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## PREFACE

## BY THE GENERAL EDITOR.

The General Editor of The Cambridge Bible for Schools thinks it right to say that he does not hold himself responsible either for the interpretation of particular passages which the Editors of the several Books have adopted, or for any opinion on points of doctrine that they may have expressed. In the New Testament more especially questions arise of the deepest theological import, on which the ablest and most conscientious interpreters have differed and always will differ. His aim has been in all such cases to leave each Contributor to the unfettered exercise of his own judgment, only taking care that mere controversy should as far as possible be avoided. He has contented himself chiefly with a careful revision of the notes, with pointing out omissions, with suggesting occasionally a reconsideration of some question, or a fuller treatment of difficult passages, and the like.

Beyond this he has not attempted to interfere, feeling it better that each Commentary should have its own individual character, and being convinced that freshness and variety of treatment are more than a compensation for any lack of uniformity in the Series.

## EDITOR'S PREFACE.

The general design of the Commentary, to which this is the first contribution, has been elsewhere stated. But it may be permitted me for the sake of clearness to name some of the points kept in view in the preparation of these notes.

One of the objects aimed at has been to connect more closely the study of the Classics with the reading of the New Testament. To recognise this connection and to draw it closer is the first task of the Christian scholar. The best thoughts as well as the words of Hellenic culture have a place, not of sufferance, but of right in the Christian system. This consideration will equally deepen the interest in the Greek and Latin Classics, and in the study of the New Testament. But the Greek Testament may become the centre towards which all lines of learning and research converge. Art, or the expressed thought of great painters, often the highest intellects of their day, once the great popular interpreters of Scripture, has bequeathed lessons which ourht not to be neglected. Every advance in science, in philology, in grammar, in historical research, and every new phase of thought, throws its own light on the words of Clirist. In this way, each successive age has a fresh contribution to bring to the interpretation of Scripture.

Another endeavour has been to bring in the aid of Modern Greek (which is in reality often very ancient Greek), in illustration of New Testament words and idioms. In this subject many suggestions have come from Geldart's Modern Greek Language; and among other works consulted
have been: Clyde's Romaic and Modern Greek, Vincent and Buurne's Modern Greek, the Modern Greek granmars of



I have wished also to call attention to the form in which St Matthew has preserved our Lord's discourses. And here Bishop Jebb's Saered Literature has been invaluable. His conclusions may not in every instance be accepted, but the line of investigation which he followed is very fruitful in interesting and profitable results. Of this more is said infra, Introd. ch. v. 2.

The works principally consulted have been: Bruder's Concordance of the N.T. and Trommius' of the LXX; Schleusner's Lexicon, Grimm's edition of Wilkii Clavis, the indices of Wyttenbach to Plutarch and of Schweighäuser to Polybius, E. A. Sophocles' Greek Lexicon (Roman and Byzantine period); Scrivener's Introduction to the Criticism of the N.T. (the references are to the second edition); Hammond's Teatual Criticism applied to the N. T.; Dr Moulton's edition of Winer's Grammar (1870); Clyde's Greek Syntax, Goodwin's Greek Moods and Tenses; Westcott's Introduction to the Study of the Gospels; Bp Lightfoot, On a Fresh Revision of the N. T.; Lightfoot's Hore Hebraicce; Schöttgen's Horce Hebraicee et TIalmudice, and various modern books of travel, to which references are given in the notes.

I have to thank very sincerely several friends who have helped me with suggestions, and have looked over the sheets as they passed through the press. In the preparation of the text and in the revision of the notes I owe a great deal to the kind assistance and accurate scholarship of Dr W. F. Moulton.

$$
\text { A. } \mathbf{O} .
$$

[^0]
## ON THE GREEK TEXT.

