasise ἀλλά, so as to point any sharp contrast between πάροινος and ἐπιεικής, although no doubt they indicate very different characters. Cp. Tit. iii. 2.

ἄμαχον, not contentious; in the Greek Bible only found in Pastorals, as also πάροινος and πλήκτης.

άφιλάργυρου. This word is only found here and in Heb. xiii. δ (φιλαργυρία is denounced again in ch. vi. 10). It is replaced in Tit. i. 7 by $\mu\eta$ αlσχροκερδ $\hat{\eta}$, which has thence got into the received text in this verse. See on v. 8 and the note on φιλαργυρία (I Tim. vi. 10).

Migne, P.L. CIII. 598.

4. ίδίου. Repeated again in v. 5, in contrast to θεοῦ.

καλώs. A characteristic word of the Pastorals (see on i. 8, ii. 10 above).

προϊστάμενον. We find this verb applied to the officers of the Church also in Rom. xii. 8; 1 Thess. v. 12, and to $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota$ in ch. v. 17 (see *Introd*, p. lxix.).

τέκνα κ.τ.λ. The parallel clause in Titus i. 6 is: τέκνα έχων πιστά, μή έν κατηγορία άσωτίας ή άνυπότακτα.

έχοντα. This is to be taken in subordination to προϊστάμενον: having his children in subjection. For έν ὑποταγ $\hat{\eta}$ see ii. 11. This verse, like v. 2, certainly seems to contemplate as the normal, and not merely a permissible, state of things that the $\epsilon \pi t \sigma \kappa \sigma \sigma \sigma$ should be a married man whose wife has borne him children.

μετά πάσης σεμνότητος. For the form of the phrase see note on ii. 11. Both the order of the words and the natural sense lead us to connect this clause with έχοντα, rather than with τὰ τέκνα. σεμνότης (see note on ii. 2) is hardly a grace of childhood; we approve it in the προϊστάμενος, but its presence in those over whom his rule is exercised does not afford any convincing proof of his fitness for rule; see on Tit. i. 7.

- πῶς ἐπιμελήσεται. We find πῶς followed by a future of moral capacity, as here, in 1 Cor. xiv. 7, 9, 16. The verb ἐπιμελείσθαι occurs elsewhere in the N.T. only in Luke x. 34, 35; the presidents of the Essene communities were called ἐπιμεληταί (Josephus B. J. 11. 8. 6). The ἐκκλησία in question is the local Christian community over which the ἐπίσκοπος is placed. See on v. 12 and on v. 15.
- 6. μη νεόφυτον. Not a recent convert. The word (in the N.T. only found here) is used in the LXX. of newly planted trees (Ps. cxliv. 12), and thus is used by St Paul (ep. 1 Cor. iii. 6) of one who has been recently baptized. Christianity was long enough established at Ephesus to make such a rule practicable; and, in itself, it is highly reasonable. In Tit. i. 6 this condition is omitted; it might have been inconvenient, as the Church there was of recent foundation. The ordination of recent converts from heathenism is forbidden in Can. Apost. 80.

τυφωθείς. Beclouded, sc. with pride at his elevation. τῦφος is smoke or steam, and the underlying idea is the bewildering and confusing effect of self-conceit. τυφοῦσθαι only occurs in the Greek Bible here, vi. 4 and 2 Tim. iii. 4; but it is common in Greek literature.

εls κρίμα κ.τ.λ. The difficulty in this clause is resident in the words τοῦ διαβόλου. We observe, first, that the general structure of the sentence is parallel to the final clause of v. 7; and hence that $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ διαβόλου should be taken similarly in both cases. It must, therefore, in v. 6, as in v. 7, be a gen. subjecti, not a gen. objecti; it is the κρίμα passed by the $\delta i d \beta o \lambda o s$, not the $\kappa \rho l \mu a$ pronounced on him (as in v. 7) the $\pi \alpha \gamma ls$ is laid by him and not for him), that is here in question. Who then is ὁ διάβολος? It means the devil in 2 Tim. ii, 26, as in Eph. iv. 27 and vi. 11, these being the only places where the word is found in St Paul's writings with the definite article prefixed. But διάβολος, without the article, occurs three times in the Pastoral Epistles (ch. iii. 11; 2 Tim. iii. 3 and Tit. ii. 3) in the sense of slanderer or accuser; and we have 'Aμάν ὁ διάβολος in Esther viii, 1 (cp. vii. 4). It seems therefore, despite the general usage of the N.T. according to which $\delta \delta id\beta \delta \delta s = the \ devil$, legitimate to take it here as equivalent to the accuser. This rendering alone preserves the parallelism of clauses in vv. 6 and 7, and alone gives sequence to the thought of the writer. The accuser or slanderer is one of those people, to be found in every community, whose delight is to find fault with the demeanour and conduct of anyone professing a strict rule of life; that such opponents were known in the Apostolic Churches, the language of the Epistles repeatedly indicates. If the words be thus taken, there is no allusion to the fall of the devil through pride, or to the judgement passed on him (Jude 6); and we translate: no novice, lest being puffed up he fall into the judgement passed by the slanderer. The phrase $\epsilon \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon i s$ occurs again ch. vi. 9.

7. δὲ καί. The καί serves to connect this with v. 6; but he must also &c.

μαρτυρίαν. Not μαρτύριον as in ii. 6; there the reference is to the witness to the truth of facts and doctrines, here to the character of persons.

ἀπὸ τῶν ἔξωθεν. of ἔξω is St Paul's regular description (1 Cor. v. 12; Col. iv. 5; 1 Thess. iv. 12) for those who are not Christians and so okecôu τῆς πίστεως (Gal. vi. 10). Far from being a new convert, it is desirable that a bishop should be a Christian of standing and repute among his heathen neighbours.

els όνειδισμόν κ.τ.λ. Again, the important words are τοῦ διαβόλου, which are evidently here gen. subjecti, not gen. objecti. The context of παγίς τοῦ διαβόλου in 2 Tim. ii. 26 determines τοῦ δ. to refer there to the devil; but here as plainly the context requires us to take it in the more general sense of the slanderer or accuser. Verses 6 and 7 refer to the reputation of the ἐπίσκοπος, an important matter, for he must be ἀνεπίλημπτος (v. 2), and not to the snares set for him by Satan. We thus take both ὀνειδισμός and παγίς with τοῦ διαβόλου, and trans-

late ...the reproaches and snares prepared by slanderers. An δνειδισμός from of έξω is a thought familiar to St Paul: cp. Rom. xv. 3 (Ps. 1xix. 9) of δνειδισμοί τῶν δνειδιζόντων σὲ ἐπέπεσαν ἐπ' ἐμέ, and also Rom. xi. 9 (Ps. 1xix. 22).

A comparison of the qualifications of $\epsilon \pi l \sigma \kappa_0 \pi o \iota$ enumerated above with the characteristics of the Stoic σοφός (Diog. Laert. vir. 116 ff.) is interesting. We cannot think it impossible that the Apostle was acquainted with the latter list, which was one of the commonplaces of Stoic teaching of the day. And, although there are wide divergences, as might be anticipated, between the teaching of Zeno and of St Paul (cp. for instance the Stoic thesis that the σοφός should be pitiless (§ 123)), yet the coincidences are striking. The $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i\sigma\kappa \sigma \pi$ is to be a married man and his family is spoken of as an object of his affection (vv. 2, 4, 5); so too with the $\sigma o \phi b s$ (§§ 120, 121). The $\epsilon \pi t \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$ is not to be a novice $\forall \nu a \ \mu \dot{\eta} \ \tau \nu \phi \omega \theta \epsilon is &c. (v. 6);$ the $\sigma c \phi \delta s is to be <math>d\tau \nu \phi c s$. The eπίσκοπος is not to be πάροινος (v. 3) and yet Timothy is advised (v. 23) to use wine in moderation; for the goods it is prescribed rai οίνωθήσεσθαι μέν, οὐ μεθυσθήσεσθαι δέ (§ 118). Two attributes of the $\epsilon \pi l \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$ are given in the order $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu a$, $\kappa b \sigma \mu o \nu (\nu, 2)$; in connexion with the virtues of the $\sigma \circ \phi \circ \delta s$ it is said $\tau \hat{\eta} \circ \delta \hat{\epsilon} \circ \sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma \circ \nu \eta$ [$\hat{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha i$] κοσμιότης (§ 126). And lastly the instructions to Timothy about bodily exercise (iv. 8) recall the practice of the goods in the same matter: την ἄσκησιν άποδέξεται ύπερ της τοῦ σώματος ύπομονης (§ 123).

8, 9. ii. Deacons.

8. διακόνους. The plural number is, perhaps, significant, in contrast to τὸν ἐπίσκοπον οf ν. 2. See on διάκονοι Introd. p. lxvii.

ώσαύτως. In like manner; the $\delta \epsilon_i$ είναι of the preceding verses is, of course, to be supplied.

σεμνούς. See note on ii. 2.

μη διλόγους. This word is only found here in the Greek Bible; διλογεῖν, διλογία occur in Xenophon in the sense of repetition, and thus δίλογει here may be equivalent to (a) talebearers. But (b) the meaning is probably akin to that of δίγλωσσος (Prov. xi. 13; Ecclus. v. 9), viz. double-tongued. Ad alios alia loquentes is Bengel's paraphrase, excellent as usual. Such a habit would be fatal to the usefulness of an official whose duties would necessarily bring him into close and frequent association with all classes. Polycarp (§ 5) notes that the deacons are not to be δίλογοι, an obvious reminiscence of this passage.

προσέχοντας. St Paul only uses this verb in the Pastorals (but cp. Acts xx. 28); addicted to gives the sense here. Again, the appropriateness of such a caution is plain, when the house-to-house visitation entailed by the office of the διάκονος is remembered.

µn aloxрокербес. Not greedy of base gains, as at Tit. i. 7, 11; ep. also 1 Pet. v. 2. The reference is plainly to the illicit disposal of Church funds, a temptation which would specially press upon those concerned with the distribution of alms. See also on Tit. i. 11.

9. ξχοντας. Holding, as contrasted with teaching, which did not come within the province of the διάκονος.

τὸ μυστήριον τῆς πίστεως. The genitive might be either (a) appositional, descriptive of the substance of the μυστήριον, the Mystery of the Faith, or (b) subjective, 'the mystery on which faith rests and which it has embraced.' Either would give good sense, but the analogy of τὸ μυστήριον τῆς εὐσεβείας in v. 16 and of τὸ μυστήριον τῆς ἀνομίας in 2 Thess. ii. 7 suggest that the subjective sense is preferable here. The other meaning would be, however, quite admissible and is favoured by the presence of the definite article. See note on i. 19.

μυστήριον is a secret, concealed from the mass of mankind, but revealed to the initiated; and the Christian μυστήριον is thus (Matt. xiii. 11; Eph. i. 9; Rom. xvi. 25) the secret of salvation in Christ revealed to the faithful through the Divine Spirit. Cp. iii. 16.

έν καθαρφ συνειδήσει. Cp. i. 5, 19 and the notes thereon, and note the close connexion all through this Epistle between a good conscience and a sound faith; it is hard to divorce creed from life.

It will be observed that the qualification given in this verse is one which is required of all Christians, and not only of $\delta \iota \acute{a} \kappa \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota$. As in the case of $i\pi l \sigma \kappa \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota$ (see note on v. 2), the writer is not giving a complete list of the specific duties and qualities of the deacons, but suggesting certain conditions to which it was indispensable that candidates for the diaconate should conform. And it is instructive that this spiritual qualification of faith and a good conscience is explicitly mentioned in the case of the lower rather than the higher order of the ministry of service.

10. καl...δέ. And...also; i.e. the διάκονοι no less than the $\epsilon\pi$ ίσκοτοι.

δοκιμαζέσθωσαν πρώτον. Let them first be proved. This does not refer to any formal examination of the candidates for the diaconate, either by Timothy or by the officers of the Church, so much as to the general verdict of the community concerning their life and conversation. The qualities enumerated in vv. 8, 9 are such as would be patent to observation. So Clem. Rom. (§ 42) has δοκιμάσαντες τῷ πνεύματι and (§ 44) διαδέξωνται ἔτεροι δεδοκιμασμένοι ἄνδρες.

είτα διακονείτωσαν ἀνέγκλητοι ὄντες. Then let them serve as deacons, if no charge is brought against them.

11. PARENTHETIC:—DEACONESSES.

11. γυναϊκας ώσαύτως κ.τ.λ. It is difficult to determine who the 'women' are, who are thus brought into the middle of the paragraph which deals with the qualifications of deacons. Excluding impossible interpretations, they must be either (a) the wives of the deacons or (b) the deaconesses of the Church. If the former we should have expected τὰς γυναϊκας αὐτῶν, if the latter, τὰς διακόνους; the Greek is quite as ambiguous as the R.V. 'women.' That there were deaconesses in the early Church, we know; the case of Phœbe (Rom. xvi. 25) is familiar, and Pliny (Ep. x. 97) has mention of "duabus ancillis

quae ministrae dicebantur." A century later than Pliny we find elaborate rules as to the female diaconate laid down in the Apostolic Constitutions 1. The ancient interpreters took this view of the passage. and it has been urged by many modern commentators that interpretation (a) is excluded by the absence of any corresponding regulation as to the wives of the ἐπίσκοποι, as well as by the silence of the writer concerning any domestic duties of the women in question. An argument e silentio is, no doubt, always precarious; and, further, it is to be remembered that a deacon's wife would of necessity share his work which was largely occupied with the sick and needy, and it is thus intelligible that it would be necessary to have an eye to her character in the selection of her husband for the diaconate; whereas the wife of an $i\pi l\sigma\kappa o\pi os$ is in no way partner of his responsibilities. and should not be permitted to meddle in the administration of the Church. The absence of any regulations for the bishops' wives might be thus accounted for. But on the whole interpretation (b) seems to be more consonant with the usages of Christian antiquity, as well as with the general structure of the chapter before us, and with the fact that historically the deacons always chose their own wives without any reference to the judgement of the Church. We therefore translate (with Lightfoot2) yuvaîxas, deaconesses, and find here the earliest regulations as to the διακονίσσαι who in succeeding ages played an important part in the Church's life³.

σεμνάς. See above on ii. 2; this corresponds, of course, to σεμνούς of v. 8.

μη διαβόλους. See note on iii. 6; the phrase corresponds to $\mu\eta$ διλόγους of v. 8.

νηφαλίους. See note on iii. 2; the word is here used in its primary sense of sober, and balances $\mu \dot{\eta}$ είνω πολλώ προσέχοντας of v. 8.

πιστάς ἐν πάσιν. Faithful in all things. A general statement, but perhaps laid down here with special reference to the virtue of trustworthiness, which, in matters of money, was peculiarly demanded of the διάκονος, whether man or woman. See note on μη αlσχροκερδεῖς of v. 8.

12, 13. THE QUALIFICATIONS OF DEACONS (continued).

12. The injunctions of this verse are identical with those laid down before in the case of $\ell\pi i \kappa \kappa \sigma \pi \sigma_i$; see the notes on vv. 2, 3. If a man's family is disorderly, it constitutes a presumption that there has been something amiss in the methods by which he has governed and ordered his household. It will be remembered that in our Ordinal

¹ The first six books of the *Apost. Const.* embody an *Apostolic Didascalia* (now only extant in Syriac and Latin) which is probably of the third century. The regulations therein given for Deacons and Deaconesses are in some respects less elaborate and more primitive than those laid down in the corresponding (third) book of the *Apost. Const.*, and are very similar to those given in the Pastoral Epistles.

On a Fresh Revision of the New Testament, p. 114.
 See on the general question, Cecilia Robinson, The Ministry of Deaconesses.

stress is laid on the due ordering of the family and the home; and candidates for the orders of deacon and priest engage 'to frame and fashion their own lives and the lives of [their] families, according to the doctrine of Christ.'

13. The meaning of βαθμὸν καλόν is the key to this verse. βαθμός $(\tilde{\alpha}\pi. \lambda \epsilon \gamma.$ in the N.T.) means primarily a 'step,' and it has been interpreted often of (a) a step in the ministry, the gradus presbyteratus: the meaning of the verse being, then, that those who have served the office of deacon well are rewarded by being raised to the presbyterate (or the episcopate). But this is not in harmony with the context, and sayours of a later period than that of the Epistle. The regular promotion of deacons was, apparently, not known in the Apostolic or sub-Apostolic age. But (b) βαθμός may well mean 'standing' or 'position'; and thus the passage speaks of the 'vantage-ground' in respect of the Christian community which will be gained by a deacon who has honourably discharged his duties. The reputation he has acquired may become the means of further and wider usefulness. Another interpretation (c) is that of 'a good standing' in respect, not of men, but of God, the reference being to the spiritual growth of the διάκονοι; in this view, άποθησαυρίζοντας ξαυτοῖς θεμέλιον καλὸν els τὸ μέλλον of vi. 19 would be a close parallel. But such an interpretation robs γάρ of its force, and ignores the connexion it implies between verses 12 and 13. Bearing in mind the point of the injunction that the διάκονοι should be μιᾶς γυναικὸς ἄνδρες, viz. that they should be without reproach in the eyes of the Christian community, we see that a transition to any comment on their spiritual progress here or their final destiny hereafter would be out of place, while an observation in reference to their good repute among the faithful would be entirely apposite. On these grounds we decide in favour of (b); the 'good standing' acquired by the διάκονος is his position of greater trust among those to whom he ministers, in itself a great reward, because of the larger opportunities which it gives.

περιποιοῦνται, acquire. The verb περιποιεῖσθαι does not appear elsewhere in St Paul's Epistles; but cp. Acts xx. 28 and 1 Thess. v. 9 &c. The translation of the A.V., purchase, has come to suggest an idea of traffic which the word does not contain.

πολλήν παρρησίαν. In accordance with the view taken above of βαθμὸν καλόν, this phrase finds a parallel in 2 Cor. vii. 4; the 'boldness' acquired by the καλῶς διακονήσωντες is boldness in respect of men, not in respect of God. This latter is a familiar N.T. idea (e.g. 1 John iii. 21), but is not here prominent.

έν πίστει τἢ έν Χρ. 'I. This is the sphere in which the παρρησία is exhibited, and the source from which it ultimately springs. Cp. Col. i. 4.

14, 15. The aim of all the foregoing instructions.

14. ταῦτα, i.e. the foregoing instructions about public worship, and about the officers of the Church, contained in chaps. ii. and iii.; ep. ταύτην την παραγγελίαν at the close of ch. i.

γράφω. The present is used rather than the epistolary agrist; cp. 1 Cor. iv. 14; Gal. i. 20 &c.

πρὸς σέ. These words are enclosed in square brackets by Westcott and Hort; but they are well attested (see crit. note) and are quite in Paul's manner. Cp. Rom. i. 10, 13; 2 Cor. i. 15.

τάχιον, more speedily, sc. than you might suppose from the fact that \hat{I} am writing to you. The force of the comparative should not be overlooked; cp. Heb. xiii. 23. The reading $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ τάχει (see crit. note) is probably an explanatory gloss.

15. $\pi \hat{\omega}_S$ det èv o'k φ deoû àva σ rpé φ eva., how men ought to behave themselves in God's household. This is the general subject of chaps. ii. and iii.; and the insertion of σ_ϵ after de (see crit. note), or the limitation of the words to Timothy (how thou oughtest to behave thyself &c.), is quite misleading. On other heave see note on v.5 above; cp. also 2 Tim. ii. 20. No stress can be laid on the absence of the definite article, which is used but sparingly throughout the Pastorals.

ήτις, quippe quae, explanatory of οίκος θεού.

έκκλησία θεοῦ ζῶντος. The term ἐκκλησία, representing the ὑτρρ of the O.T., has, like its Hebrew original, a double meaning, sometimes being used for the local Christian congregation, sometimes in the larger sense of the new Israel in covenant relation with God. Thus God's household which indeed is the Ecclesia of the living God is the assembly of the faithful, baptized into the Threefold Name. ἡ ἐκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ is a frequent expression of St Paul's (cp. 1 Cor. x. 32; Acts xx. 28 &c.).

We have the phrase the living God again in ch. iv. 10; cp. Deut. v. 26; 2 Cor. iii. 3, vi. 16 &c. It may perhaps point a contrast with the idols of the heathen, such as Artemis of Ephesus; but (more probably) it emphasises the continuous providence of God in the guidance of His Church: He is not to be conceived of merely as the Supreme Being, but as the Heavenly Master Whose care is over all His family.

στῦλος καὶ έδραίωμα τῆς ἀλ., a pillar and stay of the Truth. Here, the absence of the definite article seems to be deliberate. The Church is not the pillar of the Truth, for the Truth has other supports in conscience and in Scripture; but the Church, and every local branch of the Church, is a pillar and stay of the Truth. Without such external aids, such permanent witness, the Truth itself might be endangered. And such a conception of the Church justifies the minuteness of the injunctions that have been given in chaps. ii. and iii.; whatever contributes to the dignity of the Church's worship and to the worthiness of the Church's ministers, in so far is a strengthening of the majesty of the Truth'.

¹ The expression στῦλος καὶ ἐδραίωμα τῆς ἀληθείας has been referred by some, not to the Church, but to Timothy himself, on the grounds that στῦλος is generally applied to persons in the N.T. (Gal. ii. 9; Rev. iii. 12), and that the Letter of the Churches of Lyons and Vienne (Eus. H. E. v. 1. 6) speaks of the martyr Attalas as στῦλος καὶ ἐδραίωμα, with an evident reminiscence of this passage. But if στῦλος καὶ ἐδρ. κ.τ.λ. here referred to Timothy, we should certainly expect

έδραίωμα is not found elsewhere in the Greck Bible, but St Paul has έδραίως several times (1 Cor. vii. 37, xv. 58; Col. i. 23). It seems to mean bulwark or stay (Vulg. firmamentum) rather than ground or foundation, the sense usually assigned to it here.

16. THE SUBSTANCE OF THE FAITH.

16. καὶ ὁμολογουμένως μέγα... And confessedly great &c.: ὁμολογουμένως (ἄπ. λεγ. in N.T.) is to be taken with μέγα. Compare τὸ μυστήριον τοῦτο μέγα ἐστίν of Eph. v. 32, in both cases μέγα referring to the importance, not to the obscurity, of the μυστήριον. μυστήριον does not necessarily carry with it the idea of mysteriousness, in the modern sense of unintelligibility; it simply means a secret, into which some have been initiated (see on v. 9).

τὸ τῆς εὖσεβείας μυστήριον. τῆς εὐσεβείας, like τῆς πίστεως in v. 9, is a possessive genitive: the mystery of piety, i.e. the mystery which piety embraces, and on which it feeds. This mystery or secret is not an abstract doctrine; it is the Person of Christ Himself. Op. Col. i. 27 τὸ πλοῦτος τῆς δόξης τοῦ μυστηρίου τούτου ἐν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν, ὄ ἐστιν Χριστὸς ἐν ὑμῶν, ἡ ἐλπὶς τῆς δόξης; and see the note on εὐσέβεια at ii. 2.

δς ἐφανερώθη κ.τ.λ. The critical note gives a summary of the evidence as to the reading, once much disputed, but now hardly doubtful. It seems probable from the parallelism of the clauses and from the rhythmical arrangement that the words δς ἐφανερώθη... ἀνελήμφθη ἐν δόξη are a quotation from an early hymn on the Incarnation. Writing to the Churches of Asia Minor, St Paul speaks of Christian hymns (Eph. v. 19; Col. iii. 16); and it has even been thought that Eph. v. 14 is a fragment of one. At all events the familiar witness of Pliny (Ep. x. 97) is explicit; he reports that the Christians of Bithynia were wont "carmen Christo quasi Deo dicere secum invicem"; a description applying well enough to the verse before us, which was probably meant for antiphonal singing. If, then, it be the case that we are here dealing not with St Paul's own words, but with an apposite quotation introduced by him, the abruptness of δr at once disappears. It is the relative to an antecedent not expressed in the quotation, but impossible to mistake.

The clauses fall into three contrasted pairs:

(i.) The revelation and its proofs.

(a) ἐφανερώθη ἐν σαρκί. We need not assume any polemical reference to Gnosticism or Docetism, though there are very early traces of these false opinions (see I John iv. 2, 3); a statement of the Incarnation is not necessarily controversial, and the tone of this fragment is one of triumphant thankfulness rather than of argument. Cp. John i. 4; Phil. ii. 6; 1 John i. 2. The verb φανερώω is common in St Paul's writings (see Rom. iii. 21; 2 Tim. i. 10), as well as in

accusatives, and further the full phrase is far stronger than $\sigma\tau\tilde{\nu}\lambda$ os by itself, too strong, indeed, to be used of any single individual. The expression, as used of Attalus, is a quotation and a somewhat loosely applied quotation; its occurrence in the Letter of the Churches of Lyons and Vienne cannot be taken as ruling the interpretation of the verse before us.

St John, and it is to be observed that when used in the passive it implies the Pre-existence of the Person Who is the subject of the sentence. Thus, whether δs or $\theta \epsilon \delta s$ be read, the word $\epsilon \phi \omega \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$ involves the superhuman nature of Him Who was manifested in the fiesh. The nearest parallel in form in St Paul is Rom. viii. 3 $\dot{\epsilon} s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \omega \omega \omega \omega \tau$ is $\dot{\epsilon} \omega \omega \omega \tau$ and $\dot{\epsilon} s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \omega \omega \omega \tau$ in St Paul is Rom. viii. 3

(b) ἐδικαιώθη ἐν πνεύματι, justified in the spirit. δικαιόω is not, of course, used here in the technical sense familiar in St Paul's Epistles, but in its ordinary signification, as in Matt. xi. 19; Luke vii. 35; Rom. iii. 4 (Ps. li. 6). πνεύματι is in contrast to σαρκί (cf. 1 Pet. iii. 18 θανατωθείς μὲν σαρκί, ζωοποιηθείς δὲ πνεύματι); πνεῦμα signifies the higher principle of spiritual life, as distinguished at once from σάρξ, the flesh, and ψυχή, the physical life. The phrase, then, states that, as Christ was manifested in human flesh, so in His spiritual activities, words and works, He was proved to be what He claimed to be, Son of God no less than Son of man; His Personal claims were vindicated. So in Rom. i. 3 we have: ὀρισθέντος υίοῦ θεοῦ ἐν δυνάμει κατὰ πνεῦμα ἀγιωσύνης ἐξ ἀναστάσεως νεκρῶν, where πνεῦμα is to be taken, as here, of the human spirit of the Redeemer.

(ii.) Its extent and mode.

ώφθη άγγέλοις, έκηρύχθη έν εθνέσιν. The antithesis between άγγελοι and $\theta\theta\nu\eta$ is emphatic. The revelation to angels, the rational creatures nearest to God, is of a different character from the revelation to the Gentiles, the heathen world (as opposed to Israel), and so farthest from God. A revelation which embraces these two extreme classes will take in all rational creation; the blessings of the Incarnation stretch beyond the sphere of human life. The revelation to Gentiles is mediate, by preaching, and it was this with which St Paul was specially entrusted (Eph. iii, 8; cp. Rom. xvi. 26); the revelation to the higher orders of created intelligences is immediate, by vision $(\tilde{\omega}\phi\theta\eta; \text{ cp. 1 Cor. xv. 6, 8})$. We are not to think here of any special manifestation to angels during the Lord's earthly life, such as are recorded at Matt. iv. 11 and at Luke xxii. 43; but of the fuller knowledge of Christ's Person which was opened out to the heavenly host by the Incarnation. Such things angels "desire to look into" (I Pet. i. 12); and St Paul declares (Eph. iii. 10) that the preaching to the Gentiles was "to the intent that now unto the principalities and the powers in the heavenly places might be made known through the Church the manifold wisdom of God, according to the eternal purpose which He purposed in Christ Jesus our Lord." Cp. also I Cor. iv. 9 θέατρον έγενήθημεν τῷ κοσμῷ καὶ ἀγγέλοις καὶ ἀνθρώποις.

(iii.) Its consummation on earth and in heaven.

- (a) ἐπιστεύθη ἐν κόσμω. κόσμοs has no evil sense here; it is the world which God loved (John iii. 16). The prayer of the Lord was ἴνα ὁ κόσμος πιστεύη δτι σύ με ἀπέστειλας. This is the consummation on earth of His Redemptive Work; from the heavenly side it is
- (b) ἀνελήμφθη ἐν δόξη. This is the distinctive word used of the Ascension in Mark xvi. 19, and in Acts i. 2. He was received up [and

is now] in glory; $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\eta$ expresses the permanent condition of His being. Cp. 1 Pet. i. 11. Thus the sequence all through the verse is from the Incarnation to the Ascension, though it is a logical sequence rather than a historical one.

CHAPTER IV.

- 2. κεκαυστηριασμένων. This is the spelling of the best MSS. (NAL); κεκαυτηριασμένων, the spelling of the text. rec., is found in CD₂GKP &c.
- 3. ἀπέχεσθαι. On account of the difficulty of construction, Dr Hort suggested that this word might have been a primitive corruption of $\mathring{\eta}$ απτεσθαι or και γεύεσθαι; but see note in loc. Bentley had previously conjectured that κελευόντων had dropped out before $\mathring{\alpha}πέχεσθαι$.

μετάλημψιν. So NAD₂*G; μετάληψιν, the received spelling, is found in CD₂*KLP.

6. Rec. text has 1 I $\eta\sigma o\hat{v}$ $X\rho \iota\sigma \tau o\hat{v}$ with D_{g}^{c} and cursives; but $X\rho$. 1 I $\eta\sigma o\hat{v}$ is found in 8 ACD $_{g}^{*}$ GKLP &c. See critical note on i. 16.

παρηκολούθηκας. So nearly all authorities; but Westcott and Hort, on the authority of CG, give a place in their margin to παρηκολούθησας, the true reading in 2 Tim. iii. 10 (which see).

10. Rec. text inserts και before κοπιῶμεν with GKL; om. NACD₂P and Vss.

άγωνιζόμεθα. So N*ACGK; δνειδιζόμεθα is read by N°D₂LP and Vss. It is possible that ἀγωνιζόμεθα may be a correction suggested by Col. i. 29; and Westcott and Hort give δνειδιζόμεθα a place in their margin.

ήλπίκαμεν. So nearly all MSS.; but Westcott and Hort give a place in their margin to the aorist ηλπίσαμεν, which is found in D_2^* 17. See note in loc.

- 12. Rec. text inserts ἐν πνεύματι before ἐν πίστει with KLP (arising, probably, from an original misreading of πίστει or possibly from a reminiscence of ἐν ἀγάπη πνεύματι in 1 Cor. iv. 21; cp. Col. i. 8 and 2 Cor. vi. 6; om. NACD₂G and Vss.
- Rec. text inserts έν before πᾶσιν with D₂°KLP (a mistaken explanatory gloss), om. NACD₂*G and Vss.

III. THE DANGERS OF THE FUTURE, 1-6.

1. The Church is a pillar and ground of the Truth, and yet even in her bosom error arises. This is the force of the adversative $\delta \ell$ in iv. 1; it refers back to iii. 15. Despite the privileges and graces of the Church, the Spirit expressly $(\beta \eta \tau \hat{\omega}s)$ says that in later times some shall fall away from the faith, $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\tau}$ misrews being here objective. See note on i. 19. The meaning of $\beta \eta \tau \hat{\omega}s$ define a question. It is

possible that St Paul had in his mind some now forgotten prophecy of an 'Apocryphal' book like the Sibylline Oracles or the Book of Enoch; but it seems better to refer the phrase to some forecast of the Christian prophets, whose words were overruled by a power not their own, for prophecy was a gift of the Holy Spirit. Cp. Acts xx. 23, xxi, 11.

èν ὑστέροις καιροῖς, i.e. in times future to the speaker (as opposed to προτέροις; cp. 1 Chr. xxix. 29), not 'the last times,' which would require ἐσχάτοις. See 2 Tim. iii. 1; 2 Pet. iii. 3; Jude 18.

άποστήσονται signifies a more complete apostasy than ἀστοχεῖν τῆς π. (i. 6) or ναναγεῖν περὶ τὴν π. (i. 19). For the word cp. Luke viii. 13; Heb. iii. 12 and 2 Thess. ii. 3 $\grave{η}$ $\grave{α}ποστασία$.

προσέχοντες κ.τ.λ. Giving heed to seducing spirits and to doctrines of devils. St Paul had an ever present sense of the power and the activity of evil spirits (Eph. vi. 12, &c.). They are the ultimate, the false teachers of the next verse being the proximate, cause of the errors about to appear in the Church. The $\pi \nu e \hat{\nu} \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \lambda d \nu \eta s$ (1 John iv. 6) is ever opposed to the $\pi \nu e \hat{\nu} \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} s d \lambda \eta \theta e d a s$.

διδασκαλίαις δαιμονίων. Gen. subj., 'the doctrines taught by devils'; cp. σοφία δαιμονιώδης (Jas. iii. 15). There is a false as well as a true διδασκαλία. See on διδασκαλία at i. 10.

2. ἐν ὑποκρίσει ψευδολόγων. Through the hypocrisy of men that speak lies. ψευδολόγων (only here in Greek Bible) is not to be taken (see punctuation of A.V.) with δαιμονίων; these 'speakers of lies' are the instruments through which the demoniac powers exercise their influence.

κεκαυστηριασμένων την ίδιαν συνείδησιν. Branded in their own conscience. καυστηριάζεσθαι does not occur elsewhere in the Greek Bible, but we have καυστηριά in 4 Macc. xv. 22; and in Hippocrates καυστηριάζειν is 'to cauterize.' The A.V. translates "seared as with a hot iron"; thus the thought would be of the ἀναλγησια, the lack of moral sensitiveness, apparent in the ψευδολόγοι. But the metaphor more probably has reference to the penal branding of criminals. This brand-mark of sin is not indeed visible to the world; but it is known to the man himself. Here is the force of $l\delta(a\nu)$; these hypocrites, with their outward show of holiness and of extreme asceticism, dum alios tamen urgent (Bengel), have the brand of sin on their own consciences. Contrast with this τt στίγματα $\tau c l$ 'Ισοδ' of Gal. vi. 17 and the emphasis laid on a 'good' conscience all through the Pastorals; see on i. 5. Cp. also Tit. iii. 11 and the note thereon.

3. κωλυόντων γαμεῖν, ἀπέχεσθαι βρωμάτων. See critical note. If the text is not corrupt, the construction is a little awkward, although the sense is plain, and we must suppose some word like διδασκύντων or κελευόντων to precede ἀπέχεσθαι: forbidding to marry and commanding to abstain from meats. There is a similar ellipse in Lucian Charon § 2 κωλύσει ἐνεργεῖν καὶ [sc. ποιήσει] ζημιοῦν.

The false asceticism is two-fold, (a) in respect of marriage, (b) in respect of food. It is viewed not as present, but as future, and as the

practical consequence of the apostasy foretold in v. 1. The germ of it, however, was already in being. Among the Essenes ὑπεροψία γάμου (Joseph. B. J. m. 8. 2) was not unknown, and the Therapeutae described by Philo (de vit. Cont. 4) practised abstinence from food. The former error, in itself foreign to Jewish ideas, does not receive here formal refutation from the Apostle, probably because it had not yet appeared in the Christian communities; but the latter had already been recognised in more directions than one. The Colossian heresy (Col. ii. 16) laid stress on precise regulations as to food; and Rom. xiv. shews that to such questions a quite undue importance was attached. This is not surprising, when the minuteness of the Levitical law on these points is borne in mind. But the refutation of the error is plain and decisive. These 'meats' are the creation of God (not of the Demiurge, as a later Gnosticism, with its dualistic view of the impurity of matter, taught), and were created that they might be received (els μετάλημψω) with thanksgiving.

μετάλημψις (not elsewhere in Greek Bible) is, of course, not to be confused with ἀπόλαυσις (vi. 17); it is the use, not necessarily the enjoyment, of the Divine gifts which is the final purpose of creation.

μετά εὐχαριστίας. Thanksgiving is to accompany the use of the gifts of creation, as it is to accompany all requests for future benefit (Phil. iv. 6). Cp. εἰ ἐγὼ χάριτι μετέχω, τὶ βλασφημοῦμαι ὑπὲρ οδ ἐγὼ εὐχαριστῶ; (1 Cor. x. 30).

τοῖς πιστοῖς καὶ ἐπεγνωκόσι την ἀλήθειαν. By them that believe and know the truth, i.e. in contrast to the unbelieving Jews or to the 'weak brethren' (Rom. xiv. 21), the half-instructed Christians, who had not yet arrived at ἐπίγνωσις ἀληθείας (see on ch. ii. 4 above). The absence of the article before ἐπεγνωκόσι shews that the πιστ. καὶ ἐπεγν. την άλ. are to be taken as constituting a single class of persons, the 'faithful.'

The word $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\delta s$ is here used in the active sense, common in later Greek but rare in the N.T. and nowhere found in the LXX., of believing. We have it again used thus δv . 10, 12, v. 16, vi. 2, and Tit. i. 6; but the older sense 'faithful' or 'trustworthy' is more frequent. See on i. 19 above.

4, 5. RESTATEMENT AND FURTHER JUSTIFICATION OF THE PRECEDING PRINCIPLE.

4. ὅτι. This is not to be taken specially in connexion with ἀλήθειαν, but with the whole of the preceding statement—because.

πῶν κτίσμα θεοθ καλόν. Every creature of God is good. See Gen. i. 31; Ecclus. xxxix. 33, and (although the thought is here slightly different) Rom. xiv. 14; cp. also Acts x. 15.

κτίσμα does not occur elsewhere in St Paul's writings (although frequent in LXX.); he generally has κτίσις. Possibly the word is here used of set purpose, to mark with emphasis the handwork of the Creator.

καλόν. A favourite word in the Pastorals (see on i. 8 above); it signifies absolute worth, the thought here being quite different from Tit. i. 15, viz. for the pure all things are pure, sc. for their use. See note in loc.

ούδὲν ἀπόβλητον μετὰ εὐχαριστίας λαμβανόμενον. Nothing is to be rejected if it be received with thanksgiving. This is a distinct idea from that of the objective goodness of God's gifts. The words have striking verbal similarity to Homer's: οῦ τοι ἀπόβλητ' ἐστὶ θεῶν ἐρικυδέα δῶρα (Il. 111. 65). ἀπόβλητος is not found elsewhere in N.T. or LXX. Note that the all important condition μετὰ εὐχαριστίας λαμβ. is repeated from v. 3; op. 2 Cor. iv. 15.

- διά λόγου θεοθ και έντεύξεως. What is the meaning of λόγος θεοθ here? The tense of ayıa (see above) shews that it cannot be referred (a) to the Incarnate Word, as the Creative Agent (John i. 3), or (b) to the Divine voice of creation (Gen. i. 31; cp. Acts x. 15). The general sense of the clause undoubtedly is that meat becomes sanctified for man's use by devout, thankful, and prayerful reception (see above on ii. 1 for εντευξις); and thus the Apostle seems to have had in his mind the pious practice of 'grace before meat.' Hence the point to be determined is the meaning of λόγος θεοῦ, if λόγ. θεοῦ καλ έντ. is a description of such εὐχαί. Now the commonest and most general meaning of λόγος θεού in the N.T. is the Divine message spoken or delivered under the guidance of the Divine Spirit (see Additional Note at end of chapter iv.), but no such general meaning will fit the context here. It is true that St Paul (Col. iii, 16) follows up the exhortation εὐγάριστοι γίνεσθε by adding ὁ λόγος τοῦ γριστοῦ ἐνοικείτω έν ύμιν πλουσίως...διδάσκοντες και νουθετούντες έαυτούς ψαλμοίς, ύμνοις $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$; and it has been urged that in like manner in the present passage the εὐχαριστία, which is the condition of right use of God's gifts, is the outcome of the indwelling λόγος θεού, which is then understood (c) of the Divine utterance through the mouth of the person who offers his grace before meat. But, though $\lambda \delta \gamma$, θ , rai $\xi \nu \tau$. constitute one conception, yet the connecting kal distinguishes $\lambda \dot{\phi}_{\gamma}$. θ . from έντευξις; λόγ. θ. seems to mark some special feature which differentiates this tyreves from prayer in general. And this special feature in the earliest Christian age (as is still the case) was the employment in the 'grace' of phrases from Holy Scripture. An interesting form from the Apostolical Constitutions (vii. 49) runs as follows: εύλογητός εί κύριε ο τρέφων με έκ νεότητός μου, ο διδούς τροφήν πάση σαρκί πλήρωσον χαράς και εύφροσύνης τὰς καρδίας ήμῶν, ίνα πάντοτε πάσαν αυτάρκειαν έχοντες, περισσεύωμεν els πάν έργον άγαθον έν Χριστώ

'Πησοῦ τῷ κυρίῳ ἡμῶν, δί' οὖ σοὶ δόξα τιμὴ καὶ κράτος εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας, ἀμήν, which is packed with Scriptural phrases¹. The words of the Psalter (e.g. Ps. oxlv. 15, 16) have often been used for this pious purpose. Hence we conclude (d) that λόγος θεοῦ in the verse before us refers the words of the O.T. which were commonly embodied (by the Jews as well as by the early Christians) in the εὐχαὶ ἐπ' ἀρίστῳ or prayers before meat: for it is sanctified through the Word of God and prayer.

6-10. i. Timothy's duty in respect of the false asceticism.

6. ταῦτα ὑποτιθέμενος κ.τ.λ. In setting these things (so. the principles laid down in vv. 4, 5) before the brethren. ὑποτίθεσθαι (cp. Rom. xvi. 4) does not carry with it the idea of reminding or advising, but simply of expounding.

διάκονος. Here used in its most general sense of minister. See above on διακονίαν (i. 12), and op. 2 Tim. iv. 5; 2 Cor. xi. 23 &c.

Χριστού Ίησου. This places the duty of Timothy in respect of false asceticism on a very high level; he is to expound the principles of vv. 4, 5 as a good minister of Christ Jesus.

ἐντρεφόμενος. The word does not occur elsewhere in the Greek Bible, but its meaning is not doubtful, being nurtured, the present participle indicating a continual nourishment and training. Cp. 2 Tim. iii. 14.

τοῖς λόγοις τῆς πίστεως. The A.V. renders in the words of faith, which seemingly means the words in which faith expresses itself (eq. 1 Cor. ii. 4 σοφίας λόγοι). The R.V. (more correctly) lays stress on the article, in the words of the faith, understanding πίστις objectively of the Christian creed, rather than subjectively of the belief of individuals (see note on i. 19). τῆς πίστεως in any case must be taken in close connexion with και τῆς καλῆς διδασκαλίας; and the words of the faith and the good doctrine have reference to formal doctrinal statements in which Timothy had been instructed and to which he could continually appeal. It is natural to think at once of the 'Faithful Sayings' of the Pastoral Epistles (see above on i. 15).

- η παρηκολούθηκας. Which thou hast followed, sc. until now. The A.V. "whereunto thou hast attained" does not give the sense accurately. Compare 2 Tim. iii. 10 συ δὲ παρηκολούθησάς μου τῆ διδασκαλία.
- 7. τους δὲ βεβήλους και γραώδεις μύθους παραιτοῦ. But eschew profane and old wives' fables. παραιτεῦνθαι, 'to refuse,' 'to have nothing to do with,' does not occur in St Paul outside the Pastorals (1 Tim. v. 11; 2 Tim. ii. 23; Tit. iii. 10), but is found in St Luke (xiv. 18; Acts xxv. 11) and in Heb. xii. 25 &c., as well as in the LXX.

¹ Cp. Tertullian (Apol. xxxix.), speaking of the ἀγάπαι, "Non prius discumbitur, quam oratio ad deum prægustetur.....post aquam manualem et lumina, ut quisque de scripturis sanctis vel de proprio ingenio potest, provocatur in medium deo canere.....aeque oratio convivium dirimit." This refers to the exhortation given in commexion with the prayer before and after the common meal, and not necessarily to the prayer itself.

The def. art. $\tau o i s$ suggests that current and familiar myths are in the writer's mind; he is not speaking now of the ascetic extravagances of the future, but of the trivial and foolish teachings with which Timothy was in contact at Ephesus. For $\mu i \theta o v s$ see above on i. 4, and for $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \lambda o v s$ on i. 9.

γραώδεις, 'anile.' The word does not occur elsewhere in the Greek Bible, but is found in Strabo and other writers. It is quite unnecessary and far-fetched to see here, with Baur, a reference to the Valentinian story of Sophia Achamoth (Iren. Haer. I. 4. 5).

γόμναζε δὲ σεαυτὸν πρὸς εὐσέβειαν. But (in contrast to any such false asceticism as that foreshadowed in v. 3) discipline thyself unto godliness. πρὸς is used of the aim and motive of the discipline; ep. 1 Cor. vii. 35. See note on iii. 7.

8. $\vec{\eta}$ $\gamma \vec{\phi} \vec{\rho} \propto \vec{\rho}_{\perp}$, $\gamma \vec{\rho} \vec{\mu} \vec{\nu}$, $\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\kappa}$. For the discipline of the body is profitable for a little, but godliness is profitable for all things. We should not understand either $\gamma \vec{\nu} \mu \nu \vec{\alpha} \vec{\xi} \vec{e}$ or $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \vec{\alpha} \vec{e} \vec{a}$ of gymnastic training for the games or athletic exercise, although the words are so taken by Chrysostom and others; any such idea is foreign to the context. In contrast with the extravagant asceticism which St Paul fears in the future, the true $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \vec{\alpha} \vec{e} \vec{a}$ or discipline of the body (a) is only to be practised in moderation; it is profitable $\pi \rho \vec{b} \vec{e}$ diffor a little time); and (b) is undertaken, not because of false views of the impurity of matter, but as a means to an end, $\pi \rho \vec{b} \vec{e}$ eices each cocur in the Greek Bible outside the Pastorals, but St Paul has $\vec{\omega} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e}$ and $\vec{\omega} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e}$ represents, but St Paul has $\vec{\omega} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e}$ and $\vec{\omega} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e} \vec{e}$ like its Pastorals,

ἐπαγγελίαν ἐχουσα κ.τ.λ. Inasmuch as it has (the causal use of the participle) promise of the life which now is, and of that which is to come. Observe that here is no guarantee of the worldly prosperity of the εὐσεβής (as in Ps. i. 3 and often in the O.T.); ζωή is the higher principle of life, in contrast with βίος which takes account of the man's environment; cp. Luke xii. 15 οὐκ ἐν τῷ περισσεύειν τινὶ ἡ ζωὴ αὐτοῦ ἐστὶν ἐκ τῶν ὑπαρχόντων αὐτῷ and 2 Tim. i. 1. See Heb. ix. 15.

- 9. πιστὸς ὁ λόγος καὶ πάσ. ἀπ. ἄξ. See above on i. 15. It is not certain what the reference is. This formula refers without doubt to what follows in i. 15, and equally without doubt to what precedes in i. ii. 8. Hence its reference in any given instance must be determined by the context. On the whole it seems more natural here to understand it of the saying at the close of v. 8 about the blessings of $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon a$. Verse 10 does not read like a familiar or proverbial saying, and the $\gamma 4\rho$ after ϵls $\tau o i \tau o$ seems to be explanatory. (Yet compare 2 Tim. ii. 10.)
- 10. Les Toûto Ydp K.T.A. The whole verse is explanatory of the motive and the aim of the $\gamma \nu \mu r a \sigma l a$ or discipline of the body, as of all earthly struggle.

κοπιῶμεν. κόπος means 'wearying fatigue,' and κοπιάω ordinarily means 'to be weary of.' The word carries special allusion here to the training for athletic contests, a sense which it frequently bears, as

e.g. at Phil. ii. 16. It is used in Rom. xvi. 6, 12; 1 Cor. xv. 10; Gal. iv. 11 of the daily work of an Apostle. The reading ἀγωνιζόμεθα (see crit. note) is better supported than ὀνειδιζόμεθα of the received text; cp. Col. i. 29 είς δ καὶ κοπιῶ ἀγωνιζόμενος, and also [2 Clem.] § 7 οὐ πάντες στεφανοῦνται, εἰ μὴ οἱ πολλὰ κοπισσαντες καὶ καλῶς ἀγωνισάμενοι.

ήλπίκαμεν. The perfect marks the continued $\epsilon \lambda \pi i s$ of the believer; we have set our hope. Cp. vi. 17 where $\epsilon \lambda \pi i s \epsilon \omega$ is again followed by $\epsilon \pi i$, with the dative, the preposition marking the ground of the hope (cp. Rom. xv. 12). See Hort on 1 Pet. i. 13. For $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ $\hat{\varphi} \hat{\varphi} \nu \tau i$ see on iii. 15.

σωτήρ πάντων άνθρώπων. See note on i. 1; the phrase is found in Wisd. xvi. 7, διὰ σέ, τὸν πάντων σωτήρα.

μάλιστα πιστῶν. μάλιστα is used just as at Gal. vi. 10; Phil. iv. 22, i.e. especially. There is, then, a special sense in which God is the Saviour of those who believe, as distinct from all men; it is only in those who believe that the Divine intention that all men should be saved (ii. 4) can be completely fulfilled. For the same thoughts stated in the reverse order, see 1 John ii. 2.

11-16. ii. Timothy's duty in respect of his personal conduct.

11. παράγγελλε ταῦτα. These things command; sc. the mode and measure of bodily discipline which has been under discussion. The recurrence is noteworthy of the somewhat vague $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau a$ (iii. 14, iv. 6, 15, v. 7, vi. 3) as the counterpart to the trivial teachings which are repudiated.

και δίδασκε. And teach; i.e. the doctrine on which the practical rules of discipline depend. δίδασκε refers to the theory of conduct, παράγγελλε to practice.

12. μηδείς σου τῆς νεότητος καταφρονείτω. This is advice to Timothy, not a command to the members of the Church at Ephesus, though no doubt they would take note of it. σου depends on νεότητος and is not directly governed by καταφρ.: let no man despise thy youth νεότης (a word not occurring again in St Paul's Epistles, though found in his speech before Agrippa in Acts xxvi. 4) is a relative term. Timothy must have been about 30 years of age at this time (cp. again 2 Tim. ii. 22), and was thus young in comparison with St Paul and in respect of the duties which were incumbent on him, though not by any means a boy or immature 1. See further in Introduction p. xliii.,

¹ The term νεότης is common in the LXX. The phrase 'wife of thy youth' έκ νεότητός σου, Prov. v. 18; Mal. ii. 14) shews that it is not restricted to the period of childhood (ep. Lam. iii. 27). "Polybius (xvII. 12. 5) speaks of Flaminius as νέος κομιδή, 'very young,' because, as he explains, 'he was not more than 30 years old,' and he uses this same expression of Hiero (I. 8. 3), who seems to have been then close upon 35, and of Philopoemen (II. 67. 5), who was then over 30.....So likewise Galen in one passage (Op. XIII. p. 599) describes himself as νέος την ήλακίαν when he was entering upon his 29th year, and in another (Op. XIX. p. 15) as νέος δι τε, though he was in his 34th year at the time." (Lightloot, Ignatius, I. 443). In Xen. Mem. I. 2. 35, Charicles says, μηδὲ σὸ διαλέγου νεωτέρους τριάκοντα ἐτῶν in answer to Socrates' demand ὁρίσακε μέχρι πόσων ἐτῶν δεί νομίζειν νέους είναι τοὺς ἀνθρώπους, which shews that νέος

άλλα τύπος γίνου τῶν πιστῶν. But be a pattern of the believers, not merely an example to them but a model for them. So Titus is counselled περι πάντα σεαυτόν παρεχόμενος τύπον καλῶν ἔργων (Tit. ii. 7). St Paul refers more than once to the duty which was incumbent on himself to be a τύπος to his converts (Phil. iii. 17; 2 Thess. iii. 9).

ἐν λόγω, ἐν ἀν. κ.τ.λ. The order should be noted. Timothy is to be a τύπος τῶν πιστῶν (1) in outward conduct, in speech and act, in word and in manner of life. Compare Rom. xv. 18 λόγω και έργω and Col. iii. 17, and for αναστροφή conversation, a favourite word of St Paul, cp. Gal. i. 13; Eph. iv. 22. He is also to be a τύπος (2) in finward disposition, έν άγάπη, έν πίστει, έν άγνεία, in love, in faith, in purity, graces which may be said to cover respectively our duty to man, to God, and to ourselves (cp. Tit. ii. 12). The classical substantive άγνεία only occurs again in the N.T. in ch. v. 2 (it is a false reading in Gal. v. 23); but we have ἀγνός in v. 22 of this Epistle, and ἐν ἀγνότητι (the later Greek word) in 2 Cor. vi. 6, references which seem to define its meaning here. It signifies purity of life and motive, and not merely chastity, which is only one outward manifestation of the Christian grace of dyvela. It is interesting to note that in the prayer before the Benediction in our Form of Consecration of Bishops, where the words of this verse are reproduced, for èv àquela we have the double rendering "in chastity and in purity," indicating this larger meaning of ayvela.

έν πνεύματι of the rec. text is an interpolation (see crit. note).

ξως ἔρχομαι. Possibly the present tense implies a more confident expectation than would be suggested by ἔως ἄν ἔλθω; cp. iii. 14 ἐλπίζων ἐλθεῖν πρὸς σὲ τάχιον.

πρόσεχε, give heed; see note on the word at iii. 8.

τῆ ἀναγνώσει, τῆ παρακλήσει, τῆ διδασκαλία. These are the three main departments of the public duties of a pastor. (a) ἀνάγνωσες, reading, is not the private study of Scripture (Chrys.), but the public reading of the O.T. in the congregation, a custom taken over from the synagogue (Luke iv. 16; Acts xv. 21; 2 Cor. iii. 14). The Apostolic letters were also read in the Christian assemblies in the Apostolic age (Col. iv. 16; 1 Thess. v. 27); and by the time of Justin Martyr's Apology (i. 67) portions of O.T. and N.T. Scripture alike were read aloud by the ἀναγνώστης at the Sunday Service. (b) The ἀνάγνωσε τοῦ νόμου was accustomed to be followed by the παράκλησεις σεκhοταtion (Acts xiii. 15), corresponding to a modern sermon. παράκλησεις

was an elastic word, but that a reasonable limit to fix was 30 years. St Paul is called νεανίας at the time of Stephen's martyrdom (Acts vii. 58), when he must have been about 30 years old. This was probably also the limit of adulescentia among the Romans; it is often said that it lasted until 40, but for this there is not good evidence.

is the regular word in Philo for an 'appeal' to the individual to rise to the higher life of philosophy. (c) διδασκαλία. This word in the Pastorals generally means 'doctrine,' but here it is used in the sense of teaching. (See note on i. 10.) It is closely connected with παράκλησες, as the appeal to the heart and conscience ultimately rests on the instruction provided for the intellect. Both come within the pastor's province. Cp. Rom. xii. 7 είτε ὁ διδάσκων ἐν τῷ διδασκαλία, είτε ὁ παρακαλῶν ἐν τῷ παρακλήσει, and vi. 2 below ταῦτα δίδασκε καὶ παρακάλει.