In undertaking an edition of the Greek text of the New 'Testament with English notes for the use of Schools, the Syndics of the Cambridge University Press have not thought it desirable to reprint the text in common use*. To have done this would have been to set aside all the materials that have since been accumulated towards the formation of a correct text, and to disregard the results of textual criticism in its application to MSS., Versions and Fathers. It was felt that a text more in accordance with the present state of our knowledge was desirable. On the other hand the Syndics were unable to adopt one of the more recent critical texts, and they were not disposed to make themselves responsible for the preparation of an

- The form of this teart most used in England, and adopted in Dr Scrivener's edition, is that of the third edition of Robert Stephens (1550). The name "Received Text" is popularly given to the Elzevir edition of 1683 , which is based on this edition of Stephens, and the name is borrowed from a phrase in the Preface, "Textum ergo habes nunc ab omnibus receptam."
entirely new and. independent text: at the same time it would have been obviously impossible to leave it to the judgement of each individual contributor to frame his own text, as this would have been fatal to anything like uniformity or consistency. They believed however that a good text might be constructed by simply taking the consent of the two most recent critical editions, those of Tischendorf and Tregelles, as a basis. The same principle of consent could be applied to places where the two critical editions were at variance, by allowing a determining voice to the text of Stephens where it agreed with either of their readings, and to a third critical text, that of Lachmann, where the text of Stephens differed from both. In this manner readings peculiar to one or other of the two editions would be passed over as not being supported by sufficient critical consent; while readings having the double authority would be treated as possessing an adequate title to confidence.

A few words will suffice to explain the manner in which this design has been carried out.

In the Acts, the Epistles, and the Revelation, wherever the texts of Tischendorf and Tregelles agree, their joint readings are followed withont any deviation. Where they differ from each other, but neither of them agrees with the text of Stephens as printed in Dr Scrivener's edition, the consensus of Lachmann with either is taken in preference to the text of Stephens. In all other cases the text of Stephens as represented in Dr Scrivener's edition has been followed.

In the Gospels, a single modification of this plan has been rendered necessary by the importance of the Sinai MS. (N), which was discovered too late to be used by Tregelles except in the last chapter of St John's Gospel and in the following books. Accordingly, if a reading which Tregelles has put in his margin agrees with K , it is considered as of the same authority as a reading which he has adopted in his text; and if any words which Tregelles has bracketed are omitted by N , these words are here dealt with as if rejected from his text.

In order to secure uniformity, the spelling and the accentuation of Tischendorf have been adopted where he differs from other Editors. His practice has likewise been followed as regards the insertion or omission of Iota subscript in infinitives (as $\zeta \hat{\eta} v, \dot{e} \pi \tau \tau \mu \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ), and adverbs (as $\kappa \rho v \phi \hat{\eta}$, $\left.\lambda d^{\theta} \theta a\right)$, and the mode of printing such composite forms as


The punctuation of Tischendorf in his eighth edition has usually been adopted : where it is departed from, the deviation, together with the reasons that have led to it, will be found mentioned in the Notes. Quotations are indicated by a capital letter at the beginning of the sentence. Where a whole verse is omitted, its omission is noted in the margin (e.g. Matt. xvii. 21; xxiii. 12).

The text is printed in paragraphs corresponding to those of the English Edition.

Although it was necessary that the text of all the portions of the New Testament should be uniformly con-
structed in accordance with these general rules, each editor has been left at perfect liberty to express his preference for other readings in the Notes.

It is hoped that a text formed on these principles will fairly represent the results of modern criticism, and will at least be accepted as preferable to "the Received Text" for use in Schools.

J. J. STEWARI PEROWNE.
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## INTRODUCTION.

## CHAPTER I.

## LIPE OF SP MATTHEW.

Levi the son of Alphæus ${ }^{1}$ was a tax-gatherer at Capernaum. His special duty would be to collect tolls from the fisheries on the Lake, and perhaps from the merchants travelling southward from Damascus. One day Jesus coming up from the Lake side passed near the custom-house where Levi was seated in Oriental fashion, and He saith unto him, Follow me, and he arose and followed Him (oh. ix 9). That Jesus ever addressed Levi before, we are not told; but it is reasonable to suppose that he was expecting the summons, that he was already a disciple of Jesus, and prepared as soon as Christ gave the word to leave all for His sake. At any rate, Levi must have heard of the Great Rabbi and of His preaching, and have already resolved to adopt the view of the kingdom of God which Jesus taught.