14. μη άμελει τοῦ ἐν σοὶ χαρίσματος, neglect not the gift that is in thee. ἀμελεῖν is not found elsewhere in St Paul, but it is a LXX. word (cp. Heb. ii. 3); χάρσμα, on the other hand, is characteristically Pauline, occurring 16 times in his Epistles and only once elsewhere in N.T. (1 Pet. iv. 10). This gift is not a charm which is supposed to act of itself, without the cooperation of its possessor; it may be neglected and needs to be kindled into a flame (see 2 Tim. i. 6). To neglect God's gifts, whether of nature or of grace, is a sin.

8 68604 out, i.e. by God; ep. 1 Cor. xii. 4 for such spiritual gifts.

Sid mpodyrelas. πp , is here without doubt the gen. sing., although some have taken it as acc. pl.; δid expresses the medium or vehicle through which the gift came, as $\mu\epsilon\tau d$ in the next clause marks the attestation of its bestowal.

The whole passage must be taken in close connexion with i. 18 κατά τὰς προαγούσας έπὶ σὲ προφητείας (see the note thereon), and with 2 Tim. i. 6 δι' ήν αίτιαν άναμιμνήσκω σε άναζωπυρείν το χάρισμα τοῦ θεοῦ, ὅ ἐστιν ἐν σοὶ διὰ τῆς ἐπιθέσεως τῶν χειρῶν μου. The allusion of all three passages seems to be to the same event. Hort argues (Christian Ecclesia, p. 184 ff.) that this was the 'laying of hands' on Timothy by the presbyters (see Acts xiv. 23) at Lystra during the early days of his discipleship. But more probably the event in question was the ordination or 'consecration' of Timothy by St Paul, in the presence and with the ratification of the Ephesian College of presbyters. For this office Timothy had been marked out by the $\pi \rho o \phi \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$ whose utterances would be regarded as giving the Divine sanction (i. 18); the spiritual γάρισμα for his new spiritual work was bestowed on him (a) δια προφητείας, which has reference either to the προφητείαι of i. 18 or to the words of prayer used by a προφήτης on this solemn occasion. and (b) διά τῆς ἐπιθέσεως τῶν χειρῶν μου, by the imposition of St Paul's hands (2 Tim. i. 6). This act was accompanied (µετά) by the imposition of the hands of the presbyters who were present; but the difference of preposition indicates clearly that their action had a different significance from that of the Apostle. The custom of our own ordinal that 'the Bishop with the priests present' shall lay their hands upon the ordinands is derived from this passage. Prayer and imposition of hands as the instruments of ordination have been already mentioned in the Acts, in vi. 6 of the Appointment of the Seven, and in xiii. 1-3 of the ordination of Barnabas and Saul. The custom of xeipoθeσία, as the outward sign of the transmission of a spiritual grace, was taken over from Judaism: it is said e.g. of Joshua (Deut. xxxiv. 9) $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta$ $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \sigma \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s$, $\epsilon \pi \ell \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \gamma \lambda \rho$ $M \omega \nu \sigma \eta s$ $\tau \Delta s$ $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho a a a \tau \sigma \upsilon \epsilon \sigma$. Liddon points out in his note on this verse that when in Num. viii. 10 the Israelites are said to have 'laid their hands' on the Levites, the $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma t a$ merely signified their recognition of the separateness of the Levites, just as in the passage before us the $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma t a$ of the College of presbyters did no more than attest the authoritative $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma t a$ of the Apostle.

73

τοῦ πρεσβυτερίου. The word is used in Luke xxii. 66, Acts xxii. 5 of the Sanhedrin; it is here used for the first time of the confraternity of presbyters, a sense in which it frequently appears in Ignatius.

15. $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau a$. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau a$ only occurs once again in N.T. (Acts iv. 25) and then in a quotation from the LXX. (Ps. ii. 1). It may mean either (a) meditate, ponder, as in that passage, or (b) practise, the latter being the prevailing meaning of the word. But (a) here seems more suitable to the context, ponder these things, so. the injunctions of vv. 12, 13, 14.

έν τούτοις ίσθι. Cp. Horace "omnis in hoc sum"; and "totus in illis."

προκοπή, progress, whether in the Christian life or (more especially) in fitness for his office. The word only occurs in N.T. here and in Phil. i. 12, 25, but is found in LXX.; cp. 2 Tim. ii. 16, iii. 9, 13 where the verb $\pi \rho o \kappa b \pi \tau c \iota \nu$ is used of progress in the direction of evil.

φανερά η πάσιν. Cp. Matt. v. 16.

16. ἔπεχε σεαντῷ, take heed to thyself. ἐπέχειν is used in a somewhat similar way in Acts iii. 5. The warning is put impressively by Bishop Butler in a fragment found among his papers:—"Be more afraid of thyself than of the world."

και τῷ διδασκαλία, and to thy teaching; not 'to the doctrine,' sc. of the Apostles. It was his own presentation of truth, of which he was to be heedful.

έπίμενε αὐτοῖs, continue in them. In what? If the punctuation of the text be followed αὐτοῖs must refer to $\sigma \epsilon \alpha v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ καὶ $\tau \hat{\gamma}$ διδασκαλία, a somewhat harsh construction. But perhaps we should rather connect it with what follows, in which case we may take $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{o}$ is as having reference to the $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ of v. 15 and indeed to all the preceding injunctions.

τοῦτο γὰρ ποιῶν κ.τ.λ. In doing this thou shalt save both thyself and them that hear thee. σώζειν is to be taken in its highest sense; the faithful pastor must save himself in saving others.

τούς dκούοντάς σου. ἀκούειν τινός is not found elsewhere in St Paul's Epistles, but it is frequent in Luke. Compare Acts xxii. 7 (in a speech of Paul's) ήκουσα φωνής with Acts ix. 4 (the direct narrative) ήκουσεν φωνήν.

ADDITIONAL NOTE.

The 'Word of God' in the New Testament.

The growth in meaning of the phrase $\delta \lambda \delta \gamma os \tau c \hat{o} \theta \epsilon c \hat{o}$ is worthy of fuller investigation than it can receive here; but it may be useful to tabulate the instances (38 in all) of its occurrence in the N.T.

In the corresponding O.T. phrase 'the Word of the Lord,' ὁ λόγος τοῦ κυρίου (1 Chr. xvii. 3 &c.), the prominent idea is (a) the word which came from God (gen. subjecti) rather than the word which tells of God (gen. objecti); and in the N.T. also this is the primary sense, which, however, passed gradually, as the phrase became familiar, into the sense of the whole revealed message of God to the world (as distinguished from ράμα θεοῦ, a special utterance for a special purpose, e.g. Luke iii. 2; Eph. vi. 17; Heb. vi. 5). It is thus (b) a synonym for the Gospel, preached by Christ and His Apostles, which may, again, be conceived of as (c) embodied in the Person of Christ Himself. From another point of view God's message to the world may be regarded as (d) recorded for man's guidance in the Scriptures of the O.T. In each case the word, whether the Word spoken, the Word Incarnate, or the Word written, is God's word (δ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ).

(a) John x. 35 εἰ ἐκείνους εἶπεν θεούς πρὸς οὖς ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ ἐγένετο

κ.τ.λ.

1 John ii. 14 καὶ ὁ λόγος [τοῦ θεοῦ] ἐν ὑμῖν μένει. 1 Pet. i. 23 ἀναγεγεννημένοι...διὰ λόγου ζῶντος θεοῦ καὶ μένοντος.

2 Pet. iii. 5 γη έξ δδατος και δι' τδατος συνεστῶσα τῷ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγφ.
The three latter passages might be differently classified, but it is

convenient to place them here, as in each case it is the source rather than the content of δ λόγος on which emphasis is laid.

(b) The Word spoken. 1. St Luke's writings. In this sense the phrase is a favourite one with Luke, who uses it four times in the Gospel and twelve times in the Acts, viz.:

Luke v. 1 [The multitude came] ἀκούεω τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ.

Luke viii. 11 ὁ σπόρος ἐστὶν ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ.

Luke viii. 21 οὖτοι είσιν οἱ τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ ἀκούοντες καὶ ποιοῦντες.

Luke xi. 28 μακάριοι οἱ ἀκούοντες τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ ψυλάσσοντες. Acts iv. 31 ἐλάλουν τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ μετὰ παρρησίας.

Acts vi. 2 καταλείψαντας τον λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ διακονεῖν τραπέζαις.

Acts vi. 7 ο λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ ἤυξανεν.

Acts viii. 14 δέδεκται ή Σαμαρία τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ.

Acts xi. 1 τὰ ἔθνη ἐδέξαντο τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ.

Acts xii. 24 ὁ δὲ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ [al. κυρίου] ηὕξανεν καὶ ἐπληθύνετο.

Acts xiii, 5 κατήγγελλον τον λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ.

Acts xiii. 7 επεζήτησεν άκουσαι τον λόγον του θεου.

Acts xiii. 44 ή πόλις συνήχθη άκουσαι τον λόγον του θεοῦ [al. κυρίου].

Acts xiii. 46 ... λαληθήναι τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ,

Acts xvii. 13 κατηγγέλη... ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ. Acts xviii. 11 διδάσκων...τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ.

In some of these passages the phrase has almost come to be a synonym for the Gospel. The Epistles of St Paul.

In one passage, Rom. ix. 6 ούχ οδον δὲ ὅτι ἐκπέπτωκεν ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ, the phrase is almost equivalent to the declared purpose of God, a sense approximating to (a); but he generally uses it in sense (b) as a synonym for the Gospel preached, viz.:

1 Cor. xiv. 36 η άφ' υμών ο λόγος του θεου εξηλθεν, η εls υμάς μόνους

κατήντησεν ;

2 Cor. ii. 17 ου γάρ έσμεν ώς οι πολλοί καπηλεύοντες τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ.

2 Cor. iv. 2 μηδὸ δολοῦντες τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ.

Col. i. 25 πληρώσαι τον λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ, τὸ μυστήριον τὸ ἀποκεκρυμμένον κ.τ.λ.

1 Thess. ii. 13 έδέξασθε οδ λόγον ἀνθρώπων άλλὰ καθὼς άληθῶς έστὶν λόγον θεοῦ.

2 Tim. ii. 9 ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ οὐ δέδεται.

Tit. ii. 5 ένα μη ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ βλασφημηται.

iii. The Apocalypse.

Here in four instances out of five, it stands for the Gospel and is coupled with the testimony of Jesus, viz.:

Rev. i. 2 δς εμαρτύρησεν τον λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ και την μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ

Χριστοῦ.

Rev. i. 9 διά τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ.

Rev. vi. 9 διά τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ διά την μαρτυρίαν ην είχον.

Rev. xx. 4 διά τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ καὶ διά τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ.

iv. The Epistle to the Hebrews.

Heb. xiii. 7 οἶτινες ἐλάλησαν ὑμῖν τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ. This is sense (b). Heb. iv. 12 ζῶν γὰρ ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ ἐνεργής κ.τ.λ. This notable statement seems to mark the transition from (b) to (c), from the Revelation of God to the Logos, who was Himself the Revealer.

(c) The Word Incarnate. This sense of the personal, Incarnate, Logos we have explicitly once, viz.:

Rev. xix. 13 κέκληται τὸ ὅνομα αὐτοῦ, ὁ Λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ.

This is the sense of $\lambda_{0\gamma_0}$ s brought out prominently in the Prologue to St John's Gospel (i. 1—3).

(d) The Word Written. From a consideration of the passages quoted above it appears that $\delta \lambda \delta \gamma os \tau c \delta \theta \epsilon c \hat{v}$ generally stands in the N.T. for the Divine message revealed to men, indirectly by the prophets of the O.T. and the Apostles of the N.T., and directly by Christ Himself. This message is recorded, in part, in the pages of the O.T., and it is thus plain that in a certain sense the title 'the word of God' is applicable to the revelation of the Divine counsels therein contained. The revelation recorded in the O.T. would unquestionably have been regarded by a Jew as truly $\delta \lambda \delta \gamma os \tau c \delta \theta \epsilon c \delta$. So Philo speaking of the $\beta i\beta \lambda os \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \delta c \omega s$ of Gen. ii. 4 adds $\beta i\beta \lambda los \delta \epsilon \epsilon l \rho \kappa \epsilon \tau \delta \nu \tau c \delta \theta \epsilon c \delta \lambda \delta \gamma c \nu$ (Leg. all. i. 26). We may be sure that no Apostle would have excluded Scripture from the agencies to which the title might be given. And there are two or three passages in the N.T. where the title seems to be actually so applied, viz.

Matt. xv. 6 ήκυρώσατε του λόγου [al. νόμον] τοῦ θεοῦ διὰ τὴν παράδοσιν

ύμῶν.

76 I. TIMOTHY.

Mark vii. 13 άκυροθντες τον λόγον τοθ θεοθ τῆ παραδόσει δμών ἢ παρεδώκατε.

In the second of these parallel passages (at least) there is no doubt about the true reading; and it is hard to doubt that the contrast between the canonical Scripture of the O.T. and the unauthorised comments and additions of the scribes is the point of emphasis.

The other passage coming under this head has been already com-

mented on, viz.

1 Tim. iv. 5 ἀγιάζεται γὰρ διὰ λόγου θεοῦ και ἐντεύξεωs. In this verse it is difficult to explain the context on any hypothesis save that λόγος

 $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ is here used of the Scriptures of the O.T.

The result of this investigation tends to confirm the legitimacy of the title 'the Word of God' as commonly applied to Holy Scripture. It seems to have the authority of the N.T. (Matt. xv. 6 | Mark vii. 13 and 1 Tim. iv. 5). It is nevertheless remarkable that the title is but rarely so applied in early Christian literature. Clement of Rome comes near it when he introduces an O.T. quotation (as he does twice, §§ 13, 56) with the phrase φησίν ὁ ἄγιος λόγος. But Origen is the earliest writer in whom I have succeeded in finding the full title ò λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ applied to Scripture. After quoting Jer. iv. 5, 6 he goes on: els άτείχιστον πόλιν οὐ βούλεται ἡμᾶς εἰσελθεῖν ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ (Hom. v. in Jerem. § 16; cp. also Hom. xiii. in Exod.). The phrase is frequent by the time we get to Chrysostom, and Augustine has it also (in Ps. cviii. 1, cxxix. 1). But this is not the place to trace its history further. It has been thought desirable to state fully the usage of the N.T., as it is interesting in itself and important in its bearing on the interpretation of 1 Tim. iv. 5.

CHAPTER V.

- 4. Rec. text inserts καλὸν καὶ (from ch. ii. 3) before ἀπόδεκτον with some cursives and versions; οπ. NACD₂GKLP.
- 5. Rec. text (and Tregelles) insert $\tau \partial \nu$ before $\theta \epsilon \partial \nu$ with $\aleph^0 AD_2 KL$ (cp. 1 Pet. iii. 5); om. $\aleph^* CGP$, followed by Tischendorf (cp. ch. iv. 10). Lachmann and Westcott and Hort place it in square brackets. For $\theta \epsilon \partial \nu R^* D_2^*$ have $\kappa \delta \rho_1 o \nu$, and it is possible that, after all, $\epsilon \pi l \kappa \delta \rho_1 o \nu$ may be the true reading. See note in loc.
- 8. Rec. text has $\tau \hat{\omega}_{\nu}$ before olkelwe with $\mathrm{CD_2^{bc}KLP}$ (repeated from $\tau \hat{\omega}_{\nu}$ $l\delta(\omega_{\nu})$; om. $\mathrm{ND_2^*G}$.
- προνοείται. So $\aleph^*D_2^*GK$. The received text (which Westcott and Hort here prefer, relegating προνοείται to the margin) has προνοεί with $\aleph^cACD_2^cLP$ &c. See 2 Cor. viii. 21 where there is a similar conflict of authorities, some having the middle and some the active voice of the verb.
- 11. καταστρηνιάσωσιν. So (rightly) the text. rec. with κCD₂KL; καταστρηνιάσουσιν is found in AGP. The indicative with όταν would, no doubt, be possible; but the weight of MS. evidence is against it here.

- 15. ἐξετράπησάν τινες is the order in AFG g; txt NCD2KLP d f &c.
- 16. ἐπαρκείσθω. So NAG; ἐπαρκείτω CD₂KLP. It is not easy to decide whether the active or the middle form is to be preferred.

Rec. text has $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\delta$ s η before $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\eta$ with D_2KL , the majority of the cursives, the Syriac versions and good Greek patristic authorities; on. NACGP and the Bohairie version. There are Latin authorities on both sides, and it is possible that the disputed words may have been omitted through a copyist's inadvertence; but yet the weight of evidence is against them.

- 18. ACPf follow the order of the LXX. οὐ φιμώσεις βοῦν ἀλοῶντα (as in 1 Cor. ix. 9); but the order in the text is supported by ND₂GKL dg.
- 19. Some Latin MSS. known to Jerome seem to have omitted the words exrbs...µaprúpωv; and it is possible that we have a trace of this in Cyprian Test. iii. 76; but the variant is only a curiosity of criticism.
- 21. Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ. So NAD₂*G, the Latin and the Egyptian Vss.; rec. text has κυρίου Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ with D_2 °KLPF and the Syriac.

πρόσκλισιν. This spelling is supported by NGK and the Latin versions; AD_2LP have πρόσκλησιν which gives no tolerable sense and might readily have arisen through itacistic interchange of ι and η . See note in loc.

- 23. Rec. text has $\sigma o v$ after $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a \chi o v$ with D_2 GKL and most versions; o m. NAD_2 *P.
- 25. Rec. text has $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ kalà $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a$ with KL; txt follows NAD₂GP. Also rec. after $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} \delta \eta \lambda a$ adds $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ with KL (D₂GP add $\dot{\epsilon} l \sigma \iota$); om. NA. At the end of the verse rec. text has $\delta \dot{\nu} \nu a \tau a \iota$ with NGKL, but the reading $\delta \dot{\nu} \nu a \nu \tau a \iota$ is found in AD₂P 17 and some other cursives, and is adopted by recent editors. The use of a plural verb after a neuter plural subject (which is never found in Attic Greek) is very common in the N.T., and it is the ordinary rule in Modern Greek.

IV. THE STATUS IN THE CHURCH OF VARIOUS CLASSES OF PERSONS. i. 1, 2. ELDER MEN AND WOMEN.

1. πρεσβύτερος here means any elder man (cp. John viii. 9 and πρεσβύτας, Tit. ii. 2), as is plain from the context; there is no idea of ecclesiastical office. The LXX. use both πρεσβύτερος and πρεσβύτης as renderings of [2], the former being generally employed where an 'elder' in an official sense is meant. But, like πρεσβύτης, it often means no more than 'an old man,' as here. The injunction is the necessary complement of iv. 12, and is perhaps suggested by the thought of Timothy's νεότης.

ἐπιπλήξης. This is ἄπ. λεγ. in the Greek Bible (ἐπίπληξις is found in 2 Macc. vii. 33 only), though common in classical writers. It is stronger than ἐπιτιμῶν (2 Tim. iv. 2), the usual N.T. word, and signifies

to rebuke severely. Field cites from Hierocles (Stob. Flor. T. LXXIX. 53) a good parallel for this injunction. κὰν εί τί που γένουντο παραμαρτάνοντες...ἐπάνορθωτέον μέν, ἀλλ' οὐ μετ' ἐπιπλήξεως, μὰ Δία, καθάπερ ἐθος πρὸς τοὺς ἐλάττονας ἢ ἴσους ποιεῦν, ἀλλ' ὡς μετὰ παρακλήσεως. That is, ἐπίπληξες is rebuke addressed to one's juniors; παράκλησες is entreaty addressed to one's equals.

άλλα παρακαλει ώς πατέρα, but exhort him as a father; παρακαλείν being used (as always in the Pastorals) in the sense of grave exhortation.

νεωτέρους ώς άδελφούς. We must understand παρακάλει or some such verb before νεωτέρους. Timothy is to address his counsels to the younger men as brothers; he was himself, comparatively speaking, 'young' (see on iv. 12 above), and the form of his exhortations must be in accordance with this. It will be observed that there is no corresponding caution given to Titus (see Tit. ii. 6), of whose age we are not told anything; the inference that he was an older man than Timothy, though somewhat precarious, is nevertheless plausible.

2. πρεσβυτέρας ώς μητέρας κ.τ.λ. The elder women as mothers, the younger as sisters, in all purity. Εν πάση ἀγνείρ (see on iv. 12) has special reference to the νεωτέρας. Ellicott appositely quotes Jerome's prudent advice (Epist. lii. 5): 'omnes puellas et virgines Christi aut acqualiter ignora aut acqualiter dilige.' Cp. the corresponding passage in the Ep. to Titus (ii. 4), where the discipline of the younger women is to be delegated to the elders of their own sex; here the thought is not so much of the training and directing of the νεωτέραι as of Timothy's personal relations to them.

- ii. 8-16. THE STATUS OF WIDOWS.
- 3-8. (a) Concerning their maintenance.
- 3. χήρας τίμα κ.τ.λ. Honour as widows those that are widows indeed.
- ή ὅντως χήρα is a bona fide widow, i.e. one who is alone in the world without husband or grown-up children to support her. This is apparent from the next verse. The force of τίμα has been disputed; but although τιμᾶν does not as a rule carry the idea of material support, it does not exclude it (cp. διπλῆς τιμᾶς in v. 17 and St Matthew xv. 5 ff.), and it is plain that to an δντως χήρα due honour and respect would necessarily involve such assistance. In the earliest days of the Church the support of widows was counted a Christian duty, as the narrative of Acts vi. 1 ff. shews. Cp. Ignat. Polyc. 4 χῆραι μὴ ἀμελείσθωσω.

4. This verse is parenthetical. If a widow has children or grand-children, pious care for her needs is their duty.

The nominative to μανθανέτωσαν has been understood variously by commentators; e.g. the Vulgate has discat and Chrysostom makes χήραι the subject, 'If any widows have offspring, their first duty is to their own households.' But this introduces an idea foreign to the context and does not afford a good sense for dμοιβάς ἀποδιδύραι τοῖς

προγόνοις; also εὐσεβεῖν is more appropriate of children than of parents. We therefore take τέκνα ἢ ἕκγονα as the subject of μανθανέτωσαν.

ἔκγονα is not found elsewhere in the N.T., nor is ἀμοιβή; but ἔκγονος occurs often in the LXX. (op. Ecclus. xl. 15) and ἀμοιβή is a common word (though not in LXX. yet in Aq.). πρόγονοι is only found in N.T. here and at 2 Tim. i. 3, but we have it in Ecclus. viii. 5; 2 Macc. viii. 19, xi. 25, in its usual sense of dead ancestors. Plato, however (Laws xr. 931 m), applies it, as here, to living parents: it is perhaps used by the writer in this verse to balance ἔκγονα. The A.V. nephews now conveys a wrong meaning for ἔκγονα, but in 1611 the word nephew signified grandchild.

πρῶτον. Respect to parents is the first duty of children; if it is in their power they are bound further to requite them ($\dot{a}\mu\alpha\beta\dot{a}s~\dot{a}\pi\alpha\delta$.) for their care.

τον ίδιον οἶκον εὖσεβεῖν, to shew piety towards their own household. The peculiar obligation of the duty is marked by the use of Ιδιον; the support of widowed parents should not be left to the charity of the Church where the children are old enough to undertake the responsibility. See on 2 Tim. i. 5.

For απόδεκτος see on ii. 3.

5. We now come to the characteristics of the true widow. Bereft of her natural supporters, she has fixed her hopes on God, who is her strength, and is given to continual prayer. Liddon aptly quotes Jerome (ad Ageruch. exxiii. 6) "quibus Deus spes est et omne opus oratio."

μεμονωμένη is explanatory of the preceding ή ὅντως χήρα: μονοῦσθαι is ἄπ. λεγ. in N.T., but is a common Greek word.

ηλπικεν ἐπὶ τὸν θεόν. Cp. 1 Pet. iii. 5 al ἀγιαι γυναῖκες al ἐλπίζουσαι els θεόν, and 2 Cor. i. 10 els ὅν ἡλπίκαμεν ὅτι καὶ ἔτι ῥύσεται. ἐπί (like els) with the acc. expresses the direction towards which hope looks; ἐπί with the dat. (as at iv. 10) indicates the ground of hope and points to that in which hope rests. The reading κύριον (adopted by Weiss) may be right (see crit. note), but more probably it has replaced θεόν through a reminiscence of Ps. iv. 6 ἐλπίσατε ἐπὶ Κύριον, or some similar passage.

προσμένει, abides in. The πρός seems to intensify the sense; cp. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ προσκαρτεροῦντες (Rom. xii. 12). The compound verb is only used by St Paul here and at i. 3; it occurs in Jud. iii. 25; Wisd. iii. 9.

ταίς δεήσεσιν και ταίς προσευχαίς. See on ii. 1.

νυκτὸς και ήμέρας. This is always the order-in-St Paul (not ήμ. και νυκτ.); cp. 1 Thess. ii. 9, iii. 10; 2 Tim. i. 3. The whole clause recalls the description of the widow Anna (Luke ii. 37) νηστείαις και δεήσεσω λατρεύουσα νύκτα και ήμέραν.

6. $\dot{\eta}$ & $\sigma \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$, but she (i.e. the widow) that liveth riotously is dead while she liveth. $\sigma \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \hat{\alpha} \nu$ only occurs in N.T. here

and at Jas. v. 5; cp. Ezek. xvi. 49 (where it is used of one of the sins of Sodom and her daughters) and Ecclus. xxi. 15.

The conception of spiritual death, of death in life, is frequent in St Paul; see Rom. vii. 10, 24; Eph. iv. 18, and cp. Rev. iii. 1 where it is said of the Church of Sardis... ὅτι τῆς καὶ νεκρὸς εἶ.

- 7. και ταῦτα παράγγελλε. και carries us back to a former injunction at iv. 11; τ αῦτα must refer to some counsel or warning about widows (and not about widows and their children), for plainly those who are to be ἀνεπίλημπτοι (on which word see iii. 2) are the χ ήραι alone. Hence the things in question (τ αῦτα) would seem to be contained in vv. 5, 6 which describe respectively the marks of 'the widow indeed' and of her who through her dissipated life has forfeited all claim to the title, which otherwise would naturally belong to her. It will be a duty for Timothy to reiterate these, v α ἀνεπίλημπτοι ὧσιν.
- 8. $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \tau v_S \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. A formal enunciation of the principle of which the duty set forth in v. 4 is an illustration; τv_S stands for any of the $\tau \epsilon_K v_B a \tilde{\tau} \epsilon_K \gamma_D v_B a$ there spoken of, who are here also the subject of the sentence.

τῶν ἰδίων καὶ μάλιστα οἰκείων. τδιοι are relatives; οἰκεῖοι those near relatives who form part of the family. The latter have peculiar claims to the regard of a Christian man.

την πίστιν ήρνηται κ.τ.λ. If any one neglect this plain duty he has (a) practically denied the Christian faith, considered as a rule of life (see Matt. xv. 5), and (b) is, thus, worse than an unbeliever, for even heathen recognise duty to parents as of primary obligation. Επιστος is used here, as in 1 Cor. vii. 15, of a heathen, one who has not the faith. That this natural duty was emphasised by prac-Christian teachers hardly needs proof; cp. Anaxim. apud Stob. Lxxix. 37 τί γάρ έστι δικαιότερον ἢ τοὺς γενέσεως και παιδείας αίτιους δντας αντευεργετών; It is worthy of notice, however, that "the Essenes were not permitted to give relief to their relatives without leave from their έπίτροποι, though they might freely do so to others in need; see Joseph. Bell. Jud. II. 8. 6" (Ellicott).

The words χείρων and ἀρνεῖσθαι, which occur in this verse, are not found in St Paul outside the Pastorals; but they are LXX. words and quite common elsewhere.

9, 10. (b) WIDOWS AS AN ORGANISED BODY IN THE CHURCH.

9. We read in the Gospels of the ministry of women (Luke viii. 3; Matt, xxvii. 55), and also in the Acts (ix. 36). In Rom. xvi. 1 Phoebe, a διάκονος of the Church at Corinth, is mentioned. When we come to the Pastoral Epistles, we find that χήρα are an organised body, of whose names a register is kept; and in the verses before us (vv. 9 ff.) their qualifications are enumerated. Let no one be enrolled as a widow who is less than sixty years of age &c. χήρα is to be taken as predicate, not as subject; and καταλέγεν (ἄπ. λεγ. in N.T.) means 'to place on a list.' Now it is plain that χήρα here cannot stand simply for the desolate and destitute widow, whose maintenance has

been the subject of the preceding verses; for the Church would not limit her charity to the needy by strict conditions like those of vv. 9. Again these $\chi \hat{\eta} \rho a \iota$ can hardly be the same as $\delta \iota a \kappa \delta \nu \iota \sigma \sigma a \iota$, for the limit of age would be unreasonable in the case of all active workers (although it is true that the Theodosian Code (xvi. 2. 27) at a later period speaks of sixty as the age for a deaconess). They are here πρεσβύτιδες rather than διακόνισσαι. And thus we conclude that we have in this verse the earliest notice of the ordo viduarum, which is often mentioned in sub-Apostolic and early patristic literature. They had a claim to maintenance, and in return were entrusted with certain duties, such as the care of orphans, and were expected to be diligent in intercessory prayer. For instance, Polycarp (Phil. 4) after speaking of priests and deacons, goes on to widows..." an altar of God," because from their age and comparative leisure they were supposed to give special attention to prayer. A form of prayer for the use of 'widows' is found in the Apostolical Constitutions (iii. 13). A notice of them in Lucian (de morte Peregrini 12) in connexion with orphans suggests that they were in his time quite an established institution. The order was at first restricted to al οντως χήραι, but after a time virgins and even young virgins seem to have been admitted, a practice which Tertullian deprecates. Ignatius (Smyrn. 13) speaks of τας παρθένους τας λεγόμενας χήρας; but this may only mean that from the purity of their lives the enrolled widows might be counted virgins. In any case at this early stage of the Church's life only at δντως χήραι, desolate widows, were admissible into the order, and the conditions of admission are before us—first, they must be at least sixty years old, and secondly, they must be univirae.

ένὸς ἀνδρὸς γυνή. Polyandry was condemned alike by heathen and Jew, and such a reference is here out of the question. The expression plainly means a widow, who has not remarried after her husband's death, or divorce. Even in Roman society nuptiae secundae were looked on with disfavour, and a univira was highly esteemed. To have married only once was an indication of ἐγκράτεια, and so is required by the Apostle of ecclesiastical persons, women as well as men (see iii, 2 and notes), who should be 'above suspicion.' See Luke ii. 36. Tertullian's words ad Uxor. i. 7 explain the passage well: "Praescriptio apostoli declarat...cum viduam adlegi in ordinationem nisi univiram non concedit." Cp. also Const. Apost. vi. 17, and the passage from Philo de Profugis quoted below on Tit. ii. 5.

- 10. A widow to be placed on the Church's list must be ἐν ἔργοι καλοῖς μαρτυρουμένη, well reported of in the matter of good works. The emphasis laid on ἔργα καλά in the Pastoral Epistles has been already remarked (see on ii. 10 above): of the good works which would especially come within the widow's province a few are enumerated.
- el ἐτεκνοτρόφησεν, if she hath brought up children, whether her own or the children of others. χήρω are frequently mentioned in conexion with orphans of the Church (e.g. Hermas Mand. 8 and Lucian de morte Peregr. 12); but it would be quite as unreasonable to confine the reference to these, as to exclude it, and so to forbid a barren

widow a place on the list. $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \sigma \tau \rho \phi \phi \epsilon \omega$ occurs only here in the Greek Bible.

- el έξενοδόχησεν, if (sc. at any time) she hath used hospitality to strangers. The word ξενοδοχέω is not found again in N.T. or LXX.; but cp. Matt. xxv. 35 ξένος ημην καὶ συνηγάγετέ με. Like the 'bishop' (iii. 2, on which see note) the 'widow' will be φιλόξενος, although from her circumstances it may be on a more humble scale. This qualification, however, suggests (what is reasonable in itself) that the widow who is placed on the Church's list need not necessarily be destitute of worldly wealth or dependent for her maintenance on the Church's alms.
- et dylor módas ëvitev, if she hath washed the saints' feet. This was a not unfamiliar feature of Eastern hospitality; it was a service of humility (1 Sam. xxv. 41), as of love (Luke vii. 38), and was commended to the Apostles by the Lord Himself (John xiii. 14). But this last command does not seem to have been understood literally by those to whom it was addressed; and so in the case of the Church's widows it was the spirit of their hospitality, rather than any such detail, which would enter into consideration. Note $\dot{\alpha}\gamma low$; this humility of service is only due to fellow Christians, who are the most welcome guests of all.
- el θλιβομένοις ἐπήρκεσεν, if she hath relieved the afflicted, whether "in mind, body or estate." ἐπαρκέω is only found in N.T. here and at v. 16; but it occurs in 1 Macc. viii. 26, xi. 35 and is a common Greek word.
- el παντί ἔργφ ἀγαθῷ ἐπηκολούθησεν, if she hath followed every good work. See on ii. 10 above. The A.V. and R.V. have "diligently followed"; but ἐπί seems here (as in 1 Pet. ii. 21) to mark direction rather than intensity, the pursuit of good works whether initiated by others or by oneself.

(c) 11-16. Young widows.

11. $v \in \omega + \epsilon n \le k \times \pi \lambda$, but younger widows refuse, so. to put on the roll of $\chi \hat{\eta} \rho a \iota$. $v \in \omega + \epsilon n \epsilon$ is used generally, as in v. 2, and not merely of set reference to the age limit of 60: for the force of $\pi \rho a \rho a \tau o \hat{v}$ see on iv. 7. These young widows are not, of course, ineligible for relief; but they are to be refused admission to the ordo viduarum, and that for two reasons: (a) from the risk to which they are exposed of unfaithfulness to religious engagements (vv. 11, 12), and (b) because of the danger for them in the duties of the ecclesiastical $\chi \hat{\eta} \rho a$ (v. 13).

δταν γὰρ καταστρηνιάσωσιν τοῦ Χριστοῦ κ.τ.λ., for when they have come to wax wanton against Christ, they desire to marry. δταν with the aor. subj. (see crit. note and 1 Cor. xv. 24, 27; Tit. iii. 12 &c.) has reference to a particular, but undetermined, point of time. καταστρηνιῶν is not found elsewhere; it may have been formed by St Paul on the analogy of κατακαυχᾶσθαί τωνος (Rom. xi. 18) to direct attention to the yoke which imposes the restraint. The simple verb στρηνιᾶν to wax wanton' occurs in Rev. xviii. 7, 9; the metaphor is that of a young animal trying to free itself from the yoke, and becoming restive through its fulness of life.

τοῦ Χριστοῦ. Christ is the Heavenly Bridegroom, against whom the desire of remarriage (lawful in ordinary cases in the absence of religious engagements, 1 Cor. viii. 39) is an unfaithfulness; even the wish to marry another is to be false to the $\sigma vv\theta \eta \kappa \eta$ with Christ, which they made when they undertook the widow's office as ένδ ανδρὸς γυναῖκες.

12. ξχουσαι κρίμα, having judgement; i.e. they are self-condemned, ξχουσαι being almost equivalent to ξαυταῖς παρέχουσαι. Cp. v. 20 and Rom, xiii, 2.

ὅτι τὴν πρώτην πίστιν ήθέτησαν, because they have made void their first faith, so, with the heavenly Bridegroom. πίστις is not Christian faith, but the pledge which they undertook on being enrolled in the $\chi\eta\rho\iota\kappa\delta\nu$ (cp. Rev. ii. 4). There is no thought, of course, of the pledge of faithfulness to the first husband; he is not in question. $\pi\rho\omega\tau\eta\nu$ is used, as commonly in N.T. Greek, for $\pi\rho\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha\nu$ (e.g. Acts i. 1).

13. ἄμα δὲ καί, introducing the second reason for the exclusion of young women from the order of 'widows.'

dργαl μανθάνουσιν κ.τ.λ. The translation is doubtful. We may construe (a) being idle, they pick up information, as they go about from house to house &c.; or, 'in idleness, they are always learning,' but nothing comes of it. This would be comparable to the γυναικάρια ...πάντοτε μανθάνοντα of 2 Tim. iii. 6, 7. But (i.) this is to take μανθάνειν in a somewhat forced way, and (ii.) the antithesis in the next clause is spoilt, οὐ μόνον δὲ ἀργαὶ ἀλλὰ καὶ κ.τ.λ. It is better to render with the Å.V. and R.V., (b) they learn to be idle, going about from house to house, sc. in the discharge of their allotted ministrations. Their want of sobriety and steadiness may lead them to use their opportunities of usefulness as an excuse for idleness and gossip. This construction of μανθάνειν is not without parallel, although unusual; e.g. Field cites Chrys. Ix. 259 B εἰ ἰατρὸς μέλλοις μανθάνειν.

doyés is not found in St Paul save here and at Tit. i. 12 (in a quotation), but it is a LXX. word.

οὐ μόνον δὲ...ἀλλὰ καί.... This is a regular Pauline construction; cf. 2 Cor. vii. 7.

φλύαροι, garrulous, tattlers. We have φλυαρεῖν in 3 John 10, but φλύαροs (once in LXX. at 4 Macc. v. 10) does not occur elsewhere in the N.T.

περίεργοι, busybodies. Cf. 2 Thess. iii. 11 μηδὲν εργαζομένους άλλὰ περιεργαζομένους. For περίεργος (which is not a LXX. word, and is not used elsewhere in St Paul) cp. Acts xix. 19.

λαλοῦσαι τὰ μη δέοντα, speaking things which they ought not. That is, they are likely to make mischief, carrying from house to house private matters which have come to their knowledge in the course of their official visits.

14. βούλομαι ούν, I desire therefore: more definite than $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$, as expressive of a special exertion of will. See on ii. 8. The $\theta \delta \nu$ refers to both the reasons assigned (vv. 11-13) for the unfitness of young widows for the ordo viduarum.

νεωτέρας γαμεῖν, that the younger widows marry. The context suggests that it is especially young widows that are in the thought of the writer; but no doubt the advice would apply to young women in general, as the A.V. seems to take it. γαμεῖν may be used either of first or of second marriages; cp. 1 Cor. vii. 9.

τεκνογονεῖν, οἰκοδεσωστεῖν, bear children, rule their household. Neither of these words is found again in the Greek Bible, but we have τεκνογονία in ii. 15 and οἰκοδεσπότης in the Gospels. The right ordering of the household is a very important duty in the view of the writer; op. iii. 4, 12.

μηδεμίαν άφορμήν διδόναι, give no occasion; ep. 2 Cor. v. 12.

τῷ ἀντικειμένῳ, to the adversary, so. not Satan, but human adversaries (ἀντικείμενοι, of whom there are all too many, 1 Cor. xvi. 9; Phil. i. 28; cp. Tit. ii. 8) who are very ready to find fault. Cp. iii. 6.

λοιδορίας χάριν, for reviling; cp. Tit. ii. 5. λοιδορία does not occur again in St Paul, but it is a LXX. word; cp. 1 Pet. iii. 9. We have λοιδορεω 1 Cor. iv. 12, and λοίδορος 1 Cor. v. 11, vi. 10.

16. ήδη γάρ τινες έξετράπησαν ὁπίσω τοῦ σατανᾶ, for already some are turned aside after Satan. To support his advice (βούλομαι κ.τ.λ.) St Paul adduces the weighty argument of past experience (γάρ). Some ecclesiastical widows have already proved unfaithful to their pledges to the heavenly Bridegroom and have followed the seducer, Satan. It has been argued that this indicates that the ordo viduarum had been in existence for a considerable time, and that thus the date of the Epistle must be postponed to a period subsequent to St Paul's labours; but (a) it must be remembered that the experience to which appeal is made is not necessarily confined to the Church at Ephesus, but extends over all the Christian communities known to St Paul, and (b) ήδη, 'already,' seems to indicate that the order had not been long established, for disorders had arisen before they might naturally have been expected.

Εξετράπησαν, i.e. swerved from the path of virtue. See note on i. 5. **όπίσω τοῦ σατανά**. Cp. Acts xx. 30 (in the speech of St Paul to the Ephesian elders) **όποσπῶν** τοὺς μαθητὰς ὀπίσω ἐαυτῶν.

16. If no protest k.t.l. This may be either (a) a repetition of the injunction of vv. 4, 8, the duty being now described as incumbent on all relatives, and not merely on children and grandchildren; or (b) a direction as to the maintenance of those younger widows who do not remarry and who are, in virtue of their age (vv. 11—13), ineligible for admission to the $\chi\eta\rho\iota\kappa\delta\nu$ $\tau\dot{\alpha}\gamma\mu\alpha$. It appears from the context that (b) is more probable; but in any case there is a difficulty in $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\gamma}$. There seems no reason why female relatives should be mentioned to the exclusion of male; and yet (see critical note) the evidence for the omission of $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\gamma}$ $\dot{\gamma}$ is too weighty to be set aside.

ἐπαρκείσθω. See critical note, and for the word see on v. 10.

βαρείσθω. The classical form is βαρύνειν. Cp. 2 Cor. i. 8, v. 4; 1 Thess. ii. 9 &c.

- iii. 17-25. THE DIGNITY AND THE DISCIPLINE OF THE PRESBYTERATE.
- 17. The $\pi per \beta \acute{\nu} \tau e poi here are not the elder men (as in v. 1), but the Church officials who bear that honourable name. Their duties and their relation to the <math>\acute{e}\pi i \sigma \kappa \sigma \sigma \sigma$ have already been discussed in the Introduction, chap. v., and it is unnecessary to repeat what was there said.
- οί καλῶς προεστῶτες κ.τ.λ. The emphasis is on καλῶς: the presbyters who preside well are to be counted worthy of double honour. There is no distinction suggested between two classes of preshyters, some who rule and some who do not rule; rule is the normal duty of the πρεσβύτεροι in the society where they are placed. Thus in 1 Thess. v. 12 they are called προϊστάμενοι and a similar injunction to the Church is given: εἰδέναι τοὐς κοπιῶντας ἐν ὑμῶν καὶ προϊσταμένους ὑμῶν ἐν κυρίω κ.τ.λ.
- διπλης τιμης. 'Honour to whom honour is due' is St Paul's general principle (Rom. xiii. 7), and this τιμή may include material support; cp. τίμα in v. 3 above, and our use of honorarium for a fee. The connecting link between vv. 3—16 and vv. 17—25 is in this word τιμή. The maintenance of the various classes of a new society is always a matter for most anxious consideration; St Paul first deals with the case of the vidows, and then by a natural transition proceeds to mention the provision to be made for the presbyters. He is thus led on to discuss their dignity and their discipline. Double honour, i.e. ample provision, must be ensured for them; $\delta t\pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ is not to be taken as equivalent to 'double of the sum paid to widows,' or in any similar way, but without any definite numerical reference. Cp. Apost. Const. ii. 28.
- μάλιστα οἱ κοπιῶντες κ.τ.λ. The primary function of presbyters is to bear rule in the society, but those who, in addition, labour in the word and in teaching are especially to be honoured at this stage of the Church's life. Teaching fell more and more to the $\pi peaβύτεροι$ as the office of the Evangelist ceased. But even in Cyprian (Epist. xxix.) presbyteri doctores are mentioned, which indicates that there were some presbyters in his day who did not belong to the class of teachers.
- έν λόγφ και διδασκαλία, in the word and in teaching. $\lambda b \gamma \sigma s$ is the Divine Word which the presbyters, as good pastors, are to deliver to the souls of their flock; $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i a$ is the instruction, addressed to the reason rather than to the heart, with which their message is to be accompanied. Cp. Barnabas § 19 διὰ λόγου κοπιῶν.
- 18. λέγει γὰρ ή γραφή. This is the ordinary Pauline formula of citation from the O.T.; see Rom. iv. 3, xi. 2; Gal. iv. 30.
- βοῦν ἀλοῶντα οὐ φιμώσεις. Thou shalt not muzzle the ox when he treadeth out the corn, a citation of Deut. xxv. 4, applied in a somewhat similar way by St Paul at 1 Cor. ix. 9. Not the letter of the law only, but the broad moral principle behind it is here appealed to by the Apostle.

- καί, "Αξιος ὁ έργάτης τοῦ μισθοῦ αὐτοῦ. This maxim occurs nowhere in the O.T., although the principle involved is often enunciated, e.g. at Lev. xix. 13; Deut. xxiv. 14. It does occur verbally in Luke x. 7 (cp. Matt. x. 10), in the report of our Lord's charge to the Seventy whom He sent forth; and it has been sometimes thought (a) that the writer of this Epistle here appeals to St Luke's Gospel as ή γραφή. But, even if we place the Epistle outside St Paul's lifetime, we cannot bring it down to a date late enough to permit us to think of the author citing the Synoptic Gospels as Scripture, in the same breath with the O.T. (b) It has been suggested, again, that St Paul here quotes a well-known saying of the Lord which would for him have all the authority of η γραφή. But true as this may be, we can hardly conceive of him as introducing such a saying by the formula λέγει γὰρ ἡ γραφή, γραφή being reserved by him for the Sacred Canon of the O.T. And therefore (c) we conclude that this opening formula only applies to the quotation from Deuteronomy, and that the words άξιος ο εργάτης τοῦ μισθοῦ αὐτοῦ are added by the writer by way of explanation and confirmation. It may well be that this was a familiar proverb, appealed to here by St Paul as it was appealed to by the Lord in the passage quoted from St Luke. We have, for instance, in Euripides (Rhes. 191) a similar thought: πονούντα δ' άξιον μισθον φέρεσθαι: and again in Phocylides Fr. 17 μισθον μοχθήσαντι δίδου. Such an obvious principle of natural justice may well have taken a proverbial form. St Paul, in short, first quotes from Deut. xxv. 4, and then adds And [as you know] the labourer is worthy of his hire.
- 19. κατά πρεσβυτέρου κατηγορίαν κ.τ.λ. Against a presbyter receive not an accusation except &c. κατηγορία and παραδέχομαι are not found in St Paul's writings outside the Pastorals, but they are common words, although the former does not happen to occur in the LXX. We have κατήγορος, κατηγορείν frequently in the Greek Bible (e.g. Rom. ii, 15).

ěκτὸς εἰ μή. We have this pleonastic form of negation at 1 Cor. xiv. 5. xv. 2; it is fairly common in late writers such as Plutarch 1.

έπι δύο ἢ τριῶν μαρτύρων. Words taken in substance from Deut. xix. 15; cp. Deut. xvii. 6. The general principle is appealed to by St Paul in 2 Cor. xiii. 1, by our Lord in John viii. 17, and also in Heb. x. 28. The force of ἐπὶ is hardly doubtful. The analogy of 2 Cor. xiii. 1 confirms the translation of the R. V. at the mouth of, which is the meaning of the precept in its original place in Deut. xix. 15 ἐπὶ στόματος δύο μαρτύρων κ.τ.λ. And we adopt this rendering, although στόματος is omitted in the verse before us, and although ἐπὶ with the gen. (as in 1 Cor. vi. 1) gives a good sense, in the presence of, coram. The precept is here interesting, as marking the beginnings of presbyteral discipline. Timothy is directed, in order to avoid any slightest injustice, to follow the precedents of the old law in his supervision of the Church at Ephesus. Two witnesses at least must give evidence if charges against a presbyter are to be entertained.

¹ See Deissmann, Bibelstudien, p. 115, who points out that ἐκτὸς εἰ μή is found in an inscription of Mopsuestia in Cilicia.

20. τοὺς ἀμαρτάνοντας. Those found sinning, so the presbyters, with whose discipline the whole section is taken up. So also ἐνῶπιον πάντων does not mean that the whole congregation is to be assembled when a presbyter receives rebuke, but that the sentence shall be delivered in the presence of all his co-presbyters. The case is quite different from such a case as that contemplated in Matt. xviii. 15; for Timothy will act, not as a private individual, but as the representative of the Church and the official guardian of its discipline.

"va και οἱ λοιποι φόβον ξχωσιν, that the rest also (so. the other presbyters) may have fear; op. Deut. xiii. 11. The sentence is delivered in public for the sake of those who hear it.

21. διαμαρτύρομαι ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ. We have this formula again in 2 Tim. ii. 14, iv. 1; the only other place in St Paul where the compound διαμαρτύρεσθαι occurs is 1 Thess. iv. 6. διά has an intensive force: I solemnly charge thee.

τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ Χρ. Ἰησοῦ. It is plain that here, as in 2 Tim. iv. 1, Granville Sharp's canon as to the non-repetition of the definite article does not hold; for it cannot be doubted that Θεόs the Eternal Father is invoked as distinct from $X\rho$. Ἰησοῦς, the Judge of all judges (John v. 27; Acts xvii. 31, and 2 Cor. v. 10). But, as has been observed, such quasi-official words as $X\rho$ ιστός are often used without the article, like proper names.

τῶν ἐκλεκτῶν ἀγγέλων. The commentators cite the apposite parallel from Josephus (B. J. 11. 16. 4): μαρτύρομαι δ' ἐγὼ μὲν ὑμῶν τὰ ἄγια, καὶ τοὺς ἰεροὺς ἀγγέλους τοῦ θεοῦ. The force of ἐκλεκτῶν has been variously explained. It is quite unnecessary to bring in the idea of (a) guardian angels of particular churches, as e.g. at Rev. ii. 1. Nor (b) can we suppose that ἐκλεκτῶν is introduced to distinguish the angels who are in the thought of the writer from the fallen spirits of evil (2 Pet. ii. 4: Jude 6); ἄγγελος without any qualifying epithet is consistently used throughout the N.T. for the holy angels, and the addition of ἐκλεκτῶν for the purpose of such a distinction would be in this context otiose and gratuitous. It seems better (c) to regard ἐκλεκτῶν as a natural and fitting epithet of angels who are the chosen ministers of God, and who watch with tender interest over the affairs of men (1 Cor. iv. 9; 1 Tim. iii. 16).

iva ταῦτα φυλάξης, that thou observe these things, so the precepts about the trial of presbyters in vv. 19, 20.

χωρὶς προκρίματος, μηδὲν ποιῶν κ.τ.λ. πρόκριμα and πρόσκλισις are both ἄπ. λεγ. in the Greek Bible; the former is strictly a vox media, but is here used to express preconceived judgement against the accused or prejudice, as πρόσκλισις indicates undue partiality towards either side. The solemnity of the adjuration with which the verse opens marks the importance which the writer attaches to the jurisdiction that Timothy is to exercise being fulfilled with an open mind and without respect of persons.

22. The thought of πρόσκλισι or partiality in his dealings with the Ephesian presbyters on Timothy's part suggests the warning χείρας

 $\tau a \chi \epsilon \omega s \ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu l \ \epsilon \pi i \tau l \theta \epsilon i$. (a) Some modern commentators and a few of the Latin fathers understand this of the reconciling of penitent presbyters who have fallen into sin. Such reconciliation was doubtless attended with $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o \theta \epsilon \sigma l a$ in later ages (see e.g. Cyprian Ep. 74, Eus. H. E. vii.2), but there is no evidence that it was an accustomed usage in Apostolic times, nor is χειροθεσία or any similar phrase used in such a context elsewhere in the N.T. It is better, then, (b) with the early Greek commentators (e.g. Chrysostom) to interpret the injunction as prohibiting hasty ordinations. ἐπίθεσις τῶν χειρῶν is used of the act of ordination in ch. iv. 14; 2 Tim. i. 6, as well as at Acts vi. 6, xiii. 3; in Acts viii. 17, 18, 19 of imparting a special χάρισμα, and in Heb. vi. 2 quite vaguely (though probably of Confirmation). It will be remembered that the Church has sanctioned the interpretation of the words which refers them to ordination, by embodying them in the Ember Collect. The precept is thus in accordance with the rule about deacons (iii. 10) οὖτοι δὲ δοκιμαζέσθωσαν πρώτον. ταχέως is expressive of undue haste, which is much to be deprecated.

μηδέ κοινώνει dμαρτίαις άλλοτρίαις, neither be partaker of other men's sins, sc. by ordaining unworthy persons. κοινωνεύ with the dative of the thing shared in is common in the N.T., e.g. Rom. xv. 27; dμαρτίαις recalls and is suggested by dμαρτάσοιται of v. 20. The sequence of thought is easy: Do not lightly entertain accusations against a presbyter (v. 19); Do not spare rebuke if he fall into sinful habits (v. 20); Be not partial (v. 21); Do not admit him to the presbyterate without due enquiry (v. 22°); If you do, you accept responsibility for his sins, which, in a manner, you have made your own (v. 22°). And this last grave thought leads on to the personal warning σεαυτὸν ἀγνὸν τήρει, keep thyself pure, sc. pure in the first instance as not being κοινωνός of another man's sins, and in a more general reference as well. See for ἀγνός note on iv. 14: with σεαυτὸν τήρει ep. 2 Cor. xi. 9.

23. ἀγνεία does not refer only to bodily purity and discipline; it is rather concerned with purity of intention and singleness of life. This may however be misapprehended, and to avoid any mistaken inference from σεαυτὸν ἀγνὸν τήρει in the direction of undue asceticism the Apostle parenthetically adds Be no longer a water-drinker, but use a little wine &c.

τόδροποτείν (only here in the N.T., but a common word) is not equivalent to tόδωρ πίνειν; it means to drink water habitually, to be a 'total abstainer' from wine (op. Dan. i. 12 LXX.). This it appears Timothy had been (for such is the force of μηκέτι; op. Rom. vi. 6; 2 Cor. v. 15), possibly under Essene influences (see Philo de Vit. cont. 4), but more probably by way of protest against the sin of drunkenness, which the injunctions in iii. 3, 8 suggest was a crying evil at Ephesus, if the έπίσκοποι themselves needed to be warned against it. We have other warnings of a like nature at Rom. xiii. 13; Gal. v. 21; Tit. ii. 3; 1 Pet. iv. 3. But what is commended to Timothy is temperance in the use of wine, not total abstinence from it: οίνω όλίγω χρῶ, in contrast with οίνω πολλῶ deprecated in iii. 8.