When Levi became a follower of Jesus he changed his name from Levi to Matthew", which means "the Gift of God," and is the same as the Greek name Theodore. This practice was not unusual, and may be illustrated by the instances of Saul and of Simon, who also adopted new names in the new life.

The aame day Matthew made a feast-perhaps a farewell feast to him old associatexs-to which he invited Jesus and His
${ }^{1}$ Alphmas being also the name of the father of James the Apostle it has been conjectured that James and Matthew were brethren. This is of course possible, bat can hardly be called probable.
'This is indeed an inference, but one which is accepted by the best commentators to harmonize the "Lev" of the seoond and thitd Gorpele with the "Matthew" of the first Gospel.
disciples. We may conceive what a joyous banquet that was for Matthew, when for the first time as an eye-witness he marked the words and acts of Jesus, and stored within his memory the scene and the conversation which he was inspired to write according to his clerkly ability for the instruction of the Church in all after ages.

After this Matthew is not once named in the Gospel history, except in the list of the Twelve; in the other Gospels he appears seventh on the list, in his own Gospel eighth-the last in the second division. In his own Gospel again-a further mark of humility-he designates himself as "Matthew the publican." His nearest companion seems to have been Thomas (whose surname Didymus has led to the belief that he was Matthew's twin-brother), and in the same group or division were Philip and Bartholomew. Such are the scanty details which the Gospels record of St Matthew. These few notices howerer suggest some inferences as to the religious position, charater and teaching of the Evangelist.

Since Capernaum was in the tetrarchy of Herod Antipas, it may be inferred that Levi was an officer in the service of that prince, and not in the service of the Roman government, as is sometimes tacitly assumed. This is not unimportant in estimating the call and conversion of St Matthew.

A Hebrew who entirely acquiesced in the Roman supremacy could hardly have done so at this pcriod without abandoning the national hopes. Jesus alone knew the secret of reconciling the highest aspirations of the Jewish race with submission to Casar. But to acknowledge the Herodian dynasty was a different thing from bowing to Rome. Herod was at least not a foreigner and a Gentile in the same sense as the Roman. Idumea had coalesced with Israel. It is therefore conceivable that a Jew who was waiting for the Messiah's reign may in very despair have learned to look for the fulfilment of his hopes in the Herodian family. If it was impossible to connect Messianic thoughts with an Antipas, or even with the more reputable Philip, still might not a prince hereafter spring from that house to restore the kingdom to Israel? Might not God in His providence fuse
by some means the house and lineage of Herod with the house and lineage of David? It was not impossible, and probably the tyrannical Antipas owed the stability of his throne in some measure to a party among the Jews who cherished these ideas.

No one cau read St Matthew's Gospel without perceiving that he was no Hellenist, but a Hebrew of the Hebrews, deeply learned in the history and prophecies of his race, and eagerly looking forward to their realization; but he had been content to find, or at least to expect, that realization in the family of Herod. These views were suited to his nature in two ways. For we may infer first, that he was influenced by what is almost an inherent passion in his race-the love of gain (had it not been so he would never have chosen a career which at its best was despised and odious) ; secondly, that he loved a life of contemplation and quiet, and was well pleased to separate himself from the fiery enthusiasm and headstrong schemes of the Galileans who surrounded him. Such may have beon the hopes to which Levi clung. But when the plan and teaching of Jesus were unfolded to his mind stored with national memories, he instantly recognized the truth and beauty and completeness of that ideal, and gave himself up heart and soul to the cause of the Son of David. For that cause and for the kingdom of God he resigned all his hopes of advancement in Herod's kingdom, his lucrative calling, and the friends he had made.

It may be that Matthew's wealth was not in an absolute sense great, but it was great for the little Galilean town. It was great to him. And if like St Paul he had left a record of his personal religious feelings, he might have related how he counted up all the several items of gain, and found the sum total loss compared with the excellency of the knowledge of Christ Jesus ${ }^{1}$.

If we may judge from the silence of the Gospels, the position which Matthew held among his fellow-disciples was a humble one. He was not among the chosen three. No incident connects itself with his name, as with the names of Andrew and Simon, of Philip, of Thomas, or of Bartholomew, of Judas [the brother] of James, of the sons of Zebedee. No one word of his ${ }^{1}$ Phil. iii. 7, 8.
to Christ is recorded. Even when he was called he rose and followed in silence.

We may picture Matthew to ourselves as a silent, unobtrusive, contemplative man, "swift to hear and slow to speak," unobservant of the minutim of outward action but with a mind teaming with the associations of his nation and deeply consoious of the momentous drama which was being enacted before him, of which he felt himself called upon to be the ohronicler and interpreter to his own people.

No special mention is made of St Matthew in the Acts of the Apostles, or in the Epistles, but some light is thrown upon his after life by fragmentary notices of early Christian writers.

We gather that he remained in Palestine longer than the rest of the Apostles, and thiat he made his fellow-countrymen familiar with the words and works of Jesus. More will be said below as to the nature and special scope of his teaching; but an interesting point of Christian history, and one that bears upon St Matthew's character, recorded by Eusebius, may be mentioned here. St Matthew, says the historian, being about to depart for distant lands to preach to others also, left as a memorial to his Paiestinian converts the story of the New Covenant committed to writing in their own tongue, the Aramaic or Hebrew dialect which they used. Thin parting gift of the Evangelist was the urigin of the written Gospels

Later authorities have named Fthiopia, Parthis, Egypt and Macedonia, as fields of his missionary work. Clement of Alexandria states that Matthew devoted himself to a strictly ascotic life, abstaining from the use of animal food.

By the most ancient testimony the death of this apostle is attributed to natural causes. The traditions of the Greek Church and the pictures of the Greek artists represent him dying peacefully. But the Western Church has placed Matthew on the list of martyrs, and in the works of Italian paintars he is portrayed perishing by the executioner's aword. It is characteristic of this silent, unmarked life, in which the personality of the Evangelist is lost in the voice of the message which he wai inspired to utter, that Matthew's name has been less prominent
in the Churches and nations of Christendom than others of his co-apostles, or even than many saints, whose services to the Church of Christ have been infinitely less. None of the great Churches of Christendom have been called by his name, no guild or fraternity, no college in our great Universities, no state or nation, has chosen him for a patron. Scarcely one famous picture has taught the lesson of his call. The personal memory, like the personal life of St Matthew, withdraws itself from the observation of men.

## CHAPTER II.

AUTIORSEIP, ORIGIN AND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE GOSPEL,

1. The authorship of the first Gospel has been ascribed by an umbroken tradition to the Apostle Matthew.
2. The date is uncertain. Irenæus however states that St Matthew wrote his Gospel when SS. Peter and Paul were founding the Church in Rome: and the fact that it was published first of the written Gospels rests upon early and uncontradicterl testimony. The date of publication then should probably be fixed not many jears after the Ascension.
3. St Matthew's Gospel was primarily intended for the use of the Jewish converts in Palestine. It is this fact that gives its special character to this Gospel. No other of the evangelists has so completely developed the idea that in Christ the nation lived again, that towards Christ all prophecy moved, that in Him all national aspirations were centred and satisfied. No other inspired writer has pictured so vividly the critical interest of the Messianic days as the meeting-point of the world's past and future.

According to St Matthew Jesus is from first to last Christ the King, the King of whom all the prophets spake in the past, but He is also the one figure round whom the historical interest of the future was destined to gather. Hence the twofold aspect of this Gospel; on the one hand it is the most national and the most retrospective of the Gospels; on the other it is the most
universal and the most prophetic ; in one sense 84 Matthew is more gentile than St Luke, in another he is truly a Hebrew of the Hebrews.

The very depth of St Matthew's patriotism impels him to glory in the universality of the Massianic reign. The Kingdom of God must over-paiss the limits of the Chosen race. Hence it is po matter of surprise that the Hebrew historian should alone commemorate the coming of the Magi and the refuge in Egypt, and that he and not St Luke should tell the story of the Canaanitish woman.