- 8. δια τον στόμαχον. στόμαχος does not occur again in the Greek Bible, but is, of course, a common word. Wetstein aptly cites Libanius Εγίει. 1578, πέπτωκε και ήμῶν ὁ στόμαχος ταῖς συνεχέσιν ὑδροποσίαις; ep. Pliny Hist. Nat. xxiii. 22.
- καl τds πυκνάς σου dσθενείας, and thine oft infirmities. St Paul uses dσθενεία of his own bodily infirmity at Gal. iv. 13; πυκνός does not occur again in his letters, but cp. Luke v. 33; Acts xxiv. 26; 2 Macc. viii. 8. Timothy is here described as a man of weak health, for whom the ascetic life would be dangerous and unwise.

It is obvious to remark how improbable it is that such a precept as this, and introduced thus parenthetically, should occur in a forged letter. Like 2 Tim. iv. 13 it is a little touch of humanity which is a powerful argument for the genuineness of the Epistle in which it is found.

The duty of careful enquiry into the character of ordinands. V. 23 was parenthetical, and the general subject is now resumed: character is difficult to judge, therefore do not (a) hastily accept (v. 24) or (b) hastily refuse (v. 25).

24. To avoid a falsely favourable estimate, remember that while some men's sins are notoriously evident $(\pi p \delta \delta \eta \lambda \omega)$ and lead the way to judgement (i.e. they go before like heralds, as it were), the sins of other men are hidden and follow the perpetrators (i.e. their sin will find men out at last, but it does not always proclaim the impending judgement beforehand). The practical inference is that one in Timothy's position dare not rest satisfied with formal negative evidence as to the character of those upon whom he lays hands; 'nothing to their discredit' is not a sufficient guarantee, unless careful and detailed enquiry has been made.

προδήλος only occurs again in N.T. at Heb. vii. 14, and in LXX. at Judith viii. 29; 2 Macc. iii. 17, xiv. 39.

- 25. $\omega\sigma\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\omega s \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. So also (and this is the second maxim to be remembered in the diagnosis of character) while some kinds of good works are notoriously evident, there are also good works which, though not conspicuous, cannot remain hidden, if full investigation is made. This maxim will prohibit hasty rejection or condemnation of any man, on the plea that his good works are not apparent at the first glance, for $\kappa\alpha\lambda\delta$ $\delta\rho\gamma\alpha$ are not always done in public, though they cannot be concealed from a careful scrutiny.
- τὰ ἄλλως ἔχοντα, those that are otherwise, so, those that are not πρόδηλα, as explained above.

CHAPTER VI.

- 3. Tischendorf is almost alone among critical editors in his adoption of $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\tau a\iota$ the reading of \aleph^* (formerly conjectured by Bentley) for the better attested $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\rho\chi\epsilon\tau a\iota$.
- 4. ξρις. So NAKP, Egyptian and Peshito Syriac Vss; but D₂GL the Latins and the Harclean Syriac support ξρεις. D₂* also has φθύνοι

for $\phi\theta b\nu\sigma$ s, which suggests that the singular has in both cases been corrected into the plural in conformity with $\beta\lambda\alpha\sigma\phi\eta\mu ta\iota$ &c. which follow.

5. $\pi a \rho a \delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta a l$ of the received text is only found in some cursives; $\delta \iota a \pi a \rho a \tau \rho \iota \beta a l$ is read by NAD₂GLP &c. Compounds of $\delta \iota a - \pi a \rho a$ are rare, which may account for the variant as a correction of the primitive reading. See note in loc.

εὐσέβειαν. After this the rec. text adds ἀφίστασο ἀπὸ τῶν τοιούτων with D₂*KLP and Syriac Vss; om. NAD₂*G, Latin and Egyptian Vss. See note in loc.

- 7. The reading in the text is that of N*AG 17 r, but (see note) is not without intrinsic difficulty. Before $\delta \tau_l \ N^c D_2^{bc} KLP$ and most cursives insert $\delta \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\rho} \lambda \sigma_l$, while D_2 * m insert $\delta \lambda \eta \tilde{\rho} \epsilon_s$. Both additions have patristic support, but there can be little doubt that they are corrections of the primitive text. Hort suggested that $\delta \tau_l$ is only an accidental repetition of the last two letters of $\kappa \delta \sigma \mu \sigma_l$, ON being read as OT1.
- 8. διατροφάς. So KAL f; but διατροφήν is supported by $D_2GKP dgm$ and is given a place in Westcott and Hort's margin.
- 9. παγίδα. D₂G and the Old Latin Vss add τοῦ διαβόλου from iii. 7. Cp. 2 Tim. ii. 26.
- 11. Rec. text has τοῦ before θεοῦ with ℵ°D₂GKLP, and this is adopted by Tregelles and given a place in Westcott and Hort's margin. The article is omitted by ℵ*A 17 and by Tischendorf and Luchmann. See on verse 13.

πραϋπαθίαν. So N*AGP; πραότητα, the more usual word, is read by D_2KLN^c .

- 12. After $\tilde{\eta}\nu$ the rec. text inserts κal , but it is omitted by all the uncials.
- Tischendorf follows K*G and some Latin authorities in omitting σοι; ins. K°AD₂KLP &c.

Before θεοῦ AD₂GKLP insert τοῦ, but Tischendorf following N rejects the article.

Rec. text has ζωοποιούντος with NKL; but ζωογονούντος is the reading of AD, GP 17.

Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ. So AD_2KLP d and Harclean Syriac; Ἰησοῦ Xρ, is read in MG fg, the Peshito Syriac and Egyptian versions. See critical note on i. 16.

- 17. Tischendorf follows N in reading ὑψηλὰ φρονεῦν, which is also placed in Westcott and Hort's margin (cp. Rom. xi. 20). ὑψηλοφρονεῖν is read by AD₂EGKLP.
- έπὶ θέ $\hat{\varphi}$. (i.) For έπὶ (found in NAD₂*GP) the rec. text has έν supported by D_2 *KL. (ii.) The rec. text, with AD_2 °EKLP, inserts $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ before $\theta e \hat{\varphi}$; the article is omitted by ND₂*G. (iii.) The rec. text with D_2 EKL adds $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ζ $\hat{\varphi}$ ν τ ι after $\theta e \hat{\varphi}$; this is omitted by NAGP &c., and was apparently introduced from ch. iv. 10.

πάντα πλουσίως. This is the uncial order; the rec. text has πλουσίως πάντα following cursive authority.

- 19. \Tilde{o} So NAD₂*E*GH; but rec. text has aiwiou with D₂cKLP. See verse 12.
- 20. παραθήκην. So all the uncials: the rec. text has παρακαταθήκην with many cursives.

κενοφωνίας. So *AD₂EKLP &c.; G has καινοφωνίαs, by itacism, which the Latin Versions support, vocum novitates.

21. μεθ' ὑμῶν. So NAGP; μετὰ σοῦ is the reading of rec. text, following D₂EKL &c. Cp. 2 Tim. iv. 22 and Tit. iii. 15.

N°D₂beEKLP &c. add αμήν; this is absent from N*AD₂*G &c.

The subscription printed in the rec. text, viz. $\Pi \rho \delta s \tilde{\Pi}_{\iota} \mu \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \nu \pi \rho \delta \tau \eta \delta \eta \delta \eta \delta \delta \lambda \alpha \delta \delta \kappa \epsilon las, \tilde{\eta} \tau \iota s \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \mu \eta \tau \rho \delta \eta \sigma \lambda \iota s \Phi \rho \nu \gamma las \tau \tilde{\eta} s \Pi \alpha \alpha \tau \iota \alpha \nu \tilde{\eta} s$, is found in KL and elsewhere; **%** 17 have simply $\pi \rho \delta s \tilde{\Pi}_{\iota} \iota \mu \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \tilde{\alpha}$; $D_s \tilde{L}_s \tilde{L}$

iv. 1, 2. Duty of slaves to their masters, whether heathen or Christian.

1. ὅσσι κ.τ.λ. The construction is thoroughly Pauline; cp. Rom. ii. 12; Gal. iii. 10, &c.

ὑπὸ ζυγὸν δοῦλοι, under the yoke as slaves, as the order of the words shews.

τοὺς ὶδίους δεσπότας, their several masters. But tôιος may be used without special emphasis, as in iii. 4, v. 4 and the parallel passage Tit. ii. 9; cp. Eph. v. 22 at γυναῖκες τοῖς ἱδίοις ἀνδράσιν κ.τ.λ. The LXX. sometimes (especially in the later books) render the possessive promoun by tôιος, and in late Greek the word is used for έαντοῦ, ἐαντῶν.

St Paul has $\delta\epsilon\sigma\pi\delta\tau\eta$ s in the Pastoral Epistles only (2 Tim. ii. 21; Tit. ii. 9); elsewhere in similar contexts he has $\kappa\delta\rho$ (Eph. vi. 5; Col. iii. 22, iv. 1). $\delta\epsilon\sigma\pi\delta\tau\eta$ s (common in the LXX.; cp. 1 Pet. ii. 18) is perhaps the harsher word, but Philo (Quis rer. div. haer. 6) says that it is synonymous with $\kappa\delta\rho$ at though he suggests a distinction between them, based on a false etymology.

πάσης τιμής άξίους. The τιμή of widows (v. 3) and of presbyters (v. 17) has been enforced; we now come to the τιμή due to heathen masters from Christian slaves. Christianity taught that in Christ there was "neither bond nor free," and gradually, through this teaching, the evils of slavery became mitigated and removed; but the Apostles and their successors were ever careful (see the various passages cited above and Ep. to Philemon passim) to preach to slaves the duty of obedience to their masters, in the existing condition of society. Unlike the Therapeutae and the Essenes who are said to have encouraged insubordination, as a practical corollary from the doctrine of the brotberhood of man, the Christian Church avoided any teaching which might seem to countenance a bellum servile, with its frightful

consequences. Slaves were to commend their religion by the performance of their duty in their humble station. See on Tit. ii. 5.

να μὴ τὸ ὄνομα κ.τ.λ., that the Name of God and the doctrine be not blasphened. For slaves to have refused obedience would have brought immediate discredit on the Christian Faith, as subversive of the foundations of heathen society. St Paul quotes in Rom. ii. 24 the words of Isa. lii. 5 τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ θεοῦ δι' ὑμᾶς βλασφημεῖται ἐν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν (cp. 2 Sam. xii. 14; Ezek. xxxvi. 23), which are also in his mind here. Cp. [2 Clem.] § 13 for a like use of the phrase.

- 2. The exceptional case of Christian masters is next dealt with.
- ol δέ πιστούς κ.τ.λ., let those who have believers as their masters not despise them, because they are brethren. Equal membership in the Kingdom of Christ is not to be a pretext for the neglect of social duty to superiors.

άλλα μάλλον δουλ., but let them serve them the rather. μάλλον is emphatic (cp. Rom. xiv. 13; Eph. v. 4); heathen masters have their claim to service, but Christian masters have an additional claim in that they are $\pi\iota\sigma\tauol$ καὶ $d\gamma a\pi\eta\tauol$, linked with their slaves by common faith and love.

πιστοί είσιν καὶ άγ. κ.τ.λ. πιστοί καὶ άγαπητοί must be the predicate of the sentence, which determines that of της εὐεργεσίας αντιλαμβανόμενοι, the subject, must be a description of the masters who have already been called mioroùs at the beginning of the verse. arriλαμβάνεσθαι only occurs twice elsewhere in the N.T., viz. Luke i. 54 (in a quotation from the LXX, where it is frequent) and Acts xx. 35 (in a speech of St Paul); in both these instances it is equivalent to succurrere, a meaning which is not applicable here. In late Greek, however, it sometimes means 'to be sensible of,' percipere, of anything which acts upon the senses (cp. Porphyr. de Abstin. i. 46 μήτε ἐσθίων πλειόνων ήδονων άντιλήψεται); and so may be rendered here (with all the versions) to partake of. εὐεργεσία is (a) not the Benefit of Redemption; that is not here in question. And as (b) the masters are the subject of the sentence, it can have no reference to the benefits which they may confer upon their slaves. It remains therefore that we take it (c) as the benefit which the masters receive from the heartiness of their slaves' obedience. Alford cites an apposite passage from Seneca (de benef. m. 21), in which the question an beneficium dare servus domino possit is answered in the affirmative, and where the definition is given quidquid est quod servilis officii formulam excedit, quod non ex imperio sed ex voluntate praestatur, beneficium est. We therefore translate the words before us, because they that are partakers [sc. the masters] of the benefit [the improved quality of the service] are faithful and beloved. The A.V. is here incorrect.

ταῦτα δίδασκε και παρακάλει. See on iv. 11. The only question is as to the reference of ταῦτα. It may refer to what follows, but the usage of it in similar contexts throughout the Epistle (iii. 14, iv. 6, 11, 15) makes it more probable that it refers to what precedes, viz. the directions just given about the demeanour of slaves.

3-5. Renewed warnings against false teachers.

3. et τις ἐτεροδιδασκαλεῖ κ.τ.λ., if any man teach other [sc. inconsistent] doctrine &c. For ἐτεροδιδασκαλεῖν see on i. 3, the only other place where the word is found; it is here used in contrast to δίδασκε of the preceding verse, and probably the feature of the false teaching which is, for the moment, in the writer's mind, is its world-liness. He has just declared that slaves are not to make their Christianity a pretext for seeking social advancement; and he proceeds to give a warning against the heretical teachers who, by their example, would encourage the idea that godliness is a way of gain.

μη προσέρχεται, assenteth not (see crit. note). In the N.T. as a rule ϵl with the indicative (supposed reality) takes $o\dot{\nu}$, where classical Greek would have $\mu\dot{\eta}$ (cp. iii. \bar{b} , v. 8); here however the more correct literary form $\epsilon l...\mu\dot{\eta}$ is found. (See Blass, Grammar of N. T. Greek, § 75, 3.) προσέρχεσθαι is not used elsewhere by St Paul, and in all the other passages where it occurs in the N.T., it is used of the approach of the body, and not of the assent of the mind; the latter sense is, however, quite legitimate and not uncommon in later Greek. Cp. Ecclus. i. 28; Acts x. 28 and the term προσήλυτος, as marking the transition from the original to the derivative meaning.

ύγιαίνουσιν λόγοις, wholesome words; see on i. 10.

τοῖς τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰ. X., those of our Lord Jesus Christ. This is a gen. originis. There is no reference to actual words of the Lord, but to the fact that He (and not man) is the source of the sound doctrine, of which His words furnish the standard.

καl τῆ κατ εὖσέβειαν διδασκαλία. The test of the διδασκαλία is its conformity with that εὖσέβεια (see on ii. 2), without which it is impossible to appreciate the moral distinctions so vital in all sound theology; cp. Tit. i. 1.

In v. 3 the $\dot{e}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\delta\lambda\delta\alpha\sigma\kappa\alpha\lambda l\alpha$ is described as discrepant both from the standards and appropriate test of the true doctrine; its practical results are now brought forward, a picture of the false teacher himself being first drawn.

4. τετύφωται, he is beclouded; see on iii. 6. The Vulgate rendering is superbus est, and the older Latin versions have inflatus est, but this is to change the metaphor.

μηδέν ἐπιστάμενος, knowing nothing; compare the similar words at i. 7. ἐπίστασθαι is not found again in the Pauline Epp.; but cp. Acts xx. 18, xxii. 19, xxiv. 10, xxvi. 26.

άλλα νοσῶν περί κ.τ.λ., but doting about &c. νοσεῖν is ἄπ. λεγ. in the N.T., but it is a common LXX. word; when followed by περί with the acc., it suggests the idea of morbid movement round a central point. For the metaphor of sickness and health as applied to the spiritual state see note on i. 10. The heretical teachers are regarded more as 'ill-conditioned,' than as teaching falsehood.

 (we have λογομαχεῖν in 2 Tim. ii. 14); it is a late Greek word, and seems to mean here not 'a dispute about words,' but 'a dispute in which words are the weapons,' and so is almost equivalent to controversy. The fruits of such controversy are now enumerated.

φθόνος, ερις, envy, strife. These are also associated by St Paul at Rom. i. 29; Gal. v. 21 (see crit. note).

βλασφημίαι, evil speakings, sc. not against God, but (as at Eph. iv. 31; Col. iii. 8) against one another.

ύπόνοιαι πονηραί. We have ὑπόνοια πονηρά also in Ecclus. iii. 24; ὑπόνοια does not occur, save in these two places, in the Greek Bible; it is a surmise, or evil suspicion.

5. διαπαρατριβαὶ, incessant wranglings; the first of two prepositions in a composite word governs the meaning, and thus διά is emphatic, signifying the persistency and obstinacy of the disputes: $\pi a \rho a \tau \rho \beta \dot{\eta}$ is friction. διαπαρατ. is $\tilde{\alpha}\pi$. λεγ. in the Greek Bible. The usual Latin rendering is conflictationes or conflictiones, but τ preserves the curious form perconfricationes, 'perpetual frictions.'

διεφθαρμένων ἀνθρ. τον νοῦν, of men depraved in mind; νοῦς is the moral reason, furnishing the intellectual element of conscience. When this is corrupted, the eye of the soul is darkened and cannot catch the Divine light. Cp. 2 Tim. iii. 8 ἄνθρωποι κατεφθαρμένοι τὸν νοῦν, and Eph. iv. 17.

καὶ ἀπεστερημένων τῆς ἀληθείας, and bereft of (not only 'destitute of') the truth. The expression is even stronger than that used of the false teachers in Tit. i. 14: ἀνθρώπων ἀποστρεφομένων τὴν ἀλήθειαν: cp. 1 Tim. i. 19. St Paul has ἀποστερείσθαι again in 1 Cor. vi. 7, 8.

roμιζόντων πορισμόν είναι τὴν εὐσέβειαν, supposing that godliness is a way of gain. The A.V. "supposing that gain is godliness" is undoubtedly wrong, as is shewn by the order of the words and the position of the article. For a like construction with νομίζω cp. 1 Cor. vii. 26. πορισμός, 'a gainful trade,' is found in the N.T. only in this passage; and in LXX. at Wisd. xiii. 19, xiv. 2. This characteristic of the false teachers is alluded to again, Tit. i. 11; Seneca, in like manner, speaks of some "qui philosophiam velut aliquod artificium venale didicerunt" (Ερ. 108).

The words at the end of this verse in the Received Text, ἀφίστασο ἀπὸ τῶν τοιούτων, are insufficiently supported (see crit. note); they were probably added by a copyist who did not understand the construction of the clause, having failed to observe that the apodosis begins at τετύφωται (v. 4).

6-10. THE VANITY AND THE PERILS OF WEALTH.

6. ξστιν δὲ κ.τ.λ. But, &c. emphatic: εὐσέβεια is not a gainful trade, but for all that there is a sense in which godliness with contentment is great gain, not only for the next world, but also for this. Compare iv. 8, where εὐσέβεια has been declared to be πρὸς πάντα ἀφέλιμος, ἐπαγγελίαν ἔχουσα ζωῆς τῆς νῦν καὶ τῆς μελλούσης. That

riches are not essential to true well-being was a commonplace of pre-Christian philosophy, which laid great emphasis on αὐτάρκεια or the 'self-sufficiency' of the wise man. Thus Cicero (Paradox. 6) has the aphorism: "contentum vero suis rebus esse maximae sunt certissimae divitise." In the LXX. the same thought is expressed in the Sapiential books: e.g. σύνταξον δέ μοι τὰ δέοντα καὶ τὰ αὐτάρκη (Prov. xxx. 8), and ζωή αὐτάρκου έργάτου γλυκανθήσεται (Ecclus. xl. 18). Comp. Prov. xt. 16 and Ps. Solomon. v. 18, 20. St Paul's words go deeper, inasmuch as they lay stress on εὐσέβεια as a chief condition of happiness, and recognise the proper place of αὐτάρκεια, as contentment not self-sufficiency. αὐτάρκεια occurs only once again in N.T., in 2 Cor. ix. 8, and there is equivalent to sufficiency; but the true parallel to the present passage is Phil. iv. 11 ξμαθον ἐν οἷε εἰμὶ αὐτάρκης εἶναι.

- 7. οὐδὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. For we brought nothing into the world, neither can we carry anything out. The construction (see crit. note) is difficult. If we read (as manuscript authority requires) ότι οὐδὲ ἐξενεγκεῖν, the meaning of δn has been variously explained. (a) It has been taken as equivalent to quia, because. The general sense then would be that the reason why we brought nothing into the world is because we can carry nothing out of it. But this seems an unnatural and farfetched sentiment, and we cannot accept such a rendering, if any other will fit the words. (b) The copyists who inserted δήλον seem to have thought that there was an ellipse of $\delta\hat{\eta}\lambda o\nu$ or some word like it. It is, however, hardly admissible to assume such an ellipse, unless it can be illustrated by a clear example. 1 John iii. 20 has been adduced, but (see Westcott in loc.) can be better explained otherwise. Field adduces an example from Chrysostom, but it is not conclusive. (c) It remains then to take ὅτι as resumptive: we brought nothing into the world; I say, that neither can we carry anything out; a somewhat irregular construction, but not impossible. The words (familiar to us from their place in the Burial Service) may be illustrated from writers of widely different schools. Comp. e.g. Job i. 21; Eccl. v. 15; Hor. Odes II, 14. 21; Propert. IV. 4. 13; Seneca (Ep. 102) "excutit natura redeuntem sicut intrantem. Non licet plus auferre quam intuleris": and (a close parallel in words as well as in thought) Philo de Sacrif. 6 τον μηδέν είς κόσμον, άλλά μηδέ σαυτόν είσενηνοχότα; γυμνός μέν γάρ, θαυμάσιε, ήλθες, γυμνός πάλιν άπίης.
- 8. Exortes $\delta \in \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. But if we have food and raiment we shall be therewith content.

διατροφή is only found in the Greek Bible elsewhere at 1 Macc. vi. 49, where it is in the singular. σκέπασμα does not occur again in LXX. or N.T.; etymologically it might include shelter as well as clothing (as Philo explains, de Praem. 17, σκέπης δὲ διττὸν είδος), but this would be to bring in an inappropriate idea here. Food and raiment are the two indispensable conditions of life, although the true ζωή is 'more' than even these (Matt. vi. 25). Josephus describes the Essenes (B. J. II. 8. 5) as ζωσαμένοι σκεπάσμασι λινοι; and also uses the word σκεπάσμασα unmistakably in the sense of clothing, in Ant. xv. 9. 2.

αρκεσθησόμεθα is not imperatival, but future, with a slightly authoritative sense. Cp. Heb. xiii. 5 αρκούμενοι τοῖς παρούσιν, and Clem. Rom. (§ ii.) τοῖς ἐφοδίοις τοῦ θεοῦ ἀρκούμενοι.

9. of de βουλόμενοι πλουτεῖν κ.τ.λ. But, on the other hand, they who desire (who are minded, a more definite word than $\theta \epsilon \lambda$ oures) to be rich &c. It is not the mere possession of wealth, but the desire to be rich, the grasping after riches as the supposed end of life, whose ill results are now described.

έμπίπτουσιν είς πειρασμόν κ.τ.λ., fall into a temptation and a snare. Again we have a close parallel in the words of Seneca: "Dum divitias consequi volumus in mala multa incidimus" $(E_{R}, 87)$.

καl έπιθυμίας πολλάς κ.τ.λ., and many foolish and hurtful lusts. βλαβερόs is only found again in the Greek Bible at Prov. x. 26.

altives, which indeed, cp. iii. 15.

βυθίζουσιν τοὺς ἀνθρώπους, drown men, so mankind in general, as the article τοὺς indicates. βυθίζειν only occurs again in Greek Bible at 2 Macc. xii. 4 and Luke v. 7.

- els ὅλεθρον και ἀπώλειαν, in destruction and perdition. The two words are not to be very sharply distinguished. ἀπώλεια=utter loss is the regular word for the soul's perdition, e.g. Phil. i. 28, iii. 19; but $\delta \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho \sigma$ is also used in this sense, e.g. 1 Thess. v. 3; 2 Thess. i. 9, though also for "the destruction of the flesh" only (1 Cor. v. 5).
- 10. ρίζα γὰρ πάντων τῶν κακῶν ἐστὶν ἡ φιλαργυρία. For the love of money is the root of all evils, an emphatic, rhetorical, statement. To lay stress, as the Revised Version has done, on the absence of the article before μίζα, seems unnecessary, and the resultant translation "a root of all kinds of evil," though no doubt giving us a more scientifically exact maxim than the A.V. presents, is far less forcible. Quite as strong statements had been made about this vice before St Paul's day. Comp. Apollodorus Frag.

άλλα σχεδόν τι το κεφάλαιον των κακών εξρηκας εν φιλαργυρία γαρ πάντ' ξνι,

or Diog. Laert. vi. 50 τὴν φιλαργυρίαν εἶπε μητρόπολιν πάντων τῶν κακῶν. Or again, Ammian. Marcell. xxxi. 4 aviditas materia omnium malorum.

τῶν κακῶν refers, of course, to moral not physical evils; to sins whether of omission or commission.

φιλαργυρία, defined by the Stoics as ἐπόληψις τοῦ τὸ ἀργύριον καλὸν εἶναι (Diog. viz. 111), is a passive vice, as contrasted with the active grasping of πλεονεξία, which indeed has a much wider range. The latter might co-exist with prodigal expenditure; not so φιλαργυρία, which is the miser's sin, the auri sacru fames of Virgil (Aen. 117. 56). Thus the older Latin rendering avaritia gives the sense better than the Vulgate cupiditas. The word only occurs again in the Greek Bible in 4 Macc. i. 26, ii. 15; but we have the adjective φιλάργυρος in 2 Tim. iii. 2, and in Luke xvi. 14, where it is applied to the Pharisees.

της τινές όρεγόμενοι, which some reaching after.... The image is, perhaps, not strictly correct, for we can hardly reach after an $\delta \rho \epsilon \xi \iota s$ like $\phi \iota \lambda a \rho \gamma \nu \rho \iota a$, but it is quite in St Paul's manner; cp. $\epsilon \lambda \pi \iota s$ $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ (Rom. viii. 24). For $\delta \rho \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \sigma \delta a$ see on iii. 1.

ἀπεπλανήθησαν ἀπὸ τῆς πίστεως κ.τ.λ., have been led astray (cp. i.19, iv. 1) from the faith &c., i.e. as from a straight path. Struggling out of this they get entrapped among the briars and thorns of the world, and pierce themselves. ἀποπλαν $\hat{\mu}\nu$ only occurs in the N.T. again in Mark xiii. 22; it is, however, a LXX, word.

καὶ ἑαυτοὺς περιέπειραν ὁδύναις πολλαῖς, and have pierced themselves through with many sorrows. περιπείρειν is ἄπ. λεγ. in the Greek Bible; it means to impale or pierce through, the force of περί arising from the idea of the thing pierced surrounding that which pierces. Cp. Philo in Flace. i. ἀνηκέστοις περιέπειρε κακοῖς. ὁδύναι (in N.T. only here and in Rom. ix. 2) stands for the pangs of conscience, the shafts of remorse.

- 11-16. EPILOGUE. i. PERSONAL ENCOURAGEMENT TO TIMOTHY.
- 11. σύδέ. Emphatic, and in contrast with τωές of v. 10.
- ο ἄνθροπε θεοῦ. This is not a technical title of office, nor on the other hand is the phrase used quite so generally as in 2 Tim. iii. 17; but it emphatically recalls to the mind of Timothy his position as one entrusted with a Divine message. It is the regular O.T. expression for a prophet, אַרֹּהִים אָּלְּהִים ; see 1 Sam. ix. 6; 1 Kings xii. 22, xiii. 1 &c. The N.T. prophets, of whom Timothy perhaps was one (among his other qualifications for his high position), might naturally be thus described.

ταθτα φεθγε, flee these things, sc. φιλαργυρία and its attendant evils.

δίωκε δὲ δικαιοσύνην. See, for this phrase, Prov. xv. 9; Rom. ix. 30 and 2 Tim. ii. 22, in which last place, as here, it follows $\phi \epsilon \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon$, and is followed by $\pi i \sigma \tau \nu$, $\hat{d} \gamma \hat{d} \pi \eta \nu$.

The qualities now enumerated fall into three pairs, (i.) δικαιοσύνη and εὐσέβεια, righteousness (in the largest sense) and piety, linked together again at Tit. ii. 12; these are the ground of all performance of duty to man and to God: (ii.) πίστις and ἀγάπη, faith and love, the supreme Christian graces: (iii.) ὑπομονή and πραϋπαθία, patience and meekness, especially necessary in dealing with opponents. ὑπομονή, which in the canonical books of the LXX. stands for hopeful waiting or expectation, is used often in Ecclus. and always in 4 Macc. (e.g. xvii. 12) for patient endurance; it is a favourite word with the Apostie in this sense. St Paul is described by Clement (§ 5) as himself ὑπομονής γενόμενος μέγιστος ὑπογραμμός. See further on Tit. ii. 2.

The form $\pi \rho a v \pi a \theta t a$ does not occur elsewhere in the Greek Bible; but we find it in Philo De Abr. § 37.

12. ἀγωνίζου τὸν καλὸν ἀγῶνα κ.τ.λ. Fight the good fight of faith, lay hold (as a prize) on eternal life. The metaphor of life as a gymnastic contest was one which naturally suggested itself to those

who had witnessed the Olympian or Isthmian games which played, even as late as the Apostolic age, so important a part in Greek national life. Philo uses the illustration again and again. He notes, e.g. (Leg. All. iii. 71), the training and (Leg. All. i. 31) the diet of the athletes; he speaks (de Migr. Abr. 24) of the race and of the crown, which he says is the Vision of God (de mut. nom. 12); and in one striking passage he uses language comparable to that here employed by St Paul: κάλλιστον ἀγῶνα τοῦτον διάθλησον καὶ σπούδασον στεφανωθηνα...καλὸν καὶ εὐκλεᾶ στέφανον δν οὐδεμία πανήγυρις ἀνθρώπων ἐχώρησε (Leg. All. ii. 26). The metaphor is also found in the Ep. to the Hebrews (xii. 1) and in the Book of Wisdom (iv. 2), and is a favourite one with St Paul; cp. 1 Cor. ix. 24; Phil. iii. 12, 14 and 2 Tim. iv. 7 where he says of himself τὸν καλὸν ἀγῶνα ἡγώνισμα. It is worth noting that the phrase is found almost verbatim in Euripides:

καίτοι καλόν γ' αν τόνδ' άγων' ήγωνίσω (Alcest. 648).

This contest is $\tau \hat{\eta}_s$ $\pi l \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$, of faith (not of the faith'); it is the personal warfare with evil to which every Christian is called; the $\kappa a \lambda \hat{\eta}$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon l a$ in i. 18 is, on the other hand, a contest with human opponents.

ἐπιλαβοῦ. St Paul uses ἐπιλαμβανεσθαι only here and at v. 19; it is a common LXX. word, and means to lay hold of. The aorist imperative marks the single act of reaching out for the crown, while the present $d\gamma_{uv} v_{ij}^{r}$ ou marks the continued struggle.

τῆς αἰωνίου ζωῆς. This is the 'erown' or βραβεῖον for the victor in the contest; op. Jas. i. 12; Rev. ii, 10.

ds ην ἐκλήθης, whereunto thou wast called. Some have found here an allusion to the voice of the herald calling the combatant into the arena; but eternal life is not the arena of the contest, but the reward. The metaphor is not to be pressed so closely.

και ὁμολόγησας τὴν καλὴν ὁμολογίαν κ.τ.λ., and didst confess the good confession in the presence of many witnesses. This does not refer (a) to any special moment of persecution in Timothy's life (for which we have no evidence), or (b) to his ordination; cp. iii. 14; but (c), as the close connexion with the preceding els $\hat{\eta}\nu$ έκλ $\hat{\eta}\theta\eta$ s and the main thought in the next verse shew, to his baptism, as the moment at which he made his $\hat{\delta}\mu o\lambda o\gamma \ell a$ or confession of faith in the Christian Revelation.

13. παραγγέλλω σοι ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ζωογονοῦντος τὰ πάντα. St Paul charges Timothy in the face of a more awful Witness than those who stood by and heard his baptismal confession at the first χωογονεῖν (see crit. note) is 'to preserve alive'; the thought of the prize of eternal life leads up to the thought of Him who is the Source of all life, who preserveth all things alive. The word is perhaps suggested by the thought of Timothy's baptism, when he was 'born again' of water and the Spirit. He who gives spiritual life in baptism also 'preserves it alive.' ζωογονεῖν does not occur again in St Paul, but it is found in LXX. (Exod. i. 17, 18; Judges viii. 19; 1 Sam. xxvii. 9) and was known to St Luke (xvii. 33 and Acts vii. 19).

In medical writers it is common in the sense of 'to endue with life' or 'to produce alive'.'

καί Χρ. Ίη, τοῦ μαρτυρήσαντος έπὶ Ποντίου Πειλάτου τὴν καλ. όμολ., and of Christ Jesus who under Pontius Pilate attested the good confession, sc. the Revelation which He came to bring. Jesus is ò μάρτυς ο πιστός (Rev. i. 5) and He came that He might bear witness to the truth (John xviii. 37); He was thus, strictly, the First Martyr. $\epsilon \pi \ell$ followed by a gen. may mean either (a) in the presence of (as in Mark xiii. 9), or (b) in the time of (as in Mark ii. 26); and thus έπὶ Ποντίου may be taken as equivalent (a) to coram Pontio, the publicity of the witness delivered before the imperial authority being the emphatic matter; or (b) to sub Pontio, as it has been taken in the Apostles' Creed, in the days of Pontius Pilate, the reference being merely to the time when the witness in question was given. Taking into account the change of preposition from $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\omega}\pi\iota\omega\nu$ to $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$, and the fact that $\mu\alpha\rho\tau\nu$ ρήσαντος is the emphatic word, in contrast with ωμολόγησας of the preceding verse, we decide for (b). Timothy at his baptism had confessed the good confession of the Faith of Jesus Christ, which the Lord Himself attested with power in the days of Pontius Pilate, not only by His words before His judge, but by His Death and Resurrection.

It seems not improbable that the words of this verse rehearse the phrases of some primitive form of baptismal creed, in which mention was made of God as the Sustainer of Life, of the Passion of Jesus Christ under Pontius Pilate, and of His Second Coming in judgement; cp. 2 Tim. ii. 8 and iv. 1.

14. τηρήσαί σε τὴν ἐντολὴν κ.τ.λ., to keep the commandment &c., sc. not (a) the special commands of vv. 11, 12 nor (b) vaguely, the Gospel considered as a rule of life, but (c) the baptismal charge, to which allusion was made in v. 12. The words are clearly taken thus in [2 Clem.] § 8: τηρήσατε τὴν σάρκα ἀγνὴν καὶ τὴν σφραγίδα (sc. of baptism) ἄσπιλον, ἵνα τὴν αἰώνιον ζωὴν ἀπολάβωμεν. And so they are understood by Cyril of Jerusalem, who in quoting vv. 13, 14 (Cat. v. 13) substitutes τὴν παραδεδομένην πίστιν for ἐντολήν.

ἄσπιλον, without spot. We have ἄσπιλον ἐαυτὸν τηρεῖν in Jas. i. 27, and the word occurs 1 Pet. i. 19; 2 Pet. iii. 14, but not elsewhere in the Greek Bible. For ἀνεπίλημπτον see on iii. 2. It is a question whether these two words go with σε or with ἐντολήν; but although the former is a possible construction and is favoured by the fact that the words are applied to persons elsewhere in the N.T., yet it is more natural to take them with ἐντολή, as they are taken (see above) by Cyril and 2 Clement, in company with the ancient versions. We have ἀνεπίλημπτος applied to τέχνη in Philo (de Opij. 22) and to προαίρεστε in Polybius (Hist. xiv. 2. 14), so that it is plainly not restricted to persons.

μέχρι τῆς ἐπιφανείας κ.τ.λ., until the Manifestation &c., so. the Second Advent, which St Paul always kept in the foreground of his thoughts and hopes. There is nothing in this passage which suggests

See Hobart, Medical Language of St Luke, p. 155.

that he expected it soon; indeed καιροῖς tôίοις of the next verse shews that he recognised that its time is only known to God.

έπιφάνεια is frequently used in the LXX. (esp. 2 Macc.) of manifestations of the Divine glory; it is not found in the N.T. outside the Pasterals save at 2 Thess. ii. 8. The expressions used by St Paul as descriptive of the Second Advent are worth collecting: (i.) ἡ ἡμέρα τοῦ Kuplov, at 1 Thess. v. 2; 1 Cor. i. 8, v. 5; cp. Phil. i. 10; 2 Tim. i. 12. (ii.) ή ἀποκάλυψις τοῦ κυρίου Ἰη., at 2 Thess. i. 7; 1 Cor. i. 7. (iii.) ή παρουσία at 1 Thess. ii. 19, iii. 13, iv. 15, v. 23; 2 Thess. ii. 1, 9. (iv.) ή έπιφάνεια της παρουσίας αὐτοῦ at 2 Thess. ii. 8. (v.) ή ἐπιφάνεια αὐτοῦ at 1 Tim. vi. 14; 2 Tim. iv. 1, 8 (it is applied to the Lord's First Coming in 2 Tim. i. 10) and (vi.) ή ἐπιφάνεια τῆς δόξης τοῦ μεγάλου θεοῦ καὶ σωτήρος ἡμῶν Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ (Tit. ii. 13). The variety of these shews significantly that the argument, which has been sometimes urged against the genuineness of the Pastorals, resting on the usage in them of επιφάνεια instead of παρουσία, the usual word for the Second Advent in the Thessalonian Epistles, is destitute of any solid ground. In [2 Clem.] 12 and 17 we have the similar phrase ἡ ἡμέρα της επιφανείας του θεού.

15. ἡν καιροῖς ἰδίοις δείξει, which He will display in His own seasons; see on ii. 6, and Acts i. 7, καιρούς οὐς ὁ πατὴρ ἔθετο ἐν τῷ ἰδία ἐξουσία.

The epithets which follow are descriptive of the Eternal Father, and it is not improbable that they and the doxology of v. 16 are taken from some liturgical (perhaps even Jewish) formula which had already become stereotyped by use.

μακάριος. See on i. 11.

καl μόνος δυνάστης. We have μόνος θεφ̂ in the doxology in i. 17, which should be compared all through with this verse. It does not seem necessary to suppose any special controversial reference to the acons of Gnostic theology, or to heathen polytheism. The Unity and Sovereignty of God were first principles of the Hebrew religion, and they would fitly be mentioned in an early Christian doxology. Cp. Philo de sacrificiis Abelis et Caini 30, περί θεοῦ τοῦ ἀγεντήτου καὶ ἀρθάρτου καὶ ἀρίου καὶ ἀρίου μακαρίου. δυνάστης is not used elsewhere by St Paul; it is frequently applied to men in the LXX. and in Luke i. 52; Acts viii. 27, and to God, as here, in Ecclus. xlvi. 5, 16 and 2 Maoo. xii. 15, iii. 24 (ὁ...δυνάστης ἐπιφανείαν μεγάλην ἐποίησεν). We have the phrase μόνος ἐστὶ δυνάστης in Orac. Sibyll. III. 718.

- ό βασιλεύς τῶν βασιλευόντων κ.τ.λ. We have κύριος τῶν κυρίων καὶ βασιλεύς τῶν βασιλέων in Dan. iv. 34 LXX. (cp. Rev. xvii. 14, xix. 16); and the same phrase (reading βασιλεύντων) in the Book of Enach (ix. 4). King of kings was a title commonly assumed by Eastern monarchs; the early Christian writers apply it to God alone. Jehovah is named κύριος τῶν κυρίων in Deut. x. 17; Ps. cxxxvi. 3.
- 16. ὁ μόνος ἔχων ἀθανασίαν, a fuller statement than the $d\phi\theta d\rho \tau \psi$ of i. 17, inasmuch as $d\theta a \nu a \sigma ia$ (seemingly not distinguished from

άφθαρσία in St Paul's phraseology; see 1 Cor. xv. 53, 54) is here declared to be the essential property of God alone. Cp. Wisd. xv. 3, είδεναι σου τὸ κράτος ρίζα άθανασίας.

φῶς οἰκῶν, dwelling in light. God's dwelling is light (cp. Ps. civ. 2 ἀναβαλλόμενος φῶς ὡς ἰμάτιον) even as He Himself is Light (1 John i. 5), and His messengers are 'angels of light' (2 Cor. xi. 14).

ἀπρόσιτον. This light is unapproachable. The word ἀπρόσιτος does not occur elsewhere in the Greek Bible, but it is found in Philo (de vita Mosts ii. 2) who uses it of the Mount to which Moses could not approach for the glory of Jehovah (Exod. xxxiii. 17—23). It is this latter passage from Exodus which is behind St Paul's language here, esp.: οὐ γὰρ μὴ τῆη ἀνθρωπος τὸ πρόσωπόν μου καὶ ζήσεται (Exod. xxxiii. 20). Josephus also (Ant. 111. 5. 1) applies ἀπρόσιτος to God.

δν είδεν οὐδεὶς ἀνθρώπων οὐδὲ ἰδεῖν δύναται, an expansion of the epithet ἀόρατος in i. 17; cp. Deut. iv. 12; John i. 18; 1 John iv. 12. We walk by faith not by sight (2 Cor. v. 7), though the Vision of God is promised to the pure in heart (Matt. v. 6; cp. Heb. xii. 14).

φ τιμή και κράτος αιώνιον. Cp. 1 Pet. iv. 11, v. 11; it is just possible that κράτος has been here suggested by the epithet δυνάστης in the preceding verse. But it is, in any case, common in ascriptions. The interjection, as it were, of a doxology in the middle of an argu-

ment or discussion is quite in St Paul's manner; see e.g. Rom. i. 25, xi. 36, and i. 17 above.

17-19. ii. Charge to the rich Christians at Ephesus.

17. That some, at least, of the Ephesian Christians were well-to-do is evident from the implication that there were among them the owners of slaves (v. 2 above); and that Ephesus in the days of St Paul was a wealthy city we know from many sources.

τοῖς πλουσίοις ἐν τῷ νῦν αἰῶνι, those who are rich in the present world, described thus fully to distinguish them from those who lay up treasure εἰς τὸ μέλλον (ν. 19), though, of course, the two classes overlap. The usual phrase in St Paul (Rom. xii. 2; 1 Cor. ii. 6; Eph. i. 21 &c.) and in the Synoptic Gospels (Matt. xii. 32; Luke xvi. 8) for 'the present world' is ὁ αἰῶν οὖτος (see on 1 Tim. i. 17); but in the Pastorals (see 2 Tim. iv. 10; Tit. ii. 12) it is ὁ νῦν αἰών. St Paul elsewhere has the similar expression ὁ νῦν καιρός (Rom. iii. 26, viii. 18; 2 Cor. viii. 13).

μη ὑψηλοφρονεῖν, not to be high-minded, i.e. because they are rich; the pride of purse is not only vulgar, it is sinful. Compare Jer. ix, 23 μη καυχάσθω ὁ πλούσιος ἐν τῷ πλούτφ αὐτοῦ and Rom. xii. 16. See erit. note.

μηδὲ ἡλπικέναι ἐπὶ πλούτον ἀδηλότητι, nor have their hope set on the uncertainty of riches. The ἀδηλότης of wealth, the familiar fact that it so often takes to itself wings and flies away (Prov. xxiii. 5), is indeed the very reason why we should not set our hopes on it. The phrase is thus more foreible, if less precise, than ἐπὶ τῷ πλούτ φ τῷ

άδήλφ. Compare Ps. lxii. 10, "If riches increase, set not your heart thereon."

άδηλότης does not occur elsewhere in the Greek Bible, but St Paul has άδήλως, άδηλος in 1 Cor. ix. 26, xiv. 8.

άλλ' ἐπὶ θεῷ. For ἐλπίζειν followed by ἐπί with the dative, see on iv. 10 above. The reading is not quite certain here; see crit. note.

τῷ παρέχοντι ἡμῖν πάντα πλουσίως ets ἀπόλαυσιν. The true object of hope is the unchangeable God who is the Giver of all good things, who giveth us all things richly to enjoy. Riches are a good, if rightly used, and they are the gift of God; cp. iv. 3 where it is said that meats were created ets μετάλημψιν. The similar phrase ets ἀπόλαυσιν must here be given its full force; riches (as all other gifts of God) are not given to be possessed merely, but to be enjoyed, and (as is immediately explained in the next verse) to be used for good purposes.

 $d\pi b \lambda a \omega v_i$ is a strong word, almost connoting sensual enjoyment; it only occurs again in the Greek Bible at Heb. xi. 25. In [2 Clem.] § 10

ή ένθάδε ἀπόλαυσις is contrasted with ή μέλλουσα έπαγγελία.

18. ἀγαθοεργεῖν. We have ἀγαθουργεῖν, the contracted form, at Acts xiv. 17 (in St Paul's speech at Lystra); elsewhere in the Greek Bible the word is not found.

πλουτεῖν ἐν ἔργοις καλοῖς, to be rich in good works, a play on the meaning of πλουτεῖν. "Men must not compute their riches so much from what they have, as from what they give" (Bp Beveridge). See the note on ii. 10 above, on ἔργα καλά in the Pastoral Epistles.

ciμεταδότους είναι, κοινωνικούς, ready to impart and to communicate. Neither εὐμετάδοτος nor κοινωνικός occurs elsewhere in the Greek Bible, although cognate forms of the latter word are common. κοινωνικός seems to express a wider idea than εὐμετάδοτος, which is concerned only with the giving or sharing of worldly goods; there may, however, be a κοινωνία of sympathy which sometimes the rich have peculiar opportunities of shewing. He who is κοινωνικός in the fullest sense will be quick to recognise all the claims of human, and especially of Christian, fellowship. As is often the case, the larger word is placed second, by way of explanation; a kind heart as well as a generous hand is demanded of the rich. This κοινωνία is again directly connected with the doing of good works in Heb. xiii. 16, της δὲ εὐποιὰς καὶ κοινωνίας μὴ ἐπιλανθάνεσθε.

19. ἀποθησαυρίζοντας ἐαυτοῖς θεμέλιον καλὸν εἰς τὸ μέλλον, laying up as treasure for themselves [that which shall prove] a good foundation against the time to come. The thought is quite easy to understand, though expressed with somewhat inexact brevity. The idea of 'treasure in heaven' had already been expounded by our Lord, e.g. Matt. vi. 20; Luke xviii. 22; and the Parable of the Unjust Steward, in particular, enforced the right use of money in view of heavenly rewards (Luke xvi. 9). Cp. Matt. xxv. 34 ff.

άποθησαυρίζειν occurs again in the Greek Bible in Ecclus. iii. 4 only. θεμέλιον καλόν stands in obvious contrast to the άδηλότης of riches spoken of in v. 17. ἴνα ἐπιλάβωνται τῆς ὅντως ζωῆς, that they may lay hold on the life which is life indeed. The charge to Timothy himself in v. 12 was ἐπιλαβοῦ τῆς αἰωνίου ζωῆς: here, with a slight but significant change of expression (see crit. note), a like prospect is held out to those who use riches aright. A man's life (ζωή) consisteth not in the abundance of the things which he possesseth (Luke xii. 15), and the parable of the Rich Fool shews that the man ὁ δησαυρίζων αὐτῷ καὶ μὴ είς θεὸν πλουτῶν (Luke xii. 21) shall miss here and hereafter τῆς ὅντως ζωῆς, the life indeed. This is the life ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ (2 Tim. i. 1).

20, 21. iii. Concluding charge to Timothy, summarising the main thought of the Epistle; cp. 1 Cor. xvi. 21,

20. 🕉 Τιμόθεε. A solemn and emphatic personal address.

την παραθήκην φύλαξον, guard the deposit, so. the Christian Creed which has been committed to you in trust, to be transmitted unimpaired to those who shall come after you. You are to guard the depositum fidei with jealous care, "quod accepisti non quod excegitasti" (Vinc. Lir. Common. § 22). Cp. i. 18, v. 21; 2 Tim. i. 14, and (for the main thought) Jude 3; Rev. iii. 3.

παραθήκη is only found in the N.T. again at 2 Tim. i. 12, 14; we have it in Lev. vi. 2, 4. The rec. reading παρακαταθήκη (see crit. note) does not differ substantially in meaning. Cp. Philo (Quis rer. div. haer. § 21) who in interpreting λάβε μοι of Gen. xv. 9 goes on: καὶ αν λάβης λάβε μὴ σεαυτῷ, δάνειον δὲ ἢ παρακαταθήκην νομίσας τὸ δοθὲν τῷ παρακαταθεμένω καὶ συμβαλόντι ἀπόδος. See on 2 Tim. i. 12.

ἐκτρεπόμενος, turning away from; for the word see on i. 6. Cp. 2 Tim. iii. 5.

τάς βεβήλους κενοφωνίας και ἀντιθέσεις τῆς ψευδωνύμου γνώσεως, the profane babblings and oppositions of the knowledge which is falsely so called. Observe that $\beta \epsilon \beta \dot{\eta} \lambda o v$ (for which see note on i. 9) qualifies both κενοφωνίας and ἀντιθέσεις, as is indicated by the absence of the article before the latter word.

κενοφωνία, empty talk, only occurs in the Greek Bible here and in the parallel passage 2 Tim. ii. 16, τὰς δὲ βεβήλους κενοφωνίας περιίστασο; it is a forcible word for the ματαιολογία already mentioned in i. 6, οτο τό the irrelevant ζητήσεις και λογομαχίαι of vi. 4. Cp. iv. 7, τοὺς δὲ βεβήλους και γραώδεις μύθους παραιτοῦ. In the ἀντιθέσεις τῆς ψευδωνύμου γνώσεως some have found the Marcionite oppositions between the Old and New Testaments; but this (see Introd. chap. rv., On the heresies contemplated in the Pastoral Epistles) is to read into the text the ideas of a later age. The phrase probably alludes (to use Dr Hort's words') to "the endless contrasts of decisions, founded on endless distinctions, which played so large a part in the casuistry of the Scribes as interpreters of the Law." These dialectic subtleties proceed from that esoteric γνῶσις or technical lore in which the Teachers of the Law revelled; a γνῶσις only to be described as ψευδώνυμος, for it has not

the faith and obedience which are the necessary conditions of gaining that true $\gamma r \hat{\omega} \sigma is$ which is itself eternal life (John vii. 17, xvii. 3).

The words $d\nu\tau t\theta\epsilon\sigma\iota s$ and $\psi\epsilon\nu\delta\omega\nu\nu\mu\sigma s$ do not occur elsewhere in the Greek Bible, but are common in secular Greek literature.

21. ἥν τινες ἐπαγγελλόμενοι, which some (as usual, the false teachers are vaguely hinted at, without specification of individuals) professing. For ἐπαγγελλεσθαι see on ii, 10.

περὶ την πίστιν ήστόχησαν, missed their aim in the matter of the faith. See i. 19; 2 Tim. ii. 18 for a similar use of π ερl, and for $d\sigma$ τοχέω on i. 6, ων τινές $d\sigma$ τοχήσαντες έξετράπησαν els ματαιολογίαν. The aorist ήστόχησαν points to a definite failure on the part of some; not, as the perfect would, to a continued $d\sigma$ τοχία apparent at the time of writing. See the note on i. 19.

BENEDICTION.

ή χάρις μεθ' ύμῶν. See the critical note.

The ordinary conclusion of a private letter of the period was $\xi\rho\rho\omega\sigma\sigma$ or $\xi\rho\rho\omega\sigma\theta\varepsilon$, as $\chi\alpha i\rho\varepsilon\nu$ was the introductory greeting (see note on i. 1). The Epistles of James, 1 John, 2 John have no formal ending, 2 Peter and Jude end in a doxology, and 1 Peter and 3 John with the salutation of pcace ($\epsilon l\rho\dot{\eta}\nu\eta$). St Paul's usage is quite peculiar; and he calls it the $\sigma\eta\mu\varepsilon i\sigma$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\pi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\dot{\gamma}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}$ (2 Thess. iii. 17). All his letters end with the salutation The Grace, $\dot{\eta}$ $\chi\dot{\alpha}\rho\iota$ s. In the earlier letters this is put in the form The grace of the Lord [Jesus Christ] be with you. When we come to Ephesians we find that the word grace is used absolutely, and that the words 'of the Lord Jesus,' or the like, are no longer added. And in Colossians, 1 Timothy and 2 Timothy we have simply 'grace (or, rather, the grace) be with you,' and in Titus 'the grace be with you all.'

This usage had many imitators afterwards, as e.g. the Ep. to the Hebrews which ends $\dot{\eta}$ $\chi\dot{a}_{\rho\ell s}$ $\mu er\dot{\alpha}$ $\pi\dot{a}\nu r\omega \nu$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$, and the Epistle of Clement of Rome which has the longer form $\dot{\eta}$ $\chi\dot{a}_{\rho s}$ $\tau o\ddot{\nu}$ $\kappa \nu \rho i \omega \nu$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$ $i \gamma \sigma \tau o\dot{\nu}$ $\nu \rho i \nu \rho i \nu \rho i$. But Ignatius and Polycarp do not follow it; all their letters end with the customary $\ell \rho \rho \omega \sigma \theta e$, adding words such as $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\theta e\dot{\omega}$ $\pi a \tau \rho l$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\chi \rho \iota \omega \tau \dot{\omega}$ In $\sigma o\dot{\nu}$ and the like, which fill it

with a Christian meaning,

ANALYSIS OF THE SECOND EPISTLE TO TIMOTHY.

Introductory. Salutation (i. 1, 2).

Thanksgiving for Timothy's faith (i. 3-5).

Charge I. Be zealous; be courageous; stir up your ordination grace (i. 6—14).

The loneliness of St Paul and the faithfulness of Onesiphorus (i. 15—18).

Repetition of Charge I. Be strong in Christ's strength (ii. 1—10).

(a) The example of the soldier (ii. 3, 4).

(b) The example of the athlete (ii. 5).

(c) The example of the husbandman (ii. 6). Fragment of a hymn on the glories of martyrdom (ii. 11—13).

Charge II. Shun vain speculations (ii. 14—16) like those of Hymenaeus and Philetus (ii. 17—22).

Follow peace (ii. 22).

Take no part in idle controversy (ii. 23—26).

The corruptions of the future (iii. 1—9).

Timothy is commended for his loyalty and encouraged to endure (iii. 10—14).

The uses of Holy Scripture (iii. 15—17).

Charge III. Be diligent in the duties of your office (iv. 1—5). The end of Paul's course (iv. 6—8).

Invitation. Come to Rome; I am lonely (iv. 9-12).

Instructions (iv. 13). Warning (iv. 14, 15).

Paul's loneliness and faith (iv. 16-18).

Epilogue. Salutations (iv. 19—21). Benediction (iv. 22).

CHAPTER I.

- 1. Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ. Rec. text has Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ with AL; but an overwhelming weight of authority, including all the other uncials, supports Χρ. Ἰησοῦ. See crit. notes on 1 Tim. i. 1 and Tit. i. 1.
- 2. Before Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ Ν* and some cursives insert κυρίου, reading κυρίου Χρ. Ἰησ. τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν.
- 3. $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$. D_2 *E* and some other authorities add $\mu o \nu$, plainly from a reminiscence of Rom. i. 8.
- 5. λαβών. So N*ACG; the rec. text is $\lambda a\mu\beta \dot{a}\nu\omega\nu$ with N°D₂EKL and the Latin versions.
- 7. δειλίας. So all the principal manuscripts; some cursives have δουλείας from a reminiscence of Rom. viii. 15. See note in loc.
- 10. X ριστοῦ 'Ιησοῦ. So $\aleph^*AD_2^*E^*$ d e and the Sahidic version; while all other MSS, and versions have ' $I\eta$, $X\rho$,, followed by the rec. text. It is possible that the order $X\rho$, ' $I\eta$, is a corruption suggested by the $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\hat{\rho}$ ' $I\eta\sigma\sigma\hat{\nu}$ of ν . 9; but it seems better on the whole to adopt this reading, as supported by the earlier authorities.
- 11. διδάσκαλος ἐθνῶν. ἐθνῶν is omitted by κ*A 17, and it is regarded by some critical editors as introduced here from 1 Tim. ii. 7, where it is certainly genuine; it is consequently omitted both by Tischendorf and by WH. But the external evidence for its retention is very strong, including as it does not only all MSS. save those cited, but the witness of the Egyptian, Syriac and Old Latin versions.
- 13. λόγων ὧν παρ' ἐμοῦ ἡκουσας. Dr Hort (Notes on Select Readings, p. 135) held that we must translate "As a pattern of sound words, hold what thou hast heard" (see note in loc.) and that thus the attraction in case of ὧν to λόγων offered a very unusual construction. He therefore suggested that ὧν is a primitive corruption of $\delta \nu$, and WH accordingly obelise the passage. But for this conjecture there is no manuscript authority.
- 14. παραθήκην. The Attic παρακαταθήκην is adopted by the rectext but on poor authority; παραθήκην, the Hellenistic form, is certainly right.
- 15. Φύγελος. So all uncials except A which has Φύγελλος, the spelling of the rec. text.
- 16. ἐπαισχύνθη. So ℵ°ACD₂LP; ℵ*K have ἐπησχύνθη of the rec. text, a natural grammatical correction of the true reading.
- 17. σπουδαίως. So NCD₂*GP and the old Latin; the rec. text has σπουδαίστερον with D₂cEKL, A reading σπουδαιστέρως (possibly from a reminiscence of Phil. ii. 28).
- 18. For $\kappa\nu\rho lo\nu$ D_2 *E*d e have $\theta\epsilon\hat{\varphi}$, an attempt to introduce into the text the current interpretation of $\kappa\nu\rho lo\nu$ as referring here to God the Father.

1. 2. SALUTATION.

For the form of the salutation see the note on 1 Tim, i. 1. διὰ θελήματος θεοῦ is St Paul's usual formula (op. 1 and 2 Cor. i. 1; Eph. i. 1; Col. i. 1); he never forgets that he is a σκεῦος ἐκλογῆς.

κατ' ἐπαγγελίαν ζωῆς, according to the promise of life &c., expressing the aim and purpose of his apostleship; cp. Tit. i. 1. For the expression ἐπαγγελία ζωῆς see on 1 Tim. iv. 8. The life of which godliness has the promise is a life $i\nu$ Χριστ $\hat{\psi}$ Ἰησο $\hat{\psi}$; the gift of the Incarnation to man is a life no longer lived in isolated individuality, but 'in Christ,' enriched with the powers and the graces of the Risen Life of Christ.

2. ἀγαπητῷ τέκνῳ. It is γνησίω τέκνω in 1 Tim. i. 2 and Tit. i. 4; but the change in phrase is hardly to be counted significant. In 1 Cor. iv. 17 Timothy is described as τέκνον ἀγαπητόν μου.

χάρις, έλεος, εἰρήνη. See on 1 Tim. i. 2.

3-5. Expression of thanksgiving for Timothy's faith.

3. χάριν ἔχω. See on 1 Tim. i. 12.

The construction is not quite clear, but it seems best to take ὑπόμνησιν λαβών of v. 5 as giving the cause of the Apostle's thankfulness, the intermediate phrases beginning ὡς ἀδιάλειπτον expressing the circumstances under which it is displayed. The parallel phrases in Rom. i. 9; Eph. i. 16; 1 Thess. i. 2; Philemon 4 confirm this view.

ἀπὸ προγόνων, from my forefathers, perhaps said here with a hint at the difference in Timothy's case, whose paternal ancestors were heathen (cp. v. 5). The thought, however, of his religious ancestry is referred to elsewhere by St Paul; cp. Acts xxiv. 14, κατά τὴν ὁδὸν ἡν λέγουσω αίρεσω οὕτως λατρεύω τῷ πατρώψ θεῷ, and Acts xxii. 3.

èν καθαρậ συνειδήσει. Cp. Acts xxiii. 1, έγὼ πάση συνειδήσει άγαθ \hat{y} πεπολίτευμαι τ $\hat{\varphi}$ θε $\hat{\varphi}$, and note on 1 Tim. i. 5.

ώς άδιάλειπτον κ.τ.λ., as unceasing is the remembrance which I make of you in my prayers. The nearest parallel is Rom. i. 10, ώς δδιαλείπτως με είαν ύμῶν ποιοῦμαι πάντοτε ἐπὶ τῶν προσευχῶν μου, but the expression (see above) is a favourite one with St Paul (cp. 1 Thess. i. 2 and iii. 6). It has, indeed, been pointed out that some such phrase was frequently used in Greek letters of the Hellenistic period; e.g. in a letter dated 172 n.c. (Ρυρ. Lond. ΧΙΙΙ.) we find και οι ἐν οἰκφ πάντες σου διαπαντός μνείαν ποιοῦμενοι. St Paul adopted the customary phraseology of intimate correspondence and charged it with a deep Christian meaning.

τυκτὸς καὶ ἡμέρας. This probably goes with $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i\pi o\theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ (as R.V.) rather than with what precedes (as A.V.). Cp. however 1 Tim. v. 5 and see the note there.

¹ See Deissmann, Bibelstudien, p. 210.

4. ἐπιποθῶν σε ἰδεῖν, desiring to see thee, here the natural longing of personal affection. Cp. Rom. i. 9; 1 Thess. iii. 6.

μεμνημένος σου τῶν δακρύων, remembering thy tears, probably those shed at the last parting of the two friends. Cp. Acts xx. 37.

ίνα χαράς πληρωθώ, the desired consequence of the preceding $\sigma\epsilon$ $l\delta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$.

5. ὑπόμνησιν λαβών, having been put in remembrance, lit., having received a 'reminder.' ὑπόμνησις (only again in 2 Macc. vi. 17; 2 Pet. i. 13, iii. 1; but op. ὑπομμνήσκευν 2 Tim. ii. 14; Tit. iii. 1) is an act of the memory prompted from without; and thus Bengel's suggestion, that there is here an allusion to some news of Timothy which had recently reached St Paul whether by messenger or by letter, is not improbable.

της έν σοι άνυποκρίτου πίστεως, of the unfeigned faith that is in thee. For έν σοί instead of σου cp. Rom. i. 12, δια της έν αλλήλοις πίστεως; for ἀνυπόκριτος see on 1 Tim. i. 5.

ήτις. See on 1 Tim. i. 4.

πρώτον ἐν τῷ μάμμη κ.τ.λ. πρώτον simply means 'before it dwelt in you.' It is likely (though not explicitly stated) that Lois was Eunice's mother. The latter is described in Acts xvi. I as a believing Jewish woman, and as this was on St Paul's second visit to Lystra it has been supposed that she accepted the gospel on the Apostle's first visit to that place. After the word 'Iovoalas (Acts xvi. 1) one cursive MS. (25) adds χήρας, and this is confirmed by two or three Latin authorities; the tradition that Eunice was a widow at the time of Timothy's circumcision (although thus slenderly attested) is interesting and falls in with the omission of any mention of Timothy's father in St Paul's letters. It also gives a new significance to the injunctions in 1 Tim. v. 4. But, however this may have been, the faith of both Lois and Eunice is here commended, and it was evidently to their pious care that Timothy owed his instruction in the Scriptures (2 Tim, iii, 15). Whether Lois was a Christian or only a faithful Jewess we cannot tell. The word μάμμη, 'grandmother,' only occurs again in the Greek Bible at 4 Macc. xvi. 9; the more correct Attic form being τήθη.

πέπεισμαι δὲ ὅτι καὶ ἐν σοί, and [not only so, but] I am persuaded [that it dwells] in thee also. We are not to press the adversative force of $\delta \epsilon$, as if it meant 'but, notwithstanding all appearances'; it simply connects the clause with what has gone before.

6-14. Charge I. Be zealous; be courageous; stin up your ordination grace,

6. St' $\eta \nu$ alt $la \nu$, for the which cause, sc. on account of the unfeigned faith inherited and possessed by Timothy, of which the Apostle has just been reminded. The phrase $\delta t'$ $\dot{\eta} \nu$ alt $la \nu$ does not occur in St Paul outside the Pastorals (2 Tim. i. 12; Tit. i. 13: cp. Heb. ii, 11).

άναμμνήσκω σε, I put you in remembrance. It has been supposed by some that here and throughout the Epistle we have allusions to weakness and timidity on the part of Timothy which had come to St Paul's knowledge; but the evidence does not seem sufficient to establish anything more than a very natural anxiety on the part of the older man lest the younger one should faint under his heavy burden. Paul does not here tell Timothy of any new gift; he reminds him of that which was already his, and which Timothy knew to be his. See Introd. p. xliii.

dvaζωπυρείν κ.τ.λ., that you kindle into a flame the grace of God &c. The Divine χάρισμα is a fire which may be extinguished through neglect; cp. 1 Thess. v. 19, το πνεῦμα μὴ σβέννυτε (of the despising of prophesyings). The verb ἀναζωπυρεῖν does not occur again in N.T., but it is found twice in LXX. (Gen. xlv. 27; 1 Macc. xiii. 7, being used intransitively in both cases) and was a common Greek word. Cp. Clem. Rom. 27; Ignat. Eph. 1.

τὸ χάρισμα τοῦ θεοῦ, ὅ ἐστιν κ.τ.λ., the gift of God which is in thee through the laying on of my hands. Op. carefully 1 Tim. iv. 14 and the note thereon. The χάρισμα is not an ordinary gift of God's grace, such as every Christian may seek and obtain according to his need; but is the special grace received by Timothy to fit him for his ministerial functions.

7. οὐ γὰρ ἔδωκεν κ.τ.λ. For God did not give us, i.e. [not all Christians but] you and me, Paul and Timothy, when we were set apart for His service by prayer and the imposition of hands.

πνεθμα δειλίαs, the spirit of cowardice. The word δειλία does not occur again in the N.T., but it is common in the LXX. as in all Greek. πνεθμα does not stand for the natural human temper, but (as generally in St Paul; cp. Rom. viii. 15; 2 Cor. iv. 13; Eph. i. 17) for the human spirit supernaturally affected by the Divine. Of the gifts of the Holy Spirit cowardice is not one; a Christian man, a Christian minister, has no right to be a coward, for God has given him the spirit of power. Cp. Isa. xi. 2.

aλλά δυνάμεως και ἀγάπης και σωφρονισμοῦ, but of power and love and discipline. These three graces are specially named, as specially needed for one in Timothy's circumstances; power to fulfil his arduous tasks, love to suffer gladly all opposition—being ready to believe that for the most part it springs from ignorance—discipline, to correct and warn the wayward and careless. Op. for δύναμες, Rom. xv. 13, ἐν δυνάμει πνεύματος άγἰου; and again, St Paul's own preaching was ἐν ἀποδείξει πνεύματος καὶ δυνάμεως (1 Cor. ii. 4). For ἀγάπη cp. Rom. xv. 30 &c. σωφρονισμός is a ἄπ, λεγ. in the Greek Bible, but σωφροσύνη and its cognates are favourite words in the Pastorals; see on 1 Tim. ii. 9.

8. μη οὖν ἐπαισχυνθῆς. The exhortation is consequent on the assertion of the gift of the Spirit in v. 7; as Bengel has it "victo timore, fugit pudor malus."

τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν, 'about our Lord'; cp. τὸ μαρτύριον τοῦ Χριστοῦ (1 Cor. i. 6). See on 1 Tim. i. 14 for the title.

τον δέσμων αὐτοῦ. Cp. Eph. iii. 1 and Philemon 9: 'whom He has bound.' This is not merely a suggestion to Timothy to hasten to Rome; but a general exhortation to courage in upholding St Paul's teaching.

συνκακοπάθησον, 'bravely endure your share of suffering' in company with St Paul and all the martyrs of Christ. The word is only found in the Greek Bible here and at ii. 3.

τῷ εὐαγγελίω, dat. commodi, 'for the Gospel's sake.'

κατά δύναμιν θεοῦ. Το be taken with συνκακοπάθησον, 'according to the power which God gives.' It seems better to refer back to the δύναμις of v. 7 (cp. 2 Cor. vi. 7) rather than forward to the power of God displayed in the process of salvation of v. 9.

9. ήμας. Primarily in reference to Paul and Timothy, but true generally.

σώσαντος. For the act of σωτηρία as applied to God, see on 1 Tim. i. 1.

καλ καλέσαντος κλήσει άγία. This calling or vocation is always ascribed by Paul to God the Father; ep. Rom. xi. 29; 1 Cor. i. 9; Gal. i. 6 and especially Rom. viii. 28, τοῖς κατὰ πρόθεσων κλητοῖς οὖσων.

οὐ κατά τὰ ἔργα ἡμῶν. Cp. Tit. iii. 5; a distinctively Pauline idea, and important here as balancing the emphasis laid on good works in the Pastorals. See on 1 Tim. ii. 10.

tolar, emphatic, as marking the freedom of the Divine purpose.

έν Χρ. Ίη., in, [not 'through'], His person.

πρὸ χρόνων αἰωνίων. See Rom. xvi. 25 and Tit. i. 2. The grace of Christ, Incarnate, Crucified, Risen, is part of the eternal purpose of God for man, and since time does not limit the Deity, that which is unfalteringly purposed is described as actually given.

10. φανερωθείσαν. See note on 1 Tim. iii. 16, and cp. Rom. xvi. 25; Col. i. 26.

ἐπιφάνεια. This word is used here, not as in 1 Tim. vi. 14 of the Second Advent (where see note), but of the whole 'Epiphany' of Christ in the world. Cp. Tit. iii. 4.

τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν Χρ. Ἰη. Cp. Phil. iii. 20. See critical note for he order Χρ. Ἰη.

τὸν θάνατον. Observe the article; while ζωή and ἀφθαρσία are narthrous, θάνατον is preceded by τόν, so, 'that death which we ll know and dread.' It, i.e. physical death, has been made of none flect, for its sharpness is sin (1 Cor. xv. 56), and that has been onquered in the sorrows of the Passion. Cp. Heb. ii. 14 and Rom. . 12—21.

φωτίσταντος, brought to light. Cp. 1 Cor. iv. 5, δς καl φωτίσει τὰ κρυπτὰ τοῦ σκότους. φωτίζευ is, strictly, to illuminate, e.g. John i. 9, ην τὸ φῶς τὸ ἀληθυὸν ὁ φωτίζει πάντα ἄνθρωπον κ.τ.λ.; and this is its proper meaning here. In prae-Christian times men had reached after life and incorruption; the doctrine of a future life was not first preached by the Apostles of Christ. But that doctrine was illuminated, brought into clear light, for the first time, διὰ τοῦ εὐαγγελίου. Yet, exegetically necessary as it is to emphasise this distinction, it is not of much practical importance. As Paley says with his usual sober sense: "It is idle to say that a future state had been discovered already:—it had been discovered, as the Copernican system was, it was one guess among many. He alone discovers who proves" (Moral and Political Philosophy, v. 9 sub fin.). It can hardly be maintained that the doctrine of a future life is demonstrable on grounds of natural religion alone.

διὰ τοῦ εὐαγγελίου. To be connected with $\phi\omega\tau$ (σαντος. By means of the Gospel, life and immortality are brought into full light, for it is through the Gospel that we learn where to seek, and to find, them.

11. els δ ἐτέθην ἐγώ, for which, sc. for the proclamation of which Gospel, I was appointed. Cp. 1 Tim. i. 12 and esp. 1 Tim. ii. 7 where the same three offices are named. See critical note.

12. δι ήν αίτίαν. See on i. 6.

και ταῦτα πάσχω, I suffer even these things, sc. bonds and prison.

φ πεπίστενκα, whom I have believed, the perfect tense marking the continued πίστις. With the construction cp. John xiii. 18, έγὼ οίδα τίνας ἐξελεξάμην.

την παραθήκην μου. The word is peculiar in the N.T. to the Pastorals (see also 1 Tim. vi. 20), and occurs in the LXX. only in Lev. vi. 2, 4; 2 Macc. iii. 10, 15, the last of which passages presents a parallel to that before us. There were in the treasury at Jerusalem 'deposits' of widows and orphans, and the priests pray that God may keep them safe (διαφυλάξαι) from the spoiler for those who had deposited them. In 1 Tim. vi. 20 and 2 Tim. i. 14 παραθήκη plainly means the doctrine delivered to Timothy to preach; and hence it appears that here $\tau \eta \nu \pi a \rho$, $\mu o \nu =$ the doctrine delivered to Paul by God. The Apostle is a prisoner and has no prospect of living much longer, and he expresses his confidence that God will keep safe his doctrine against that day, i.e. the day of the final account. Many other meanings for $\pi a \rho a \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ have been suggested, as 'soul,' 'salvation,' 'apostolic office' &c.; but the force of the parallels must be preserved. The connexion with the next verse is also maintained fully by understanding παραθήκη here of the doctrine entrusted to Paul. He knows that he can do little more for the preservation and propagation of the faith; he commends it accordingly to God; and then he solemnly bids Timothy, his spiritual son and successor, to hold fast as a pattern the sound words which he has taught him, to quard the good deposit.

13. ὑποτύπωσιν. See note on 1 Tim. i. 16 for the meaning of this word.

ύγιαινόντων λόγων, of sound words; see the note on 1 Tim. i. 10. The usual rendering of this verse Hold the pattern of sound words which thou hast heard &c. is not free from difficulty. (1) The emphatic word is $b\pi \sigma \tau \delta \pi \omega \nu$ as its position in the sentence shews. (2) it is used without an article and so seems to have a predicative force, (3) the verb is $\xi \chi_{\varepsilon}$, not $\kappa \delta \tau \varepsilon \chi_{\varepsilon}$; i.e. hold, not 'hold fast' (as in 1 Cor. xi. 2, xv. 2; 1 Thess. v. 21). But the difficulty of translating Hold, as a pattern of sound words, even those which thou hast heard from me is that we must then suppose $\delta \nu$ to stand for obs governed by $\xi \chi_{\varepsilon}$ (see crit. note). On the whole, therefore, we prefer the ordinary rendering.

έν πίστει καὶ ἀγάπη τῆ ἐν Χρ. Ἰησοῦ. The connexion is again uncertain. (a) It seems weak to take this clause with ἡκουσα. (b) It is better to take it with ἔχε, faith and love forming, as it were, the atmosphere in which the 'sound words' are to be preserved; but the order of the words in the sentence does not favour this. Thus (c) it has been urged that a period should be placed at ἡκουσα and that ἐν πίστει καὶ ἀγ. κ.τ.λ. are to be taken adverbially with what follows, viz. 'In faith and love guard the good deposit.' But this seems to deprive τὴν καλ ἡν παραθήκην of the emphasis which its place at the beginning of an injunction gives it. On the whole (b) seems best, and the meaning of the whole sentence is: 'Hold as a pattern of sound words, in faith and love, what you heard from me'; cp. ii. 2.

έν Χρ. Ίησοῦ. He is the source and spring of both faith and love; ep. 1 Tim. iii. 13.

14. τὴν καλὴν παραθήκην φύλαξον. See the note on 1 Tim. vi. 20; and for καλήν, a characteristic adjective of the Pastorals, see on 1 Tim. i. 8. Cp. Philo Quod det potiori insid. 19 παραδοῦναι...ἐπιστήμης καλὴν παρακαταθήκην φύλακι πιστῆ.

διά πνεύματος άγίου τοῦ ἐνοικοῦντος ἐν ὑμῖν, through the Holy Spirit who dwelleth in us, so in all Christians, but especially in you and me, Paul and Timothy, to whom grace for ministry has been given. Cp. for the phrase as applied to all Christians, Rom. viii. 11.

15-18. The loneliness of St Paul and the faithfulness of Onesiphoris.

15. of Sas. Note the difference between of Sas here, signifying general, hearsay, knowledge, which was all that Timothy could have had of St Paul's condition at Rome, and $\gamma \nu \nu \phi \sigma \kappa \epsilon is$ in ν . 18, the personal knowledge that he had of the ministrations of Onesiphorus at Ephesus.

άπεστράφησάν με πάντες οἱ ἐν τῷ 'Aσία, all who are in Asia repudiated me. Asia is, as generally in the N.T. (see Acts xvi. 6), the Roman province of that name, embracing the Western parts of what

is now called Asia Minor, of which Ephesus was the metropolis. $\pi \Delta v res ot \ \dot{e}v \ \tau_0^2 \ 'Aofa$ can hardly mean anything but all who are now in Asia. Certain Christians (apparently from that province) had been in Rome while St Paul was in bonds but had turned away from him; they had now returned home, and were probably known to Timothy. Two, Phygelus and Hermogenes, are singled out for mention by name, why—we cannot tell; possibly because they were inhabitants of Ephesus and so would come more directly under Timothy's notice. We know nothing further of them; Hermogenes is introduced in company with Demas in the opening sentences of the apocryphal Acts of Paul and Theela, where he is described as $\delta \chi a \lambda v e \delta v$ and as 'full of hypocrisy,' but such legends are rather to be considered as growing out of the notices in the Pastoral Epistles than as having independent tradition behind them.

16. δψή έλεος. This phrase only occurs here in the N.T.; we have ποιεῦν έλεος elsewhere (Luke i. 72, x. 37; James ii. 13). δψή is the incorrect, late, form for δοίη.

δ κύριος, sc. Christ, as appears from v. 8 and also from v. 18.

τῷ 'Ονησιφόρου οἰκῷ, to the household of Onesiphorus. Onesiphorus also figures (see above v. 15) in the Aets of Paul and Thecla, where he is represented as a householder of Iconium who shewed hospitality to St Paul on his first missionary journey, his wife's name being given as Lectra (see crit. note on iv. 19 below). A martyr called Onesis phorus seems to have suffered at Parium in Mysia between the years 102 and 114 λ.D.1, but there is no ground for identifying him with the friend who shewed kindness to St Paul. See further below on v. 18.

ότι πολλάκις με ανέψυξεν, for he oft refreshed me, no doubt with the consolations of his staunch friendship, as well as by bodily relief. ἀναψύχειν does not occur again in the N.T., but cp. ἀνάψυξις (Acts iii. 20).

καl την άλυσίν μου οὐκ ἐπαισχύνθη, and was not ashamed of my chain. St Paul spoke of himself during his first captivity at Rome as being ἐν ἀλύσει (Eph. vi. 20). It is possible that we have here an allusion to the chain by which, according to the prison rules of the time, he was bound to his guard; but it would not be safe to press the singular, so as to insist on this. One siphorus was not ashamed of Paul's bonds, his state of durance; this sufficiently brings out the point. Others turned away from the poor prisoner, whether through fear of a like fate at Nero's hands, or through the dislike which many people have to associate with the unfortunate more intimately than is necessary; not so One siphorus.

17. ἀλλα γενόμενος εν 'Ρώμη, but when he had arrived in Rome. Cp. Acts xiii. 5.

σπουδαίως ἐζήτησέν με και εὖρεν, he diligently sought me out and found me. It was probably no easy task to find one obscure prisoner, among the large numbers in bonds at Rome for various offences.

113

See W. M. Ramsay, Expository Times (1898), p. 405.

δώη αὐτῷ ὁ κύριος κ.τ.λ., may the Lord, sc. Christ, grant him to find mercy from the Lord, sc. God the Father, in that day, sc. the Day of Judgement. The repetition ὁ κύριος...παρὰ κυρίου is a little awkward, but probably the phrase δώη αὐτῷ ὁ κύριος was a common introductory formula, so that the addition mapa kupiou would not occur to the writer as strange. As the first κύριος seems to refer to Christ (see vv. 8, 16 above), it is best to take the second κυρίου as referring to God the Father, to whom the function of judgement is given more than once by St Paul (Rom. ii. 5, 16 &c.; but op. John v. 22),

The question has been much debated whether Onesiphorus was alive or dead at the time of writing, a question which in the absence of fuller information about him it is impossible to answer with certainty. It may be observed, however, that there is no a priori difficulty in the way of supposing St Paul to have prayed for him, if he were already dead. Prayer for the dead was admissible among the Jews at the date of the composition of the Second Book of the Maccabees (cir. 100 B.c.), as 2 Macc. xii, 44, 45 establishes beyond question. And that the practice was observed by Christians in the second century becomes apparent as soon as we arrive at a period of which we have adequate knowledge. "Let every friend who observeth this pray for me" are the closing words of the epitaph on the tomb of Abercius, Bp of Hierapolis (160 A.D.), and they are typical of a large number of sepulchral Christian inscriptions in the Catacombs and elsewhere². It cannot be supposed impossible or even improbable that St Paul should have shared in the practice, which the Christian Church seems to have taken over from Judaism. But proof positive we have not got here. Certainly in ch. iv. 19 the household of Onesiphorus is saluted without mention of Onesiphorus himself. But this only proves that he was not at Ephesus at the time of writing (it seems a most improbable conjecture that he was actually then at Rome). To speak of a man's olkos without specific mention of himself does not necessarily prove that he is dead (cp. 1 Cor. i. 16). A better argument may be based on a comparison of vv. 16 and 20. In v. 16 St Paul prays for the household of Onesiphorus, whereas in v. 20 he repeats the same prayer on behalf of the man himself, with the significant addition ev exclun τη ημέρα, which can mean nothing else than the Day of Judgement (see v. 12 and iv. 8). This addition seems to betray a feeling that prayer for him in this life, such as has already been made for his otkos, would be out of place. On the whole then it seems probable that Onesiphorus was dead when St Paul prayed on his behalf, δώη αὐτῷ ὁ κύριος κ.τ.λ.3

καὶ ὅσα ἐν Ἐφέσω διηκόνησεν. One siphorus had plainly, from

See Lightfoot, Ignatius and Polycarp, 1. p. 496.
 See Warren's Liturgy of Ante-Nicene Church, p. 146 ff.

³ It is curious, however, and the fact is worth noting, that the pseudo-Ignatian writer of the 4th century in the spurious letter to Hero (§ 9) borrows this prayer and applies its words to living persons, shewing apparently that at least he had not gathered from the passage before us that Onesiphorus was dead.

this, been a Church worker at Ephesus, where his family continued to reside (iv. 19).

βέλτιον ση γινώσκεις, thou knowest, of thine own personal knowledge, very well. βέλτιον is not to be taken as better than I could tell you; the comparative is used (as often) as equivalent to a weak superlative.

CHAPTER II.

3. συνκακοπάθησον. The rec. text has σὸ οὖν κακοπάθησον with a few authorities; but the evidence is convincing for συνκακοπάθησον.

Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ. The rec. text has Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ with D₂cKL; but the order Xρ. Ἰη, has the great weight of authority in its favour.

7. 6. So X*ACGP &c.; ä, the reading of the rec. text, is supported by X°D₂EKL, the Latin and the Bohairie versions; it is probably an explanatory correction.

δώσει. This has the preponderance of authorities in its favour, viz. NAC*D₂EG, the Latin and the Bohairic versions. $\delta \psi \eta$, the reading of the rec. text, is probably a reminiscence of ch. i. 16, 18; it is supported by C*KLP &c.

- 10. alwylov. The Vulgate Latin, with f, arm, aeth, and the margin of the Harclean Syriac, seem to bear witness to a reading organiou.
- 12. ἀρνησόμεθα. So N*AC f and the Bohairic. ἀρνούμεθα, the rec. reading, is supported by N°D₂EKLP de; it seems to be a correction of tense due to a misunderstanding of the sequence of thought in the three clauses. See note in loc.
- 13. γάρ. N°K omit this, as does the ree. text; but the MS. authority is decisive for its retention.
- 14. $\theta\epsilon o\hat{v}$. The evidence is here rather evenly balanced between $\theta\epsilon o\hat{v}$ and $\kappa v\rho to v$, the rec. reading. $\theta\epsilon o\hat{v}$ is supported by NCG f g and the Bohairic; $\kappa v\rho to v$ by AD₂EKLP de $d\epsilon c$,; but the MS. witness for $\theta\epsilon o\hat{v}$ is confirmed also by the fact that $\epsilon v d \pi to v = \tau o\hat{v}$ is a common Pauline phrase, whereas ϵv . $\kappa v\rho to v$ only occurs once (2 Cor. viii. 21) and then in an O.T. quotation.

λογομαχείν. Lachmann is almost alone among critical editors in departing from the rec. text by reading λογομάχει with AC* and the Latins; λογομαχείν has the weight of authority in its favour, being supported by ND₀EGKLP, the Syriac and the Bohairic versions.

έπ' οὐδέν. This is the reading of *ACP 17; G has έπ' οὐδενὶ. The ree, text has είς οὐδέν with *DoEKL.

18. ἀνάστασιν. τὴν ἀνάστ. is read by most authorities and is found in the rec. text; the article is omitted by %G 17, and by most recent editors. WH give it a place in their margin.

- 19. κυρίου. This is read by all the uncials and the versions; χριστοῦ of the rec. text has only scanty cursive authorities in its favour.
- 21. εξχρηστον. καί is prefixed in the rec. text, following ℵ°C*KLP; ℵ*AD₂*EG omit it. Versions may be cited on both sides, but on such a point their evidence is not very convincing.
- 22. τῶν ἐπικαλουμένων. Lachmann prefixes πάντων with ACG g (G omits τῶν), the Sahidic and Harclean versions; it is not found in ND_2EKLP , the Bohairic, the Peshito, or the Old Latin for the most part, and probably crept into the text through a reminiscence of 1 Cor. i. 2.
- 25. πραύτητι. This is the orthography of the best MSS.; D_2^{be} EKL and some other authorities spell it πραότητι, which is the reading of the rec. text. See crit. note on Tit. iii. 2.
- δψη. This is the reading of $\aleph^*ACD_2^*G$ which must be preferred to $\delta \hat{\varphi}$ the reading of $\aleph^*D_2^*EKLP$ followed by the rec. text.
 - 1-10. Repetition of Charge I. Be strong in Christ's strength.
- 1. où oùv. Thou therefore; sc. in reference to the defections of which he had just spoken.

τέκνον μου. See on 1 Tim. i. 2.

èνδυναμοῦ, be strengthened (passive, not middle, voice). The present tense marks an abiding and continual strengthening. See note on 1 Tim. i. 12.

- èν τῆ χάριτι τῆ ἐν Χρ. Ἰησ., the sphere within which alone a man can be truly strong.
- 2. καὶ ἄ ἤκουσας παρ' ἐμοῦ. Cp. i. 13 and the note at that place. Observe that personal strength in the grace of Christ precedes in importance as in time the transmission of the Apostolic deposit of faith.
- διά πολλῶν μαρτύρων, through many witnesses; not only the instruction which St Paul had given orally to Timothy, but the 'sound doctrine' which Timothy had received from him indirectly through the report of others, is to be transmitted to succeeding generations. Many commentators, however, both ancient and modern, take διά here as equivalent to coram, 'in presence of,' and examples have been found to illustrate this use of διά where we should expect έπι. So it is understood by Chrysostom, πολλῶν παρώντων; and thus the 'many witnesses' are taken to be the presbyters present at Timothy's ordination (see 1 Tim. i. 18, iv. 16, vi. 12). But there is no need thus to strain the meaning of διά or to limit the reference to any single moment in Timothy's life. Through the intervention of many witnesses gives a clear and good sense.

ταῦτα παράθου. The delivery of a definite παραθήκη at Ordination is symbolised in our own Office for the Ordering of Priests by the handing a Bible to the newly ordained.

oltives, quippe qui.

ἐκανοὶ ἔσονται. Yet these 'faithful men' who are 'able' to teach must needs continually remember $\dot{\eta}$ ἐκανότης $\dot{\eta}μων$ ἐκ τοῦ θεοῦ (2 Cor. iii. 5).

έτέρους διδάξαι, to teach others. The έπίσκοπος at this stage of the Church's life needed to be διδακτικός (1 Tim. iii. 2).

3, 4. a. THE EXAMPLE OF THE SOLDIER.

3. συγκακοπάθησον. Take your share of hardship. See on i. 8, and cp. also the critical note above.

ώς καλὸς στρατιώτης Χριστοῦ 'Ιησοῦ. Cp. 1 Tim. i. 18, and see the note on καλὸς at 1 Tim. i. 8. Α καλὸς στρατιώτης is a soldier 'sans peur et sans reproche,'

4. ούδεις στρατευόμενος, no one serving as a soldier.

ἐμπλέκεται ταῖς τοῦ βίου πραγματίαις, entangles himself with the affairs of life, so, the affairs of worldly business, as distinct from the higher life $(\xi w \hat{\eta})$ of the soul; see note on 1 Tim. iv. 8. ἐμπλέκεν only occurs again in the N.T. at 2 Pet. ii. 20, where it is also used of entanglement in 'the defilements of the world.' The connexion of this and what follows with v. 3 is in the thought that no one, whether soldier, athlete, or husbandman, can achieve success without toil. Therefore take your share of hardness, &c. remembering that singleness of purpose and detachment from extraneous cares are essential conditions of successful service; ep. Rom. viii. 8; 1 Cor. vii. 32.

Υνα τῷ στρατολογήσαντι ἀρέση, that he may please him who envolled him as a soldier. στρατολογεῖν, to levy a troop, is not found again in the Greek Bible, but is used by Josephus and Plutarch. Ignatius (Polyc. 6) takes up the thought and words of this verse in his exhortation ἀρέσκετε $\hat{\varphi}$ στρατεύεσθε.

5. b. The example of the athlete.

5. Edv de kal ddhŷ tis k.t.l., if any man, again, strive in the games, &c. See the note on 1 Tim, vi. 12 for the use of this metaphor in St Paul and in Philo. $d\theta \lambda \hat{e}\hat{\nu}$ does not occur elsewhere in the Greek Bible, but it is a classical word and is used by Philo in similar contexts.

οὐ στεφανοῦται. The word only occurs again in N.T. at Heb. ii. 7, but it is sufficiently common elsewhere. See 1 Cor. ix. 25; 2 Tim. iv. 8, and notes on 1 Tim. vi. 12.

ἐἀν μὴ νομίμως ἀθλήση, unless he strive according to the rules. For νομίμως see on 1 Tim. i. 8. Unless the athlete submit to the rules, whether of preparatory discipline or those by which the actual contest

is ordered, he cannot expect the crown. So Epictetus (who taught at Nicopolis about 95 a.p.) speaks of the need of bodily discipline, of eating 'by rule,' to him who would conquer in the Olympic games, applying the illustration as St Paul does here (Encheiridion xxix, b).

6. c. THE EXAMPLE OF THE HUSBANDMAN.

- 6. τὸν κοπιῶντα γεωργὸν δεῖ κ.τ.λ., the husbandman that laboureth must first, sc. before him who is lazy and careless, partake of the fruits. The emphatic word is κοπιῶντα; as in the preceding verse, the main thought is that labour, discipline, striving are the portion of him who would succeed in any enterprise, be he soldier or athlete or farmer; E cura quies. On κοπιᾶν cp. 1 Tim. iv. 10. The fruits to which the apostolic labourer may look forward are not here specially in question; they are only fully to be reaped in the world to come (Matt. v. 12, xix. 21). The verb μεταλαμβάνειν (cp. 1 Tim. iv. 3) does not occur elsewhere in St Paul's letters; but cp. Acts xxvii. 33, 34.
- 7. νόει δ λέγω. Understand what I say, so what has just been said about the hardness which the 'good soldier' of Christ must face. νοέω seems to mean 'understand' or 'grasp the meaning of' (as in I Tim. i. 7) rather than 'consider'; though no doubt attention is a necessary condition of understanding.

δώσει γάρ σοι κ.τ.λ., for the Lord, sc. Christ, will give thee understanding in all things. See critical note on δώσει, and cp. for σύνεσις Eph. iii. 4; Col. i. 9; σύνεσις is the faculty of 'right judgement' and is defined by Aristotle (Eth. Nic. vi. 10) as consisting $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau\hat{\varphi}$ χρῆσθαι $\tau\hat{g}$ δόξη $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ ι τὸ κρίνεω περὶ τούτων, περὶ ων ἡ φρόνησίς $\dot{\epsilon}$ στω, άλλου λέγοντος, καὶ κρίνεω καλώς.

8. Bengel's comment on the verse is, as usual, illuminating. Paulus exemplo Christi suum, ut solet, exemplum animat.

μνημόνενε. Keep in remembrance, have ever in your thoughts. We have μνημονεύειν with the acc. again in 1 Thess. ii. 9.

'Ιησοῦν Χριστὸν ἐγηγερμένον ἐκ νεκρῶν. Jesus Christ, as risen from the dead. The memory of the Risen Lord will inspire with courage and faithfulness; note that it is the Vision of the Risen One, not the Vision of the Crucified, which Timothy is bidden to keep before him. The power of the risen life of Christ is ever in St Paul's mind; cp. Rom. vi. 9, vii. 4.

έκ σπέρματος Δαυείδ, of the seed of David. Cp. Rom. i. 3 where these two leading thoughts, the true Messiahship of Jesus on the one hand, and His Divine Sonship on the other, as guaranteed by His Resurrection, are placed in juxtaposition in like manner. 'Jesus Christ, risen from the dead'; He is the centre of the New Dispensation. 'Of the seed of David'; here is the pledge that He has fulfilled the hopes of the Old. Hanc unam genealogiam, says Bengel, a Timotheo vult attendi.

κατά τὸ εὐαγγελιόν μου, according to my gospel, i.e. according to the good tidings which I am commissioned to preach. Cp. for the phrase

Rom. ii. 16, xvi. 25. To limit it to the written gospel of St Luke (as Jerome suggested) is to introduce an idea quite foreign to the Apostle's thought.

9. in which, so, in the preaching of which good tidings,

κακοπαθώ μέχρι δεσμών ώς κακούργος. I suffer hardship unto bonds, as a malefactor. Timothy must be ready to take his share of hardship; and St Paul here introduces for his encouragement this notice of his own sufferings.

κακοπαθεῦν (see v. 9 and iv. 5) occurs in the N.T. outside this Epistle only at Jas. v. 13.

μέχρι δεσμών. This degradation seems to have been deeply felt by St Paul, as was natural in a man of his ardent and generous nature. See Phil. i. 7 and Col. iv. 18, and also 2 Tim. i. 16 with the note thereon. μέχρι has the force of even unto; the δέσμοι were among the worst indignities to which he, a Roman citizen and an innocent man, was subjected.

S κακούργος, as a malefactor, the word used only occurring again in the N.T. at Luke xxiii. 32, 33, 39. Such an expression suggests that St Paul's second imprisonment was more rigorous than his first (see Acts xxviii. 30, 31). And it has been supposed by some 1 that the phrase ώς κακούργος explicitly describes the charge under which Paul lay in prison, and that it refers to the flagitia for which Christians were condemned under Nero (Tacitus Ann. xv. 44). In 1 Pet, iv. 15 we have in like manner μη γάρ τις υμών πασχέτω ώς φονεύς η κλέπτης η κακοποιός (cp. 1 Pet. ii. 12). In such phrases indications have been found of the date of writing; for (it is argued) the persecution of Christians with which the writer was acquainted was a persecution instituted not against the mere profession of Christianity. but against the Christians as persons convicted of disgraceful crimes (flagitia). And as Christianity was not proclaimed a religio illicita until the time of Domitian, when the 'Name' was absolutely proscribed, a persecution of the Christians, not eo nomine but as flagitiosi. such as is suggested to us in the words ώς κακοῦργος, must be ascribed to an earlier date and, probably, to the reign of Nero. The argument is, however, a little precarious; we know too little about the details of the early persecutions to be quite sure of our ground, and, further, the charge of flagitia was brought against Christians at all periods, whether early or late.

dλλd ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ οὖ δέδεται, but the Word of God is not bound, i.e. the Gospel message (see Addit. Note on 1 Tim. iv. 5) is still being preached to the nations, despite the imprisonment of the Apostle of the Gentiles. Others were carrying on the work which he began; and he himself, even if not by speech as during his first imprisonment (Phil. i. 13) yet by letter could do much for the furtherance of the Gospel. The paronomasia will be observed, $\delta\epsilon\sigma\mu\omega\nu$ suggesting $\delta\epsilon\delta\sigma\tau\mu$ in the next line.

¹ E.g. by Prof. Ramsay, Church in the Roman Empire, p. 249.

10. διὰ τοῦτο, wherefore, sc. because the work is going on, although the worker is bound in chains.

πάντα ὑπομένω, I endure all things; in the spirit of that charity of which he had himself said, πάντα ὑπομένει (1 Cor. xiii, 7).

διά τοὺς ἐκλεκτούς, for the elect's sake, sc. for the sake of all those whom it is God's purpose to bring to a knowledge of the Truth; ep. Rom. viii. 33; Col. iii. 12; Tit. i. 1. The uncertainty implied in the words which follow lva καὶ αὐτοὶ σωτηρίας τύχωσιν shews that it is not in reference to an election to final salvation that St Paul uses the word ἐκλεκτοί; in his Epistles and also in 1 and 2 Peter, the words κλητοί and ἐκλεκτοί are continually used of the whole body of believers, 'chosen' and 'called' by God to the privileges of the Gospel. See esp. Lightfoot on Col. iii, 12 and Hort on 1 Pet. i. 1.

ίνα και αὐτοι σωτηρίας τύχωσιν κ.τ.λ., in order that they too, sc. as well as I, may obtain the salvation &c. The Apostle's personal confidence is worthy of careful notice; cp. iv. 8.

της εν Χρ. Ίη. μετα δόξης αιωνίου. The consummation of this salvation which is in Christ Jesus is eternal glory. In 2 Cor. iv. 17 he speaks of αιώνιον βάρος δόξης as the issue of 'our light affliction which is for the moment.'

11-13. Fragment of a hymn on the glories of martyrdom,

11. $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\delta$ s δ $\lambda\delta\gamma$ os. See notes on 1 Tim. i. 15, iv. 9. Commentators are not agreed as to the reference of this formula here; some following Chrysostom, hold that it refers to what precedes, viz. the motive to patient endurance set forth in v. 10. And it is urged that $\gamma d\rho$, which seems to introduce a reason for what has been said, necessitates this explanation and excludes the reference of $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\delta$ s δ $\lambda\delta\gamma$ os to vv. 12, 13. But, on the other hand, there is nothing in the preceding verses of the nature of a formula or aphorism or quotation, and it is to such stereotyped phrases that $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\delta$ s δ $\lambda\delta\gamma$ os has reference in the other instances of its occurrence. And there can be little doubt that vv. 12, 13 are a quotation from a Christian hymn or confession, probably from a hymn on the glories of martyrdom. The antithetical character of the clauses is obvious:—

el συναπεθάνομεν και συνζήσομεν ·
el ύπομένομεν και συνζησοκεύσομεν ·
el άρνησόμεθα κάκείνος αρνήσεται ήμας ·
el άπιστούμεν έκείνος πιστός μένει ·
άρνήσασθαι γάρ έαυγὸν οὐ δύναται.

The last line is, possibly, not part of the quotation, but an explanatory comment added by the writer; but, in any ease, this fragment of a hymn is exactly the kind of 'saying' to which the formula $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{s}\dot{s}\dot{s}\lambda\dot{s}\gamma\sigma$ would apply. The presence of $\gamma d\rho$ in the first clause may be variously accounted for. It may actually be a part of the quotation (as is suggested in the text of the Revised Version); or, again, its force may be merely explanatory, 'for, as you remember,' &c.

et συναπεθάνομεν. The words are very close to those of Rom. vi. 8, εl δè ἀπεθάνομεν σὺν Χριστῷ, πιστεύομεν ὅτι καὶ συνζήσομεν αὐτῷ; but while in that passage the thought is of baptism as typifying a death to sin, in this fragment of a hymn the reference seems to be to death by martyrdom. The ethical reference of the words here to baptism would, no doubt, give a good sense, but it is not harmonious with the context; the hymn is quoted as an incentive to courage and endurance. The aorist tense, συναπεθάνομεν, should be noted; it points to a single definite act of self-devotion, and in this is contrasted with ὁπομένομεν in the next line, where the present tense marks a continual endurance.

καl συνζήσομεν, we shall also live with Him; not to be interpreted in any figurative or allegorical sense, but literally, of the life of the blessed in heaven.

- 12. εἰ ὑπομένομεν. We have again a close parallel in the Ep. to the Romans (viii. 17), εἰπερ συπάσχομεν ἴνα καὶ συνδοξασθώμεν; cp. Rom. v. 17 and Rev. i. 6. The verb συμβασιλεύεω only occurs in the N.T. here and in 1 Cor. iv. 8.
- el άρνησόμεθα κ.τ.λ., if we shall deny Him, He also will deny us, a reminiscence of our Lord's words recorded in Matt. x. 33, words which may well have been present to the mind of many a martyr for the Name of Christ. The tense ἀρνησόμεθα has in this third clause been made future, to mark a mere contingency, improbable in itself and to be deprecated.
- 13. el ἀπιστοῦμεν, ἐκεῖνος πιστὸς μένει. If we are faithless, He abideth faithful. The last clause gives a solemn warning; this gives a message of hope. Not every weakness of faith will call down the awful judgement ἀρνήσεται ἡμᾶς; for man's faith in God is not the measure of God's faithfulness to man. He is 'the faithful God' (Deut. vii. 9). ἀπιστεῦν here, as always in the N.T., definitely means unbelief, a wavering of faith, not an open act of disloyalty, so much as an inward distrust of God's promises. We have the same thought in Rom. iii. 3 (in a different context), el ἡπίστησάν τωες, μὴ ἡ ἀπιστία αὐτῶν τὴν πίστυ τοῦ θεοῦ καταργήσει; μὴ γένοιτο.

It thus appears that clauses 1, 2, 4 of this remarkable hymn are little more than reproductions of phrases from St Paul's Epistle to the Romans, clause 3 being based on words of Christ. It does not seem an improbable conjecture that the hymn was actually composed at Rome in reference to the earlier persecutions of Christians under Nero, and that it thus became known to St Paul during his second imprisonment in the imperial city. If this be so, he is here, as it were, quoting a popular version of words from his own great Epistle,

which had become stereotyped by liturgical use.

άρνήσασθαι γάρ ἐαυτὸν οὐ δύναται, for He cannot deny Himself; ἀδύνατον ψεύσασθαι θεύν (Heb. vi. 18). The 'Omnipotence' of God does not include such acts of self-contradiction; omnipotence for a perfectly moral and holy Being is conditioned by that morality and holiness.

14-16. CHARGE II. SHUN VAIN SPECULATIONS,

14. ταθτα ὑπομίμνησκε, put them in mind of these things; sc. remind those over whom you are placed of the need and the reward of courage and endurance. ὑπομιμνήσκω is only used once elsewhere by St Paul, at Tit, iii. 1.

διαμαρτυρόμενος ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεδῦ. See on 1 Tim. v. 21 and critical note above.

μη λογομαχείν, not to strive with words, i.e. not to indulge in controversy. See the note on λογομαχίαι at 1 Tim. vi. 4; the verb λογομαχείν does not occur again in the Greek Bible.

ἐπ' οὐδὲν χρήσιμον, which is profitable for nothing; the words are in apposition to the preceding $\lambda ογομαχεῖν$. χρήσιμος is a ἄπ. λεγ. in the N.T. See critical note. The preposition επ both here and in the next clause marks the result rather than the intention (which would be expressed by εls) of the logomachies which are condemned.

ἐπὶ καταστροφή τῶν ἀκουόντων, to the subversion of them that hear. καταστροφή does not occur again in the N.T. (in 2 Pet. ii. 6 it is not the true reading), but it is not uncommon in the LXX.; it is used here as almost equivalent to the καθαίρεσις of 2 Cor. xiii. 10, which is the direct opposite of οἰκοδομή.

15. σπούδασον σεαυτόν δόκιμον παραστήσαι τῷ θεῷ. Give diligence to present thyself approved unto God. For the phrase παριστάνειν τῷ θεῷ cp. 1 Cor. viii. 8; and for a salutary warning as to the true meaning of δόκιμος cp. 2 Cor. x. 18, οὐ γὰρ ὁ ἐαυτὸν συνιστάνων, ἐκεῖνὸς ἐστιν δόκιμος, ἀλλὰ δν ὁ Κύριος συνίστησιν.

ἐργάτην ἀνεπαίσχυντον, a workman who is not to be put to shame, so, by the poor quality of his work. ἀνεπαίσχυντος (άπ. λεγ. in the Greek Bible) is thus taken passively by Chrysostom, and the resulting sense seems to be more harmonious with the context than the rendering of the English versions, "that needeth not to be ashamed."

όρθοτομοῦντα τὸν λόγον τῆς ἀληθείας, rightly dividing the word of truth. The exact meaning of δρθοτομείν here (it does not occur elsewhere in the N.T.) is uncertain. The analogy of the only two places where it is found in the LXX. (Prov. iii. 6, xi. 5) has suggested to some that the metaphor is that of laying down a straight road, the road of Truth, from which heretics diverge on this side and on that. But we cannot read the idea of δδος into λόγον where it is not suggested by the context. The image here seems rather to be that of a man cutting the λόγος της άληθείας into its right pattern, the standard provided being the Gospel. This is practically involved in the vaguer rendering given by the Revisers handling aright the word of truth (the Vulgate has recte tractantem); but the literal and primary meaning of δρθοτομείν cannot be to handle aright. The words at once recall 2 Cor. ii. 17, καπηλεύοντες τον λόγον του θεού, but the metaphor employed there is quite different from that in the writer's mind here.

St Paul offers what amounts to a definition of δ hdyos $\tau \hat{\eta}s$ ahybeias in Eph. i. 13, viz. $\tau \hat{\delta}$ evagyehov $\tau \hat{\eta}s$ supplies $\hat{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$; cp. 2 Cor. vi. 7.

16. τὰς δὲ βεβήλους κενοφωνίας περιίστασο, but shun profane babblings, such being the direct opposite of the word of truth, which it is Timothy's business rightly to divide. Cp. the parallel passage 1 Tim. vi. 20 and the note thereon. περιιστάναι is only used by St Paul here and at Tit. iii. 9 (which see).

èπl πλείον γὰρ προκόψουσιν ἀσεβείας, for they, so, the false teachers, will proceed further in ungodliness. ἀσεβεία is, of course, the opposite of εὐσεβεία; see on 1 Tim. ii. 2. For ἐπὶ πλείον cp. iii. 8 and Acts iv. 17.

17-22. The speculations of Hymenaeus and Philetus.

17. καὶ ὁ λόγος αὐτῶν, and their word, sc. not specifically their 'doctrine' but their 'talk'; ep. 2 Cor. x. 10, xi. 6.

ώς γάγγραινα, as a gangrene. The word does not occur elsewhere in the Greek Bible, but is used by medical writers of a sore which eats into the flesh. Cp. the note on the wholesome doctrine, 1 Tim. i. 10.

νομήν έξει, will eat, lit. 'will have pasture'; cp. John x. 9, the only other place where the word is found in the N.T. νομή is often used by medical writers of the 'spreading' of a disease, as here; cp. Polyb. r. 81. 6.

ών ἐστὶν Ύμέναιος και Φιλητός. Hymenaeus has been mentioned already, 1 Tim. i. 20; but we know nothing further either of him or of Philetus.

18. οἴτινες περὶ τὴν ἀλήθειαν ἠστόχησαν, who concerning the truth have missed their aim. See 1 Tim. i. 6, vi. 21 and the notes there.

λέγοντες ανάστασιν ήδη γεγονέναι, saying that the Resurrection is already past. These persons seem to have interpreted the doctrine of man's Resurrection in an ethical or spiritual sense only. Difficulties about a resurrection of the body were early felt (see 1 Cor. xv. 12 ff.), and such teaching as that of St Paul (Rom. vi. 4; Col. ii. 12) about the analogy between the Lord's Resurrection and the baptized believer's 'newness of life' may have given occasion to heretical speculators to deny that the future bodily resurrection was an article of Christian A like error is mentioned by Polycarp (§ 7) δς...λέγει μήτε άνάστασιν μήτε κρίσιν; there is a warning against it in [2 Clem.] § 9 μή λεγέτω τις ύμων ότι αυτή ή σαρξ ού κρίνεται ούδε άνίσταται: and in the Acts of Paul and Thecla (§ 14) Demas and Hermogenes are introduced as saying ημείς σε διδάξομεν, ην λέγει οδτος ανάστασιν γενέσθαι, ότι ήδη γέγονεν έφ' οις έχομεν τέκνοις. It is probable, however, that this last passage is directly dependent on the verse before us (the reference to the Resurrection being already past is not found in the Syriac version), and therefore it does not furnish additional evidence for the prevalence of the form of error in question. By the time of Justin (Dial. 80) and of Irenaeus (Haer. 11. 31. 2) an allegorising explanation of the Resurrection was a recognised Gnostic tenet:

but at this early stage in the Church's life, if we judge from the language here employed, we are not to think of the error of Hymenaeus and Philetus as the necessary outcome of a definite heretical system so much as a private blunder based on misinterpretations of the Apostolic doctrine. The mischievous results of such 'vain babblings' were already becoming apparent (v. 17).

καl ἀνατρέπουσιν τήν τινων πίστιν, and subvert the faith of some. ἀνατρέπειν only occurs again in the N.T. at Tit. i. 11, in a somewhat similar context, but it is a common LXX. word.

19. ὁ μέντοι στερεὸς θεμέλιος τοῦ θεοῦ ἔστηκεν. Howbeit, despite the subversion of some who are weak in the faith, the firm foundation of God standeth; not, as the A.V. has it, "the foundation of God standeth sure," for στερεὸς is not the predicate here. This θεμέλιος τοῦ θεοῦ, 'foundation laid by God,' as the following words shew, is the Church, which remains firm (cp. 1 Tim. iii. 15) despite the aberrations of individual members; cp. Heb. xii. 28. It is upon this foundation that the olκοδομή or 'building up' of the faithful is based; cp. Eph. ii. 20, although the metaphor there is slightly different.