The following points confirm the received account of the origin of this Gospel and indicate its special reference to the Jewa
(l) The numerous quotations from prophecy.
(2) The appeals to history as fulfilled in Christ.
(3) The rare explanation of Jewish words and customs,
(4) The strong and special denunciation of the Jews and of their rulers.
(5) The special reference to the Law in the Sermon on the Mount.
(6) The Genealogy traced from Abraham and David.
(7) The Mission of the Seventy omitted.
(8) The absence of Latin words, with very few exceptions.
(9) The prominence given to the Jewish thought of a Kingdom of Heaven: (a) in the general scope of the Gospel; (b) in the parables; (c) in the account of the Passion.
4. The style of St Matthew's Gospel is sufficiently distinctive in the use of special words and idioms, in constructions and transitional particles ${ }^{1}$, to mark it as an original work, though in part derived from sources common to the other Synoptic Gospels. St Matthew has preserved faithfully and sympathetically the poetical beauty of the discourses of Christ; but in the descriptive passages his manner is less vivid and picturesque than St Mark's, more even and unvaried than St Luke's, whose hiction is greatly influenced by the various sources whence heqderived the details
${ }^{1}$ A list of such poculiarities is collected in Smith's Bib. Diet., Vol. II. p. 277.
which he incorporates in his Gospel. Consequently elthough no passages in St Matthew's Gospel recall the elassical ring like the iptroduction to St Luke's Gospel; on the other hand the Hebrew idiom never so manifestly shews itself in the first Gospel as in the opening chapters of the third.

St Matthew was an eyewitness of the events which he chronicles, jet it is often remarked that his descriptions are less graphic and full of detail than those of St Mart, who wrote what he had heard from the lips of others. This need not be a mattar of surprise. It is indeed a phenomenon that meets us every dpy. It is not the contemporary and the eyewitness, but the historian of a succeeding age who takes the keenest interest in minute detail and records with faithful accuracy the less prominent circumstances of angreat avent. It is the Herodotus or the Macaulay-the historian, the 'questioner'-who gathers from every source materials for a minute and brilliant picture, zather than the actual spectator who is often too deeply absorbed by the one point of supreme interest in a scene to notice the looks and acts of other bystanders, or so impressed by the speaker's glowing thoughts as to deem them alone worthy of reeord.

But though St Mark enables us to realize more exactly the external accessories of the various incidents. St Matthew has treasured up for the Church more fully than the other synoptists the words and discourses of Jesus; such especially as present Him in the character of the Great Prophet, who, like the prophats of old time, denounces national sins and predicts the future of the nation and the Church. Instances of this characteristic are the full report of the Sermon on the Mount (ch. v. vi. vii.), the charge to the Apostlies ch. $\mathbf{x}$; the great series of prophetic parables in ch. xiii. peculiar to this Gospel; the denunciation of the Scribes and Pharisees in ch. zxiii., the parables of the Passion ch xxv., the predictions of the fall of Jerusalem, and of the second Advent, chs. xxiv. and xxv.
5. The ablest oritics are agreed that St Matthew does not observe the chronological order of events. By the arrangement followed by this Erangelist, as may be seen by the accompanying analysis of the Gospel, special incidents and asyings are so
grouped together as to illustrate the different aspects of our Lord's life and teaching.
6. The most interesting literary question in connection with this Gospel concerns the language in which it was written. Is the Hellenistic Greek version which we possess, (1) the original Gospel, or (2) a translation from a Hebrew or Aramaio original ; further, if a translation by whom was the translation made, by (a) St Matthew himself, or (b) by some other 1

Apart from the antecedent probability of a Hebrew Gospela version of the New Covenant to correspond with the Hebrew of the Old Covenant, and to meet the requirements of those Jews who gloried in their knowledge of the Hebrew tongue, and their adhesion to Hebrew customs, who would listen more gladly to the Gospel if it were preached to them in the language of their fathers-direct testimony to the existence of an Aramaic original of St Matthew's Gospel is borne by a succession of the earliest Christian writers.
(1) Papias in the beginning of the second century writes:-

 that by rà $\lambda$ óyla the Gospel of St Matthew is meant.