μέντοι is not found again in the Pauline Epistles, but is common in St John. στερεός also is used here only by St Paul (he has στερέωμα

in Col. ii, 5), but occurs Heb. v. 12, 14; 1 Pet. v. 9.

έχων την σφραγίδα ταύτην, having this seal. As the foundations of the New Jerusalem are said to have upon them the names of the Apostles (Rev. xxi. 14; cp. also vii. 3), so this 'foundation of God' has a double inscription; cp. Deut. vi. 9, xi. 20.

"Έγνω κύριος τοὺς ὄντας αὐτοῦ. The Lord knoweth them that are His, a quotation from Num. xvi. 5, words addressed by Moses in stern reproof to the rebellious Korah and his company, ψευδοδιδάσκαλοι of the Old Covenant. Cp. John x. 14, 27; and, for γινώσκω as used in a sentence of judgement, Matt. vii. 23.

- καί. 'Αποστήτω ἀπὸ ἀδικίας πᾶς ὁ ὀνομάζων τὸ ὄνομα κυρίου, and, Let everyone that nameth the Name of the Lord depart from unrighteousness. This is not an exact quotation from any part of the O.T.; it resembles, however, several passages, e.g. Is. lii. 11 and (in continuation of the parallel suggested in the previous quotation) Num. xvi. 26; ep. also Is. xxvi. 13. See crit. note.
- 20. ἐν μεγάλη δὲ οἰκία. But, it must be remembered, although the Church is holy, that in a great house &c. The δέ introduces the answer to a possible objection to the suitability of such watchwords for the visible Church. In a great house there are vessels of every kind. The lesson is the same as that in the Parable of the Draw Net (Matt. xiii. 47 ff.); it is noteworthy that this is the only place where St Paul directly expresses the thought of the Church embracing evil members as well as good.

ούκ ξοτιν μόνον κ.τ.λ., there are not only vessels of gold and silver, but also of wood and of earth, and some unto honour and some unto dishonour. We have already the idea of vessels 'for honour' and 'for

dishonour,' i.e. for dignified and for ignoble or petty uses, in Rom. ix. 21. "To the former class belonged the table, to the latter the footstool, according to Diod. Sic. xvii. 66," is the interesting observation of Field (Ot. Norvic. III. 130). St Paul's thought however is not merely of a difference in use between the different vessels, for all service may be 'honourable' in itself, but of the sorrowful fact that some are destined εἰς ἀτιμίαν, as unworthy of being εἰς τιμήν; cp. Wisd. xv. 7.

St Paul has the adjective δστράκινος again in 2 Cor. iv. 7; cp. Lev.

vi. 28.

21. ἐἀν οὖν τις ἐκκαθάρη ἑαυτὸν ἀπὸ τούτων. If a man therefore purge himself from these. Quite generally it may be necessary from time to time to cast out the 'vessels for dishonour'; here St Paul seems specially to have had in mind Timothy's situation in respect of the ψευδοδιδάσκαλοι. It will be a stern duty to 'purge himself' from them. For ἐκκαθαίρειν op. 1 Cor. v. 7.

έσται σκεῦος εἰς τιμήν, he shall be a vessel unto honour. (Cp. Acts ix. 15, σκεῦος ἐκλογῆς.) Otherwise, we know that "evil communications corrupt good manners" (1 Cor. xv. 34).

ήγιασμένον, sanctified. For this word as applied to believers by St Paul ep. Rom. xv. 16 and Acts xx. 32, xxvi. 18.

εὕχρηστον τῷ δεσπότη, meet for the master's, or owner's, use. See crit. note. εὕχρηστος is only found again in N.T. 2 Tim. iv. 11; Philem. 11.

For δεσπότης see on 1 Tim. vi. 1.

els πάν έργον ἀγαθὸν ήτοιμασμένον, prepared unto every good work. Cp. ch. iii. 17; Tit. iii. 1; and cp. also 2 Cor. ix. 8; Tit. i. 16. As it is true that the $\ell \rho \gamma a$ άγαθά are prepared of God for us to walk in Eph. ii. 10), so it is also true, and equally important to remember, that God's servants must be on their part prepared for these $\ell \rho \gamma a$ άγαθά.

22. FLEE YOUTHFUL LUSTS: FOLLOW PEACE.

- 22. τὰς δὲ νεωτερικὰς ἐπιθυμίας φεῦγε, but flee youthful lusts. The injunction may seem inapposite, as addressed to one who presided over the important Christian community at Ephesus, but it is quite intelligible when we remember that we have here the words of an old man writing to one of his disciples. To St Paul, Timothy would always be 'young,' and exposed to the dangers of youth. The ἐπιθυμίαι which Timothy is to guard against (juvenilia desideria of the Vulgate) would include all the passions and desires of a young and vigorous man. See further on 1 Tim. iv. 12. The adjective νεωτερικός does not occur elsewhere in the N.T.
- δίωκε δὲ δικαιοσύνην κ.τ.λ. See the note on 1 Tim. vi. 11, where a similar injunction was affectionately given. Here, as there, righteousness, faith, love, are recommended to him; and St Paul now adds εἰρήνην μετὰ τῶν ἐπικαλουμένων τὸν κύριον κ.τ.λ. If Timothy is to 'purge himself' from the society of the 'false teachers,' he is not, on the other hand, to forget the duty of promoting "peace and love

among all Christian people, and especially among them...committed to [his] charge¹." The clause $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\tau\hat{\alpha}\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\kappa\alpha\lambda$. $\tau\delta\nu$ $\kappa\delta\rho$. is to be taken in close connexion with $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\iota}\rho\dot{\eta}\nu\eta\nu$; cp. Rom. xii. 18; Heb. xii. 14. $\tau\delta\nu$ $\kappa\delta\rho\iota\nu$ is here, of course, Christ; cp. Rom. x. 12; 1 Cor. i. 2. See critical note.

έκ καθαράς καρδίας. See note on 1 Tim. i. 5.

23-26. Take no part in 1DLE controversy.

23. τὰς δὲ μωρὰς και ἀπαιδεύτους ζητήσεις παραιτοῦ, but foolish and ignorant questionings refuse. The irrelevancy of much of the controversy then prevalent among Christians seems to have deeply impressed St Paul; again and again he returns to this charge against the heretical teachers, that their doctrines are unprofitable and vain, and that they breed strife about questions either unimportant or insoluble. See 1 Tim. i. 4, 7, iv. 7, vi. 4, 20; Tit. iii. 9 &c. The adj. dπaίδευτος (undisciplined, or untaught, and so ignorant) does not occur again in the N.T. For παραυτοῦ see on 1 Tim. iv. 7.

elδώς ὅτι γεννῶσιν μάχας, knowing that they gender strifes. A seemingly harmless speculation as to obscure problems of theology or sacred history may become directly injurious to true religion, if it issue in verbal controversies. Cp. v. 14.

24. δοῦλον δὲ κυρίου οὐ δεῖ μάχεσθαι. But the Lord's servant (a title generally applicable to all Christians, as at 1 Cor. vii. 22, but specially appropriate to one who has been entrusted with the oversight of the Lord's family, as Timothy had been) must not strive, so, must not give way to the temptations of controversy with other Christians. In a true sense he is a 'soldier' (v. 3) and his course is a 'warfare' (see on 1 Tim. i. 18); but his foes are spiritual powers of evil and not his brothers in the family of Christ.

ἀλλὰ ἤπιον κ.τ.λ., but, on the contrary, he must be gentle toward all, apt to teach, patient of wrong. $\eta\pi$ ιοs, gentle, is not found again in the N.T.²; it seems to have special reference to that kindliness of outward demeanour, so important in one who was, as bishop, the persona ecclesiae, the representative of the Church to the world. That a bishop should be διδακτικόs has been already laid down, 1 Tim. iii. 2, where see the note.

ἀνεξίκακος, a word which does not occur elsewhere in the Greek Bible (cp. ἀνεξικακία Wisd. ii. 19) expresses patient forbearance.

25. ἐν πραύτητι παιδεύοντα τοὺς ἀντιδιατιθεμένους, in meckness correcting those who are adversely affected.

πραθτης is commended again in the list of Christian graces in Tit. iii. 2 (see also on Tit. i. 7), and several times elsewhere in St Paul's Epistles (Gal. v. 23, vi. 1; Eph. iv. 2; Col. iii. 12 &c.). It expresses the Christian's attitude, not to God (for this does not enter into the idea) but to man, and as a Christian virtue, it is based on the example of Christ, who was Himself, as He said, πραθε (Matt. xi. 29).

See the Ordering of Priests in the Anglican Ordinal.
 At 1 Thess, ii. 7, νήπιοι seems to be the true reading.

127

It is a question whether ἀντιδιατιθεμένουs has a passive or a middle sense. The English versions take it in the latter way as equivalent to 'those who oppose themselves,' which yields a quite satisfactory sense; but, as Field has pointed out, in the only other instance of the occurrence of ἀντιδιατίθεσθαι (in Longinus) it is unquestionably passive, which therefore may rule the present passage. Ambrosiaster renders eos qui diversa sentiunt, which agrees with the translation here adopted. The general force of the injunction is not much affected, whichever rendering we adopt; it is comparable to Tit. i. 9, τούς ἀντιλέγοντας ἐλέγχειν, although the thought here is rather of a gentle and persuasive exhibition of the error of the false teachers, than of their formal refutation.

μήποτε δψη αὐτοῖs ὁ θεόs, if haply God may give to them. We have adopted the reading δψη, as better attested by manuscripts than δ $\hat{\varphi}$ of the rec. text (see crit. note); but the optative here is strange (see Blass, Gram. of N. T. Greek, § 65. 8). If it is correct, it perhaps suggests the idea of the contingency as more remote than δ $\hat{\varphi}$ would indicate. $\mu\eta\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$ does not occur again in St Paul.

μετάνοιαν, repentance. It is remarkable how seldom St Paul uses this word (only again in Rom. ii. 4; 2 Cor. vii. 9, 10), although the idea of repentance and reconciliation is continually in his thoughts.

els ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείαs, unto knowledge of the truth. Cp. iii. 7 and see note on 1 Tim. ii. 4.

26. και ἀνανήψωσιν ἐκ τῆς τοῦ διαβόλου παγίδος, and may return to soberness out of the snare of the devil. ἀνανήφειν is not found again in the Greek Bible, but we have ἐκνήφειν at 1 Cor. xv. 34. The παγίς τοῦ διαβόλου here is certainly the snare laid by the devil for the feet of the unwary; the thought of man's great spiritual adversary as a dangerous personal opponent is frequently before St Paul's mind (see Eph. iv. 27, vi. 11). Compare the note on 1 Tim. iii. 6.

έζωγρημένοι ὑπ' αὐτοῦ είς τὸ ἐκείνου θέλημα. There is a difficulty here as to the reference of the pronouns αὐτοῦ and ἐκείνου. Do they refer to different subjects, and if so, how are they severally to be Commentators have given very different answers. (i.) First it may be observed that the rendering of the A.V. which refers both words to δ διάβολος, "taken captive by him at his will," is not absolutely inconsistent with the change of pronoun from αὐτός to έκείνος. We have, e.g., in Wisd. i. 16 συνθήκην έθεντο πρός αὐτόν, δτι άξιοι είσιν της έκείνου μερίδος είναι, where the two pronouns seem to refer to the same subject; and other similar examples have been cited. But, nevertheless, such a usage of pronouns is undoubtedly harsh; and further to render els as if it were identical with kard, calls for justification. We therefore decline to adopt the rendering of the A.V. unless no other will suit the context. (ii.) The Revisers refer autoû to the δούλος κυρίου of v. 24 and έκείνου to θεός of v. 25, translating "having been taken captive by the Lord's servant unto the will of God." But it is surely unnatural and far-fetched to refer a v \tau 00 to an antecedent so far back as v. 24, clause after clause having intervened.

and the main thought having changed. (iii.) We prefer to adopt the interpretation suggested in the margin of the R.V. $a\dot{\nu}\tau o\hat{\nu}$ relates to the devil, as the position of the words indicates; $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon l\nu o\nu$ relates to God, and the whole sentence runs may return to soberness from the snare of the devil (having been caught by him) unto, i.e. to do, the will of God. Thus $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\omega\gamma\rho\eta\mu\ell\nuo$ $\dot{\nu}\pi'$ $\dot{\omega}\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}$ merely affords the explanation, logically necessary for the sense, as to how these unwary ones got into the devil's snare, viz. they were taken captive by him; and $\dot{\epsilon}ls$ $\tau\hat{\nu}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon l\nu o\nu$ $\theta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\mu\alpha$ expresses the purpose which they, when rescued, shall strive to fulfil. $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\tau\hat{\eta}s$ $\tau o\hat{\nu}$ $\delta\iota\alpha\beta\dot{\nu}\lambda o\nu$ $\pi\alpha\gamma\dot{\iota}\delta\sigma$ is in strict correspondence with $\dot{\epsilon}ls$ $\tau\hat{\nu}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon l\nu o\nu$ $\theta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\mu\alpha$.

 $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho \epsilon \tilde{\omega} \nu$ only occurs elsewhere in N.T. at Luke v. 10 where it means 'to catch alive,' as it does here. In medical writers it is often used as equivalent to 'to restore to life,'

CHAPTER III.

- 1. γίνωσκε. Lachmann reads γινώσκετε with AGg, but nearly all other authorities support the singular γίνωσκε; γινώσκετε, indeed, does not yield any tolerable sense.
- 6. alxhadwriforres. So the best authorities, ACD_2^*GP &c.; the rec. text reads alxhadreforres with D_2^cEKL and adds the article rd with a few cursives; the form alxhadwrifer is Alexandrian or Macedonian and is condemned by the Atticists, as Ellicott points out, which may account for the variant as a scribe's correction.
- 8. 'Iaµ $\beta \rho \eta \hat{s}$. This is the best supported orthography; but there is a Western reading $Ma\mu\beta\rho \eta \hat{s}$ (G° d m); Origen refers to a book entitled Iamnes et Mambres liber; see exceptical note.
- 10. παρηκολούθησας. So NACG; the rec. text with D₂EKLP and nearly all cursives has the perfect παρηκολούθηκας. See exegetical note.
- 12. ζην εὐσεβῶς. This is the order of NAP, the Bohairic and the Harclean; the rec. text has εὐσεβῶς ζην with CD_2EGKL , the Peshito, the Latin versions &c., perhaps from confusion with Tit. ii. 12.
- 14. τ (rwv. So NAC*GP deg='from what teachers,' i.e. Lois and Eunice; the rec. text has τ (rvos='from whom,' i.e. St Paul himself, with CcD₂EKL f and the remaining versions. The following clause shews, independently of MS. evidence, that τ (rvov) is the true reading.
- 15. τὰ ἰερά. The rec. text has the article, following AC*D₂°EKLP; it is omitted by Tischendorf and WH as by NCbD₂*G 17. It may have come in from the τά a few words further on. Lachmann and Tregelles put it in brackets.
- 16. καί. This is omitted by the Bohairic, the Peshito, and some MSS. of the Latin Vulgate; but the authority for its insertion is overwhelming.

ἐλεγμόν. So NACG; ελεγχον, the reading of the rec. text, is found in the later uncials and in most other authorities. ελεγμόs and ελεγχος are confused in like manner in Psalms of Solomon x. 1 (see the variants in Ryle and James' edn.).

1-9. THE CORRUPTIONS OF THE FUTURE,

1. In this melancholy forecast the Apostle is describing a recrudescence of heathenism, with its attendant wickedness, which he assures Timothy will take place in the 'last days' of the Church, rather than the prevalence of forms of heresy. The crying evil of those corrupt times will be that men professing to be Christians (v. 5) will yet be conspicuous for all the worst vices of paganism. The germ of the evil may be seen in the present (v. 5), and he warns Timothy against the methods of the heretical teachers which will ultimately have such disastrous results, by perverting the truth and by enfeebling the consciences of those whom they ensuare.

τοῦτο δὲ γίνωσκε calls special attention to the prediction which follows. See crit. note.

έν ἐσχάταις ἡμέραις, in the last days, sc. of the present dispensation. The prospect of the Second Advent of Christ was a vivid reality to St Paul; he seems at times to have expected it soon (esp. see 1 Thess. i. 10, 2 Thess. ii.), but at any rate he was not in the habit of contemplating the existing order of things as permanent. For the phrase ἐν ἐσχάταις ἡμέραις, cp. 2 Pet. iii. 3 and Jude 18; and see note on 1 Tim. iv. 1.

ένστήσονται καιροί χαλεποί, will ensue troublesome times, seasons of trial when it will be hard to keep the path of duty. χαλεπός only occurs again in N.T. at Matt. viii. 28 (of 'fierce' demoniacs).

2. ξσονται γάρ οἱ ἀνθρωποι κ.τ.λ., for men will be &c., sc. (as the presence of the article shews) the generality of men, the members generally of the Christian communities. The adjectives which follow are not arrayed in any exact logical sequence; but, nevertheless, as in the somewhat similar catalogue of Rom. i. 29—31, connexion may be traced between certain of the vices which are enumerated.

φίλαυτοι, lovers of self. The word does not occur elsewhere in the LXX. or N.T. In Greek thought of an earlier age φιλαυτία had a good sense, and was expressive of the self-respect which a good man has for himself (see Aristotle Nic. Eth. rx. 8. 7). But a deeper philosophy, recognising the fact of man's Fall, transferred the moral centre of gravity from self to God; once the sense of sin is truly felt, self-respect becomes an inadequate basis for moral theory. So Philo (de Prof. 15) speaks of those who are φίλαυτοι δη μάλλου η φιλόθεοι, in a spirit quite like that of St Paul.

φιλάργυροι, lovers of money. The adjective only occurs again at Luke xvi. 14. See the note on φιλαργυρία, 1 Tim. vi. 10.

PAST, EPP.

άλαζόνες, ὑπερήφανοι, boastful, haughty, the former term referring specially to words, the latter to thoughts. The words are coupled again in the catalogue at Rom. i. 30 (also by Clem. Rom. § 16) Trench (Synonyms § 29) has an admirable essay on the difference between them, and on the usage of both words in Greek literature.

βλάσφημοι, railers, or evil-speakers, in reference to their fellow men rather than to God. This is the regular force of βλάσφημοs and the cognate words in the Pastoral Epistles.

γονεῦσιν ἀπειθεῖs, disobedient to parents, a characteristic also mentioned in Rom. i. 30. Cp. what St Paul had said about duty to a widowed parent in 1 Tim. v. 8.

aχάριστοι, without gratitude. This follows naturally from the last mentioned characteristic, for the blackest form of ingratitude is that which repudiates the claim of parents to respect and obedience. The adjective ἀχάριστος only occurs again once in N.T., at Luke vi. 35.

άνόσιοι. See note on 1 Tim, i. 9.

3. acropyo, without natural affection; the adjective only occurs here and in the parallel catalogue Rom. i. 31.

ασπονδοι, implacable. The word does not occur again in the Greek Bible (it is an interpolation in Rom. i. 31), but is frequent in good authors.

Suáβoλot, slanderers, or false accusers. See on 1 Tim. iii. 6, 11. The margin of the A.V. suggests here and at Tit. i. 3 the rendering 'makebates,' i.e. 'those who make baits or contentions.'

dκρατείς, without self-control, in the widest sense, but more particularly in regard to bodily lusts. The adjective only occurs again in the Greek Bible at Prov. xxvii. 20, but St Paul speaks of dκρασdα in 1 Cor. vii. 5 and we have \dot{e} γκρατ \dot{q} s in Tit. 1. 8. The dκρατ \dot{q} s is distinguished from the dκόλαστος or deliberate profligate, by the circumstance that he would like to do what is right but finds temptation too strong for him. He is weak and easily led, a man who might well say of himself "Video meliora proboque, deteriora sequor."

ανήμεροι, fierce. The word is απ. λεγ. in the N.T. and LXX.

dφιλάγαθοι, without love for the good. The word does not seem to occur elsewhere in Greek literature, but we have φιλάγαθος (Tit. i. 8) in Wisd. vii. 22 and in Philo.

4. προδόται, traitors, sc. treacherous in their dealings with their fellows. Cp. Luke vi. 16, where the word is used of Judas, and Acts vii. 52. It is not necessary to suppose any reference to the betrayal of fellow Christians in times of persecution.

προπετεις, headstrong; cp. Acts xix. 36.

πετυφωμένοι, besotted, a form of conceit which is often accompanied by hasty and headstrong action. See on 1 Tim. iii. 6.

φιλήδονοι μάλλον ή φιλόθεοι. Both words are $\tilde{a}\pi$. $\lambda\epsilon\gamma$. in the N.T. and ϕ ιλόθεοι does not occur in the LXX. After Wetstein few com-

mentators have omitted to cite an interesting parallel from Philo (de Agric. § 19), φιλήδονον και φιλοπαθή μάλλον ή φιλάρετον και φιλόθεον ἀνὰ κράτος ἐργάσηται.

5. Έχοντες μόρφωσιν εὐσεβείας, having the form of godliness. See on 1 Tim. ii. 2.

μόρφωτε is an affectation of, or aiming at, the μορφή of godliness, but not the μορφή itself (ep. Rom. ii. 20). μορφή is that which manifests the essence or inward nature of anything (see Phil. ii. 6) as opposed to the $\sigma\chi\tilde{\eta}\mu a$, the outward fashion or bearing; this the semipagan teachers of the future will not have. The melancholy thing is that they will affect to have it, although they have repudiated its power over the heart and life (Tit. i. 16), wherein is the real uniqueness of the Gospel (1 Cor. iv. 20). For this use of $d\rho r \ell o \mu a$ ep. 1 Tim. v. 8.

καl τούτους ἀποτρέπου, from these turn away; the καl adds force and speciality to τούτους. Cp. 1 Tim. vi. 20 where ἐκτρέπομαι is used in a like context; ἀποτρέπειν is a ἄπ. λεγ. in the N.T. The injunction shews that these corruptions of the Gospel were not merely contemplated as about to arise in the future, but as already a present danger. This is clearly brought out by the next clause ἐκ τούτων γάρ είσων κ.τ.λ.

6. ἐνδύνοντες εἰς τὰς οἰκίας, who creep into houses. The word ἐνδύνοντες is a ἄπ. λεγ. in N.T.; but we have παρεισεδύησαν in Jude 4.

aiχμαλωτίζοντες γυναικάρια, who take captive silly women; the diminutive form expressing contempt. aiχμαλωτίζω is Pauline; cp. Rom. vii. 23; 2 Cor. x, 5, and see critical note.

It has been suggested that this characteristic of the false teachers points to their affinity with the later Gnostic heretics, among whom women played an important part. But (as was noticed long ago by Jerome Ep. ad Ctesiphontem 133. 4 in a remarkable passage) this is a feature of all heretical systems and has its root deep down in human nature. Women, says Hooker, "are deemed apter to serve as instruments and helps in the cause. Apter they are, through the eagerness of their affection, that maketh them, which way soever they take, diligent in drawing their husbands, children, servants, friends and allies the same way; apter through that natural inclination unto pity, which breedeth in them a greater readiness than in men to be bountiful toward their preachers who suffer want; apter through sundry opportunities, which they especially have, to procure encouragements for their brethren; finally apter through a singular delight which they take in giving very large and particular intelligence, how all near about them stand affected as concerning the same cause" (Eccl. Pol. Preface iii. 13). And so a propounder of novel opinions often gains a hearing through having first attracted the attention of women.

σεσωρευμένα άμαρτίαις, laden with sins; and so they readily give an ear to any impostor who will promise them ease of conscience; they seek peace in spiritual dissipation. The verb σωρεύειν only occurs once again in N.T., in Rom. xii. 20 (a quotation from Prov. xxv. 22).

έπιθυμίαις ποικίλαις, lusts of all kinds, including not only the desires of the flesh, but the wandering and undisciplined movements of the spirit. ποικίλος does not occur in St Paul outside the Pastorals (cp. Tit. iii. 3). The N.T. meaning of the word 'varied,' 'manifold' is unknown to classical Greek, where it signifies 'elaborate,' 'complicated,' See Hort on 1 Pet. i. 6.

7. πάντοτε μανθάνοντα, ever learning; they are full of morbid curiosity.

μηδέποτε. The tendency of the later language (see Blass, Grammar of N.T. Greek, § 75. 5) is to employ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ rather than $\dot{\phi}$, and especially with the participle. Hence we cannot lay any stress on the conditional negative $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\phi} \dot{\phi} \sigma \tau \dot{\tau}$ being used here in place of $\dot{\phi} \dot{\phi} \dot{\phi} \dot{\tau} \dot{\tau} \dot{\tau} \dot{\tau}$.

eis ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείαs, to a knowledge of the truth; see note on 1 Tim. ii. 4.

ἐλθεῖν δυνάμενα, they are really unable to gain the truth, to such a strait have they brought themselves. Their spiritual sense is dulled, through overmuch curiosity as to the solution of unpractical problems of speculative theology.

It is no wonder that their silly disciples cannot arrive at a perfect knowledge of the truth, which their false teachers withstand. And these latter had prototypes in the earlier history of Israel.

ον τρόπον δε 'Ιαννής και 'Ιαμβρής άντέστησαν Μωϋσεί. For like as Jannes and Jambres withstood Moses. Whether St Paul derived these names which he gives to the Egyptian magicians who 'withstood Moses' (Exod. vii. 11, 22) from unwritten tradition or from some book now lost to us, it is impossible to say. Origen held (in Matt. § 117) that he was quoting from an apocryphal work entitled Iamnes et Mambres liber (see crit. note), which is probably the same as a book no longer extant, condemned in the Gelasian decree of 494 under the title Poenitentia Iamnae et Mambrae. The names are found in the Targum of Jonathan on Exod. vii. 11. Jewish Haggadoth also described them as sons of Balaam, who either perished in the Red Sea or were killed in the tumult after the episode of the golden calf. The name Jannes meets us several times. E.g. Pliny (Hist. Nat. xxx. 1) has "Est et alia factio a Mose et Ianne et Iotape ac Iudaeis pendens, sed multis millibus annorum post Zoroastrem." In the second century Apuleius (Apol. p. 544) in like manner mentions Moses and Jannes as Magi who lived after Zoroaster.

ούτως και οὐτοι ἀνθίστανται τῆ ἀληθεία. So do these also withstand the truth. We can hardly lay stress on ούτως as a scribing to the false teachers pretension to magical arts such as the Egyptian magi practised, although γόητες of v. 13 might support this view. ἀνθιστάναι is used of Elymas the sorecrer in a similar context in Acts xiii. 8.

ἄνθρωποι κατεφθαρμένοι τον νοῦν, men corrupted in their mind. See on 1 Tim. vi. 5. καταφθείρειν is not found elsewhere in N.T., but is a LXX, word,

αδόκιμοι περί τὴν πίστιν, reprobate concerning the faith. For the phrase περί τὴν πίστιν see note on 1 Tim. i. 19. ἀδόκιμος we have again in Tit. i. 16; cp. Rom. i. 28; 1 Cor. ix. 27; 2 Cor. xiii. 5; it is a favourite word with St Paul. See on 1 Tim. i. 5.

- 9. ἀλλ' οὐ προκόψουσιν ἐπὶ πλεῖον. Notwithstanding they shall not make further progress, because the hollowness of their pretensions is speedily disclosed. See ii. 16 above.
- ή γὰρ ἄνοια αὐτῶν κ.τ.λ., for their senseless folly, &c. ἄνοια only occurs in N. T. here and at Luke vi. 11.

ἔκδηλος ἔσται πάσιν, shall be openly manifest to all. Truth must prevail in the end, and imposture cannot permanently deceive. ἔκδηλος only occurs again (N.T. and LXX.) in 3 Macc. iii. 19, vi. 5.

ώς καλ ή ἐκείνων ἐγένετο, even as the folly of the Egyptian magicians became manifest at last; ep. Exod. viii. 18, ix. 11.

10—14. TIMOTHY IS COMMENDED FOR HIS LOYALTY AND ENCOURAGED TO ENDURE.

10. σθ δε. But thou; so in contrast with the vagaries of the ἐτεροδιδάσκαλοι.

παρηκολούθησας, didst follow. The perfect παρηκολούθηκας which is read by some authorities (see crit. note) would clearly indicate a continual following of St Paul; but the acrist does not exclude this. In the N.T. the acrist is frequently used where the action is not conceived as terminated, and where Classical Greek would prefer the perfect, e.g. Matt. xxiii. 2; Mark iii. 21.

μου τῆ διδασκαλία, my doctrine; see note on 1 Tim. i. 10.

τη ἀγωγη, conduct, manner of life. Cp. 1 Cor. iv. 17 where it is said of Timothy δs ὑμᾶς ἀναμνήσει τὰς ὁδούς μου τὰς ἐν Χριστῷ. The word ἀγωγή does not occur elsewhere in the N.T., but is found in Esther ii. 20 and 2 Macc, iv. 16 &c.

τῆ προθέσει, purpose. This word is always used elsewhere by St Paul for the purposes of God (cp. 2 Tim. i. 9); with the usage here cp. Acts xi. 23.

τη πίστε, faith, i.e. in the widest sense, indicating his attitude to the Christian revelation generally.

τη μακροθυμία, long-suffering, i.e. not only in respect of the false teachers, but in respect of trouble and affliction of every kind; see note on 1 Tim. i. 16.

τη ἀγάπη, love, without which μακροθυμία would be impossible; cp. η ἀγάπη μακροθυμεί (1 Cor. xiii. 4). For the history of the word ἀγάπη see note on 1 Tim. i. 5.

τη ὑπομονη, brave patience. See on 1 Tim. vi. 11, where ὑπομονη, as here, follows ἀγάπη in an enumeration of Christian graces, and also note on Tit. ii. 2. The confident assurance with which the

Apostle here claims these graces as his own is in marked contrast with the language of humility which he uses about himself in earlier letters (see on 1 Tim. i. 15); but it must be remembered that he is here writing within sight of death. There can now be no thought of boasting or pride; but with his eyes fixed on the crown laid up for him at the end of his course (iv. 6—8) he speaks frankly out of his experience to his son in the faith about the graces which a Christian apostle most sorely needs.

11. τοις διωγμοίς, τοις παθήμασιν, persecutions, sufferings, which the mention of ὑπομονή has suggested. He dwells on them parenthetically in this and the next verse.

οιά μοι ἐγένετο, such as befell me; he only gives illustrations, as it were, of what a Christian apostle has to expect.

èν 'Αντιοχεία κ.τ.λ. In Antioch (sc. of Pisidia, Acts xiii. 50), in Iconium (Acts xiv. 2), in Lystra (Acts xiv. 19). These persecutions are selected for mention, not necessarily because they were the first which St Paul had to endure, or the most severe (for he suffered worse things at Philippi), but because they were especially well known to Timothy, who was himself of Lystra (Acts xvi. 2), and must have been matter of common talk in that district when Timothy was a youth.

οίους διωγμούς ὑπήνεγκα, such persecutions as I endured, a supplementary clause calling special attention to the fact that these particular persecutions had been endured by him.

καl ἐκ πάντων κ.τ.λ. And (yet, despite the greatness of the danger) out of all the Lord (sc. Christ) delivered me. See note on iv. 16.

- 12. και πάντες δέ, and, moreover, all. For και...δέ cp. Rom. xi. 23; 1 Tim. iii. 10.
- of θέλοντες, who are minded, expressing not a mere passing desire, but the continual bent of the will.

ζην εὐσεβῶs. For the order of words see the critical note. εὐσεβῶs only occurs again in the Greek Bible at Tit. ii. 12 (which see) and 4 Macc. vii. 21. See on 1 Tim. ii. 2 for the meaning of εὐσέβεια and its cognates.

έν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ, in Christ Jesus, the sphere of the godly life. 'Life in Christ' is the perpetual theme of St Paul's Epistles, and, however difficult the phrase may be to interpret, it is impossible to doubt that he meant more by it than life lived in obedience to the precepts of Christ, or under the influence of the Gospel of Christ. There is a deep sense in which the baptized believer is in Christ, who as the Incarnate Word took human nature into Himself.

διωχθήσονται, shall be persecuted. And in such moments of persecution the promise will be recalled, μακάριοι οἱ δεδιωγμένοι Ενεκεν δικαιοσύνης, δτι αὐτῶν ἐστὶν ἡ βασιλεία τῶν οὐρανῶν (Matt. v. 10); ep. John xv. 20.

13. πονηροί δὲ ἄνθρωποι, but (sc. in contrast with those of θέλοντες ζην εὐσεβώς) evil men. Cp. 2 Thess. iii, 2.

και γόητες, and impostors, lit. wizards. The word does not occur again in the Greek Bible, but we have γοητεία in 2 Macc. xii. 24 in the sense of 'crafty guile.' Its use here is no doubt suggested by the comparison in v. 8 of the ἐτεροδιδάσκαλοι to the Egyptian magicians, Jannes and Jambres. It would seem from its employment here that the 'false teachers' whom the Apostle had in his mind professed magical arts, though this is not certain, inasmuch as γόηs is not necessarily equivalent to μάγοs. (See Introd. p. liv.)

προκόψουσιν ἐπὶ τὸ χεῖρον, will make advance towards the worse. This is not contradictory of v. 9 (which see), for here it is the intensity, as there the diffusion, of the evil which is in question.

πλανώντες και πλανώμενοι, deceiving and being deceived. The two generally go together. Few men admit to themselves that they are deliberate impostors; the practice of deceit is intolerable unless it be partly hidden from the actor by self-deceit. And, further, πλανώμενοι is strictly passive, not middle; the deceivers may have themselves been deceived by the teachers who seduced them from the middle way of truth. Cp. Tit. iii. 3.

14. στ δε μένε κ.τ.λ., but, in contrast with all such, do thou abide in the things which thou hast learned and hast been assured of; èν οῖς ἔμαθες being for ἐν ἐκείνοις ᾶ ἔμαθες. ἐντοτώθης is not equivalent to ἀπιστεύθης as the Vulgate et credita sunt tibi takes it; πιστοῦν (a LXX. word not found elsewhere in the N.T.) is to convince, to assure.

eiδώs παρὰ τίνων ἔμαθες, knowing, as thou dost, from whom thou learnedst them. The critical note shews that there has been a diversity of opinion as to the teachers of Timothy whom the Apostle had in his mind; but it seems plain from the next verse that the primary reference must be to Lois and Eunice, Timothy's earliest instructors, although it is quite possible that St Paul may have also thought of himself as Timothy's father in God.

15-17. THE USES OF HOLY SCRIPTURE.

15. καὶ ὅτι, and that, not 'because'; ὅτι depends upon εἰδώς.

ἀπὸ βρέφονs, from a babe; cp. i. 5. It was the custom to teach Jewish children the law at a very early age, and to cause them to commit parts of it to memory.

rad iepd γράμματα oßas, thou hast known the sacred writings. The reading (see critical note) is uncertain; if we omit the article before lepá, it would be necessary to translate 'thou didst know sacred writings,' γράμματα being used as at John v. 47, vii. 16. But τὰ lepà γράμματα is a quasi-technical expression in Philo (Vit. Mos. III. 39 and Fragm. in Exod. Mangey's ed. II. 657, and cp. de Vit. cont. 3) and in Josephus (Ant. Proem. 3 and x. 10. 4) for the Scriptures of the Old Testament¹, and, when this is borne in mind, the manuscript attestation to τά seems amply sufficient.

Of Christian writers, the first to apply this phrase to the N.T. is Clement of Alexandria (Strom. 1. 20 § 98); he is also the first to call the N.T. θεόπνευστος (Strom. VII. 16 § 101).

This is the only place in the N.T. where the epithet lepos, sacer, 'hallowed' or 'sacred,' as contrasted with profane (a quite different adjective from $\ddot{a}\gamma los$, sanctus, 'holy,' which points to the work of the Divine Spirit), is applied to Scripture; but it is frequently so applied both before and after the Apostolic age. Cp. e.g. 2 Maco. viii. 23, $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ lepàr $\beta l \beta \lambda o \nu$, and Clem. Rom. § 53 $\tau \dot{a} s$ lepàs $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{a} s$ &c.

τὰ δυνάμενά σε σοφίσαι είς σωτηρίαν, which are able to make thee wise unto salvation. The present participle δυνάμενα expresses the continuous and abiding power of Scripture; it is not only fitted σοφίζειν νήπια (Ps. xix. 8), but it is as valuable to Timothy the bishop as to Timothy the child: cp. Ps. cxix. 98. The words els σωτηρίαν are important, as clearly expressing the kind of wisdom which Scripture supplies. The significance of the O.T. is not that it contains an account of the creation of man or the history of the fortunes of Israel; its aim is not knowledge, whether scientific or historical, but wisdom, and that els σωτηρίαν. σωτηρία, the Salvation of man, is the final purpose of the whole Bible. On this great theme it tells enough to make men wise; it contains "all things necessary to salvation" (Art. vi.), and so candidates for the priesthood are required at ordination to declare in the words of the Apostle their persuasion that "the holy Scriptures contain sufficiently all doctrine required of necessity for eternal salvation through faith in Jesus Christ." "If we be ignorant," say the Translators of our A.V. to their readers, "they will instruct us; if out of the way, they will bring us home; if out of order, they will reform us; if in heaviness, comfort us; if dull, quicken us; if cold, inflame us. Tolle, lege; tolle, lege."

διὰ πίστεως τῆς ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ. Faith in Christ Jesus (see 1 Tim. iii. 13) is the instrument, as it were, through which the σωτηρία, expounded in Scripture, may be grasped. And this limiting clause provides at once the link between O.T. and N.T., so that what St Paul said to Timothy about the O.T. may also be applied to the N.T., "the difference between them consisting in this, that the Old did make wise by teaching salvation through Christ that should come, the New by teaching that Christ the Saviour is come" (Hooker, E. P. I. xiv. 4).

16. πᾶσα γραφή θεόπνευστος κ.τ.λ. We have to fix the meaning of γραφή here, before we examine the construction. Is it simply equivalent to 'writing' or does it mean 'Scripture,' in the special sense in which that word was applied in the Apostolic age to the O.T. as a whole or to passages from it? Despite the absence of the article, the latter meaning seems determined, not only by the context, but by the usage of the word throughout the N.T. In all the passages (some fifty) in which the word occurs (in four without the article, viz. John xix. 37 ἐτέρα γραφή; Rom. i. 2 γραφαί ἄγιαι; Rom. xvi. 26 γραφαί προφητικαί; 2 Pet. i. 20 πᾶσα προφητικά γραφῆs) it is invariably applied to the O.T., and we therefore must apply it thus in the verse before us. The next point is the true rendering of πᾶσα γραφή. The absence of the article assures us that we must render 'every Scripture' and not

(with the A.V.) 'all Scripture'; the thought is not of the O.T. regarded as an organic whole, but of every individual 'Scripture' therein.

We come then to the construction of the sentence, the primary question being. Is θεόπνευστος an epithet attached to the subject γραφή, or is it a predicate? The A.V. and some modern interpreters (Calvin, de Wette, &c.) take it in the latter way, and there is no grammatical objection to the translation "Every Scripture is inspired by God and is profitable &c.," the kat being simply copulative. But to introduce at this point a direct statement of the θεοπνευστία of the O.T., which is not here questioned, seems quite irrelevant to the context. V. 16 is strictly parallel to v. 15; the lepà γράμματα are able to make wise unto salvation; [for] every Scripture inspired by God is profitable also for &c., kat having an ascensive force (cp. 1 Tim. iv. 4). It is the profitableness of the O.T. which St Paul would press upon Timothy, not its inspiration, of which he had been assured from his youth. It is better, therefore, to follow the interpretation of Origen, the Vulgate and Syriac Versions, Luther &c. (also adopted in the older English translations of Wiclif, Tyndale, Coverdale and Cranmer, and in our R.V.), and to render every Scripture inspired by God is profitable also for teaching &c.

Θεόπνενστος does not occur again in LXX. or N.T., but is a common Greek word; it is well rendered by the Vulgate divinitus inspirata, its meaning being passive, inspired by God, not active. It supplies no theory as to the manner or measure of inspiration, but felicitously sums up the truth expressed in 2 Pet. i. 21, ὑπὸ πνεόματος ἀγίου

φερόμενοι ελάλησαν ἀπὸ θεοῦ ἄνθρωποι.

και ώφελιμος. See the critical note, and cp. 1 Tim. iv. 8.

πρὸς διδασκαλίαν, for teaching, so, for teaching him who reads it. It is the instruction which it gives to the individual Christian, not the help that it affords to him whose office it is to teach others, that is here in question. For διδασκαλία see on 1 Tim. i. 10.

πρὸς ἐλεγμόν, for reproof, or confutation; cp. John xvi. 8. The word does not occur again in N.T.; see critical note. Keble expresses the main idea well:

"Eye of God's word! where'er we turn
Ever upon us! thy keen gaze
Can all the depths of sin discern,
Unravel every bosom's maze!."

πρὸς ἐπανόρθωσιν, for correction, sc. in reference to conduct. Like ἐλεγμός, this is ἄπ. λεγ. in N.T. but is a LXX. word.

πρὸς παιδίαν την ἐν δικαιοσύνη, for discipline which is in righteousness, δικαιοσύνη (see on 1 Tim. vi. 11) being the atmosphere in which the discipline is exercised.

17. Υνα άρτιος ή ό τοῦ θεοῦ ἄνθρωπος, that the man of God may be complete. The phrase 'man of God' is used quite generally, as in

¹ Christian Year, St Bartholomew's Day.

Philo (de mut. nom. 3), of any devout person, and has no reference to Timothy's official position; see further on 1 Tim. vi. 11. dprior is a common Greek word, but does not happen to occur again in the Greek Bible.

πρὸς πῶν ἔργον dyaθὸν ἔξηρτισμένος, furnished completely unto every good work. ἐξαρτίζω is not used elsewhere by St Paul, but cp. Acts xxi. 5. See on ch. ii. 21 above.

CHAPTER IV.

1. διαμαρτύρομαι. The rec. text inserts οὖν έγώ after διαμ. with D_2 KL.

Xρ. Ἰησοῦ. The rec. text has τοῦ Κυρίου Ἰησοῦ Χρ. with D₂cEKL.

κρίνειν. WH put κρίνει in their margin on the authority of G 17 and a few other cursives; κρίνει is the reading adopted in all the early Creeds.

- kal. For kal before $\tau \eta \nu \in \pi \iota \phi \acute{a} \nu \in \alpha$ rec. text has kará with $\aleph^o D_a \circ EKLP$ and the Syriac versions; but kal $\aleph^+ \Lambda CD_a \circ G$ 17, the Bohairic and most forms of the Latin versions. kará is a correction of the less easy kal.
- 2. ἐπιτίμησον, παρακάλεσον. This, the rec. order, is adopted by WH and Lachmann with NACD₂EKLP. The order παρακάλεσον, ἐπιτίμησον is followed by Tischendorf in agreement with NAG, the Bohairic and the Latin versions; WH give it a place in their margin.
- 3. τds ίδιας ἐπιθυμίας. The rec. text has τὰs ἐπιθυμίας τὰs ἰδίας, following KL.
- 6. τῆς ἀναλύστώς μου. So NACGP 17: rec. text has τῆς ἐμῆς ἀναλ. with D_oEKL &c.
- 7. τὸν καλὸν ἀγῶνα. The rec. text has τὸν ἀγῶνα τὸν καλόν, the MS. authorities being divided almost as in the previous verse.
- 10. ἐγκατέλιπεν. The rec. text has the agrist here as in vv. 13, 16, 20, ἀπέλιπον, ἐγκατέλιπον; the imperfect ἐγκατέλειπεν &c. in these places is adopted by WH, with the agrist in the margin. The agrist, which we adopt with Tischendorf, have for their main support only ND_2^* as against ACD_2^*GLP for the imperfect; but the itacism $\epsilon\iota$ for ι is very common, and the agrists seem required for the sense.

Γαλατίαν. This is the rec. text, and is adopted by WH. Tischendorf and Tregelles read Γ αλλίαν with &C, a few cursives, and some MSS. of the Vulgate; apparently an 'Alexandrian' reading. Γ αλατία was early applied to Gaul, and as it was so applied in interpretation of this passage (for which see exegetical note), the gloss Γ αλλίαν naturally crept into the text.

13. dπέλιπον. See above on v. 10.

14. ἀποδώσει. This, the reading of ACD_2*E*G &c., must certainly be preferred to the rec. dποδώη of $D_2°KL$, which appears to have come in from a reminiscence of i. 16, 18,

139

- 15. diveoth. So N*ACD2*G 17. The rec. diveother is supported by N°D3°EKLP &c.
- 16. παρεγένετο. The rec. text has the compound form $\sigma \nu \mu \pi a \rho$. with $\aleph^c D_2 EKLP$; the shorter form is preserved in $\aleph^* ACG$ 17.

έγκατέλιπον. See on v. 10.

- 17. ἀκούσωσιν. The rec. text has ἀκούση with KL.
- 18. program. The rec. text prefixes kal; it is omitted by NACD₂* 17, the Bohairic and the Latin versions.
- 19. 'Ακύλαν. The cursives 46 and 109 are reported to add here: Λέκτραν τὴν γυναίκα αὐτοῦ καὶ Σιμαίαν καὶ Ζήνωνα τοὺς υἰοὺς αὐτοῦ. These are the names of the wife and sons of Onesiphorus according to the Acts of Paul and Thecla; the clause is evidently a gloss on τὸν 'Ονησιφόρου οἶκον which has got into the text.
 - 20. ἀπέλιπον. See on v. 10.
- 21. πάντες. ** 17 omit this word; and it is accordingly placed in brackets by WH.
- 22. δ κύριος. The rec. text adds Ἰησοῦς Χριστός with № D₂EKLP, the Latin, Syriac and Bohairic versions. № G 17, which we have seen to be a strong combination in this Ep., omit these words as in text.

The rec. text adds at end ἀμήν with κοDaEKLP and most versions;

it is omitted by N*ACG 17 f g.

The subscription printed in the received text is: πρὸς Τιμόθεον δευτέρα, τῆς Ἐφεσίων ἐκκλησίας πρῶτον ἐπίσκοπον χειροτονηθέντα, ἐγράφη ἀπὸ Ῥώμης, ὅτε ἐκ δευτέρου παρέστη Παῦλος τῷ Καίσαρι Νέρωνι. This is found substantially in KL and many other MSS. &C 17 have simply πρὸς Τιμόθεον, D_2 E have πρ. Τιμοθ. β΄ ἐπληρώθη, G has ἐτελέσθη πρ. Τιμ. β΄, P has πρ. Τιμ. β΄ ἐγράφει ἀπὸ Ῥώμης. A has πρ. Τ. β΄ ἐγράφη ἀπὸ Λαοδικείας. See Introd. p. xxxii.

1-5. CHARGE III. BE DILIGENT IN THE DUTIES OF YOUR OFFICE.

- 1. διαμαρτύρομαι ἐνόπιον τοῦ θεοῦ, I solemnly charge thee in the sight of God. See note on 1 Tim. v. 21, and cp. the crit. note above. The oath is fourfold: (1) God, (2) Christ, (3) His Second Coming, (4) His Kingdom.
- και Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ μέλλοντος κρίνειν ζῶντας και νεκρούς. See the passages cited in note on 1 Tim. v. 21, and cp. the crit. note above. The clause κρίναι ζῶντας και νεκρούς is found in all the early Creeds, which reproduce the words of this verse; compare Acts x. 42, 1 Pet. iv. 5. The 'quick and the dead' are to be understood literally (cp. 1 Thess. iv. 16, 17); refined interpretations which explain the words of sptritual life and death are quite out of place and unnecessary.

- και την ἐπιφάνειαν αὐτοῦ, and by His appearing, "per adventum ipsius" (Vulg.). την ἐπιφάνειαν and την βασιλείαν in the next clauses are accusatives of adjuration (as at 1 Thess. v. 27); cp. Deut. iv. 26. Through a misunderstanding of this, the rec. text has the correction κατά for και: see crit. note. For ἐπιφάνεια see on 1 Tim. vi. 14.
- και τὴν βασιλείαν αὐτοῦ, and by His Kingdom, the repetition of αὐτοῦ adding emphasis and forbidding us to regard the expression as a hendiadys, 'the manifestation of His Kingdom' or the like.
- 2. κήρυξον κ.τ.λ. In the parallel passage, 1 Tim. v. 21, διαμαρτύρομαι κ.τ.λ. is followed by ba with the subjunctive; here it is followed by a series of a orist imperatives. For such general precepts the present imperative is usual, but here we have the aorist, as the thought is of a line of conduct to be terminated at a definite epoch which is in view¹, viz. the Second Advent of Christ.

κήρυξον τὸν λόγον, proclaim the word, so. of God (ii. 9). ὁ λόγον is here used for ὁ λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ, the Divine message of the Gospel, as in Gal. vi. 6, Col. iv. 3 (see Additional Note on 1 Tim. iv. 5).

ἐπίστηθι εὐκαίρως ἀκαίρως, be instant in season, out of season, so. not only in regard to preaching, but to all the duties of your important office. Paul does not use εὐκαίρως elsewhere (but ep. 1 Cor. xvi. 12 εὐκαιρεῖσθαι), nor ἀκαίρως (but ep. Phil. iv. 10 ἀκαιρεῖσθαι); the oxymoron is rendered well by the Latins, opportune, importune. The precept must be interpreted in practice so as not to do violence to that other precept μὴ δῶτε τὸ ἄγιον τοῦς κυσίν (Matt. vii. 6).

čλεγζον, reprove, rather than 'bring to the proof,' the marginal alternative of the R.V.; cp. 1 Tim. v. 20. The apparent parallelism between the clauses of this verse and those of iii. 16 is not to be pressed.

έπιτίμησον, παρακάλεσον, rebuke, exhort (see crit. note for the order of words). The verb ἐπιτιμῶν is not used again by St Paul (cp. 2 Cor. ii. 6 ἐπιτιμῶν), but it is the regular N.T. word for 'to rebuke,' For παρακαλεῦν, παράκλησις, see on 1 Tim. i. 3, iv. 13,

- ἐν πασῆ μακροθυμία. See note on 1 Tim. i. 16; this and the following διδαχη qualify the three preceding imperatives. Rebuke must be ἐν μακροθυμία, it being borne in mind that ἡ ἀγάπη μακροθυμέι (1 Cor. xiii. 4).
- καl διδαχη̂. Rebuke and exhortation must be accompanied with teaching, or they will be unprofitable. Evil and falsehood are less effectually dispelled by controversy than by the presentation of the good and the true.
- 8. ξόται γὰρ καιρὸς κ.τ.λ., for the time will come &c.; there is need of zeal and instant labour, for the time will come when men will not listen to the truth. Work therefore while it is day.

¹ See this illustrated in Blass, Grammar of N. T. Greek, § 58.2.

δτε της ύγιαινούσης διδασκαλίας ούκ ανέξονται, when they will not endure the wholesome doctrine, when there will be a general impatience of the dogmas of the Christian revelation. For 'the wholesome doctrine' see note on 1 Tim. i. 10.

άλλα κατα τας ιδίας ἐπιθυμίας, but after their own arbitrary lusts. tδίας expresses the caprice with which the men of the future will catch at new theories.

έαυτοῖς ἐπισωρεύσουσιν διδασκάλους, will heap to themselves teachers, so, rejecting the teaching of the Church through her ministers. Again the idea of personal caprice is suggested by ἐαυτοῖς. ἐπισωρεύευ, from ἐπὶ, σωρός a mound (cp. iii. 6), is to heap together, and is (perhaps) used in an ironical sense. It is ἄπ. λεγ. in the Greek Bible, but is found in Plutarch and other good writers.

κνηθόμενοι την ἀκοήν, having itching ears, the admirable rendering of the English versions, ultimately derived from Wielif; την ἀκοήν is the accus, of nearer definition. κνήθεων (not found elsewhere in the Greek Bible) is 'to scratch,' and in the passive 'to be scratched, or tickled.' The phrase ironically describes those persons (to be found in every age and country) who desire to hear (note that it is not said of the teachers) what is new and piquant, rather than what is true.

- 4. Kal ἀπὸ μὲν τῆς ἀληθείας τὴν ἀκοὴν ἀποστρέψουσιν κ.τ.λ., and will turn away their ears from the truth, and will turn themselves aside to the myths. On the μῦθοι see the notes on 1 Tim. i. 4, iv. 7; the definite article here suggests that it is not myths or fables in general which are in the writer's mind, but the myths against which he has previously warned Timothy, as part of the stock-in-trade of the heretical teachers of the future. For the verb $\epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \epsilon \pi e \sigma \theta a$ see on 1 Tim. i. 6, v. 15.
- 5. σθ δὲ νῆφε ἐν πᾶσιν, but do you, in contrast with these aspirants after novelty (cp. iii. 10 above), be sober in all things. νήφειν, 'to be sober,' (not 'to be watchful,') is a Pauline word; cp. 1 Thess. ν. 6, 8 and 1 Tim. iii. 2 νηφάλιος, 2 Tim. ii. 26 ἀνανήφειν. So Ignatius writes to Polycarp (§ 2) νῆφε ὡς θεοῦ ἀθλητής, sobriety being an important preparatory discipline for him who would be victor in the Christian struggle. It is possible that the same idea is here behind St Paul's words, for νν. 7, 8 take up the idea of the Christian course as an ἀγών and a δρόμος; but it is not required by the immediate context.

κακοπάθησον, suffer hardness. Cp. ch. i. 8, ii. 3.

ἔργον ποίησον εὖαγγελιστοῦ, do the work of an evangelist. The title εὐαγγελιστής is only found in N.T. here, Acts xxi. 8; Eph. iv. 11; and it is most probable that it is used of one who performs a distinct work, rather than of one who is a member of a distinct order. In the list at Eph. iv. 11, evangelists are mentioned after apostles and prophets, and before pastors and teachers, which would suggest that their function was intermediate between that of the apostles and the local ministers of the Christian communities. It was, in short, κηρύσσεν τὸν λόγον (v. 2), 'to preach the gospel,' to tell the facts of

the Christian story. As a distinct order it does not appear in the Apostolic Fathers or the Didache, and we are not to suppose that the office of Timothy was in all respects like that of a $eva\gamma e\lambda u \tau \eta s$ of later times, when the evangelist was identical with the $dua\gamma u u \tau \eta s$ or reader. In the half-organized condition of the Church which the Pastoral Epistles depict, there would necessarily be an overlapping of function, and the duty of 'preaching the word' would devolve on occasion on every Christian, from the Apostles down. It was truly said "Omnis apostolus evangelista, non omnis evangelista apostolus." And thus Timothy was directed, as a part (though not the whole) of his duty, to 'do the work of an evangelist,' $eva\gamma\gamma e\lambda l_s^2 e\sigma \theta a_t$, which St Paul counted the main purpose of his own commission (1 Cor. i. 17).