(3) Pantænus, according to Eusebius ( $H, E$. v. 10), is said to have gons to preach to the Indians and to have found among them a copy of the Hebrew Gospel according to St Matthew which had been left by the Apostle Bartholomew.
(4) In later times evidence for the belief in a Hebrew original is drawn from the writings of Origen, Eusebius, Jerome, and many others.

Against this testimony in favour of a Hebrew original, arguments tending to an opposite conclusion are grounded on (1) the disappearance of the Hebrew Gospel: (2) the authority which the existing Version has always had in the Church: (3) the similarity of expression to cortain portions of the other Gospels: (4) the apparent originality of style.
(1) That no copy of the Hebrew Gospel is extant need not excite surprise. With the destruction of Jerusalem the Hebrewspeaking Christians would be for the most part scattered far and wide over the limits of the Roman Empire. Necessity would impel them to become familiar with the Greek tongue. Their Jewish compatriots in foreign countries would be acquainted with no other. Everywhere the credit of the Greek version of St Matthew's Gospel would be fully established; to that version the original Hebrew edition would soon give place. It seems probable too that copies of this Gospel were purposely altered and mutilated to serve the ends of heretical sects, and thus the genuine Hebrew text would become more and more difficult to obtain, and finally would be discredited and lost to the Church. The preface 'of St Luke's Gospel suggests the thought that many more or less complete 'Gospels' once extant have disappeared. Moreover, most critics are agreed that the existing Epistles of St Paul do not comprise the whole number which he wrote to the Churches.

The points raised in the second (2) and third (3) arguments are considered below.
(4) The question of originality cannot be decisively settled by an appeal to the Greek style. There are, however, some characteristics that seem to indicate a translation, or rather, perhaps, a Greek edition of the Gospel by St Matthew himself or some other author of Apostolic authority. Such an inference would fall in with the tradition of the 'Hebrew Gospel,' and of St Matthew's preaching in other countries beyond the limits of Palestine. The style is uniform, and almost monotonous. Hebraisms are regularly and evenly distributed, not as in St Luke, prominent in some parts and altogether absent in others; and the number of actual Hebrew words is inconsiderable.

In citations from the Old Testament a distinction can be observed. When the narrative is closely parallel with the other Synoptic Gospels, the quotations are also parallel following generally the text of the LXX., but presenting the same variations from that text which appear in the other Synoptic Gospels. But in those portions of this Gospel which are independent of
the others, the quotations approanh more nearly to the Hebrew text.

Taking these features of the Gospel into account, we remark: 1. While they are not consistent with a literal translation of an Aramiaic original, such as would have been produced by a soribe who whished to give an exact transcript of the idioms and even the words of his althor: 8. They are consistent with a free rendering by the Evangelist versed in both tongues. 3. If the Gospel hed been presented in a Greek form to the Hebrews of Palestine we should have expected citations from the Hebrew Blble throughout, and freer use of Aramaic diotion. 4. On thie other hand, Hebrew thought combined with freedom frotin literal Aramsic form is preoisely what wo should oxpect to find in á Hellenistic edition of an Aramaic original.

The following theory is advanced as a natural way of satisfying the traditiondi atatements and the notes of style. St Matthew, in edocordance with the patristic citations ( $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{xx}$.), composed in the first instance an Aramaio Gospel for the use of the Hebrew Christians in Palestine, to whom such a Gospel, and perhaps such only, would be fully acceptable. But on the disruption of the Jewish polity Aramaic would cease to be intelligible to many, and the demand would come for a Greek version of the Gospel according to St Matthew. How would this demand be met? Either St Maitthew himself, or else some faithful scribe, would use the Hebrew Gospel as the basis of a Greek version. Many of the familiar partables and sayings of Jesus, which were crally afloat in all the Churohes, he would (for the sake of old association) incorporate with little aliteration, but he would preserve throughout the plan of the original, and, in passages where the special teaching of this Gospel came in, the version would be a close rendering of the Aramaic. This theory explains the verbal coincidence of some parts of St Matthew's Gospel with the parallel Synoptic passages, and accounts for the facts in regard to the quotations stated above.