τὴν διακονίαν σου πληροφόρησον, fulfil thy ministry. As at 1 Tim. i. 12, (where see note), διακονία is used quite generally, and not in the special sense of 'the office of a deacon'; cp. Rom. xii. 7 and Eph. iv. 12, εἰς ἔργον διακονίας. The force of the verb πληροφορεῦν here should not be mistaken. It is not "make full proof of," as the A.V., or as Calvin "ministerium tuum probatum redde," but simply 'fulfil,' like πληροῦν (as it is in Luke i. 1); cp. Acts xii. 25, πληρώσαντες τὴν διακονίαν, and Col. iv. 17. St Paul elsewhere (Rom. iv. 21, xiv. 5; Col. iv. 12) uses it in the sense of convince, but that meaning will not suit the context here or at <math>v. 17.

6-8. THE END OF THE APOSTLE'S COURSE.

6. ἐγὰ γὰρ ἥδη σπένδομαι. For I am already being poured out, so as a libation. γάρ supplies the connexion with the preceding injunction, which gathers solemnity and emphasis from the fact that St Paul is conscious that this is his last charge; ἐγὰ γάρ is in contrast with σὸ δὲ of v. 5. σπένδομαι is correctly rendered delibor in the Yulgate; the metaphor is probably suggested by that part of the Jewish ritual in which the sacrifice was accompanied by a drink-offering of wine, σπείσεις σπονδὴν σίκερα κυρίω (Num. xxviii. 7). Lightfoot (in Phil. ii. 17) notes that Seneca regarded his death in a similar light: "respergens proximos servorum, addita voce libare se liquorem illum Jovi liberatori" (Tac. Ann. xv. 64). Ignatius (Rom. 2) has the same idea πλέον μοι μὴ παράσχησθε τοῦ σπονδισθῆναι θεῷ, ὡς ἔτι θυσιαστήριον ἔτοιμόν ἐστιν.

The contrast between St Paul's hope of release when writing his letter to the Philippians and his calm expectation of death when engaged on this Epistle comes out well at this point, the verbal similarities of expression being particularly interesting when we remember that Timothy to whom he writes this letter was with him when he wrote to the Philippians. At Phil. ii. 17 we have δλλὰ εἰ καὶ σπένδομαι ἐπὶ τἢ θυσία, but the hypothetical is here changed for a categorical statement ἐγὰ γὰρ ἥδη σπένδομαι, I am already being poured out (not, as in the A.V., "I am now ready to be offered"). Again in Phil. i. 23 we find τὴν ἐπιθυμίαν ἔχων εἰς τὸ ἀναλῶσαι, but here ὁ καιρὸς τῆς ἀναλύσεως μου ἐφέστηκεν. And at Phil. iii. 13, 14 he speaks of himself as not yet having apprehended but still pressing

forward to the goal, while in v. 7 of this chapter he has 'finished his course.'

ό καιρός τῆς ἀναλύσεώς μου ἐφέστηκεν, and the time of my departure is come. The noun ἀνάλυσις does not occur elsewhere in the Greek Bible, but the verb ἀναλύσιν is common in the later Apocryphal books in the sense of 'to depart.' Primarily it means 'to unloose,' and so it is used (as at 2 Macc. ix. 1) of breaking up an encampment, and elsewhere (as in Luke xii. 36) of leaving a feast, and again (as in Homer Od. xv. 548) of loosing from moorings. There can be no doubt that departure, not dissolution, is the meaning of ἀνάλυσις here, and that the Vulgate resolutio is a wrong translation. Cp. Philo (in Flaccum 21), τὴν ἐκ τοῦ βίου τελευταίαν ἀνάλυσιν, and Clement (§ 44) of the blessed dead, τελείαν ἔσχον τὴν ἀνάλυσιν. See crit, note.

έφέστηκεν seems to mean is come rather than 'is at hand,' as the A.V. has it. It is strictly parallel to ήδη σπένδομαι, I am already being

poured out.

7. τ òν καλὸν ἀγῶνα ἡγώνισμαι. See the critical note, and cp. the note on 1 Tim. vi. 12, where the metaphor is discussed. The καλὸν ἀγών would seem from the parallel 1 Tim. vi. 12 to be 'the good fight of faith,' but as we have τ ὴν πίστιν τετήρηκα a little lower down, it is possible that the struggle in the Apostle's thought here is that involved in the due discharge of his Apostolic office.

τον δρόμον τετέλεκα, I have finished the race, the general metaphor of the games passing into the special one of the race-course. St Paul had thus spoken of his own ministry to the Ephesian elders, ώς τελειώσω τον δρόμον μου καὶ τὴν διακονίαν ἢν ἔλαβον (Acts xx. 24).

τὴν πίστιν τετήρηκα, I have kept the faith, viz. the Christian Creed, regarded as a sacred deposit of doctrine. Cp. ch. i. 14 and the note on 1 Tim. i. 19. For the tone and spirit of the Apostle here see the note on ch. iii. 10 above.

8. λοιπὸν ἀπόκειταί μοι κ.τ.λ. Henceforth is laid up for me the crown of righteousness.

λοιπόν is used here (as at Acts xxvii. 20) in its strict sense of from this time forward, henceforth, for the time that remains; it is sometimes used in a looser sense to introduce a clause, = 'moreover,' 'finally' &c. (1 Cor. i. 16, iv. 2; 2 Cor. xiii. 11; 1 Thess. iv. 1 &c.).

For the use of ἀπόκεισθαι cp. Col. i. 5, διὰ τὴν ἐλπίδα τὴν ἀποκειμένην ὑμῶν ἐν τοῖς οὐρανοῖς, and 2 Macc. xii, 45.

ό τῆς δικαιοσύνης στέφανος, the crown of righteousness, sc. (probably) the crown appropriate to the righteous man, and belonging to righteousness. The force of the gen. would thus be quite different from that which it has in 'the crown of life' (Jas. i. 12; Rev. ii. 10) or 'the crown of glory' (1 Pet. v. 4). If we take these phrases as strictly parallel, the reward spoken of here would be righteousness, as a crown. See the note on 1 Tim. vi. 12.

ον dποδώσει μοι ο κύριος εν εκείνη τῆ ήμερα, which the Lord, sc. Christ, will give to me in that day, so, the day of the last Judgement.

For $\dot{\alpha}\pi o \delta i \delta \delta \nu a i$ in such a context cp. Rom. ii. 6; $\dot{\alpha}\pi \delta$ suggests the idea of requital or reward. For the phrase feelen $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\eta}\mu \epsilon \rho a$ cp. i. 12, 18 and 2 Thess. i, 10.

ό δίκαιος κριτής, the righteous judge. The title goes back to Ps. vii. 11; cp. also 2 Macc. xii. 6, 41 and 2 Thess. i. 5.

ού μόνον δὲ ἐμοὶ ἀλλὰ και κ.τ.λ. For this form of expression cp. 1 Tim. v. 13 and 3 Macc. iii. 23.

πῶσι τοῖς ήγαπηκόσι τὴν ἐπιφάνειαν αὐτοῦ, to all those who have loved, and do love, His appearing. For ἐπιφάνεια see note on 1 Tim. vi. 14. "The remark of Calvin is gravely suggestive; 'e fidelium numero excludit quibus formidabilis est Christi adventus': thus then we may truly say with Leo, 'habemus hie lapidem Lydium, quo examinemus corda nostra'" (Ellicott).

9-12. Invitation to Timothy to come to Rome; the Apostle's Longliness.

- 9. σπούδασον έλθειν πρός με ταχέως. Use diligence (cp. ii. 15, iv. 21; Tit. iii. 12) to come to me speedily, sc. as explained in v. 21 πρό χειμώνοι. St Paul seems to contemplate that Timothy will come, not by the high seas, but (as appears from v. 13) by way of Troas, Philippi, the great Egnatian road from Philippi to Dyrrachium, and thence across to Brundisium. This desire to see Timothy again was probably the immediate occasion of the letter being written.
- 10. Δημάς γάρ με έγκατέλιπεν κ.τ.λ., for Demas forsook me, having loved this present world, and went to Thessalonica. Demas was with Paul during his first Roman imprisonment and was then counted by him as a συνεργός (Philemon 24), and he is coupled in Col. iv. 14 with Luke the beloved physician, though without any commendatory epithet being applied to him. This last circumstance may be significant, in view of his abandonment of the Apostle through unworthy motives, recorded in the verse before us. It is plain from Col. iv. 11, 14 that Demas was not a Jew, and it is just possible that he was a Thessalonian, and that on his departure from Rome for Thessalonica he went home. The name Demas is a contracted form of Demetrius, which, as Lightfoot has remarked 1, occurs twice in the list of politarchs of Thessalonica; nothing, however, can be built on this, as the name was a common one. Later tradition (e.g. Epiphanius Haer, 51) counts Demas an apostate from the Christian faith, but there is no evidence for this. That St Paul felt his departure keenly is plain; but he ascribes to him nothing worse than desire of ease and disinclination to share the peril which association with one already marked out for martyrdom would involve. The reading έγκατέλιπεν (see crit. note) has been adopted with some hesitation; but it seems necessary to the sense and points to a severance of his connexion with St Paul at a definite crisis of which we have no precise information.

Biblical Essays, p. 247 n.

dγαπήσας τὸν νῦν αἰῶνα. The participle is causal; 'he forsook me, because he lòved &c.' For the phrase ὁ νῦν αἰῶν see on 1 Tim. vi. 17; Demas loved this present world, and so is markedly contrasted with those who love 'the ἐπιφάνεια of Christ' (v. 8). Polycarp (§ 9) takes up the phrase in his description of Ignatius, Paul and other martyrs, and says of them οὐ γὰρ τὸν νῦν ἡγάπησαν αἰῶνα.

145

Κρήσκης είς Γαλατίαν. It is very doubtful whether the Galatia referred to is Asiatic Galatia or Gaul, which was generally called Γαλατία by Greek writers in the first century. In favour of the latter view the various readings Γαλλία (see crit. note) and the traditional interpretation of the passage (Eus. H. E. III. 4, Epiphanius, Theodore, Theodoret &c.) must be reckoned with, and the R.V. places Gaul in the margin as an alternative translation. Crescens, too (of whom nothing is known save the fact recorded here), was early counted the founder of the Churches of Vienne and Mayence. On the other hand, St Paul elsewhere uses Galatia (1 Cor. xvi. 1) and Galatians in reference to the Asiatic province and its people; and, further, all the other persons mentioned in this chapter as having left him, went eastward. On these grounds, we hold that it is better to understand \(\text{Falatia} \) here of Galatia in Asia. It is worth noting that exactly the same ambiguity meets us in 1 Macc. viii. 2, where the Revisers render έν τοις Γαλάταις, among the Gauls, and where again the context does not determine with certainty the locality intended.

Tίτος εἰς Δαλματίαν. It would seem probable from this that Titus had been at Rome with St Paul for a time during his second imprisonment. Dalmatia is a part of Illyria on the eastern coast of the Adriatic; and this notice harmonises well enough with Titus iii. 12 (see note there).

Aουκᾶς ἐστιν μόνος μετ' ἐμοῦ, only Luke is with me; i.e. Luke is the only one of his intimate friends and usual companions who is still with him. St Luke's affection for St Paul is not like that of Demas; he remains with him to the end. During his first imprisonment he was by his side, ὁ ἰστρὸς ὁ ἀγαπητὸς (Col. iv. 14; cp. Philemon 24), and he now appears again, faithful to the last.

11. Μάρκον ἀναλαβών ἄγε μετά σεαντοῦ. Having taken up Mark, sc. on your way hither (cp. Acts xx. 13 for this use of ἀναλαμβάνεω), bring him with you. There had been a time (Acts xv. 38) when Paul had little confidence in Mark, because he had turned back to Jerulsalem just as the difficulties of Paul's first missionary journey became apparent (Acts xiii. 13). But such feelings of distrust had long since passed away. During the first Roman imprisonment we find him with St Paul at Rome (Col. iv. 10), and he was commended by that Apostle to the Church of Colossae when he should visit it. He is also found in St Peter's company at Rome (1 Pet. v. 13), and he joins in the salutation addressed to Churches in the Asiatic provinces. It is probable that at the time of writing 2 Timothy he was somewhere

¹ See, for a full discussion, Lightfoot, Galatians, pp. 3, 31.

on the coast in the Province of Asia proper, and that thus Timoth could 'pick him up' on his way northward.

kστιν γάρ μοι εύχρηστος εἰς διακονίαν, for he is useful to me feministering. διακονία may be understood either of personal service is St Paul, such as a free man could offer to a captive, a young man is an old one, or else (less probably) of the ministry of the gospel is which Mark could usefully take his part. That he probably had knowledge of Latin might make his services in either capacity specially valuable at Rome. For the adjective εύχρηστος cp. ch. ii. 21.

12. Τυχικόν δε απέστειλα εls "Εφεσον. Tychicus (an 'Ασιανό Acts xx. 4) comes before us several times as a trusted emissary St Paul. Towards the close of Paul's third missionary journey l preceded Paul to Troas (Acts xx. 4). We hear of him again as th bearer of the letters to Colossae (Col. iv. 7, 8, where he is describe αθ ο άγαπητός άδελφός και πιστός διάκονος και σύνδουλος έν Κυρίω) απ to "the Ephesians" (Eph. vi. 21), which were written during St Paul first captivity at Rome. In Tit. iii. 12 the possibility of his beir sent by Paul to Crete is mentioned. And now we learn that amon St Paul's last official acts was the sending Tychicus to Ephesu probably either as the bearer of this second Ep. to Timothy (fc άπέστειλα may well be an epistolary agrist; cp. Col. iv. 8), or to tal Timothy's place during his projected visit to Rome to cheer th Apostle's last days. Either motive for this mission of Tychicus : plausible; neither is certain. But even if both be excluded, there nothing in the remark 'I sent Tychicus to Ephesus' which can fairl require the inference that Timothy was not at Ephesus at the time of St Paul is explaining how it was that of all his intimat friends only Luke is with him, and among others he mentions the Tychicus has gone to Ephesus, an observation not at all inconsis ent (though some have found it so) with the fact that the letter i being sent to Timothy at Ephesus.

13. Instructions to Timothy, (14, 15) and a warning.

13. $\tau \dot{o} \nu \phi \epsilon \lambda \dot{o} \nu \eta \nu$. This is the orthography followed by the bes MSS: the word $\phi \epsilon \lambda \dot{o} \nu \eta s$ seems to be an incorrect form of $\phi a \nu \epsilon \dot{o} \lambda \eta s$. Latin paenula (the rendering here of the Latin versions). The mean ing of the term has been variously explained. Chrysostom mentions but does not favour, the translation adopted by the Peshito version which takes $\phi \epsilon \lambda \dot{o} \nu \eta s$ as equivalent to $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \dot{o} \kappa \sigma \rho \nu \sigma$ or 'a case for books And, as a matter of fact, the vellum wrapper with which a papyruroll was encased to protect it was called a $\phi a \nu \dot{o} \lambda \eta s$ or paenula. But to adopt the rendering 'book-cover' here seems to be an entire mis apprehension, suggested by the mention of the books and parch ments in the next clause of the verse. The primary meaning is the adopted by Chrysostom (in Phil. Hom. 1) and Tertullian (de oral 12), viz. that $\phi \epsilon \lambda \dot{o} \nu \eta s = paenula = a$ travelling cloak with long sleever such as would be specially desirable in cold weather. From the fact that $\phi a \nu \dot{o} \nu \dot{o} \nu \dot{o} \dot{o} \nu \dot{o}$ is often used (e.g. in the Liturgy of St Chrysostom) for

a chasuble, some ingeniously perverse commentators have here translated $\phi\epsilon\lambda\delta\nu\eta s$ thus, and so find Scriptural authority for ecclesiastical vestments! This does not need refutation. $\phi\epsilon\lambda\delta\nu\eta s$ is a cloak, such a large outer cloak as is serviceable in winter (v.~21).

δυ ἀπέλιπου ἐν Τρφάδι παρὰ Κάρπφ, which I left in Troas at the house of Carpus. Nothing is known of Carpus, beside this notice. The visit to Troas alluded to here could not have been the one recorded at Acts xx. 6, for that was six years before the time of writing, and the language used suggests a recent visit. It must have taken place in the period of freedom between the first and second imprisonments at Rome, to which allusion is also made in v. 20. See Introd. chap. II.

καl τὰ βιβλία, μαλιστα τὰς μεμβράνας, and the books, especially the parchments. μεμβράναι (ἄπ. λεγ. in the Greek Bible) is simply the Latin word membranae Graecised, and means the prepared skins of vellum, which gradually superseded papyrus for writing purposes. In the first century vellum would only be used for the more precious codices and documents, papyrus serving for ordinary books and letters, which sufficiently explains the μάλιστα. It is, of course, impossible to determine what these books and parchments contained; we may suppose the Books of the O. T. Scriptures, and (possibly) the diploma of Paul's Roman citizenship, to have been among them, but we have nothing to go on.

Farrar notes an interesting parallel in the history of William Tyndale, who when in captivity at Vilvorde in 1535, wrote to the governor to beg for warmer clothing, a woollen shirt and, above all, his Hebrew Bible, Grammar, and Dictionary¹.

14. 'Αλέξανδρος ὁ χαλκεύς. See note on 1 Tim. i. 20.

πολλά μοι κακὰ ἐνεδείξατο, did me, sc. publicly, much evil. Cp. for ἐνδείκνυσθαι, 1 Tim. i. 16 &c. It would seem from the context that it was at Rome during the Apostle's imprisonment that Alexander's ill-will had been displayed. The warning in v. 15 δν και σὸ ψνλάσσου would seem to give the reason of his being mentioned. Whether he was now at Ephesus, or whether it was in view of Timothy's meeting him at Rome that the warning was given, we have no means of determining. St Ephraem (on 2 Cor. xii. 7) notes the curious tradition that "Alexander the coppersmith" was Paul's "thorn in the flesh"!

ἀποδώσει αὐτῷ ὁ κύριος κατά τὰ ἔργα αὐτοῦ. The reading of the rec. text (see crit. note) would make this an imprecation. As it stands, it is a parenthetical quotation of the familiar words of Ps. Ixii. (Ixi.) 12 (op. also Prov. xxiv. 12), and merely amounts to the reflection 'I leave him to God.' St Paul quotes these words in another context at Rom. ii, 6.

15. λίαν γὰρ ἀντέστη τοῖς ἡμετέροις λόγοις, for he greatly withstood our words. The acrist (see crit. note) shews that the reference is to a definite act or acts of hostility, rather than to a long-continued attitude

¹ Demaus' Life of Tyndale, p. 475.

of ill-will, and thus it is not improbable that the ήμέτεροι λόγοι which Alexander opposed were part of Paul's απολογία, when on his trial. Another explanation is that the 'words' were 'the words of the Gospel,' which St Paul preached. But this is not really inconsistent with the other hypothesis, for St Paul's ἀπολογία amounted to a κήρυγμα τοῦ εὐαγγελίου (cp. v. 17).

16-18. The Apostle's loneliness, and his faith.

- 16. εν τῆ πρωτῆ μου ἀπολογία κ.τ.λ. Eusebius (Η.Ε. 11. 22) refers this to St Paul's first imprisonment, which was followed by release; but what is here told would not suit the circumstances of that less severe trial. The allusion is apparently to what was called in Roman law the prima actio. While this was being heard no man stood forward for him, whether in friendly sympathy, or (more probably) as his official patronus or advocatus. Paul had to plead his cause alone. All deserted him (the agrist tense εγκατέλιπον is again significant); they abandoned him, through fear (see v. 10), when the crisis came. May it not be reckoned to them! God forgive their weakness!
- 17. δ δὲ κύριός μοι παρέστη, but, in contrast to man's unfaithfulness, the Lord, sc. Christ, stood by me.

καὶ ἐνεδυνάμωσέν με, and strengthened me. See, for St Paul's use of this verb, the note on 1 Tim. i. 12.

ΐνα δι' έμου τὸ κήρυγμα πληροφορηθή, in order that by me the preaching, sc. of the Gospel, might be fulfilled. For πληροφορέω see on v. 5 above; its force here is not 'be fully known,' as the A.V. has it, but 'be fully performed, completed, fulfilled.' How this was true is explained by the next clause καὶ ἀκούσωσιν πάντα τὰ ἔθνη. The opportunity given to St Paul of pleading his cause in the official centre of Rome, the mistress of the nations, was in a sense the 'fulfilling' of the preaching of the Gospel. For ἀκούσωσω (certainly the right reading) see the crit. note.

καλ έρύσθην έκ στόματος λέοντος, and I was rescued out of the mouth of the lion. That is, a verdict of non liquet was returned at the prima actio, and Paul was respited for the time. The phrase is evidently borrowed from the Greek Bible; it was said, e.g., of Daniel that he was rescued ἐκ στόματος τῶν λεόντων; cp. also Ps. xxii. (xxi.) 21; Dan. vi. 20. But interpreters have been anxious to find a more definite allusion in the words έκ στόματος λέοντος. Thus (a) the λέων has been understood to be the lion of the amphitheatre to whom the martyrs were thrown. The cry Christianos ad leonem rises to one's thoughts. But, after all, this was not the death with which St Paul was threatened, as the sequel proved. (b) The Greek commentators generally understand the λέων to be Nero, and if St Paul's trial really took place before that Emperor (for we have no certainty that Nero was in Rome at this moment). this would give a vivid meaning to έκ στόματος λέοντος. A parallel is found in Josephus, where the death of Tiberius is announced to Agrippa in the words τέθνηκεν ὁ λέων (Antt. xvIII. 6. 10). But the

absence of the article here before $\lambda \ell o \nu \tau o s$ makes this explanation very improbable. (c) The lion has been identified with Satan. Paul did not yield to weakness or betray the faith at the supreme moment of his trial, and he is thus said to have been rescued from the mouth of the lion, so, the great $\delta \nu \tau \delta \delta \omega s$, the devil, who is $\delta s \lambda \ell \omega \nu \delta \rho \nu \delta \rho \omega s$, or (1 Pet. v. 8). And the fact that there are apparent reminiscences of the phrases of the Lord's Prayer in ν . 18 gives a certain attractiveness to the identification in ν . 17 of the lion out of whose mouth Paul was delivered with the $\pi o \nu \eta \rho \delta s$, the Evil One. Again, however, the absence of the definite article before $\lambda \ell o \nu \tau \sigma s$ is a difficulty. We are inclined therefore, on the whole, to take the phrase $\rho \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \nu \delta c \nu \sigma \delta a \nu \delta c \nu \tau \sigma s$ as almost proverbial, as expressive of deliverance out of imminent and deadly peril, such as Daniel's story records; and there is thus no place for the identification of the $\lambda \ell \omega \nu$ with any individual adversary, human or diabolical.

18. Signature he so kupios and nautos kpyou noungoû. The Lord, so. Christ, will deliver me from every evil work. The change of preposition, $d\pi\delta$ instead of $\epsilon\kappa$, after $\dot{\rho}\dot{e}e\sigma\theta a$ is significant. $\epsilon\kappa$ was used in v. 17 because the Apostle was in the very jaws of the lion, before he was rescued; $d\pi\delta$ is used here, because the evils contemplated are only potential, and the Apostle has not been actually in their thraldom. $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$, in short, indicates emergence from, $d\pi\delta$, removal from the neighbourhood of, a danger 1.

The deliverance of which St Paul speaks thus confidently is not a second deliverance 'from the mouth of the lion'; that, he knew, he could not expect. But he will be delivered, if not from bodily pain, yet from 'every evil work,' from the opposition of adversaries without and from the conflict with temptation in his own heart. The prayer $\hat{\rho}\theta cat$ $\hat{\eta}\mu \hat{a}s$ $\hat{\pi}a\hat{r}$ $ro\theta$ $\pi or \eta \rho o\hat{\theta}$ will be fully answered, but it will be by the gate of martyrdom that deliverance shall come. As Bengel has it: "Decollabitur? liberabitur, liberante Domino." Cp. 2 Cor. i. 9, 10.

καὶ σώσει εἰς τὴν βασιλείαν αὐτοῦ τὴν ἐπουράνιον, and will save me unto His heavenly kingdom, a 'praegnans constructio' equivalent to 'save me and bring me to,' &c. The faithful martyr is 'saved' in the highest sense, for δε δ' ἀν ἀπολέση τὴν ψυχὴν αὐτοῦ ἔνεκεν ἐμοῦ, οὕτος σώσει αὐτήν (Luke ix. 24). The exact phrase ἡ βασιλεία ἡ ἐπουράνιος does not occur again in St Paul (or, indeed, in the N.T.), but it is quite harmonious with his teaching about the Kingdom of Christ. Op. 1 Cor. xv. 25; Eph. i. 20; Col. iii. 1, and (for the confident hope here expressed by the Apostle) Phil. i. 23, iii. 20.

φ ή δόξα εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰῶνων, ἀμήν, to Whom, sc. to Christ, be glory for ever and ever, Amen. That the doxology should be addressed to our Lord, rather than to God the Father (as e.g. at Phil. iv. 20), will not surprise the attentive student of St Paul's theology; ep.

¹ This is brought out in Chase's Lord's Prayer in the Early Church, pp. 71 ff. The parallel between vv. 17, 18 and portions of the Lord's Prayer is fully traced at p. 119 ff, of the same work.

especially Rom. 1x. 5. For els rods alwas $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$, see note on 1 Tim. i 17

The doxology, which was early added at the end of the Lord's Prayer and is incorporated in the received text of St Matt. vi. 13, deserves careful comparison with the verse before us. In the early part of v. 18 we saw that a reflection might be traced of the petition 'Deliver us from the evil one,' and we now find that the thought of the heavenly Kingdom and the glory of Christ is derived from the doxology ὅτι σοῦ ἐστὶν ἡ βασιλεία καὶ ἡ δύναμις καὶ ἡ δόξα εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας. 'Αμήν.

19-21. SALUTATIONS.

19. "Ασπασαι Πρίσκαν και 'Ακύλαν. Aquila, a Jew of Pontus, and his wife Prisea or Priscilla, are first mentioned in the N.T. at Acts xviii. 2. They had left Rome, in consequence of an edict of Claudius, and had come to Corinth, where St Paul met them and lodged with them, as they were, like him, tent-makers. If they were Christians at this time, as would seem probable, they must have been among the earliest members of the Roman Church. St Paul brought them with him to Ephesus, where he left them (Acts xviii. 19), and where (v. 26) they gave instruction to Apollos. Along with 'the Church in their house' they send salutations to the Corinthian Christians from Ephesus in 1 Cor. xvi. 19; and we find them again at Rome when St Paul wrote his Epistle to the Romans (xvi. 3). We gather from the verse before us that they returned to Ephesus. Like many Jews of the time, Aquila evidently travelled a great deal, probably for the purposes of his trade. From the fact that Prisca's name precedes that of Aquila in four out of the six places where they are mentioned, it suggests itself that she was a more important person than her husband. It may be that she was a member of a good Roman family, but it seems more probable that both Aquila and Prisca were freed members of some great household. It has been pointed out, e.g., that Priscilla was a name of the women of the Acilian gens. But such identifications hardly admit of proof1.

και τὸν 'Ονησιφόρου οἶκον. See the critical note, where the traditional names of the wife and sons of Onesiphorus are given. Cp. also the note on i. 16, 17 above.

20. "Εραστος ξμεινεν ἐν Κορίνθφ, Erastus abode in Corinth, sc. at some epoch in the interval between the first and second imprisonments, of which we have no information. Erastus was the name of the treasurer (οἰκονόμος) of Corinth, when St Paul wrote to the Romans (xvi. 23); and also of an emissary sent with Timothy from Ephesus to Macedonia (Acts xix. 22). We cannot be sure whether we have here notices of different persons or of one and the same man. It seems however unlikely that the Erastus, whose abiding in Corinth is communicated here to Timothy as a piece of information, was a

¹ A full and interesting note on Aquila and Priscilla will be found in Sanday and Headlam's Romans, p. 420 ff.

permanent official of that city; it is more probable that he was Timothy's companion on the journey mentioned in Acts xix. 22.

Τρόφιμον δὲ ἀπέλιπον ἐν Μιλήτῳ ἀσθενοῦντα, but Trophimus I left (not 'they left,' as some have rendered) at Miletus sick. Of Trophimus we know only what is told here and at Acts xx., xxi. He was a Gentile Christian of Ephesus, who, in company with Tychicus (Acts xx. 5, cp. v. 12 above), preceded Paul to Troas. He was seen at Jerusalem in St Paul's society, which led to the riot, in consequence of which Paul was apprehended (Acts xxi. 29). The episode mentioned in this verse must. be referred to St Paul's journey in the Levant between his first and second imprisonments (see above vv. 12, 13).

The motive for this mention of Erastus and Trophimus, both of whom had connexions with Ephesus, may possibly have been that the Apostle wished to explain that their absence from his side at this juncture was not due to unfaithfulness.

21. σπούδασον πρὸ χειμώνος ἐλθεῖν, do thy diligence to come before winter, when travelling would be difficult; cp. Matt. xxiv. 20. See v. 9 above.

doπάζεταί σε. The verb in the singular followed by the names of a number of individuals who send salutations is the construction adopted also at Rom. xvi. 21, 23.

Εὔβουλος. Of this person nothing further is known. The names which follow are those, seemingly, of prominent members of the Roman Church; they are not among Paul's intimate friends, for of these 'only Luke' was with him (v. 10).

Πούδης και Λίνος και Κλαυδία. Linus is the only one of these three who can be identified with certainty. He was the first bishop of Rome after Apostolic days (Iren. Haer. 111. 3), and governed the Roman Church, according to tradition, for twelve years after the death of St Peter and St Paul. He seems to be described in Apost. Const. vii. 46 as the son of Claudia (Λίνος ὁ Κλαυδίας), but it is probable that this is a mere guess resting on the juxtaposition of their names in this verse.

With the names of Pudens and Claudia modern ingenuity has been very busy. It has been assumed that they were husband and wife, and that they are identical with a dissolute friend of Martial called Aulus Pudens and a British maiden called Claudia Rufina, whose marriage is recorded in an epigram of Martial which appeared in a.b. 88 (Epigr. IV. 13). The chronological data are plainly inconsistent with this identification, and indeed the names Pudens and Claudia are sufficiently common to make such speculations highly uncertain. Another husband and wife with these names are recorded, e.g., in an inscription quoted by Lightfoot (C.I.L. v. 15066).

Ingenuity has gone a step further. On an inscription discovered at Chichester it is recorded that one Pudens built a temple there to

¹ Lightfoot's Clement, I. p. 79, where a full discussion of the matter will be found.

Neptune, with the sanction of the British king Claudius Cogidubnus, and it has been assumed that this Pudens was the Pudens mentioned by Martial, and that his wife Claudia was the daughter of Claudius Cogidubnus. Thus by a series of hypotheses, none of which is susceptible of proof, we reach a direct connexion between early British Christianity and the teaching of St Paul! It is sufficient to say that we know nothing for certain of the Pudens and Claudia mentioned in the verse before us, and that, inasmuch as the name of Linus is interposed between them, it is even improbable that they were husband and wife.

και οἱ ἀδελφοι πάντες. See the crit. note, and cp. 1 Cor. xvi. 20.

22. BENEDICTION.

22. δ κύριος μετὰ τοῦ πνεύματός σου. This is a personal benediction addressed to Timothy, as the Apostle's last word, and it is followed by the $\sigma\eta\mu\epsilon\hat{c}\nu$ $\epsilon\nu$ πάση $\epsilon\pi\tau\sigma\lambda\hat{\eta}$, viz. $\hat{\eta}$ χάρις $\mu\epsilon\theta$ ' $\nu\mu\hat{u}$ γ on which see the note on 1 Tim. vi. 21. The form of this personal blessing, however, is not quite like anything elsewhere found at the end of St Paul's Epistles (cp. Rom. xv. 33). The nearest parallel to it is perhaps the conclusion of the so-called Epistle of Barnabas, δ κύριος τῆς δόξης και πάσης χάριτος $\mu\epsilon$ τοῦ πνεύματος ν ν is worth while to compare the words with Gal. vi. 18 and Philem. 25; there the presence of 'the grace of the Lord,' here the presence of 'the Lord of grace,' is invoked.

ANALYSIS OF THE EPISTLE TO TITUS.

Introductory. Salutation (i. 1-4).

I. The duties of Titus in reference to the appointment of $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\acute{o}\tau\epsilon\rho$ oi (i. 5).

The qualifications of an $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$ (i. 6-9).

- II. The heretical teachers and Titus' duty in regard to them (i. 10-16).
 - III. Titus' positive teaching (ii. 1) as regards
 - (i) aged men (ii. 2),
 - (ii) aged women (ii. 3),
 - (iii) young wives (ii. 4, 5). (iv) young men (ii. 6—8).
 - (v) /slaves (ii. 9, 10).

The doctrinal ground of the preceding exhortations (ii, 11—14). Titus to speak with authority (ii, 15).

IV. The attitude of Christians to their heathen neighbours and rulers (iii. 1, 2).

We have no reason for pride, but rather for thankfulness (iii. 3-7).

- V. Final injunctions.
 - (a) Maintain good works (iii. 8).
 - (b) Avoid controversy (iii. 9).
 - (c) Shun obstinate heretics (iii. 10, 11).

Invitation. Come to me to Nicopolis (iii, 12).
Speed on their journey Zenas and Apollos (iii, 13).
Final charge to the Christians at Crete (iii, 14).

Epilogue. Salutations and Benediction (iii. 15).

CHAPTER I.

- 1. 'Ίησοῦ Χριστοῦ. Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ is the order of A, the Bohairic and Harclean Syriac Versions. Practically all the other authorities support Ἰη. Χριστοῦ, the reading of the rec. text. See crit. note on 1 Tim. i. 1.
- 4. χάρις και εἰρήνη. This, the usual form of salutation in St Paul's letters (see exegetical note on 1 Tim. i. 2), is supported by SC*D₂EGIP and most of the versions; the rec. text (following the analogy of 1 Tim. i. 2 and 2 Tim. i. 1) reads χάρις ἔλεος εἰρήνη with ACbKL.

Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ. So $\mathsf{NACD}_2^*\mathsf{I}$ de and the Bohairie; the reading of the rec. text κυρίου Ἰησοῦ X ριστοῦ ($\mathsf{D}_2^*\mathsf{E}\mathsf{G}\mathsf{KLP}$) fg and the Syriac versions) probably arose from a tendency to assimilate the salutation to St Paul's usual form, it not having been observed that here that form is modified by the addition of the words τ οῦ σ ωτῆρος (see exegetical note and note on 1 Tim. i. 2).

5. ἀπέλιπον. So ℵ*D₂*; op. 2 Tim. iv. 20. WH prefer ἀπέλειπον with ACGI. The rec. text has κατέλιπον with ℵ°D₂°EK. κατέλειπον is the reading of LP. See exegetical note, and on ch. iii. 13.

ἐπιδιορθώση. This, the rec. reading, is supported by $\text{NCD}_2^{\text{b}} \text{E}^{\text{i}} \text{IKLP}$; $\text{AD}_2^{\text{*}} \text{E} \text{G}^{\text{*}}$ have ἐπιδιορθώσηs, which probably arose from assimilation to καταστήσηs.

- 10. $\pi o \lambda \lambda o \ell$. The rec. text inserts $\kappa a \ell$ after $\pi o \lambda \lambda o \ell$ with $D_2 E G K L d e f g$; but it is unnecessary for the sense and must be omitted as not found in NACIP, the Syriac or the Bohairic versions.
- ἐκ τῆς περιτομῆς. So $\&CD_2*I$, but the rec. text, following the remaining uncials, omits τῆς, perhaps through a reminiscence of ἐκ περιτομῆς (without the article) at Rom. iv. 12; Gal. ii. 12; Col. iv. 11.
- 11. After χάριν the cursive 109 inserts the gloss τὰ τέκνα οἱ τοὺς ἰδίους γονεῖς ὑβρίζοντες ἢ τύπτοντες ἐπιστόμιζε καὶ ἐλεγχε καὶ νουθέτει ὡς πατἢρ τέκνα, which has no apparent relation to the context. It was probably a gloss about the duties of children, originally appended to v. 8 of the next chapter, as advice on the management of children would come in appropriately after the discussion of the duties of wives and before the consideration of the duties of slaves (as in Eph. v., vi.).
- 12. εἶπέν τις. So the rec. text with the majority of MSS.; X*G f g and the Bohairic version insert δὲ after εἶπεν.
- 13. ἐν τῷ πίστει. ** omits ἐν, but the authority for its insertion is overwhelming.
- 15. $\pi\acute{a}\nu\tau a$. The rec. text (with $\aleph^cD_2^cKL$) adds $\mu\acute{e}\nu$, possibly because of the $\delta\acute{e}$ in the next clause (cp. Rom. xiv. 20); but it is omitted by $\aleph^*ACD_2^*E^*GP$ and the Latins.

μεμιαμμένοις. This is the spelling of the best MSS.; $D_2^{bc}E$ have μεμιασμένοις as in the rec. text.

1-4. SALUTATION.

1. Παῦλος δοῦλος θεοῦ. St Paul does not use this expression elsewhere, and it is thus an unlikely expression to be used by a forger. Paul calls himself 'the slave of Christ Jesus' or 'of Christ' at Rom. 1; Phil. i. 1; Gal. i. 10, which is also the phrase used in 2 Pet. i. 1; Jude 1. St James (i. 1) uses the longer phrase 'a slave of God and of the Lord Jesus Christ.' Cp. Acts xvi. 17; 2 Tim. ii. 24 and Rev. xv. 3.

άπόστολος δὲ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ. δέ signifies and further &c., this additional specification of his office being specially desirable in an official letter like the present. See on 1 Tim. i. 1, and see the crit. note.

κατά πίστιν ἐκλεκτῶν θεοῦ, according to the faith of God's elect. κατά cannot mean simply secundum (Vg.), for the standard of St Paul's apostleship was something higher even than the faith of the elect. It seems, as in the somewhat similar phrase κατ' ἐπαγγελίαν ζωῆς of 2 Tim. i. 1, to convey the idea of purpose, though not so strongly as there; cp. Rom. i. 5. For St Paul's use of the word 'elect' see on 2 Tim. ii, 10.

και ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείας τῆς κατ' εὐσέβειαν, and the knowledge of the truth which is according to godliness. κατά, in this clause, does not convey any suggestion of purpose, but of concomitance and intimate connexion; it is only in a life of godliness (see on 1 Tim. ii. 2 for εὐσέβεια) that the 'knowledge of the truth' can be fully learnt (see on 1 Tim. vi. 3). For the expression ἐπίγνωσις ἀληθείας see on 1 Tim. ii. 4. The purpose of St Paul's apostolic mission was to perfect the knowledge of the truth, no less than to promote the faith of the elect, of God's chosen.

2. $\ell\pi'$ $\ell\lambda\pi$ (8: $\zeta\omega\eta$'s alwylov, in hope of life eternal. This is the hope in which the labours of the Apostolic ministry are cheerfully endured; cp. 1 Tim. i. 16, vi. 12, and for $\ell\pi$ with the dat. see on 1 Tim. iv. 10 and v. 5.

την έπηγγείλατο δ άψευδής θεός πρό χρόνων αίωνίων, which (sc. ζωή αίωνιος) God, Who cannot lie, promised before times eternat. The 'promise of life' occupies a prominent place in the salutation here, as at 2 Tim. i. 1; for the 'life' of which 'godliness has the promise,' see on 1 Tim. iv. 8.

The adj. $\dot{a}\psi\epsilon\nu\delta\eta$ s only occurs elsewhere in the Greek Bible at Wisd. vii. 17; see Heb. vi. 18; Rom. iii. 4 for the thought of God's abiding truth. Cp. also John xiv. 6, where He Who is the Truth declares Himself also to be the Life.

 $\pi\rho\delta$ $\chi\rho\delta\nu\omega\nu$ alwiw has been understood by some commentators to mean simply 'from ancient times,' and the allusion would thus be to the dim revelations of $\zeta\omega\eta$ alwiws which had been vouchsafed in the centuries long precedent to the Incarnation. But it seems better to take the phrase as at 2 Tim. i. 9, before times eternal. The promise was made before time was, in the eternal purpose of God.

3. ἐφανέρωσεν δέ. We should expect but which (so the ζωή) He manifested; but the construction suddenly changes and the object of έφανέρωσεν is τον λόγον αὐτοῦ, the contrast being between the promise of life before times eternal, and the manifestation of the Divine Word in the fulness of time (cp. Eph. i. 10).

For φανερόω see on 1 Tim. iii. 16.

kaipoîs illois, in its own, sc. appropriate, seasons. See the note on the same phrase at 1 Tim. ii. 6 (cp. 1 Tim. vi. 15). Here we render in its own seasons rather than (as at 1 Tim. vi. 15) 'in His own seasons'; because the point is not the freedom of the Divine choice, but the 'seasonableness' of the Divine Advent.

τον λόγον αὐτοῦ. His word, so. the saving message of the Gospel, and not the Incarnate Logos, as appears by the defining ἐν κηρόγματι which follows. See Additional Note on 1 Tim. iv. 5.

ἐν κηρύγματι, in the message, so. the content of the message, not the act of proclaiming it. See on 1 Tim. ii. 7 for Paul's office as κῆρυξ.

δ ἐπιστεύθην ἐγώ. St Paul continually repeats this thought, that the preaching of the Gospel is not a self-chosen occupation, but that he has been *entrusted* with it. See Gal. ii. 7; 1 Tim. i. 11; 2 Tim. i. 11.

κατ' ἐπιταγήν τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ, according to the commandment of God our Saviour, i.e. God the Father. See for this interesting phrase on 1 Tim. i. 1.

4. Τίτφ γνησίφ τέκνφ. To Titus, true child. See on 1 Tim. i. 2. It seems not improbable from the application of this phrase to Titus that he had been converted to the faith by St Paul; but we have no certain information on the point. See Gal. ii. 1 ff.

κατά κοινήν πίστιν, after a common faith, corresponding to έν πίστει of 1 Tim. i. 2. The κοινή πίστις is the sphere of their spiritual relationship; cp. κοινή σωτηρία in Jude 3.

χάρις καὶ εἰρήνη. Cp. the critical note, and see on 1 Tim. i. 2.

άπὸ θεοῦ πατρὸς κ.τ.λ. See the critical note. The exact title Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν does not occur in the salutation of any other of the Pauline Epistles; and is only found elsewhere 2 Tim. i. 10; Tit. ii. 13, iii. 6; 2 Pet. i. I, 11, ii. 20, iii. 18. Cp. Phil. iii. 20.

- 5. The duties of Titus in reference to the appointment of $au
 ho\epsilon\sigma\beta\dot{v} au\epsilon
 ho\rho o$.
- 5. τούτου χάριν, for this cause, sc. the reason introduced by ωα. He reminds Titus of what he had previously explained to him.

ἀπθλιπόν σε. See the crit. note; the agrist seems to give better sense than the imperfect. καταλείπειν is used much oftener in the N.T. than ἀπολείπειν, and may have got into the text as more familiar to scribes; if there is any difference in meaning, καταλείπειν is the

stronger verb of the two and indicates a more permanent 'leaving behind.'

èν Κρήτη. This cannot have been on the occasion mentioned Acts xxvii. 7 ff., which is the only visit of St Paul to Crete of which we have any account; we refer this visit therefore (see *Introd.* p. xxxii.) to the period of liberty between the Apostle's two imprisonments at Rome.

ίνα τὰ λείποντα ἐπιδιορθώση, that thou thyself shouldest further (ἐπί=insuper) set in order the things that are defective; i.e. as Bengel paraphrases "quae ego per temporis brevitatem non potui expedire." ἐπιδιορθοῦν does not occur again in the Greek Bible; the use of the middle voice here (the true reading, see crit. note) perhaps implies that the needful corrections are to be made by Titus himself, and not through the agency of others.

και καταστήσης κατὰ πόλιν πρεσβυτέρους, and appoint presbyters in every city. So it was said of Paul and Barnabas χειροτονήσαντες δὲ αὐτοῖς κατ' ἐκκλησίαν πρεσβυτέρους (Acts xiv. 23); Clement uses the verb καθιστάναι in a similar context: κατὰ χώρας οὖν καὶ πόλεις κπρύσσοντες καθίστανον τὰς ἀπαρχὰς αὐτῶν, δοκιμάσαντες τῷ πνεψατι, εἰς ἐπισκόπους καὶ διακόνους τῶν μελλόντων πιστεύειν (§ 42). For the use of κατὰ cp. Luke viii. 1; Acts xv. 21, xx. 23. The injunction does not, of course, imply that there is to be only one presbyter in each city, but simply provides for the due establishment and organisation of the presbyterate in the Christian communities. In this work Titus is to take the initiative in Crete; it is his duty.

ώς ἐγώ σοι διεταξάμην, as I gave thee charge, ωs including the mode of selection of presbyters as well as the duty of establishing them in every city.

6-9. THE QUALIFICATIONS OF AN ἐπίσκοπος.

6. It is early duerkhyros. If any man has nought laid to his charge. No suggestion as to the scarcity of such persons can be founded on the form of the sentence of $\pi\iota s \cdot \pi \cdot \lambda$; cp. e.g. 2 Cor. xi. 20. The list of qualifications, negative and positive, which follows, should be compared with the list in 1 Timothy; see on 1 Tim. iii. 2 ff.

μιᾶς γυναικὸς ἀνήρ. As at 1 Tim. iii. 2, this is desirable because the ἐπίσκοπος is to be ἀνέγκλητος; see the note on that passage.

τέκνα ξχων πιστά, having believing children; the emphasis is on π_{107} ά. It is not the fact that the $\ell\pi l\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma$ s has children that is important, but that if he has children they should be professing Christians and of good behaviour. See 1 Tim. iii. 4, 5 and the notes thereon.

It has been suggested that this qualification marks the fact that Christianity had been established for some time in Crete, as Christians of the second generation are contemplated, and that thus it corresponds (in a measure) to $\mu\dot{\eta}$ re $\delta\phi\nu\tau\sigma\nu$ of 1 Tim. iii. 6. But this is to miss the point, which is merely a further provision that the $\epsilon\dot{\pi}t\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$ shall be $\epsilon\dot{\tau}t\phi\kappa\lambda\eta\tau\sigma\sigma$. We have no knowledge as to when the Gospel reached

Crete; quite possibly it was carried there by some of those Cretans who heard it preached on the Day of Pentecost (Acts ii. 11).

- μη ἐν κατηγορία ἀσωτίας, who are not accused of dissoluteness. For ἀσωτία cp. Eph. v. 18 and 1 Pet. iv. 4; the Prodigal Son lived ἀσώτως (Luke xv. 13). The word signifies every kind of riotous and profligate living. ἄσωτος γὰρ, says Aristotle, ὁ δι' αὐτὸν ἀπολλύμενος (Nic. Eth. IV. 1. 5).
- η ἀνυπότακτα, or insubordinate. See, for the reason of this, 1 Tim. iii. 5 and the note thereon. For the word ἀνυπότακτος see on 1 Tim. i. 9.
- 7. δεί γαρ τὸν ἐπίσκοπον ἀνέγκλητον είναι, for the ἐπίσκοπος must be ἀνέγκλητος. See Introd. chap. v. for the significance of the titles πρεσβύτερος and ἐπίσκοπος in the Pastoral Epistles. For the singular τὸν ἐπίσκοπος see on 1 Tim. iii. 2.
- ώς θεοῦ οἰκονόμον, as God's steward, as steward of the οἶκον θεοῦ (1 Tim. iii. 15). The commission of the ἐπίσκοπος is, in the end, from God and not from man; he is God's steward, the steward of His mysteries (1 Cor. iv. 1) and of His manifold grace (1 Pet. iv. 10), not, be it observed, the steward of the Christian community. It is to God, not to man, that he is responsible for the due discharge of his office.
- μη αὐθάδη, not self-willed. αὐθάδης only occurs once again in N.T., viz. τολμηταί, αὐθάδεις (2 Pet. ii. 10); it signifies self-satisfied and so self-willed, arroyant. Field notes that Aristotle (Magn. Moral. r. 28) counts σεμνότης as the mean between αὐθάδεια and ἀρέσκεια, i.e. between ατοgance on the one hand and over-complaisance of manner on the other, an interesting observation. σεμνότης is mentioned as one of the qualities of the ἐπίσκοπος at 1 Tim. iii. 4 (see also on 1 Tim. ii. 2).
- μη ὀργίλον, not irascible, 'not soon angry' as the A.V. felicitously renders. $\delta \rho \gamma i \lambda \sigma$ is a $\tilde{\alpha}\pi$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in the N.T.; Aristotle reckons $\pi \rho \alpha \tilde{\sigma} \tau \eta s$ as the mean between $\delta \rho \gamma i \lambda \delta \tau \eta s$ and that incapacity for being roused to anger which he calls $\delta o \rho \gamma \eta \sigma (a (Nic. Eth. rv. 5);$ see on 2 Tim. ii. 25. In the Didache (§ 3) we have the precept $\mu \eta \gamma t v \sigma v \delta \rho \gamma i \lambda \sigma s$.
 - μή πάροινον, μή πλήκτην. See on 1 Tim. iii. 3.
- μη αισχροκερδή. See on 1 Tim. iii. 8, where μη αισχροκερδεῖs is a note of the διάκονοι. The corresponding qualification for the $\epsilon \pi l \sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \sigma s$ in 1 Timothy is $\dot{a}\phi \iota \lambda \dot{a}\rho \gamma \nu \rho \sigma v$ (see on 1 Tim. iii. 3). See also on v. 11 below.
- 8. ἀλλὰ φιλόξενον. We now come to the positive qualifications, the first-named of which, φιλοξενία, stands in sharp contrast to αἰσχροκέρδεια. See on 1 Tim. iii. 2.
- φιλάγαθον, a lover of good; whether 'of good things' or 'of good persons' is not clear, but probably it ought to be taken in its widest meaning, as including both. See note on ἀφιλάγαθοι, 2 Tim. iii. 3.

σώφρονα. See on 1 Tim. ii. 9 and iii. 2.

δίκαιον, ὅσιον. These important qualifications are not mentioned in the corresponding list in 1 Tim. iii. From Plato onward δικαιοσύνη and ὁσιόνης were counted as complementary to each other, the former being expressive (in its largest sense) of duty to our fellow men, the latter of duty to God, the two together including the sum of moral excellence. But anything like a sharp division between them, as if a man could discharge his duty to his neighbour in all its fulness, while neglecting his duty to God, or vice versã, would be utterly foreign to the central thought of Christianity, which refuses thus to divorce the religious from the secular life. For the association of the two words or their cognates, as here, cp. Luke i. 75; Eph. iv. 24; 1 Thess. ii. 10.

ἐγκρατῆ, continent, and generally, one who is master of himself. The distinction between the $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ and the $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} s$, as presented in the Ethics of Aristotle, was that while the $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} s$ is able to endure pain which ought to be endured, the $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ is able as well to resist unlawful pleasure, a harder task. The distinction is between him who endures, and him who overcomes, for conscience' sake. The $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ is moderate in the enjoyment of what is lawful; the $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} s$ refrains from what is unlawful. The word $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} s$ does not occur again in the N.T., but cp. 1 Cor. vii. 9; Gal. v. 23 for $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \iota \alpha$.

9. ἀντεχόμενον τοῦ κατά τὴν διδαχὴν πιστοῦ λόγου, holding by the faithful word which is according to the doctrine.

ἀντέχεσθαι is a difficult word; it is used of "holding to" one of two masters in Matt. vi. 24; Luke xvi. 13, and of "laying hold of" and so "supporting" the weak in 1 Thess. v. 14; and again in Prov. iii. 18 wisdom is said to be a tree of life τοις ἀντεχομένοις αὐτῆς "to them that lay hold upon her," from which it seems that holding by is a legitimate rendering here.

The phrase $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \hat{v}$ λόγον suggests the 'Faithful Sayings' of the Pastoral Epistles (see on 1 Tim. i. 15). $\kappa a \tau \hat{a}$ την διδαχήν must mean 'in accordance with the [Apostolic] doctrine,' διδαχή being taken objectively, and not in the active sense of 'teaching.' Hence the whole clause indicates the function of the $\epsilon \pi l \sigma \kappa \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$ as the guardian of the 'deposit of faith' (cp. 1 Tim. vi. 20).

"va δυνατός ή και παρακαλείν, in order that he may be able both to exhort. For the distinction between διδασκαλία and παράκλησις see on 1 Tim. iv. 13.

έν τῆ διδασκαλία τῆ ὑγιαινούση. For this metaphor, often recurring in the Pastoral Epistles, see on 1 Tim. i. 10; έν indicates the sphere, as it were, in which the exhortation will take place. If the έπ tσκοπο hold not by the 'word which is faithful,' his 'doctrine' will not be 'wholesome' and thus his 'exhortation' will be ill-founded and probably ineffective, if not misleading.

καl τους άντιλέγοντας έλέγχειν, and to convict the gainsayers. A firm grasp of the truth is the indispensable preparation for him who

would undertake to dispel error. The ἀντιλέγοντες are cavillers at the truth, primarily the heretical teachers of Crete, but there are those in every age who satisfy themselves with like negations. Cp. 2 Tim. iv. 2 ξλεγξον...παρεκάλεσον.

- 10—16. THE HERETICAL TEACHERS AND TITUS' DUTY IN REGARD TO THEM.
- 10. είσιν γάρ πολλοι άνυπότακτοι. For (in reference to the precept τοὺς ἀντιλέγοντας ἐλέγχειν) there are many insubordinate persons, so. among the ἀντιλέγοντες. For ἀνυπότακτος see on 1 Tim. i. 9. See the critical note.

ματαιολόγοι και φρεναπάται, vain talkers and deceivers. The word ματαιολόγος does not occur again in the Greek Bible; cp. the note on ματαιολογία at 1 Tim. i. 6. In like manner φρεναπάτης is ἄπ. λεγ., but we have φρεναπατῷν, Gal. vi. 3.

μάλιστα οἱ ἐκ τῆς περιτομῆς, specially they of the circumcision, so the Judaizing Christians in Crete. μάλιστα shews that the heretical troublers of Titus were not all from among these Judaizers, but that it was from them that he was to expect the most serious opposition. Titus, being an uncircumcised Greek, would probably be personally unwelcome to Jews, of whom there were large numbers in Crete (see Josephus Ant. xvii. 12. 1, Philo ad Caium 36); but quite apart from that, we have seen already (Introd. p. xlviii.) that the forms of heresy contemplated in the Pastoral Epistles had their roots in Judaism, and that therefore the ἀντιλέγοντες would naturally be ἐκ τῆς περιτομῆς. See crit. note.

11. οὖς δεῖ ἐπιστομίζειν, whose mouths must be stopped, the felicitous translation of Tyndale, followed by A.V. and R.V. ἐπιστομίζειν does not occur elsewhere in the true text of the N.T. (or the LXX.), but it is the reading at Luke xi. 53 of three cursive manuscripts (for ἀποστοματίζειν), and was the reading followed by Jerome at that place and rendered by him os eius opprimere.

outives, inasmuch as they, 'quippe qui'; cp. 1 Tim. i. 4.

όλους οἴκους ἀνατρέπουσιν, subvert whole households. For ἀνατρέπειν see on 2 Tim. ii. 18, and for αἶκος used as equivalent to 'household' cp. 1 Tim. iii. 4; 2 Tim. ii. 16.

διδάσκοντες å μη δεί, teaching things which they ought not. In the N.T. we generally have of in relative sentences with the indicative, even where the classical language would require $\mu\eta$; this verse is an exception to the general rule¹.

aloxροῦ κέρδους χάριν, for sake of base gains. Tyndale's "filthy lucre," which has been followed in all our English versions, does not seem to bring out the exact point here, which is not that money is a despicable thing in itself, but that to teach â μὴ δεῖ for the sake of money is disgraceful and dishonourable, a prostitution of the high

See Blass, Grammar of N. T. Greek, § 75. 3.

gifts of a teacher, and that all 'gain' so acquired is 'base.' See

I Tim. iii. 8 for αλσχροκερδής.

In like manner the heretical teachers of 1 Tim. vi. 5 'suppose that godliness is a way of gain': and no doubt greed for his wages is a mark of the hireling shepherd always (John x. 12). But there may have been special reason for mentioning it in a letter to the Chief Pastor of Crete. Livy (xLiv. 45) speaks of "Cretenses spem pecuniae secuti," and Plutarch (Paul. Aemil. 23) and Polybius (vi. 46) bear similar testimony to their love of money.

12. elvév τις ἐξ αὐτῶν ἴδιος αὐτῶν προφήτης, one of themselves, so the Cretans, a prophet of their own, said. The philosopher here quoted by St Paul is Epimenides, a Cretan who flourished about 600 B.o.; Plato calls him $\theta\epsilon$ ôos ἀν $\eta\rho$, and Diogenes Laertius (r. x. 11) reports that the Cretans used to offer sacrifice to him $\dot{\omega}_{\tau}$ $\theta\epsilon\dot{\varphi}$.

For the gen. avrûr after tôtos, which might be thought redundant (but the usage is classical), cp. Acts xxiv. 23; 2 Pet. iii. 3, 16.

Kρῆτες ἀξὶ ψεῦσται, κακὰ θηρία, γαστέρες ἀργαί. This hexameter comes from the περὶ χρησμών of Epimenides; it is quoted by Callimachus in his Hymn to Zeus, and (as Farrar observes) was a well-known verse in antiquity, because it gave rise to the syllogistic puzzle known as 'the Liar' (Farrar, St Paul, p. 661).

The Cretan's had a bad reputation and were reckoned among the τρία κάππα κάπιστα of the Greek world, the Cappadocians and Cilicians being associated with them in this unenviable notoriety. Polybius (vi. 47. 5) speaks of their mendacity; indeed, κρητίζειν was a euphe-

mism for 'to lie,' as Suidas records.

γαστέρες ἀργαί, idle gluttons. Tyndale's rendering "slow bellies," which has been reproduced in many English versions, does not indicate the true sense of ἀργαί, idle, as at 1 Tim. v. 13 (see note thereon).

Cp. "venter tardus" of Juvenal (Sat. iv. 107).

St Paul elsewhere quotes Aratus (Acts xvii. 28) and Menander (1 Cor. xv. 33), but it is plain that these references, along with the one before us, are quite insufficient to establish the wide acquaintance with Greek literature which some have claimed for him in consequence. It is by no means improbable that he was a man of liberal education, as well as large experience and profound intellect, but two or three hackneyed quotations will not go far to prove it. The skilful application of the quotations in each case is the interesting point to notice.

- 13. ἡμαρτυρία αὐτη ἐστὶν ἀληθής. This witness is true. St Paul deliberately assents to the truth of the proverbial judgement upon the Cretan character. It was a serious thing to say, and especially significant in a letter which became part of the Canonical Scriptures received at a later date by the Cretan Church.
- δί ἡν αιτίαν ἔλεγχε αὐτοὺς ἀποτόμως. For which cause, sc. on account of these evil traits of character, rebuke them sharply, sc. not, to be sure, the Cretans generally, but the heretical teachers described in v. 9 as of ἀντιλέγοντες.

άποτόμως only occurs again in the Greek Bible 2 Cor. xiii. 10; Wisd. v. 22 (ep. Rom. xi. 22).

'να ύγιαίνωσιν ἐν τῷ πίστα, in order that they may be sound in the faith. ἡ πίστις is here, plainly, used objectively, as equivalent to 'the Christian faith' (see on 1 Tim. i. 19); for the metaphor of 'soundness,' wholesomeness,' as applied to doctrine, see on 1 Tim. i. 10.

14. μὴ προσέχοντες 'Ιουδαϊκοῖς μύθοις, not giving heed to Jewish fables. See on 1 Tim. i. 4 and Introd. chap. iv.

και έντολαις ανθρώπων ἀποστρεφομένων την ἀλήθειαν, and commandments of men who turn away from the truth. As the next verse shews, these commandments were probably of a ceremonial or ritual character (cp. 1 Tim. iv. 3, and the note at that place, and Col. ii. 16, 22). Such έντολαι ἀνθρώπων (Isa. xxix. 13) must not be permitted to usurp the authority of Divine revelation or of the moral law (cp. Matt. xv. 9). To pay undue attention to questions of this kind tends to distract the mind from the contemplation of the great problems of life.

15. πάντα καθαρά τοις καθαροίς. For the pure all things are pure. τοις καθαροίς is a dat. commodi, and conveys the sense not that all things are pure in the judgement of the pure, but that all things are pure for their use. St Paul had said the same thing before, Rom. xiv. 20 (the whole chapter is a commentary on its meaning), πάντα μέν καθαρά, άλλα κακὸν τῷ ἀνθρώπω τῷ διὰ προσκόμματος ἐσθίοντι; cp. also 1 Tim. iv. 4; Matt. xv. 11 and Luke xi. 41.

τοις δὲ μεμιαμμένοις καὶ ἀπίστοις οὐδὲν καθαρόν, but for the defiled and unbelieving nothing is pure. If it is true omnia munda mundis, it is also true omnia immunda immundis. "Honi soit qui mal y pense." See again Rom. xiv. 23 and the other references given in last note.

The intimate connexion between moral purity and soundness in the faith (cp. Acts xv. 9, τ_{θ}^{π} $\pi i \sigma \tau e$ $\kappa e \theta a \rho i \sigma a \tau a$ $\kappa \kappa a \rho \delta i as$ $a \delta \tau \hat{\sigma} \hat{\nu} \rho$), which is so often assumed in the Pastorals (see esp. on 1 Tim. i. 5), is here again indicated; the 'defiled and unbelieving' form one class, not two, as the absence of the article before $d\pi i \sigma \tau o s$ shews. Cp. 1 Tim. iv. 3.

άλλα μεμίανται αὐτῶν και ὁ νοῦς και ἡ συνείδησις, but both their mind and their conscience are defiled. See for νοῦς and συνείδησις the notes on 1 Tim. i. 5, vi. 5.

- 16. Θεὸν ὁμολογοῦσιν εἰδέναι. They confess that they know God. There is here no hint of Gnostic pretensions to esoteric knowledge of dcity. It was ever the boast and the pride of Judaism that it was the religion of the One True God, in contrast to the religions of $\tau \grave{a} \, \epsilon \theta \nu \eta$ $\tau \grave{a} \, \mu \grave{h} \, \epsilon l \delta \sigma \tau a \, \tau \delta \nu \, \theta \epsilon \delta \nu$ (1 Thess. iv. 5; cp. also Gal. iv. 8; 2 Thess. i. 8). And so far the heretical Judaisers at Crete were right; their confession, so far, was a 'good confession' (1 Tim. vi. 12).
- τοῖs δὲ ἔργοις ἀρνοῦνται, but they deny Him by their works; they acted as if this Supreme Being was a mere metaphysical abstraction, out of all moral relation to human life, as if He were neither Saviour nor Judge. Cp. 2 Tim. ii. 12, and see note on 1 Tim. ii. 10.

βδελυκτοί δντες, being abominable.

βδελυκτόs is not found again in the N.T.; in Proverbs xvii. 15 (LXX.) the man who perverts moral distinctions is described as $\alpha \kappa d\theta a \rho \tau o s \kappa a l \beta \delta \epsilon \lambda u \kappa \tau d s$ (cp. Ecclus. xli. 5; 2 Macc. i. 27 for other occurrences of the word).

και dπειθείς. Cp. Rom. xi. 32; Tit. iii. 3. The two ideas of disobedience to Jehovah and abominableness in His sight go together in Judaism; these Judaisers, putting in the forefront of their teaching the Unity of God and claiming for themselves a special knowledge of God as His peculiar people, were yet disobedient to His word and so abominable in His sight.

και πρὸς πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθὸν ἀδόκιμοι, and unto every good work reprobate. For the form of the expression cp. 2 Tim. iii. 17; Tit. iii. 1; for ἀδόκιμος see on 2 Tim. iii. 8.

CHAPTER II.

- 3. lepoπρεπείς. So the rec. text with the great majority of uncials (and the margin of the Harclean version); CH** 17, the Syriac, Latin, and Bohairic versions support lepoπρεπεί, and take it as qualifying καταστήματι.
- μη οίνφ. This is the reading of the rec. text (adopted by Tischendorf and Lachmann), and it is supported by nearly all the available MSS, and versions. But the important group \aleph^*AC73 read $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ οίνφ, and this is printed by Tregelles and WH; $\mu\dot{\eta}$ οίν φ is the reading in the parallel passage 1 Tim. iii. 8.
- 4. $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\sigma\nu l l co\sigma\nu$. This is the reading adopted by Tischendorf and Tregelles, and it is supported by the strong combination N*AGHP. We have printed it in the text, in accordance with the rules laid down for the direction of editors of the Cambridge Greek Testament (p. v.). But that $b\alpha$ should be followed by the present indicative (see, however, 1 Cor. iv. 6; Gal. iv. 17) seems improbable, and we concur with WH and the rec. text in preferring $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\sigma\nu l \omega\sigma\nu$, which is read by $N^{\circ}CD_{2}EKL$, the cursives, and the Greek Fathers generally.
- 5. οἰκουργούs. So the best MSS., N*ACD₂*EG, seem to require us to print; also Clement (§ 1), in a passage which recalls this verse, has τὰ κατὰ τὸν οἶκον σεμνώς οἰκουργεῖν ἐδιδάκετε. Of the word οἰκουργός only one other instance has been produced, and that from Soranus, a medical writer of the second century. The rec. text with the bulk of MSS. (N°D₂°HKLP) and Fathers has οἰκουρούς, which certainly gives more point to the whole passage. The Latin and Syriac Versions both seem to support it, the Vulgate rendering being domus curam habentes. See exegetical note.
- 7. ἐν τῷ διδασκαλία ἀφθορίαν. This is undoubtedly the true reading and is found in $\aleph^*ACD_2^*E^*KLP$; G has ἀφθονίαν. The rec. text has ἀδιαφθορίαν with $\aleph^0D_2^*E^{**}L$ and cursives.

163

After $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu b \tau \eta \tau a$ the rec. text adds $\dot{a}\phi \theta a \rho \sigma l a \nu$, with $D_2^{c}E^{**}KL$ and about 30 cursives; instead of which C and a few other authorities have $\dot{a}\gamma \nu e l a \nu$. But neither addition is sufficiently well supported to entitle it to a place in the text.

- 8. λέγειν περὶ ἡμῶν φαῦλον. The rec. text has ὑμῶν for ἡμῶν (with A and the Bohairic version), and also places λέγειν directly before φαῦλον (with KL and a considerable number of authorities). But the mass of uncial evidence is overwhelmingly in favour of the text as printed.
- 9. illows descriptions. This is the order of the rec. text, as well as of recent editors; it is supported by NCGKL, Chrysostom, Theodoret &c. The order descriptions is found in AD_2EP and the Latin authorities (versions and Fathers).
- 10. μη νοσφιζομένους. WH give μηδέ a place in their margin, on the authority of $C^bD_2^*G$ 17; $RAC^*D_2^*EKLP$ &c. have μή.

πάσαν πίστιν. There is some confusion about the order. The reading of the text has the weight of uncial authority, viz. $\aleph^{\alpha}ACD_{2}EP$ and d e, on its side; and it is in favour of this, as Ellicott points out, that in St Paul (except Eph. iv. 19) where πâs is in connexion with an abstract and anarthrous substantive, it always precedes the noun. The rec. text has πίστιν πᾶσαν with KL (so also Chrysostom, Theodoret, and the Latin Vulgate). It is remarkable that \aleph^{*} 17 omit πίστιν altogether, and 17 for the following ἀγαθήν has ἀγάπην; WH, in consequence, place πᾶσαν ἐνδεικνυμένους ἀγάπην in their margin, as a reading of which it is quite possible that the others may be corruptions. See exegetical note.

τήν διδασκαλίαν τήν. The second τήν is wanting in rec. text as it is in KLP and some other authorities; ins. $RACD_2EG$ 17, Chrysostom and Theodoret.

- ήμῶν. The rec. text has ὑμῶν, apparently through a printer's error, for it has no MS. support.
- 11. $\sigma\omega r\eta\rho ios$. The rec. text with $C^oD_2^{bo}EKLP$ and the great mass of authorities (MSS. and Fathers) inserts $\dot{\eta}$ before $\sigma\omega r\dot{\eta}\rho ios$ (with a view of suggesting that $\sigma\omega r\dot{\eta}\rho ios$ is subject, not predicate); it is omitted by $\&AC^*D_2^*G$, the Syriac, Latin and Bohairie versions and is, in fact, unnecessary. $\&A^*G$ read $\sigma\omega r\dot{\eta}\rho ios$, and G prefixes τios .
- 13. Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ. This order is supported by ℵ*G g and the Bohairic version, a strong combination; but the rec. reading (adopted by the R.V. and placed in the margin by WH) has, seemingly, the weight of evidence in its favour, viz. ℵ*ACD₂EKLP and all the other authorities (MSS., versions and Fathers). See exegetical note.

- 1-10. TITUS' POSITIVE TEACHING, AS REGARDS VARIOUS CLASSES
- 1. σὸ δὲ λάλει. But do thou, in contrast with the ματαιολόγοι (as at 1 Tim. vi. 11; 2 Tim. iii. 10, iv. 5), speak, i.e. speak out boldly and plainly.

α πρέπει τη ύγιαινούση διδασκαλία, which befit (cp. 1 Tim. ii. 10) the sound doctrine, so. in contrast with the μῦθοι and ἐντολαί ἀνθρώπων of i. 14. For ἡ ὑγ. διδασκαλία see on 1 Tim. i. 10.

i. Aged men.

2. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \delta \tau as$. The word $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \delta \tau \eta s$ is common in the LXX. (see note on 1 Tim. v. 1 and cp. the parallel use there of $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \delta \tau \epsilon \rho s$), but only occurs again in the N.T. at Luke i. 18 and Philem. 9 (in which last place it is probably for $\pi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta} s$, 'an ambassador'). It simply means an old man, and is not a title of office.

νηφαλίους είναι, should be temperate, not only in the use of wine, though this would be included (op. the parallel μηδε οίνω πολλω δεδουλωμέναs in v. 3), but generally. See on 1 Tim. iii. 2, 11 and 2 Tim. iv. 5.

σεμνούς, grave. See on 1 Tim. ii. 2, iii. 4 and Tit. i. 7 above.

σώφρονας. See on 1 Tim. ii. 9, iii. 2 and Tit. i. 8 above.

ύγιαίνοντας τη πίστει. The similar phrase ίνα ὑγιαίνωοιν ἐν τῆ πίστει (ch. i. 13 above) would suggest that ἡ πίστις was here to be taken objectively (see on 1 Tim. i. 19), but it will be observed that the defining preposition ἐν is lacking here, and further the words which follow shew that πίστις, ἀγάπη, ὑπομονή are here a triad of Christian graces, and that therefore πίστις must be taken subjectively. The old men are to be bidden 'to be sound in their faith.'

With the phrase ύγιαίνοντας τη πίστει cp. τον άσθενούντα τη πίστει

(Rom. xiv. 1).

τη πίστει, τη αγάπη, τη ὑπομονή. For the intimate connexion between πίστις and αγάπη see on 1 Tim. i. 14.

πίστις and ὑπομονή are coupled at 2 Thess. i. 4; Rev. xiii. 10, and the relation between them is described thus by St James (i. 3), τὸ δοκίμιον ὑμών τῆς πίστεως κατεργάζεται ὑπομονήν.

ανάπη and ὑπομονή are grouped in 2 Thess. iii. 5.

The three graces πίστις, ἀγάπη, ὑπομονή are also placed in jixtaposition, 1 Thess. i. 3; 1 Tim. vi. 11 (where Timothy is bidden to pursue them), and 2 Tim. iii. 10 (where St Paul speaks of his own example in respect of them); and they form three of the eight graces enumerated in the catalogue 2 Pet. i. 6, 7, πίστις being the beginning, ἀγάπη the end, and ὑπομονή an intermediate stage, of the Christian course as there described. Ignatius (Polyc. 6) thus distinguishes them as parts of the Christian's equipment, ἡ πίστις ὡς περικεφαλαία, ἡ ἀγάπη ὡς δόρυ, ἡ ὑπομονή ὡς πανοπλία. See further on 1 Tim. vi. 11; 2 Tim. iii, 10.

ii. Aged women.

3. πρεσβύτιδας. πρεσβύτις, an aged woman, is only found again in the Greek Bible at 4 Macc. xvi. 14. It is interesting to find Epiphanius (Haer. Collyr. 79, n. 3) using the word of the most venerable of the Church Widows (see I Tim. v. 9), who were quite distinct from the Deaconesses; he distinguishes the πρεσβύτις carefully from the πρεσβύτερις or woman 'elder.' Here, however, the term πρεσβύτις is used just as πρεσβυτέρα was in 1 Tim. v. 2; it was not yet a distinct office. But we have in this and the corresponding passage in 1 Timothy the beginnings of what came to be an organised ministry in a later age.

ώσαύτως. See on 1 Tim. ii. 9.

έν καταστήματι, in demeanour; as compared with καταστολή dress of 1 Tim, ii. 9, it points rather to a habit of mind than to outward appearance, as also it does at 3 Macc. v. 45, the only other place where the word κατάστημα is found in the Greek Bible. Of the Bishop of Tralles Ignatius says that his κατάστημα was itself μεγάλη μαθητεία (Trall. 3), and this, no doubt, is the idea here also.

ίκροπρεπεῖς, reverend, or as the A.V. has it "as becometh holiness"; the R.V. "reverent" does not seem to hit the sense, which has reference rather to the effect upon others of their decorous demeanour than to their own respect for sacred things. Yet it is hard to distinguish the two, and the parallel passage 1 Tim. ii. 10 δ πρέπει γυναιξν έπαγγελλομέναν θεοσέβειαν in some measure countenances the subjective sense of the word here.

μή διαβόλους. See on 1 Tim. iii. 6, 11.

μηδέ οἴνψ πολλῷ δεδουλωμένας, nor enslaved to much wine, a stronger expression than the corresponding one, 1 Tim. iii. 8 (see note thereon), which applies to deacons. The 'slavery of sin' is a familiar thought with St Paul (Rom. vi. 18, 22 &c.), and in the case of no sin is the bondage more conspicuous than in the case of drunkenness. It may have been specially necessary to warn the Cretans, γαστέρες ἀργαί (i. 12), against it.

καλοδιδασκάλουs, teachers of that which is good, so. not in the public assemblies of Christians (1 Tim. ii. 12, where see note), but in private ministrations, such as those of Lois and Eunice (2 Tim. iii. 15) and those contemplated in the next verse. For the form of the word καλοδιδάσκαλος (ἄπ. λεγ. in the Greek Bible) see the note on ἐτεροδιδασκαλεῦν (1 Tim. i. 3), and for the force of καλός see on 1 Tim. i. 8.

4. Γνα σωφρονίζωσιν τὰς νέας, that (this is the reason why they should be καλοδιδάσκαλοι) they may train the young women, so primarily the young married women, as the context shews. The demeanour of Titus himself to these members of his flock would, no doubt, be the same as that recommended to Timothy (1 Tim. v. 2; see note thereon). See critical note.

The verb σωφρονίζεω is απ. λεγ. in the Greek Bible; see on 2 Tim. i. 9 and on 1 Tim, ii, 9.

iii. Young wives.

φιλάνδρους είναι, to be lovers of their husbands. The word does not occur again in LXX. or N.T.; we have in Plutarch (Praec. conj. 38) φίλανδροι και σώφρονες γυναϊκες.

φιλοτέκνουs, lovers of their children. The word is not found again in N.T.; we have it in 4 Macc. xv. 4, 5 and (coupled with φίλανδροι) in Plutarch (Mor. p. 769).

5. σώφρονας, soberly discreet; see on 1 Tim. ii. 9, iii. 2 and Tit. i. 8 above.

dyvds, chaste, probably in its primary sense. See on 1 Tim. iv. 12, v. 22; op. 2 Cor. xi. 2.

olkoupyoús, dya θ ds. Some excellent critics, e.g. Lachmann, Tregelles and Weiss, remove the comma, and treat $d\gamma a\theta$ ds as qualifying the word which precedes it. This, however, is to disturb the rhythm of the sentence, and is not in accordance with the ancient interpretations of the passage. We shall see that $d\gamma a\theta$ ds may very well be taken absolutely, as all the words preceding it are taken.

The question then arises, Are we to read olkoupyous or olkoupous? Diplomatic evidence certainly favours the former, and the passage quoted in the critical note from Clement of Rome may also be alleged to support the opinion that olkoupyous was the primitive reading. But the resultant meaning (apparently, for it was an extraordinarily rare word, as the crit. note shews) workers at home is not very impressive. And when we remember that the alternative reading οίκουρούs, keepers at home, supplies an attribute of good wives by which Greek writers generally set great store (Field supplies a large number of apt illustrations) we are much tempted to hold that it was the word used by St Paul. Wetstein quotes Philo, de Exsecr. 4. and the words are worth reproducing as illustrating the whole passage before us: γυναίκας ας ήγαγοντο κουριδίας έπι γνησίων παιδών σπορά, σώφρονας οίκουρούς και φιλάνδρους. Another passage from Philo (de Prof. 27) is interesting. Of a virtuous wife he says κοσμιότητι καὶ σωφροσύνη καὶ ταῖς ἄλλαις διαπρέπουσιν ἀρεταῖς, ἐνὶ προσέχουσαν άνδρί και την ένδς οίκουρίαν άγαπωσαν και μοναρχία χαίρουσαν. In short, olkovoovs is the word we should naturally expect in such a catalogue from a writer in St Paul's circumstances; οίκουργούς is of very doubtful meaning, nor is it supported by such overwhelming external evidence as to require its adoption. Hence we are disposed to hold by the A.V. keepers at home (or perhaps 'keepers of their homes') in preference to the R.V. workers at home.

άγαθάs. The Vulgate translates benignas, άγαθόs thus having an absolute meaning akin to what it has at Matt. xx. 15; 1 Pet. ii. 18, and (according to the most probable interpretation) Rom. v. 7, where it is contrasted with δίκαιος. άγαθάς means here, then, kind (the rendering of the R.V.) or kindly.

ύποτασσομένας τοις ίδιοις ἀνδράσιν, submitting themselves, each to her own husband, advice which St Paul had given before in almost identical words (Eph. v. 22; Col. iii. 18). See for this unemphatic use of tous on 1 Tim. vi. 1, and op. v. 9 below.

Whatever may be thought of the 'subjection of women' there can be no doubt that St Paul's belief was that the man is, and ought to

be, 'head of the wife' (Eph. v. 23). See on 1 Tim. ii. 11.

ίνα μή ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ βλασφημῆται. Quoted as in Rom. ii. 24 (cp. also 1 Tim. vi. 1) from Isa. lii. 5. Christianity has undoubtedly emancipated woman from the state of degradation in which Greek civilisation and Hebrew prejudice were alike content to leave her; but the first preachers of the Gospel sanctioned no sudden revolution in domestic life any more than in civic life. For Christianity was a religious movement before it became either a social or political movement; and it was the constant fear of its early exponents that it might be misinterpreted as loosening the bonds of society and of the state, and that so the Word of God, i.e. the Gospel (see Addit. Note on 1 Tim. iv. 5), might be blasphemed. See on 1 Tim. vi. 1.

iv. Young men.

- 6. τοθς νεωτέρους ώσαύτως παρακάλει σωφρονείν, the younger men likewise exhort to be sober-minded. It will be observed that Titus is not directed, as Timothy was (1 Tim. v. 1, where see the note), to exhort the rεώτεροι as άδελφοι, probably because he was himself a man in middle life. See on 1 Tim. ii. 9; the references there given shew that specially great stress is laid in this Epistle on the virtue of σωφροσύνη and the need of σωφρονισμός.
- 7. περί πάντα σεαντόν παρεχόμενος τύπον καλών ξργων, in all things shewing thyself an example of good works. The use of the reflexive pronoun along with the middle voice of the verb makes the personal application of the injunction more pointed. Example is better than precept, and Titus is to set an example in his own person. For the use of τύπος cp. 1 Tim. iv. 12, and the note thereon; and for the stress laid on καλά ξργα in the Pastorals and the significance of the adjective καλός see on 1 Tim. ii. 10.

It will be remembered that these words, together with those of v. 8 and of v. 12, form the substance of one of the solemn questions which are put to a bishop before his consecration.

έν τῆ διδασκαλία ἀφθορίαν, σεμνότητα, in thy teaching (shewing) uncorruptness, gravity. These two attributes have reference to the qualities of the teacher, rather than to the content of the doctrine taught; the character of that is defined by the words which follow. σεμνότης (see on 1 Tim. ii. 2) is peculiarly a quality of persons, not of doctrine; and ἀφθορία (ἄπ.λεγ. in N.T.) well expresses the single-mindedness and sincerity which a teacher of sacred things should exhibit. It signifies his whole-heartedness, while σεμνότης rather has reference to his outward demeanour.

ή διδασκαλία here is to be taken actively, thy teaching, and not (as in the A.V. and R.V.) in the objective sense of the doctrine taught. It has been pointed out in the note on 1 Tim. i. 10, that it is used in both senses in the Pastoral Epistles, but here the context as well as the close juxtaposition of the verb παρακαλεῦν (παράκλησιs exhortation being contrasted with διδασκαλία instruction, as at 1 Tim. iv. 13) seems to require us to translate teaching, as Wiclif's version has it.

The A.V. has at the end of this verse the additional attribute sincerity, the rendering of $d\phi\theta a\rho\sigma ta\nu$ of the rec. text. But as the critical note shews, it is a later gloss, and not entitled to a place in

the text at all.

- 8. λόγον ὑγιῆ ἀκατάγνωστον, sound discourse that cannot be condemned. The two qualities which the λόγος or 'discourse' of one in the position of Titus should have are (1) that it be ὑγιῆς (see the note on I Tim. i. 10), and (2) that it be ἀκατάγνωστος or irreprehensible. The word ἀκατάγνωστος occurs again in the Greek Bible only once, at 2 Macc. iv. 47 where it means 'uncondemned'; here it means rather 'not open to just rebuke.' In fact, every faithful teacher has frequently to declare 'doctrine' against which some of his hearers rebel and which they are only too ready to 'condemn.' But the true standard of ὑγιεία or soundness is not derived from a comparison of the opinions of the taught, but is the Apostolic deposit of faith, as officially and authoritatively interpreted by the Church.
- tva ὁ ἐξ ἐναντίας ἐντραπῆ, in order that he of the contrary part, so the ματαιολόγος, may be put to shame, and so his vain talk be silenced. The positive presentation of truth, of the 'sound doctrine,' is the best means of combating error; falsehood dreads the light and is—generally—discredited as soon as the light of truth is allowed to play on it, without any direct controversial attack. Chrysostom interprets δξ ἐναντίας of the devil, but this is to introduce an idea quite foreign to the context, as indeed the next clause sufficiently shews.

μηδέν έχων λέγειν περὶ ἡμῶν φαῦλον, having no evil thing to say of us. φαῦλος is in the N.T. always applied to evil deeds rather than evil words; and so the point of this clause is that the opponents of St Paul's 'sound doctrine' have nothing scandalous to report of his conduct or of that of Titus.

v. Slaves.

- 9. δούλους ίδίοις δεσπόταις ύποτάσσεσθαι. Exhort (going back to παρακάλει of v. 6) slaves to be in subjection to their masters. The corresponding injunctions in 1 Tim. vi. 1 ff. should be compared with what follows; ίδιος is used without special emphasis here, as in that place. The article before lδίοις is dropped, apparently because of the anarthrous δούλους which precedes 1. See critical note.
- έν πᾶσιν εὐαρέστους είναι, to give satisfaction to them in all things. εὐάρεστος, well-pleasing, is a favourite adjective with St Paul; but everywhere else he uses it in reference to God the Father or Christ.

¹ Sec Blass, Grammar of N. T. Greek, § 48. 8.

μη dντιλέγοντας, not gainsaying; it is wider than Tyndale's "not answering again," which is preserved in the A.V. dντιλέγειν here has reference to all kinds of opposition, whether of words or deeds.

10. μη νοσφίζομένους, not purloining; for νοσφίζεσθαι cp. Acts v. 3; 2 Macc. iv. 32. Tyndale's "neither be pickers" gives the sense exactly; the allusion is to the petty thefts which are always possible for a dishonest servant.

άλλα πάσαν πίστιν ἐνδεικνυμένους ἀγαθήν, but shewing all good fidelity, so. shewing good faith on every possible occasion; see note on the extensive force of πάς in St Paul, at 1 Tim. i. 15. πίστις here = fidelitas, the fidelity which slaves owe to their masters, servants to those who employ them.

Γνα την διδασκαλίαν κ.τ.λ., that they may adorn the doctrine of God our Saviour in all things, so in every department and call of duty. κοσμεῖν 'to adorn,' is used of the 'setting' of a jewel; and so, here, 'the doctrine of God our Saviour' is, as it were, 'set off,' and exhibited in a favourable light to the unbelieving world, by the conduct of those who, in whatever station, profess belief in it. For the title 'our Saviour' as applied to God the Father, see note on 1 Tim. i. 1 and cp. 1 Tim. iv, 10.

11-14. DOCTRINAL GROUND OF THE PRECEDING EXHORTATIONS.

11. ἐπεφάνη γὰρ ἡ χάρις τοῦ θεοῦ σωτήριος πάσιν ἀνθρώποις, for the grace of God appeared bringing salvation to all men. ἡ χάρις τοῦ θεοῦ is the whole favour of God, revealed in the Person of Christ; in this brief sentence we have at once a declaration of the Incarnation (ἐπεφάνη; cp. iii. 4) and the Atonement (σωτήριος; cp. the Name Jesus, Matt. i. 21). The aorist ἐπεφάνη points to a definite manifestation in time of the unfailing grace of God, i.e. to the Nativity and the Advent of our Lord.

For the adj. $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho\iota\sigma$, which does not occur again in the N.T., cp. Amos v. 22 $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho\iota\sigma$ $\varepsilon\pi\iota\rho\sigma\iota\sigma$ $\varepsilon\pi\iota\rho\sigma$ $\varepsilon\pi\iota\rho\sigma$. The absence of the article before $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho\iota\sigma$ (see crit. note) shews that it is not attached to the subject $\chi\sigma\rho$, but is connected with the predicate; it is as bringing salvation that this grace has visited us, not 'the saving

grace of God has appeared,'

The construction and order of the words require us to take $\pi \hat{a} \sigma w d \nu \theta \rho \hat{b} m \sigma s$ with $\sigma \sigma \tau \hat{b} \rho \sigma s$, not with $\hat{e} \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{d} \nu \eta$, as the A.V., following Wiclif and the Rheims Version, has done. Tyndale has rightly that bringeth salvation unto all men, so, whether Jew or Greek, bond or free. It is the Universality of the Atonement (cp. 1 Tim. ii. 4) which is the thought in the second clause of the verse; it is not indeed easy to attach any exact sense to the rendering "appeared unto all men." Even yet, after nineteen centuries of Christian Missions, 'the grace of God' is still unknown to multitudes of those whose nature the Lord took upon Himself; it has not yet 'appeared' to them.

12. παιδεύουσα ήμᾶς, ἐνα κ.τ.λ., schooling us, in order that &c. This is the point of stress in the whole paragraph. The ground of the foregoing exhortations to fulfil our several duties lies here, that "the grace of God appeared...schooling (or disciplining) us" for right living. The final cause of the Revelation in Christ is not creed, but character.

tra dρνησάμενοι την dσέβειαν και τds κοσμικός ἐπιθυμίας, in order that having denied ungodliness and worldly lusts &c. The aorist participle seems to point to a definite act of renunciation, such as is made at Baptism, which is everywhere in the N.T. contemplated as the beginning of the Christian life. It is grammatically possible to take ἀρνησάμενοι as coextensive in time with ζήσωμεν which follows, and to translate denying (as A.V. and R.V.), the continual denials of the Christian course being thus described. But a reference to the baptismal vow (see on 1 Tim. vi. 12 and cp. 1 Pet. iii. 21) seems to be intended. ἀσέβεια (2 Tim. ii. 16) is, of course, the opposite of εὐσέβεια; see on 1 Tim. ii. 2. The worldly desires which we are called on to 'deny' include the lusts of the flesh, but are not confined to these; $\hat{\epsilon}$ ἐπιθυμία τῆς σαρκὸς καὶ $\hat{\gamma}$ ἐπιθυμία τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν καὶ $\hat{\gamma}$ ἀλαζονία τοῦ βίου are all ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου (1 John ii. 16).

σφρόνως και δικαίως και εὖσεβῶς ζήσωμεν, we should live soberly and righteously and godly. The three adverbs, taken together, express the Christian ideal of life (cp. the language of the General Confession), and they are so used in the Form for Adult Baptism and also in that for the Consecration of Bishops (see above on v. 7). In a rough way they may be considered as pointing respectively to our duties to curselves, to our neighbours, and to God, but anything like a sharp division is not to be pressed (see on ch. i. 8). For σωφροσύνη see on 1 Tim. ii. 9 and for εὐσεβεία on 1 Tim. ii. 2.

έν τῷ νῦν αἰῶνι, in the present world. For this phrase see on 1 Tim. vi. 17.

13. προσδεχόμενοι τὴν μακαρίαν ἐλπίδα κ.τ.λ., looking for the blessed hope, &c. Note that the connexion of this with what goes before shews that this attitude of expectation is not only a privilege and a consolation, but a duty. Hope 'abides' no less than faith and love (1 Cor. xiii. 13). The ἐλπίς is almost regarded as something objective, not only spes but res sperata; ep. Acts xxiv. 15 ἐλπίδα...ἡν ...προσδέχονται (in a speech of Paul's). It is called μακάριος as containing in itself the fulness of bliss (see on 1 Tim. i. 11).

καὶ ἐπιφάνειαν τῆς δόξης, and appearing of the glory. The A.V. "glorious appearing" (derived from Tyndale, all the other English versions having preserved the true rendering) is a quite unjustifiable hendiadys, and impoverishes the sense. The absence of the article before ἐπιφάνεια requires us to connect it closely with ἐλπίδα; it is, indeed, the emphatic word in the sentence. The strength in which the Christian life is to be lived is the grace revealed in the First Advent (the Epiphany of v. 11); the hope to which it presses is the glory of the Second Advent (the Epiphany of v. 13).

τοῦ μεγάλου θεοῦ καὶ σωτήρος ήμων Χριστοῦ Ίησοῦ. The rendering of this verse has been the subject of much dispute. (1) The older English versions distinguish two Persons in the clause to which we have come, and understand τοῦ μεγάλου θεοῦ of God the Father (ep. 2 Pet. i. 1). (2) On the other hand the R.V. (though placing the other rendering in the margin) translates of our great God and Saviour Jesus Christ (see, however, the critical note for the order).
(a) Primâ facie, it might be thought (and it has often been urged) that the omission of the article before $\sigma\omega\tau\hat{\eta}\rho\sigma$ requires us to think of $\theta co\hat{v}$ and $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho os$ as being part of one conception, and that therefore the rendering of the R.V. is demanded inexorably by the ordinary rules as to the use of the definite article. But, in fact, σωτήρ is one of those quasi-technical words which speedily became anarthrous (see on 1 Tim. i. 1); and further it might possibly be, that, as $\tau \circ \vartheta$ μεγάλου qualifies θ εοῦ, so σ ωτήρος is qualified by the following ήμῶν. The point cannot be decided on grammatical grounds alone. (b) Again it has been supposed by some that interpretation (2), as being that adopted (with fair unanimity) by the Greek Fathers and as being therefore the traditional interpretation of the early Eastern Church, has strong claims upon us on this ground alone. Against that, however, a fact of exactly opposite significance may be set, viz. that the early translations of the N.T., Latin, Syriac, Egyptian and Armenian, seem to adopt interpretation (1). Patristic interpretation is not decisive when the evidence of the Versions is the other way. And, again, we must always remember that the Fathers were far better theologians than critics. Their judgement on a point of doctrine may be trusted with much readier confidence than the arguments by which they support that judgement. That St Paul would not hesitate to call Christ by the awful title God need not be doubted (see Acts xx. 28; Rom. ix. 5 in particular), and the Fathers were right in asserting this quite plainly. But whether he does so at this point or not is a question of exegesis, not of doctrine; the dogma of our Lord's Godhead does not rest only on one or on twenty texts.

Tradition, then, does not settle the problem before us any more than grammar, and we ask next (c) What is the general usage of the Pastoral Epistles as to the combination of the words $\theta \epsilon \delta s$ and Χριστός? To this there can be only one answer. From a comparison of 1 Tim. i. 1; Tit. i. 4, iii. 4-6 (see also 1 Tim. ii. 3-5) it will be perceived that the habit of the writer of these letters is to use $\theta \epsilon \delta s$ of God the Father (the epithet $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho$ being frequently applied to Him: see on 1 Tim. i. 1); ἀπὸ θεοῦ πατρὸς και Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ σωτήρος ήμων (Tit. i. 4) is his usual method of coupling the Eternal Father and His Son our Lord. Hence there is some ground for distinguishing the terms in the same way in the verse before us. But (d) we have not yet examined the context, and this will lessen our confidence in the conclusion to which (c) would point. For (a) the application of the adj. $\mu \epsilon \gamma as$ to $\theta \epsilon \delta s$ is unique in the N.T. (cp. Neh. ix. 32 and esp. Dan. ii. 45); and this remarkable choice of epithet may suggest that $\theta \epsilon \phi s$ is used in a special connexion with special motive. In other words usyas is somewhat pointless (in this context) if applied to God the Father; but τοῦ μεγάλου θεοῦ has remarkable significance if it be understood of God the Son, with whose Second Advent the verse is concerned. It calls attention to the glory of that Appearing which shall be. (β) ἐπιφάνεια is habitually used by Paul of our Lord Jesus Christ, and not of God the Father, as a rule. Against this the expression in this very Epistle (iii. 4) ή χρηστότης καὶ ή φιλανθρωπία έπεφάνη τοῦ σωτήρος ήμων θεοῦ (sc. God the Father), has been set; but this latter Epiphany was that of the First Advent, not of the Second, and in reference to the Second Advent (which is here in question) η ἐπιφάνεια is exclusively and perpetually applied to Christ. (γ) The full phrase ή ἐπιφάνεια τῆς δόξης perhaps is more applicable to the glory of the Son (Matt. xxv. 31) which shall be revealed at the Last Day (1 Pet. iv. 13), than to the glory of the Father; but yet Matt. xvi. 27 shews how easy it would be to press a consideration of this kind too far.

On the whole, then, though with great hesitation, we prefer the rendering of the Revised Version (2) for the reasons assigned under head (d); but it must not be supposed that the rendering of the Authorised Version is less doctrinally significant. In both cases our Lord's equality in glory with the Eternal Father is asserted in a fashion which would be out of the question if the writer did not believe that He was in truth the Almighty and Infinite God; the only difference is that what is only implied, according to the one translation, is explicitly stated by the other.

14. δς ἔδωκεν ἐαυτὸν ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν, Who gave Himself for us. This is the phrase in which St Paul again and again describes the efficacy of the Lord's Atonement; cp. Bom. viii. 32; Gal. i. 4, ii. 20; Eph. v. 25, and see on 1 Tim. ii. 6.

ίνα λυτρώσηται ήμας άπὸ πάσης άνομίας, in order that He might redeem us from all iniquity. The final cause of the Atonement is represented in v. 14 as (a) negative (Redemption), (b) positive (Sanctification). In this clause we have its negative purpose described; it is to redeem us from all sin (all sin is avoula, I John iii. 4. and aroula here stands for violation of the moral law in general). The rationale of the Atoning Efficacy of the Lord's Death is illustrated in the N.T. by various metaphors, such as Ransom, Reconciliation, Sacrifice. Here (as at 1 Tim. ii. 6) the metaphor of emancipation from slavery, ransom from the bondage of sin, is adopted, the language used being taken from Ps. cxxix. (cxxx.) 8 και αὐτὸς (sc. ὁ κύριος) λυτρώσεται του Ἰσραήλ ἐκ πασῶν τῶν ἀνομιῶν αὐτοῦ, where this 'plenteous redemption' is sung as the work of the Messiah who was to come (cp. also Ezek. xxxvii. 23). This was the metaphor which (possibly because of its adoption by our Lord Himself, Matt. xx. 28; Mark x. 45) was most frequently dwelt on by the early Church; and from Irenaeus to Anselm the one theory of the Atonement, which was popularly regarded as orthodox, was that which set forth the Lord's Death as a 'ransom' paid to the devil, into whose bonds man had fallen. The metaphor of 'redemption from

evil' was all too soon hardened into a theory of 'ransom from the Evil One.' See Westcott Hebrews, p. 295, and Abbott Ephesians, p. 11.

What has been said above (on 2 Tim. iv. 17) as to the usage of $d\pi b$ and $\epsilon \kappa$ after verbs of deliverance suggests that the change of the $\epsilon \kappa$ of the Paalm into $d\pi b$ is not without significance; redemption $\epsilon \kappa$ $\pi d\sigma \eta s$ $d\nu \rho \mu ds$ would only indicate deliverance from all the acts of lawlessness of which man had been guilty; $d\pi b$ indicates a complete deliverance from the neighbourhood and company of sin, whether original or actual.

και καθαρίση έαυτώ λαδν περιούσιον κ.τ.λ., and might purify to Himself a peculiar people, i.e. a people for His own possession. is the positive purpose of the Atonement; not only ransom from sin (not to speak of deliverance from the pains of hell), but sanctification to a good life. The two things go together; cp. 2 Cor. vii. 1. In this clause St Paul again uses the language of the LXX. to express the sacred truths which have been committed to him to teach: Aads περιούσιος is the equivalent of סְלֶלֶה 'a people of possession' (Exod. xix. 5; Deut. vii. 6, xiv. 2, xxvi. 18), the phrase used by St Peter being λαόs els περιποίησιν (1 Pet. ii. 9; cp. Mal. iii. 17). περιούσιος is usually represented in the Vulgate by peculiaris or in peculium, whence Tyndale's rendering "peculiar people" is derived; but in this verse (Tit. ii. 14) curiously enough the Vulgate has acceptabilem. The original Hebrew shews that the word περιούσιος is almost identical with the classical exalperos, 'chosen out,' as it were for God's purposes; and this is the proper sense of the adj. peculiar which has gained, from this and parallel passages, a permanent place in our language 1.

ξηλωτήν καλών έργων, zealous of good works. See on 1 Tim. ii. 10 for the place which 'good works' occupy in the theology of the Pastoral Epistles. For the word ζηλωτής ep. Acts xxii. 3; 1 Cor. xiv. 12; Gal. i. 14.

15. TITUS TO SPEAK WITH AUTHORITY.

15. ταῦτα λάλει, these things, sc. what has gone before, speak; cp. 1 Tim. vi. 2.

καὶ παρακάλει καὶ ἔλεγχε μετά πάσης ἐπιταγῆς, and exhort and reprove with all authority. The duties both of παράκλησις, exhortation (1 Tim. iv. 13), and of ἐλεγμός (2 Tim. iii. 16) are frequently commended to Timothy and Titus in the Pastorals (see on 2 Tim. iv. 2). They are to be carried out μετὰ πάσης ἐπιταγῆς with all authority. "I verily believe," says Bp Beveridge, "that the non-observance of this hath been, and still is, the principal reason why people receive so little benefit by hearing of sermons as they usually do. For they look upon sermons only as popular discourses, rehearsed by one of their fellow-creatures, which they may censure, approve, or reject, as themselves seem good." It is not presumptuous, it is a plain duty,

¹ See Lightfoot, Revision of the N. T. Appendix II., for a full discussion of the word περιούσιος.

for the minister of the Gospel to speak μετὰ πάσης ἐπιταγῆς. For the word ἐπιταγή see on 1 Tim. i. 1, and op. 2 Cor. viii. 8.

μηδείς σου περιφρονείτω, Let no man despise thee. This is in close connexion with the previous injunction to exhort and rebuke with all authority; the corresponding direction to Timothy (1 Tim. iv. 12) springs out of a different context, and is suggested by the thought of Timothy's 'youth.' See on 1 Tim. v. 1 and Titus ii. 6.

CHAPTER III.

- 1. The rec. text inserts κal between $d\rho \chi als$ and $\ell \xi ovolars$, on the authority of D_2 -E**KLP, most other MSS, and a general consensus of versions and Fathers. It is omitted by modern editors, chiefly because of the lack of uncial evidence, it not being found in NACD₂* EG 17 or g.
- 2. πραύτητα. This is the orthography of ACP (see crit. note on 2 Tim. ii. 25); the spelling of the rec. text πραύτητα is supported here by \aleph^0D_2 EGKL &c.
- 5. 6. This reading is guaranteed by the majority of the uncials, viz. NAC*D₂*G, and by 17; the rec. text has ω̄r, an obvious correction, with C^bD₂*EKLP and many cursives and Fathers.
- τὸ αὐτοῦ έλεος. So KAP 17 and the preponderance of patristic testimony; instead of the neuter, the rec. text has τὸν αὐτοῦ έλεον with D₂bKL.

λουτροῦ. A stands alone in inserting τοῦ before λουτροῦ.

- γενηθώμεν. This is the reading of N*ACD₂*GP 17 &c.; the rect has γενώμεθα with N°D₂°EKL and the majority of cursives.
- 8. $\theta\epsilon\hat{\phi}$. The rec. text, following the majority of the cursives, prefixes $\tau\hat{\phi}$; but all the uncials omit it.
- καλά. The rec. text, following D_9^{c} EKLP, prefixes τά; but it is not found in $RACD_9^{*}G$ and a considerable number of cursives.
- 9. ξρεις. So K^cACKLP and all the versions (except the Aethiopic); but the rec, text has ξριν with K*D₂EG aeth. See critical note on 1 Tim, vi. 4.
- 10. καl δευτέραν νουθεσίαν. This, the rec. reading, is supported by NACKLP and the great majority of MSS. and versions, and is indubitably the primitive reading; but the variants (rouθεσίαν καl [i]) δευτέραν D_2 °C g, and νουθεσίαν καl δύο D_2 °E d e) are curious, and point to early corruption. The various readings do not affect the meaning.
- 13. 'Απολλώ. This, the rec. spelling, has the authority of $CD_2^*cEH^{**}$ KLP def, and is adopted by Tregelles and Lachmann; Tischendorf and WH print 'Απολλών with $8D_2^bH^*$ (cp. 1 Cor. iv. 6).
- λείπη. So ACD₂ EGLP &c. (with the rec. text), followed by Tregelles, Lachmann and WH; Tischendorf prefers λίπη with ND₂* and

some cursives, and WH give a place to this reading in their margin. See on ch. i. 5.

15. The rec. text adds at the end dμήν with the great bulk of manuscripts and versions, and the Greek Fathers; but Tischendorf, Tregelles and WH omit it with the important group N*ACD₂* 17 d and the Latin Fathers.

The subscription printed in the rec. text is:

Πρός Τίτον, της Κρητών έκκλησίας πρώτον ἐπίσκοπον χειροτονηθέντα, έγράφη ἀπό Νικοπόλεως της Μακεδονίας. This is found in K and in some cursives, and substantially the same colophon is preserved in HL.

NC 17 have simply $\pi \rho \delta s$ $T(\tau o \nu)$; D_2E add to this $\epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta$, G has $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \lambda \dot{\eta}$ $\pi \rho \delta s$ $T(\tau o \nu)$; AP have $\pi \rho$. $T(\tau o \nu)$ $\epsilon \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha}$ \dot

1, 2. The attitude of Christians to their heathen neighbours and rulers.

1. ὑπομίμνησκε αὐτούς. Put them in mind (cp. 2 Tim. ii. 14) &c. The injunctions which follow would not be novel to the Cretan Christians; but, though familiar, they will bear repetition.

dpxais iξουσίαις ὑποτάσσεσθαι, to be subject to rulers, to authorities, a very necessary injunction to men who might be apt to presume on their possession of the true faith, so as to offend the pagan government under which their lot was cast. It is said by Polybius (vr. 46.9) that the Cretans were notorious for their seditious character, and it has been supposed that St Paul had this revolutionary spirit of theirs in his mind; but the qualities which he proceeds to mention in the next verse do not seem to have any such special reference, and, as a matter of fact, he addressed like counsel to the Romans (xiii. 1); cp. also 1 Tim. ii. 1—3, where the Ephesian Christians are directed to pray for 'all in authority.'

πειθαρχείν, to be obedient, so. to the civil law and to the magistrates; St Paul never underrates the duties of citizenship.

 $\pi \epsilon i \theta a \rho \chi \epsilon i \nu$ is not found anywhere else in St Paul's writings, but it occurs in a speech of his (Acts xxvii. 21).

πρὸς πῶν ἔργον ἀγαθὸν ἐτοίμους είναι, to be ready unto every good work; for, as he explains in the parallel passage Rom. xiii. 3, 'rulers are not a terror to good works but to evil.' See on 2 Tim. ii. 21.

2. μηδένα βλασφημέν, to speak ill of no man (cp. Jude 8); not an easy precept to observe, if we are surrounded by persons whose principles of faith and conduct we believe to be quite unsound and mistaken. See on 2 Tim. iii. 2.

άμάχους είναι, ἐπιεικεῖς, not to be contentious, to be forbearing. These two adjectives are coupled again among the qualifications of the $\epsilon\pi l\sigma\kappa \sigma \sigma \sigma$ at 1 Tim. iii. 3, where see the note.

πασαν ενδεικνυμένους πραύτητα πρὸς πάντας άνθρώπους, shewing all meekness to all men. For the form of the sentence cp. ch. ii. 10 above; and for πραύτης see on 2 Tim. ii. 25. We see here that the exhibition of this grace is not to be reserved for the intercourse of fellow Christians; it is to be displayed to all men, as a mark of the followers of Him who was Himself πραύς (Matt. xi. 29).

WE HAVE NO REASON FOR PRIDE, BUT RATHER FOR THANKFULNESS.

3. ἡμεν γάρ ποτε καὶ ἡμεῖς κ.τ.λ. For we ourselves were once &c. Before we became Christians we were even as these very heathen.

ανόητοι, foolish, senseless; cp. Gal. iii. 1.

ἀπειθεῖs, disobedient, se. to God. There is a general parallelism between the evil qualities enumerated in this verse, and those against which the Cretans are warned in v. 2; thus dπειθεῖ corresponds to πειθερχεῖν, but the disobedience which the Apostle has now in his mind is not disobedience to earthly rulers. Cp. all through the mournful catalogue in Rom. i. 30f.

πλανώμενοι may mean either deceived (as the English versions have), or 'going astray,' errantes (Vg.). The former seems preferable (cp. πλανώμενοι at 2 Tim. iii. 13, where it is certainly passive), but the intransitive meaning might be supported by such passages as Matt. xviii. 12; Heb. v. 2.

δουλεύοντες έπιθυμίαις και ήδοναῖς ποικίλαις, slaves to divers lusts and pleasures. It is curious that the common Greek word ήδονή occurs nowhere else in St Paul's writings. For ποικίλος see on 2 Tim. iii. 6.

in κακία και φθόνω διάγοντες, living in malice and envy. κακία, no less than φθόνος, expresses an internal malignity, which is admirably expressed by the word malice. We have the full phrase βίον διάγειν at 1 Tim. ii. 2.

στυγητοί, μισούντες άλλήλους, hateful, hating one another.

The word στυγητός does not occur again in the Greek Bible; we have it in Philo (de Dec. Orac. 24).

4. ὅτε δὲ ἡ χρηστότης καὶ ἡ φιλανθρωπία ἐπεφάνη τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ. But when the kindness of our Saviour God and His love toward man appeared, so. at the Advent of Christ (ep. John iii. 16). Thus vv. 4—8 are appointed as the Evening Second Lesson for Christmas Day.

The combination of χρηστότης και φιλανθρωπία, benignitas et humanitas (Vulg.) is very common in Greek, as Field has shewn by many

examples.

χρηστότης is a specially Pauline word, not occurring in the N.T. outside St Paul's writings; he applies it to man at 2 Cor. vi. 6; Gal. v. 22; Col. iii. 12, and uses it, as here, of an attribute of God at Rom. ii. 4, xi. 22; Eph. ii. 7. It signifies the graciousness of the Divine love for man; the yoke of Christ is called χρηστός (Matt. xi. 30).

φιλανθρωπία in Greek generally means simply kindness to individuals in distress (e.g. 2 Macc. vi. 22), and does not involve the thought of mankind at large, as the English word philanthropy does. However, when φιλανθρωπία is used of superior beings (e.g. 2 Macc. xiv. 9) and especially when it is used of God it has this widest range. The two attributes $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \sigma \tau \eta s$ and $\rho \tau \tau \tau \tau d$ are here in striking contrast to the $\sigma \tau \nu \gamma \eta \tau d$, $\mu \sigma \sigma \nu \tau d$ and $\rho \tau d$ are here in striking contrast to the $\sigma \tau \nu \gamma \eta \tau d$, $\mu \sigma \sigma \nu \tau d$ of the last verse; love of man for man is best engendered by the thought of God's allembracing love.

For the epithet $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho$, here applied to God the Father, see on 1 Tim. i. 1.

5. οὐκ ἰξ ἔργων τῶν ἐν δικαιοσύνη ἄ ἐποιήσαμεν ἡμεῖs, not by works done in righteousness which we did ourselves. This is the side of St Paul's teaching so prominent in the Second Group of his Epistles (cp. Rom. ix. 11; Gal. ii. 16 &c.). No more pregnant statement of the doctrines of the Gospel is found anywhere in his writings than we find in these verses. See on 1 Tim. ii. 10 and 2 Tim. i. 9.

For the reading a see the critical note.

dλλά κατά τὸ αὐτοῦ ελεος ἔσωσεν ἡμᾶς, but according to His own mercy He saved us. The position of αὐτοῦ makes it emphatic, and marks its contrast with ἡμεῖς of the preceding clause.

That man's salvation is 'according to' God's mercy is deep-rooted

in the O.T.; cp. Ps. cviii. 26, σῶσόν με κατά τὸ μέγα έλεδε σου.

διά λουτρού παλινγενεσίας και άγακαινώσεως πνεύματος άγιου, through the washing of regeneration and renewal of the Holy Spirit.

That the 'washing of regeneration' is the Water of Baptism is undoubted; see Eph. v. 26 καθαρίσας τῷ λουτρῷ τοῦ ὕδατος. It is the instrument (διά) of salvation (cp. 1 Pet. iii. 21 δ καὶ ὑμᾶς ἀντίτυπον νῦν σώζει βάπτισμα), the means, that is, through which we are placed in a 'state of salvation,' in union with the mystical Body of Christ; cp. Gal. iii. 27. For λουτρόν 'washing,' cp. Cant. iv. 2; Ecclus. xxxiv. 25.

Two constructions are grammatically possible. (1) did may govern λουτρού and also ανακαινώσεως, 'through the washing of regeneration and through the renewing of the Holy Spirit,' as the margin of the R.V. and, by its punctuation, the A.V., suggest. So it is taken by Tyndale, "by the fountain of the New Birth and with the renewing of the Holy Ghost"; and, of ancient versions, the Peshito also takes the words thus. Indeed D₂*E*G deg bear witness to the insertion in the text of διά before ἀνακαινώσεως. Or, (2) we may take ἀνακαινώσεως (with the Greek Fathers generally) as a second genitive after λουτροῦ, the meaning being 'through a washing which was a washing of regeneration and of renewal of the Holy Spirit.' This is the rendering of the Vulgate, "per lavacrum regenerationis et renovationis Spiritus sancti," and of the Bohairic and Armenian versions, and is adopted by the R.V. Both (1) and (2) being admissible in grammar, (2) seems to preserve better the balance of the sentence, and to bring out better the double function, as it were, of the baptismal water, which is not only the instrument of the New Birth (cp. John iii. 5), but a pledge of the abiding grace of the Holy Ghost. It is this second aspect of baptismal grace,

the Renovation of the Spirit, which is prominent in Confirmation. $\pi \lambda \lambda \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \delta a$ is, as it were, a 'new creation' (ep. Matt. xix. 28, the only other place where the word is found in the N.T.); $d\nu a \kappa a \ell \nu \omega \sigma s$ (cp. Rom. xii. 2) is the daily renewal of grace which the Holy Spirit gives; cp. 2 Cor. iv. 16.

The Christmas Day Collect (while leaning to interpretation (1) above) supplies a good devotional paraphrase, where we pray that "we being regenerate, and made God's children by adoption and

grace, may daily be renewed by His Holy Spirit."

6. οὖ ἐξέχεεν ἐφ' ἡμῶς πλουσίως, which, so. the Holy Spirit, He, so. God the Father, the subject of the whole sentence, poured out upon us richlu.

By the ordinary rules of attraction, of is attracted into the case of

the immediately preceding genitive, to which it refers.

The verb exxéeiv is the verb used to signify the outpouring of the Holy Spirit in Acts ii. 17 (Joel iii. 1) and 33. In the former passage the occasion was the Day of Pentecost; here the reference is to that outpouring of grace in baptism which is always pledged to the penitent and faithful soul; cp. Acts ii. 38.

διὰ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν. This is closely connected with the preceding ἐξέχεεν, and not, of course, with the more distant έσωσεν of v. 5. The co-operation of all three Persons of the Blessed Trinity in the work of grace is tersely and pregnantly expressed in this short verse. If the Father is σωτήρ (v. 4, see on 1 Tim. i. 1), so also the Son is σωτήρ, in a sense undreamed of under the Old Covenant.

7. Υνα δικαιωθέντες τη ἐκείνου χάριτι, in order that, having been justified by His grace &c. The iva has its full telic force; this heirship now spoken of is the final purpose of that rich outpouring of the Holy Spirit just described.

The mention of justification in such a context is characteristically Pauline; op. Rom. iii. 24 δικαιούμενοι δωρεάν τἢ αὐτοῦ χάριτι, a parallel which determines the reference (which grammar does not forbid) of

excivou to God the Father.

κληρονόμοι γενηθώμεν, we might be made heirs, sc. heirs of all the evangelical promises in Christ. κληρονόμοι is used thus absolutely by St Paul; op. e.g. Rom. iv. 14, viii. 17; Gal. iii. 29.

κατ' ἐλπίδα ζωῆς αἰωνίου, according to the hope of lije eternal. See on ch. i. 2 for this phrase. The heirship is κατ' ἐλπίδα ζωῆς αἰωνίου, for if there were no such hope, then the heirship would be vain and disappointing; ep. Heb. ix. 15.

8-11. Final injunctions. (a) Maintain good works.

8. πιστὸς ὁ λόγος. The 'faithful saying' in question is certainly to be found in the preceding vv. 4—7; it has even been supposed by some that we have here a fragment from a hymn on the way of salvation (see on 1 Tim. iii. 16; 2 Tim. ii. 10), but there is not

sufficient evidence to confirm the hypothesis. No nobler statement of doctrine is found anywhere in the Pauline Epistles than these verses present.

καl περl τούτων βούλομαι σε διαβεβαιοῦσθαι, and concerning these things I will (see on 1 Tim. ii. 8) that thou affirm confidently. See for διαβεβαιοῦσθαι on 1 Tim. i. 7.

tva φροντίζωσιν καλῶν ἔργων προτοτασθαι οἱ πεπιστευκότες θεῷ, that they who have believed God may be careful to maintain good works. Right belief must exhibit its fruits in life; this is the continual burden of St Paul's exhortations in the Pastoral Epistles; see on I Tim. ii. 10. φροντίζειν does not happen to occur again in the N.T., but it is frequent in the LXX. For the translation maintain good works the R.V. gives the marginal alternative "profess honest occupations." There is no doubt that this is an admissible meaning for προτοτασθαι, and 'honest trades' would give a very good sense to the injunction here, and again at v. 14. But the usage of the phrase καλά ξργα in the Pastorals (see on 1 Tim. ii. 10) is decisive for the rendering good works here, as in the other instances of its occurrence; and προτοτασθαι may very well mean 'be forward in,' 'be foremost in the practice of' (see 1 Thess. v. 12, and 1 Tim. iii. 4, 5, 12). We therefore retain with confidence the ordinary rendering of the words.

ταῦτά ἐστιν καλὰ καὶ ἀφέλιμα τοῖς ἀνθρώποις. These things, sc. the preceding injunctions, are good and profitable unto men. For ἀφέλιμος see on 1 Tim. iv. 8.

9. (b) Avoid controversy.

9. μωράς δὲ ζητήσεις και γενεαλογίας και ἔρεις και μάχας νομικάς περιίστασο, but shun foolish questions and genealogies and strifes and fightings about the law.

The corresponding advice in the Epistles to Timothy will be found 1 Tim. i. 4 ff., vi. 4, and 2 Tim. ii. 23, where see the notes. The language descriptive of the forms of heresy to be avoided, and of the dangers resulting from idle and irrelevant speculations, is remarkably similar in all three Epistles. See Introd. chap. iv. For $\pi \epsilon \rho d\sigma \tau \sigma \sigma \sigma$ cp. 2 Tim. ii, 16.

είσιν γὰρ ἀνωφελεῖς και μάταιοι, for they are unprofitable and vain. The word ἀνωφελεῖς και μάταιοι, for they are unprofitable and vain. 18. Ellicott notes that, although the adjective μάταιος is treated here and at James i. 26 as of two terminations, as in Attic Greek, yet the feminine form is found 1 Cor. xv. 17; 1 Pet. i. 18. The simple adj. μάταιος does not occur again in the Pastorals, but the false teachers are called ματαιολόγοι (Tit. i. 10) and their doctrine ματαιολογία (1 Tim. i. 6).

10, 11. (c) SHUN OBSTINATE HERETICS.

10. αἰρετικὸν ἄνθρωπον μετὰ μίαν καὶ δευτέραν νουθεσίαν παραιτοῦ. A man that is heretical after a first and second admonition avoid. We must be careful not to read into the adjective αἰρετικός all that it

came to imply at a later stage of the Church's life. The essence of the idea of alpeats in St Paul (see I Cor. xi. 19; Gal. v. 20) is that wilful 'choosing' for oneself, which is the root of division and schism. The duty of the Christian teacher, in his view, is to 'guard the deposit' of doctrine which has been entrusted to him, and to refrain from vain and irrelevant speculations on matters where our only possible source of knowledge is revelation. The alpeated $\pi \theta \rho \mu \omega \pi \sigma$, on the other hand, is the man who is always trying to strike out a new line, and who is a cause of faction in the Church. alpeated, thus, means rather 'one who causes divisions' than 'one who holds false doctrine,' a meaning which the word did not connote until a later date.

παραιτεῖσθαι (see on 1 Tim. iv. 7) has no reference to anything like formal excommunication; the counsel here offered to Titus is simply to avoid persons who cause strife by their unedifying disputations and theories.

11. είδως ὅτι ἐξέστραπται ὁ τοιοῦτος. Knowing, as you do, that such an one is perverted.

έκστρέφεσθαι does not occur again in the N.T., but op. Deut. xxxii.

20; Amos vi. 12.

The use of τοιούτος is thoroughly Pauline; ep. 1 Cor. v. 5; 2 Cor. ii. 6; Gal. vi. 1.

καὶ ἀμαρτάψει ὧν αὐτοκατάκριτος, and sinneth, being self-condemned. This principle is difficult to carry into practice. There is nothing more common, or more lamentable, in theological controversy than the assumption that a theological opponent is at heart dishonest and 'self-condemned.' It is not possible to believe that any justification for this temper of mind is to be found in St Paul's words here or in 1 Tim. iv. 2, where he speaks of the "speakers of lies who are branded in their conscience." At the least it may be laid down that for anyone possessed of a less keen insight into character than was given to St Paul for his special work, it is not only unseemly, but presumptuous and wicked to impute hypocrisy to those who seem to be 'heretically' minded. That may indeed be true; but we can never be sure of it, and it is probably far less often true than we are prone to believe. In all men the power of self-deceit is so strong that self-condemnation is very unusual. abroκαπάκριτοs is ἄπ. λεγ. in the Greek Bible.

- 12, 13. Invitation. Come to me to Nicopolis: speed on their journey Zenas and Apollos.
- 12. ὅταν πέμψω 'Αρτεμᾶν πρὸς σὲ ἢ Τυχικόν. Whenever I shall have sent Artemas or Tychicus to thee, sc. probably to supply the place of the Chief Pastor of Crete during the absence of Titus. We learn from 2 Tim. iv. 12 that, at a later date, Tychicus, who was a trusted from of the Apostle, was sent to Ephesus; so there is just a slight probability that it was Artemas who was sent to Crete, but we do not really know anything certain of the course of events (see Introd. p. xxxii.). Of

Artemas we have no knowledge; though there is a late tradition that he was bishop of Lystra.

For the construction of orav with the aor. subj. see on 1 Tim. v. 11.

σπούδασον έλθειν πρός με εἰς Νικόπολιν, ἐκεῖ γὰρ κέκρικα παραχειμάσαι. Use diligence (cp. 2 Tim. ii. 15, iv. 9, 21) to come to Nicopolis,

for there I have determined to winter.

There were at least three cities called Nicopolis, in Cilicia, in Thrace, and in Epirus respectively. Of these the third seems in every way more likely to be the city where St Paul proposed to winter (see Introd. p. xxxii.) than either of the other two. It was an important place, built by Augustus after the battle of Actium, and deriving its name 'the City of Victory' from that event. The use of $\ell\kappa\epsilon\ell$ ('there' not 'here') plainly indicates that the Apostle was not at Nicopolis at the time of writing. Despite this, the colophon appended to v. 15 of the rec. text reports that the Epistle was written $\delta\pi\delta$ Nicopolis of the receive of this verse with the Macedonian or Thracian city of that name.

See further on 2 Tim. iv. 10, where Titus is said to have gone to Dalmatia, a notice which agrees well enough with the present verse if, as we have assumed, the Nicopolis in Epirus on the Ambracian Gulf is the place whose name is recorded. It is worth adding that, as no such city is mentioned anywhere else in connexion with St Paul's history, the detail has the appearance of truth, and is extremely unlikely to be the invention of a forger of a later age, who would be careful to confine his allusions to places already associated with the name of St Paul.

For the construction of κέκρικα followed by an infinitive ep. 1 Cor. v. 3, vii. 37.

13. Ζηνᾶν τὸν νομικὸν καὶ ᾿Απολλὰ σπουδαίως πρόπεμψον κ.τ.λ. With diligence (cp. 2 Tim. i. 17) set forward on their journey Zenas the lawyer and Apollos, that nothing may be wanting to them.

The duty of speeding fellow-Christians on their journeys, of giving them a good 'send-off,' as we say, is often mentioned by St Paul; ep. Rom. xv. 24; 1 Cor. xvi. 6, 11; 2 Cor. i. 16, and see also 3 John 6. It is, in fact, a point of hospitality, on which so much stress is naturally laid in these early years of the Church's life.

Of Zenas we know nothing further, not even whether the epithet o rounds is intended to describe him as skilled in Roman or Hebrew law. The Gospel use of the term (Luke vii. 30) might seem to favour the latter interpretation, but there is no certainty. He was by late

tradition counted the author of apocryphal Acts of Titus.

Of Apollos, on the other hand, we hear several times. He is the learned and eloquent Alexandrian whom we find (Acts xviii. 24) at Ephesus receiving instruction from Priscilla and Aquila, and then proceeding to Corinth, where all too soon parties arose claiming respectively Apollos and Paul as their leaders (1 Cor. iii. 4 &c.). Jerome accounts for the presence of Apollos in Crete by supposing

that he had retired thither until the unhappy controversies among the Corinthians should have died out. But this is not a probable account of the matter.

14. Final charge to the Christians at Crete.

14. μανθανέτωσαν δὲ καὶ οἱ ἡμέτεροι καλῶν ἔργων προϊστασθαι. And let our people also, sc. the Christian brethren at Crete, learn to maintain good works. Although the letter is primarily for Titus, yet it also has words of counsel for his flock. Probably a letter like this would be read aloud when the brethren were assembled for public worship.

For καλών ἔργων προίστασθαι see on v. 8 above; here it is directly

connected with what follows, viz:

els τds dvaγκαίας χρείας, ίνα μὴ ὧσιν ἄκαρποι, for necessary uses, that they be not unfruitful. The definite article τάς is significant, viz. such necessary uses as may present themselves from time to time.

For χρεία ep. Eph. iv. 28; Phil. iv. 16; and for ακαρπος ep.

1 Cor. xiv. 14.

SALUTATIONS.

15. ασπάζονταί σε οί μετ' εμοῦ πάντες. All that are with me salute thee.

This exact form of salutation is not used elsewhere by St Paul (though cp. 2 Tim. iv. 21), which again may be urged as a point in favour of the genuineness of the letter, a forger not being likely to introduce unfamiliar features.

Cp. Gal. i. 2, ol σὸν ἐμοὶ πάντες ἀδελφοί; it is worth remarking that σύν is not once found (save in composition) in the Pastoral Epistles,

its place being always supplied by $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}^{1}$.

ασπασαι τοὺς φιλοῦντας ἡμᾶς ἐν πίστει. Salute them that love us in faith. ἐν πίστει seems to be used as at 1 Tim. i. 2, their πίστει being as it were the bond which unites Paul and the Cretan Christians.

Benediction.

- ή χάρις μετά πάντων ὑμῶν. The Grace, sc. of our Lord, be with you all. This is the σημεῖον ἐν πάση ἐπιστολŷ (2 Thess. iii. 17). See on 1 Tim, yi. 21.
- 1 In like manner, $\sigma\acute{\nu}\nu$ is very rare in St John's Gospel, and never occurs in his Rpistles or in the Apocalypse.

INDEX GRAECITATIS.

Words marked * are peculiar in N.T. to the Pastoral Epp.

+ are not found in the LXX.

+ are not in any other of the Pauline Epp.

§ are peculiar in N.T. to the Pauline Epp.

*†άγαθοεργεῖν, 1 Tim. vi. 18 dγdπη, 1 Tim. i. 5 &c. άγιάζειν, 1 Tim. iv. 5; 2 Tim. ii. 21 άγιασμός, 1 Tim. ii. 15 *άγνεία, 1 Tim. iv. 12, v. 2 άγνός, 1 Tim. v. 22; Tit. ii. 5 *άγωγή, 2 Tim. iii. 10 αγωνίζεσθαι, 1 Tim. iv. 10, vi. 12; 2 Tim. iv. 7 *†άδηλότης, 1 Tim. vi. 17 §άδιάλειπτος, 2 Tim. i. 3; Rom. άδόκιμος, 2 Tim. iii. 8; Tit. i. §άθανασία, 1 Tim. vi. 16; 1 Cor. xv. 54 $d\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, I Tim. v. 12 *†άθλεω, 2 Tim. ii. 5 *αίδως, 1 Tim. ii. 9 *†aipetikós, Tit. iii. 10 *†αίσχροκερδής, 1 Tim. iii. Titus i. 7; cp. 1 Pet. v. 2 § alσχρός, Tit. i. 11 ‡αίτία, 2 Tim. i. 6, 12; Tit. i. αίχμαλωτίζειν, 2 Tim. iii. 6 *άκαίρως, 2 Tim. iv. 2 *akatayvωστος, Tit. ii. 8, 2 Macc. iv. 47 only *άκρατής, 2 Tim. iii. 3 §άλαζών, 2 Tim. iii. 2; Rom. i. 30

*άλλως, 1 Tim. v. 25 §άλοᾶν, 1 Tim. v. 18; 1 Cor. ix. 9, 10 (from Deut. xxv. 4) άλυσις, 2 Tim. i. 16 *†άμαχος, 1 Tim. iii. 3; Tit. iii. 2 ‡άμελεῖν, 1 Tim. iv. 14 *† ἀμοιβή, 1 Tim. v. 4 άνάγνωσις, 1 Tim. iv. 13 *ἀναζωπυρείν, 2 Tim. i. 6 †§άνακαίνωσις, Tit. iii. 5; Rom. xii. 2 *† ἀνάλυσις, 2 Tim. iv. 6; cp. 2 Macc. ix. 1 άναμιμνήσκειν, 2 Tim. i. 6 *† ἀνανήφειν, 2 Tim. ii. 26 ἀναστρέφειν, 1 Tim. iii. 15 άναστροφή, 1 Tim. iv. 12 *ἀνατρέπειν, 2 Tim. ii. 18; Tit. i. 11 *ἀναψύχειν, 2 Tim. i. 16. dνάψυξις, Acts iii. 19 *† ἀνδραποδιστής, 1 Tim. i. 10 *άνδροφόνος, 1 Tim. i. 9; 2 Macc. ix. 28 only §άνέγκλητος, Ι Tim. iii. 10; Tit. i. 6, 7 * ανεξίκακος, 2 Tim. ii. 24 *† avemalo xuvros, 2 Tim. ii. 15 *† ἀνεπίλημπτος, 1 Tim. iii. 2, v. 7, vi. 14 άνέχεσθαι, 2 Tim. iv. 3 *† ἀνήμερος, 2 Tim. iii. 3 άνθιστάναι, 2 Tim. iii. 8, iv. 15

¹ No account is taken in this index of the occurrence of words in the versions of Aquila, Symmachus, &c.

άνόητος, 1 Tim. vi. 9; Tit. iii. 3 ‡άνοια, 2 Tim. iii. 9; Luke vi. 11 åνομία, Tit. ii. 14 aνομος, 1 Tim. i. 9 *ἀνόσιος, 1 Tim. i. 9; 2 Tim. iii. 2 αντέχεσθαι, Tit. i. 9 *†άντιδιατίθεσθαι, 2 Tim. ii. 25 *† $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau l\theta\epsilon\sigma\iota s$, 1 Tim. vi. 20 άντίκεισθαι, 1 Tim. i. 10, v. 14 ‡άντιλαμβάνεσθαι, 1 Tim. vi. 2; Luke i. 54; Ac. xx. 35 αντιλέγειν, Tit. i. 9, ii. 9 *†άντίλυτρον, 1 Tim. ii. 6; cp. Matt. xx. 28 άνυπόκριτος, 1 Tim. i. 5; 2 Tim. † άνυπότακτος, 1 Tim. i. 9: Tit. i. 6, 10; Hebr. ii. 8; cp. Rom. xiii, I, 5 tάνωφελής, Tit. iii. 9; Hebr. vii. *aπαίδευτος, 2 Tim. ii. 23 *απέραντος, 1 Tim. i. 4 άπέχεσθαι, 1 Tim. iv. 3 άπιστεῖν, 2 Tim. ii. 13; ἀπιστία, 1 Tim. i. 13; απιστος, 1 Tim. v. 8; Tit. i. 15 *† αποβλητος, 1 Tim. iv. 4 *† ἀπόδεκτος, 1 Tim. ii. 3, v. 4 *†άποδοχή, 1 Tim. i. 15, iv. 9 *άποθησαυρίζειν, 1 Tim. vi. 19 ‡άπόλαυσις, 1 Tim. vi. 17; Hebr. xi. 25 ‡άπολείπειν, 2 Tim. iv. 13, 20; Tit. i. 5 άπολογία, 2 Tim. iv. 16 *άποπλανᾶν, 1 Tim. vi. 10; Mark xiii. 22 άποστερείν, 1 Tim. vi. 5 ἀποστρέφειν, 2 Tim. i. 15, iv. 4; Tit. i. 14 άποτόμως, Tit. i. 13; 2 Cor. xiii. *ἀποτρέπειν, 2 Tim. iii. δ *† ἀπρόσιτος, 1 Tim. vi. 16 ἀπώλεια, 1 Tim. vi. 9 ‡άργός, 1 Tim. v. 13; Tit. i. 12 ‡άργυροῦς, 2 Tim. ii. 20

tάρνεῖσθαι, 1 Tim. v. 8; 2 Tim. ii. 12, 13, iii. 5; Tit. i. 16, ii. 12 †§άρσενοκοίτης, 1 Tim. i. 10; 1 Cor. vi. 9 *† \$\overline{a}\rho \tau \text{cos, 2 Tim. iii. 17} ασέβεια, 2 Tim. ii. 16; Tit. ii. 12άσεβήs, 1 Tim. i. 9 †άσπιλος, 1 Tim. vi. 14; Jas. i. 27; 1 Pet. i. 19; 2 Pet. iii. 14 *† ασπονδος, 2 Tim. iii. 3 §άστοργος, 2 Tim. iii. 3; Rom. i. 31 *ἀστοχεῖν, 1 Tim. i. 6, vi. 21; 2 Tim. ii. 18 ἀσωτία, Tit. i. 6 §άτιμία, 2 Tim. ii. 20 t αὐθάδης, 2 Pet. ii. 10; Tit. i. 7 *† αὐθεντεῖν, 1 Tim. ii. 12 †§αὐτάρκεια, 1 Tim. vi. 6; 2 Cor. ix. 8 † аὐтоката́крітоѕ, Tit. iii. 11 §άφθαρσία, 2 Tim. i. 10 άφθαρτος, 1 Tim. i. 17 *ἀφθορία, Tit. ii. 7 *†άφιλάγαθος, 2 Tim. iii. 3 †‡άφιλάργυρος, 1 Tim. iii. 3; Hebr. xiii. 5 §άφορμή, 1 Tim. v. 14 ‡άχάριστος, 2 Tim. iii. 2; Luke vi. 35 *άψευδής, Tit. i. 2 *βαθμός, 1 Tim. iii. 13 βαρείσθαι, 1 Tim. v. 16 *βδελυκτός, Tit. i. 16 $\pm \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \lambda \sigma s$, 1 Tim. i. 9, iv. 7, vi. 20;

βαρείσθαι, 1 Tim. 1. 16
*βαρείσθαι, 1 Tim. v. 16
*βδελυκτός, Tit. i. 16
‡βέβηλος, 1 Tim. i. 9, iv. 7, vi. 20;
2 Tim. ii. 16; Hebr. xii. 16
*βέλτιον, 2 Tim. i. 18
‡βίος, 1 Tim. ii. 2; 2 Tim. ii. 4
*βλαβερός, 1 Tim. vi. 9
βλασφημεῦν, 1 Tim. i. 20, vi. 1;
Tit. ii. 5, iii. 2; βλασφημία,
1 Tim. vi. 4; βλάσφημος, 1 Tim.
i. 13; 2 Tim. iii. 2
‡βραδόνεω, 1 Tim. iii. 15; 2 Pet.
iii. 9
‡βρέφος, 2 Tim. iii. 15

βρωμα, 1 Tim. iv. 3 ‡βυθίζειν, 1 Tim. vi. 9; Luke v. 7; 2 Mace, xii, 4 only *†γάγγραινα, 2 Tim. ii. 17 *†γενεαλογία, 1 Tim. i. 4; Tit. ili. 9 ‡γεωργός, 2 Tim. ii. 6 §γνήσιος, 1 Tim. i. 2; Tit. i. 4 †γόης, 2 Tim. iii. 13 γράμμα, 2 Tim. iii. 15 *† γραώδης, 1 Tim. iv. 7 Σγυμνάζειν, 1 Tim. iv. 7; Hebr. v. 14, xii. 11; 2 Pet. ii. 14; 2 Macc. x. 15 only *γυμνασία, 1 Tim. iv. 8 *†γυναικάριον, 2 Tim. iii. 6 δέησις, 1 Tim. ii. 1, v. 5; 2 Tim. *δειλία, 2 Tim. i. 7 δέσμιος, 2 Tim. i. 8 ‡δεσπότης, 1 Tim. vi. 1, 2; 2 Tim. ii. 21 ; Tit. ii. 9 *†διαβεβαιοῦσθαι, 1 Tim. i. 7; Tit. iii. 8 διάβολος, 1 Tim. iii. 6, 7, 11; 2 Tim. ii. 26, iii. 3; Tit. ii. 3 *διάγειν, 1 Tim. ii. 2; Tit. iii. 3 †διακονείν, 1 Tim. iii. 10, 13; 2 Tim. i. 18 (not in Titus) διακονία, 1 Tim. i. 12; 2 Tim. iv. 5, 11; διάκονος, 1 Tim. iii. 8, 12, iv. 6 (not in Titus) διαλογισμός, 1 Tim. ii. 8 διαμαρτύρεσθαι, 1 Tim. v. 21; 2 Tim. ii. 14, iv. 1 (1 Thess. iv. 6 only other place in P.) *† διαπαρατριβή, 1 Tim. vi. 5 *διατροφή, 1 Tim. vi. 8 *† διδακτικός, 1 Tim. iii. 2; 2 Tim. ii. 24 διδασκαλία, 1 Tim. i. 10 (where see note) διδαχή, 2 Tim. iv. 2; Tit. i. 9 δικαιοῦν, I Tim. iii. 16; Tit. iii. 7 *†δίλογος, 1 Tim. iii. 8 ‡διπλούς, 1 Tim. v. 17 διωγμός, 2 Tim. iii. 11

*†διώκτης, 1 Tim. i. 13

δοκιμάζειν, 1 Tim. iii. 10 δόκιμος, 2 Tim. ii. 15 ‡δρόμος, 2 Tim. iv. 7; Acts xiii. 25, xx. 24 ‡δυνάστης, 1 Tim. vi. 15; Luke 52; Acts viii. 27 έγκαταλείπειν, 2 Tim. iv. 10, 16 *έγκρατής, Tit. i. 8 *† ἐδραίωμα, 1 Tim. iii. 15 ‡είσφέρειν, 1 Tim. vi. 7; Acts xvii. *ἔκγονον, 1 Tim. v. 4 *ἔκδηλος; 2 Tim. iii. 9 *† ἐκζήτησις, 1 Tim. i. 4 §έκκαθαίρειν, 2 Tim. ii. 21; 1 Cor. έκλεκτός, 1 Tim. v. 21; 2 Tim. ii. 10; Tit. i. 1 *ἐκστρέφεσθαι, Tit. iii. 11 ‡ ἐκτρέπεσθαι, 1 Tim. i. 6, v. 15, vi. 20; 2 Tim. iv. 4; Hebr. xii. 13 ‡ ἐκφέρειν, 1 Tim. vi. 7 έκχέειν, Tit, iii. 6 *έλαττον, 1 Tim. v. 9 *έλεγμός, 2 Tim. iii. 16 čλέγχειν, 1 Tim. v. 20; 2 Tim. iv. 2; Tit. i. 9, 13, ii. 15 ‡ έμπίπτειν, 1 Tim. iii. 6, 7, vi. 9 ‡έμπλέκειν, 2 Tim. ii. 4; 2 Pet. ii. 20 ėνδυναμοῦν, 1 Tim. i. 12; 2 Tim. ii. 1, iv. 17 *ένδύνειν, 2 Tim. iii. 6 § ενοικείν, 2 Tim. i. 5, 14 *ἔντευξις, 1 Tim. ii. 1, iv. 5; 2 Macc. iv. 8 only ἐντρέπειν, Tit. ii. 8 *†έντρέφεσθαι, 1 Tim. iv. 6 ‡ έξαρτίζειν, 2 Tim. iii. 17; Acts xxi, 5 έπαγγελία, 1 Tim. iv. 8; 2 Tim. $\epsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, 1 Tim. ii. 10, vi. 21; Tit. i. 2 ‡έπακολουθεῖν, 1 Tim. v. 10, 24 *ἐπανόρθωσις, 2 Tim. iii. 16 *ἐπαρκεῖν, 1 Tim. v. 10, 16 έπέχειν, 1 Tim. iv. 16

έπιγινώσκειν, 1 Tim. iv. 3 επίγνωσις, 1 Tim. ii. 4; 2 Tim. ii. 25, iii. 7; Tit. i. 1 *† έπιδιορθοῦν, Tit. i. 5 έπιεικής, 1 Tim. iii. 3; Tit. iii, 2; Phil. iv. 5; cp. 2 Cor. x. 1 ‡έπίθεσις, 1 Tim. iv. 14; 2 Tim. i. 6; Acts viii, 18; Hebr. vi. 2 $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \iota \mu l a$, 1 Tim. vi. 9; 2 Tim. ii. 22, iii. 6, iv. 3; Tit. ii. 12, i ii, I ‡έπιλαμβάνεσθαι, 1 Tim. vi, 12, 19 ‡έπιμελεῖσθαι, 1 Tim. iii. 5; Luke x. 34, 35 *ἐπίορκος, 1 Tim. i. 10; cp. Matt. v. 33 *† ἐπιπλήττειν, 1 Tim. v. 1; cp. 2 Macc. vii. 33 ϵ πιποθ ϵ $\hat{\imath}$ ν, 2 Tim. i. 4‡ ἐπισκοπή, 1 Tim. iii. 1 έπίσκοπος, 1 Tim. iii. 2; Tit. i. 7 ‡έπίστασθαι, 1 Tim. vi. 4 *† έπιστομίζειν, Tit. i. 11 (where see note) *† ἐπισωρεύειν, 2 Tim. iv. 3 §ἐπιταγή, 1 Tim. i. 1; Tit. i. 3, ii. 15 ‡έπιτιθέναι, 1 Tim. v. 22 ‡έπιτιμαν, 2 Tim. iv. 2 έπιτρέπειν, 1 Tim. ii. 12 ‡έπιφαίνειν, Tit. ii. 11, iii. 4; Luke i. 79; Acts xxvii. 20 §έπιφάνεια, 1 Tim. vi. 14 (where see note) &c. έπουράνιος, 2 Tim. iv. 18 §έρις, 1 Tim. vi. 4; Tit. iii. 9 *†έτεροδιδασκαλείν, 1 Tim. i. 3, †εὐαγγελιστής, 2 Tim. iv. 5 ‡εὐεργεσία, 1 Tim. vi. 2; Acts ‡εὐκαίρως, 2 Tim. iv. 2; Mark xiv. 11; ep. 1 Cor. xvi. 12 *†εὐμετάδοτος, 1 Tim. vi. 18 ‡εὐσέβεια, 1 Tim. ii. 2 (where see note) &c. ‡εὐσεβεῖν, 1 Tim. v. 4; Acts xvii. *εὐσεβῶς, 2 Tim. iii. 12; Tit. ii. 12

εὐχαριστία, 1 Tim. ii. 1, iv. 3, 4 §εσχρηστος, 2 Tim. ii. 21, iv. 11 ‡† ζήτησις, 1 Tim. vi. 4; 2 Tim. ii. 23; Tit. iii. 9 ‡ζωγρεῖν, 2 Tim. ii. 26; Luke v. 10 ‡ζωογονείν, 1 Tim. vi. 13; Luke xvii. 33; Acts vii. 19 only ‡ήδονή, Tit. iii. 3 *† ήπιος, 2 Tim. ii. 24 *ήρεμος, 1 Tim. ii. 2 İ ήσύχιος, 1 Tim. ii. 2; 1 Pet. *† θεόπνευστος, 2 Tim. iii. 16 *θεοσέβεια, 1 Tim. ii. 10 ‡θηρίον, Τίτ. i. 12 θλίβειν, 1 Tim. v. 10 ίδιος, 1 Tim. ii. 6 &c.; (very often in Paul; 15 times in 1 Cor.) *ίεροπρεπής, Tit. ii. 3 §ίερός, 2 Tim. iii. 15; 1 Cor. ix.

ii, 14 καθαρίζειν, Tit. ii. 14 καθαρός, 1 Tim. i. 5, iii. 9; 2 Tim. i. 3, ii. 22; Tit. i. 15; Rom. xiv. 20 κακία, Tit. iii. 3 ‡κακοπαθείν, 2 Tim. ii. 9, iv. 5; Jas. v. 13 ‡κακουργος, 2 Tim. ii. 9; Luke

‡ίματισμός, 1 Tim. ii. 9 * TIovoaikos, Tit. i. 14; cp. Gal.

xxiii. 32, 33, 39 *†καλοδιδάσκαλος, Tit. ii. 3 καλός, 1 Tim. i. 8 (where see note)

*καταλέγεσθαι, 1 Tim. v. 9 καταργείν, 2 Tim. i. 10 (24 times in Paul)

*κατάστημα, Tit. ii. 3 *καταστολή, 1 Tim. ii. 9 *†κατάστρηνιάζειν, 1 Tim. v. 11 *καταστροφή, 2 Tim. ii. 14 *καταφθείρειν, 2 Tim. iii. 8καταφρονείν, 1 Tim. iv. 12, vi. 2

‡†κατηγορία, 1 Tim. v. 19; Tit. i. 6. Cp. Rom. ii. 15 *† καυστηριάζεσθαι, Ι Tim. iv. 2 *† κενοφωνία, 1 Tim. vi. 20; 2 Tim. ii. 16 †§κέρδος, Tit. i. 11; Phil. i. 21, iii. 7 κήρυγμα, 2 Tim. iv. 17; Tit. i. 3 ‡κῆρυξ, 1 Tim. ii. 7; 2 Tim. i. 11; 2 Pet. ii. 5 κηρύσσειν, 1 Tim. iii. 16; 2 Tim. iv. 2 κληρονόμος, Tit. iii. 7 κλήσις, 2 Tim. i. 9 *†κνήθειν, 2 Tim. iv. 3 κοινωνείν, 1 Tim. v. 22 *†κοινωνικός, 1 Tim. vi. 18 κοπιών, 1 Tim. iv. 10, v. 17; 2 Tim. ii. 6 κοσμεῖν, 1 Tim. ii. 9; Tit. ii. 10 ‡† κοσμικός, Tit. ii. 12; Hebr. ix. 1 *† κόσμιος, 1 Tim. ii. 9, iii. 2 κόσμος, 1 Tim. i. 15, iii. 16, vi. 7 κρίμα, 1 Tim. iii. 6, v. 12 κρίσις, 1 Tim. v. 24; 2 Thess. i. 5 ‡κριτής, 2 Tim. iv. 8. Cp. Acts xxiv. 10 κτίζειν, 1 Tim. iv. 3 ‡κτίσμα, 1 Tim. iv. 4 κυριεύειν, 1 Tim. vi. 15

λαός, Tit. ii. 14
λατρεύειν, 2 Tim. i. 3
‡λείπειν, Tit. i. 5, iii. 13
λογίζεσθαι, 2 Tim. iv. 16
*†λογομαχεῖν, 2 Tim. ii. 14
*†λογομαχία, 1 Tim. vi. 4
‡λοιδορία, 1 Tim. v. 14; 1 Pet. iii. 9
λοιπόν, 2 Tim. iv. 8
§λουτρόν, Tit. iii. 5; Eph. v. 26
‡λυτροῦν, Tit. ii. 14

μακάριος, 1 Tim. i. 11, vi. 15; Tit. ii. 13 μακροθυμία, 1 Tim. i. 16; 2 Tim. iii. 10, iv. 2 *μάμμη, 2 Tim. i. 5 ‡†μαργαρίτης, 1 Tim. ii. 9

‡μαρτυρία, 1 Tim. iii. 7; Tit. i. 13; cp. Acts xxii. 18 μαρτύριον, 1 Tim. ii. 6; 2 Tim. μάρτυς, 1 Tim. v. 19, vi. 12; 2 Tim. ii. 2 *† ματαιολογία, 1 Tim. i. 6 *† ματαιολόγος, Tit. i. 10 ‡μάχεσθαι, 2 Tim. ii. 24 μάχη, 2 Tim. ii. 23; Tit. iii. 9; 2 Cor. vii. 5; Jas. iv. 1, only ‡μελετάν, 1 Tim. iv. 15; Acts iv. 25 *† μεμβράνα, 2 Tim. iv. 13 ‡μέντοι, 2 Tim. ii. 19 μεσίτης, 1 Tim. ii. 5; Gal. iii. 19; Hebr. viii. 6 &c. ‡μεταλαμβάνειν, 2 Tim. ii. 6; cp. Acts xxvii. 33, 34 *† μετάλημψις, 1 Tim. iv. 3 *μηδέποτε, 2 Tim. iii. 7 μήποτε, 2 Tim. ii. 25 *† μητρολώας, 1 Tim. i. 9 ‡μιαίνειν, Tit. i. 15 §μνεία, 2 Tim. i. 3 *†μονοῦσθαι, 1 Tim. v. 5 †§μόρφωσις, 2 Tim. iii. 5; Rom. ii. 20 ‡μῦθος, 1 Tim. i. 4, iv. 7; 2 Tim. iv. 4; Tit. i. 14; 2 Pet. i. 16 μυστήριον, 1 Tim. iii. 9, 16 †§ναυαγείν, 1 Tim. i. 19; 2 Cor. xi. 25 ‡νεότης, 1 Tim. iv. 12, but ep. Acts xxvi. 4 *νεόφυτος, 1 Tim. iii. 6 *νεωτερικός, 2 Tim. ii. 22 *†νηφάλιος, 1 Tim. iii. 2, 11; Tit. ii. 2 νήφειν, 2 Tim. iv. 5 ‡νίπτειν, 1 Tim. v. 10 ‡νομή, 2 Tim. ii. 17; John x. 9 νομίζειν, 1 Tim. vi. 5; 1 Cor. vii. 26, 36 ‡νομικός, Tit. iii. 9, 13; Luke vii. 30 &c. *νομίμως, 1 Tim. i. 8; 2 Tim. ii. 5 ‡†νομοδιδάσκαλος, 1 Tim. i. 7;

Acts v. 34; Luke v. 17

*νοσεῖν, 1 Tim. vi. 4
‡νοσφίζεσθαι, Tit. ii. 10; Acts v.
2, 3
§νουθεσία, Tit. iii. 10
νοῦς, 1 Tim. vi. 5; 2 Tim. iii. 8;
Tit. i. 15

*†ξενοδοχεῖν, 1 Tim. v. 10 ‡ξύλινος, 2 Tim. ii. 20; Rev. ix. 20

§ὀδύνη, 1 Tim. vi. 10; Rom. ix. 2 §οίκεῖν, 1 Tim. vi. 16 §οίκεῖος, 1 Tim. v. 8 *†οίκοδεσποτεῖν, 1 Tim. v. 14, but οἰκοδεσπότης is common in the Synoptic Gospels οίκονομία, 1 Tim. i. 4 οίκονόμος, Tit. i. 7 *†olκουργός, Tit. ii. 5 or *†olκουρός §őλεθρος, 1 Tim. vi. 9 όμολογείν, 1 Tim. vi. 12; Tit. i. ομολογία, 1 Tim. vi. 12, 13 *ομολογουμένως, 1 Tim. iii. 16 ονειδισμός, 1 Tim. iii. 7 δπτεσθαι, 1 Tim. iii. 16 *¿opyilos, Tit. i. 7 ‡† ορέγεσθαι, 1 Tim. iii. 1, vi. 10; Hebr. xi. 16 *δρθοτομείν, 2 Tim. ii. 15 ‡όσιος, 1 Tim. ii. 8 : Tit. i. 8 §οστράκινος, 2 Tim. ii. 20; 2 Cor. iv. 7

παγίς, 1 Tim. iii. 7, vi. 9; 2 Tim. ii. 26
†§παλινγενεσία, Tit. iii. 5; Matt. xix. 28
παράβασις, 1 Tim. ii. 14
†παραγγελία, 1 Tim. i. 5, 18
παραγίνεσθαι, 2 Tim. iv. 16
‡παραδέχεσθαι, 1 Tim. v. 19; Acts xvi. 21, xxii. 18
*παραθήκη, 1 Tim. vi. 20; 2 Tim. i. 12, 14
‡παραιτεῦσθαι, 1 Tim. iv. 7, v. 11; 2 Tim. ii. 23; Tit. iii. 10
παρακαλεῖν, 1 Tim. i. 3, ii. 1,

v. 1, vi. 2; 2 Tim. iv. 2; Tit. i. 9, ii. 6, 15 παράκλησις, 1 Tim. iv. 13 $\pm \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \delta \lambda \delta \upsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$, 1 Tim. iv. 6; 2 Tim. iii. 10 †παραχειμάζειν, Tit. iii. 12 παρέχειν, 1 Tim. i. 4, vi. 17; Tit. ii. 7 *†πάροινος, 1 Tim. iii. 3; Tit. i. 7 *†πατρολώης, 1 Tim. i. 9 ‡πειθαρχείν, Tit. iii. 1; Acts xxvii. 21 πειρασμός, 1 Tim. vi. 9 $\ddagger \uparrow \pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \rho \gamma o s$, 1 Tim. v. 13; Acts xix. 19 ‡περιέρχεσθαι, 1 Tim. v. 13; Acts xix. 13; xxviii. 13 ‡περιιστάναι, 2 Tim. ii. 16; Tit. iii. 9; Acts xxv. 7 *περιούσιος, Tit. ii. 14 *†περιπείρειν, 1 Tim. vi. 10 ‡περιποιείσθαι, 1 Tim. iii. 13; Acts xx. 28 περιτομή, Tit. i. 10 *περιφρονείν, Tit. ii. 15 $\pi l \sigma \tau \iota s$, 1 Tim. i. 2, 19 (where see note) πιστός, 1 Tim. i. 12 &c. *πιστοῦσθαι, 2 Tim. iii. 14 πλανάν, 2 Tim. iii. 13; Tit. iii. 3 πλάνος, 1 Tim. iv. 1 §πλάσσειν, 1 Tim. ii. 13; Rom. ix. 20 *†πλέγμα, 1 Tim. ii. 9; cp. 1 Pet. iii. 3 *†πλήκτης, 1 Tim. iii. 3; Tit. i. 7 πληρούν, 2 Tim. i. 4 πληροφορείν, 2 Tim. iv. 5, 17 πλουτείν, 1 Tim. vi. 9, 18 πλούτος, 1 Tim. vi. 17 ‡ποικίλος, 2 Tim. iii. 6; Tit. iii. ‡πολυτελής, 1 Tim. ii. 9 πονηρός, 1 Tim. vi. 4; 2 Tim. iii. 13, iv. 18 *πορισμός, 1 Tim. vi. 5, 6 πόρνος, 1 Tim. i. 10 *πραγματεία, 2 Tim. ii. 4 *†πραϋπαθία, 1 Tim. vi. 11

πραύτης, 2 Tim. ii. 25; Tit. iii. 2

Ιπρεσβυτέριον, 1 Tim. iv, 14 : Luke xxii. 66; Acts xxii. 5 πρεσβύτερος, 1 Tim. v. 1, 2, 17, 19; Tit. i. 5 πρεσβύτης, Tit. ii. 2 *πρεσβύτις, Tit. ii. 3 ‡προάγειν, 1 Tim. i. 18, v. 24; Acts xxv. 26 *πρόγονοι, 1 Tim. v. 4; 2 Tim. i. 3 ‡πρόδηλος, 1 Tim. v. 24, 25; Hebr. vii. 14 ‡προδότης, 2 Tim. iii, 4; Luke vi. $\pi \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$, 2 Tim. i. 9, iii. 10 §προϊστάναι, 1 Tim. iii. 4, 5, 12; Tit. iii. 8, 14 §προκοπή, 1 Tim. iv. 15; Phil. i. 12, 25 †προκόπτειν, 2 Tim. ii. 16, iii. 9, 13 *† πρόκριμα, 1 Tim. v. 21 §προνοείν, 1 Tim. v. 8 ‡προπετής, 2 Tim. iii. 4; Acts xix. 36 προσδέχεσθαι, Tit. ii. 13 ‡προσέρχεσθαι, 1 Tim. vi. 3 προσεύχεσθαι, 1 Tim. ii. 8 προσευχή, 1 Tim. ii. 1, v. 5 ‡προσέχειν, 1 Tim. i. 4, iii. 8, iv. 1, 13; Tit. i. 14 *†πρόσκλισις, 1 Tim. v. 21; ep. 2 Macc. iv. 14, xiv. 24 ‡προσμένειν, 1 Tim. i. 3, v. 5 προφητεία, 1 Tim. i. 18, iv. 14 προφήτης, Tit. i. 12 İπυκρός, 1 Tim. v. 23; Acts xxiv. 26

*† onrws, 1 Tim. iv. 1

σάρξ, 1 Tim. iii. 16 σατανᾶς, 1 Tim. i. 20, v. 15 §σεμνός, 1 Tim. iii. 8, 11; Tit. ii. 2; Phil. iv. 8 *σεμνότης, 1 Tim. ii. 2, iii. 4; Tit. ii. 7; 2 Macc. iii. 12 only *†σκέπασμα, 1 Tim. vi. 8 σκεῦος, 2 Tim. ii. 20, 21 ‡σοφίζειν, 2 Tim. iii. 15; 2 Pet. i. 16 † σπαταλάν, 1 Tim. v. 6; Jas. v. 5 §σπένδεσθαι, 2 Tim. iv. 6; Phil. ii. 17 σπέρμα, 2 Tim. ii. 8 σπουδάζειν, 2 Tim. ii. 15, iv. 9, 21; Tit. iii. 12 σπουδαίωs, 2 Tim. i. 17; Tit. iii. 13 Ιστερεός, 2 Tim. ii. 19; Hebr. v. 12, 14; 1 Pet. v. 9 στέφανος, 2 Tim. iv. 8 ‡στεφανοθν, 2 Tim. ii. 5; Hebr. ii. 7 στόμα, 2 Tim. iv. 17 *† στόμαχος, 1 Tim. v. 23 §στρατεία, 1 Tim. i. 18; 2 Cor. στρατεύεσθαι, 1 Tim. i. 18; 2 Tim. ii. 4 ‡στρατιώτης, 2 Tim. ii. 3; Acts xxvii. 31 &c. *†στρατολογείν, 2 Tim. ii. 4 *† στυγητός, Tit. iii. 3 στύλος, 1 Tim. iii. 15 §συμβασιλεύειν, 2 Tim. ii. 12; 1 Cor. iv. 8 συναποθνήσκειν, 2 Tim. ii. 11 συνείδησις 1 Tim, i, 5 (where see note) σύνεσις, 2 Tim. ii. 7 §†συνζην, 2 Tim. ii. 11 *†συνκακοπαθείν, 2 Tim. i. 8, ii. σφραγίς, 2 Tim. ii, 19 ‡σωματικός, 1 Tim. iv. 8; Luke iii. 22 §σωρεύειν, 2 Tim. iii. 6; Rom. xii. 20 (Prov. xxv. 22) σωτήρ, 1 Tim. i. I, ii. 3, iv. 10; 2 Tim. i. 10, Tit. i. 3, ii. 10, 13, iii. 4, 6 σωτηρία, 2 Tim. ii. 10, iii. 15 *σωτήριος, Tit. ii. 11; cp. Eph. vi. 17; Acts xxviii. 28 † σωφρονείν, Tit. ii. 6 *† σωφρονίζειν, Tit. ii. 4 *†σωφρονισμός, 2 Tim. i. 7 *σωφρόνως, Tit. ii. 12

‡σωφροσόνη, 1 Tim. ii. 9, 15; Acts xxvi. 25 only *σώφρων, 1 Tim. iii. 2; Tit. i. 8, ii. 2, 5

ταχέως, 1 Tim. v. 22; 2 Tim. iv. 9
τάχιον, 1 Tim. iii. 14
*†τεκνογονεῦν, 1 Tim. v. 14
*†τεκνοτροφεῖν, 1 Tim. v. 10
τηρεῖν, 1 Tim. v. 10
τηρεῖν, 1 Tim. v. 22, vi. 14; 2 Tim. iv. 7
τιμή, 1 Tim. i. 17 &c.
τύπος, 1 Tim. iv. 12; Tit. ii. 7
*†τνφοῦσθαι, 1 Tim. iii. 6, vi. 4; 2 Tim. iii. 4

§ ὑβριστής, 1 Tim. i. 13; Rom. i. ‡ύγιαίνειν, 1 Tim. i. 10, vi. 3; 2 Tim. i. 13, iv. 3; Tit. i. 9, 13, ii. 1, 2 Ιύγιής, Tit. ii. 8 *ὑδροποτεῖν, 1 Tim. v. 23; Dan. i. 12 only ύπερήφανος, 2 Tim. iii. 2 §υπεροχή, 1 Tim. ii. 2; 1 Cor. ii. 1 *† ὑπερπλεονάζειν, 1 Tim. i. 14 υπόκρισις, 1 Tim. iv. 2 ύπομένειν, 2 Tim. ii. 10, 12 ‡ ὑπομιμνήσκειν, 2 Tim. ii. 14; Tit. iii. 1 ‡ύπόμνησις, 2 Tim. i. 5; 2 Pet. i. 13; 2 Macc. vi. 17 only υπομονή, 1 Tim. vi. 11; 2 Tim. iii. 10; Tit. ii. 2 *ύπόνοια, 1 Tim. vi. 4 §ύποταγή, 1 Tim. ii. 11, iii. 4 υποτάσσειν, Tit. ii. 5, 9, iii. 1 §ύποτιθέναι, 1 Tim. iv. 6; Rom. xvi. 4 *† ὑποτύπωσις, 1 Tim. i. 16; 2 Tim, i. 13 ὑποφέρειν, 2 Tim. iii. 11 ‡υστερος, 1 Tim. iv. 1; Matt. xxi. 31

†§ ὑψηλοφρονεῖν, 1 Tim. vi. 17; Rom. xi. 20

 $\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \delta s$, 1 Tim. iv. 15 φανερούν, 1 Tim. iii. 16; 2 Tim. i. 10; Tit. i. 3 φαῦλος, Tit. ii. 8 *†φελόνης, 2 Tim. iv. 13 φθόνος, 1 Tim. vi. 4; Tit. iii, 3 φιλάγαθος, Tit. i. 8; Wisd. vii. 22 only *†φίλανδρος, Tit. ii. 4 $\ddagger \phi_i \lambda \alpha \nu \theta_i \rho \omega \pi i \alpha$, Tit. iii. 4; Acts xxviii. 2 *φιλαργυρία, 1 Tim. vi. 10 ‡φιλάργυρος 2 Tim. iii. 2; Luke xvi. 14 *†φίλαυτος, 2 Tim. iii. 2 φιλείν, Tit. iii. 15 *†φιλήδονος, 2 Tim. iii, 4 *†φιλόθεος, 2 Tim. iii. 4 ‡† φιλόξενος, 1 Tim. iii, 2; Tit. i. *φιλότεκνος, Tit. ii. 4 φιμούν, 1 Tim. v. 18 (from Deut. xxv. 4) *φλύαρος, 1 Tim. v. 13 *† φρεναπάτης, Tit. i. 10 *φροντίζειν, Tit. iii. 8 $\phi \hat{\omega}$ s, 1 Tim. vi. 16 φωτίζειν, 2 Tim. i. 10

İχαλεπός, 2 Tim. iii. 1; Matt. viii. 28 *χαλκεύς, 2 Tim. iv. 14 χαρά, 2 Tim. i. 4 χάρισμα, 1 Tim. iv. 14; 2 Tim. i. 6 ‡χειμών, 2 Tim. iv. 21 ‡χείρων, 1 Tim. v. 8; 2 Tim. iii. 13 $\chi \dot{\eta} \rho a$, 1 Tim. v. 3 ff. χρεία, Tit. iii. 14 $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha i$, 1 Tim. i. 8, v. 23 *χρήσιμος, 2 Tim. ii. 14 §χρηστότης, Tit. iii. 4 χρόνος, 2 Tim. i. 9; Tit. i. 2 ‡χρυσίον, 1 Tim. ii. 9 Σχρυσοθε, 2 Tim. ii. 20

ψεύδεσθαι, 1 Tim. ii. 7
*†ψευδολόγος, 1 Tim. iv. 2
*†ψευδολόνυμος, 1 Tim. vi. 20
ψεύστης, 1 Tim. i. 10; Tit. i. 12
**ψευδώνυμος, 1 Tim. iv. 8; 2 Tim. iii. 16; Tit. iii. 8