Such a version, especially if made by St Matthew himself, would indeed be rather an original work than a translation, and would speadily in either case acquire the authority of the
original Aramaic. Accordingly we find that even those writers who speak of the Hebrew Gospel themselves quote from the Greek versión as authoritativel.

## Nore I.

(A) Miracles, (B) Parables, (C) Discourses, (D) Incidents peculiar to this Gospel.
(A) Miracles.
(1) Cure of two blind men......................ix. 27-31.
(2) The stater in the fish's month ............xvii. 24-27.
(B) Parables.
(1) The tares ........................................xiii. 24-30.
(2) The hid treasure ...............................xiii. 44.
(3) - The pearl of great, price ...................... ziii. 45, 46.
(4) The draw-net.....................................xiii. 47-50.
(5) The unmeroiful servant .....................xviii. 23-35.
(6) The labourers in the vingyard ............xx. 1-16.
(7) The two sons....................................xxi. 28-32.
(8) Marriage of the king's son ...................xxii. 1-14.
(9) The ten virgins ..................................xxy. 1-13.
(10) The talents ....................................xxv. 14-30.
(C) Discourses.
(1) A large part of the sermon on the Mount.
(2) Invitation to the heavy laden................xi. 28-30.
(3) Idle words.......................................xii. 36, 37.
(4) The blessing pronounced on Peter ......xvi. 17-19.
(5) The greater part of ch. xviii. on humility and forgiveness.
(6) The rejection of the Jews ...................xxi. 43.
(7) The denunciation of the Scribes and

Pharisees as a connected discourse ...xxiii.
(8) The description of the judgment .........xxv. 31-46.
(9) The last commission and promise.........xxviii. 18-20.

1 The further question as to the identity of the Aramaic Gospel of St Matthew and the 'Gospel according to the Hebrews' mentioned by several of the Fathers need not be argued here. It is really a distinct question. It may be well, however, to state that the fragments of the 'Gospel according to the Hehrews' which have been preserved, give ample evidence against identifying the 'Gospel acoording to the He brews' with the existing Gospel of St Matthew, and therefore with the Aramaic original of that Gospel, if such existed.
(D) Incidents.
(1) The whole of ch. ii.
(a) The coming of the Magi, guided by the star in the east.
( $\beta$ ) The massacre of the innocents.
( $\gamma$ ) The flight into Egypt.
(8) The retarn to Nazareth.
(2) The coming of the Pharisees and Sadducees to John's baptism......................iii. 7.
(3) Peter's attempt to walk upon the water.. xiv. 28-31.
(4) Payment of the Temple Tax xvii. 24-27.
(5) In connection with the Passion:
(a) The covenant of Judas for thirty pieces of silver; his repentance, and his end ..............xxvi. 14-16; xxvii. 3-10.
( $\beta$ ) The dream of Pilate's wife .xxvii. 19.
( $\gamma$ ) The appearance of Saints in Jerusalem......xxvii. 52.
(6) In connection with the Resurrection:
(a) The watch placed at the sepulohre ..xxvii, 62-66.
( $\beta$ ) The soldiers bribed to spread a false report
xxviii. 11-15.
(r) The earthquake xxviii. 2.

## CHAPTER III.

## ANALYSIS OF THE GOSPEL

## Part 1.

The Barth and Childhood of the King:-1.-11. 23.
(1) The lineage of Jesus Christ ................. 1. 1817.
(2) Bis birth ......................................... 18-25.
(3) The visit of the Magi ii. 1-12.
(4) The flight into Egypt and the return.....ii. 13-23.

According to St Matthew's plan Jesus Christ is represented as (a) the King; ( $\beta$ ) descended from David; ( $\gamma$ ) who fulfils the words of prophecy; ( $\delta$ ) whose Kingdom is recognized by the Geutiles ; ( $\epsilon$ ) who is the representative of His nation, and fulfils their history.

Part II,
The beginning of the Kingdom :-1il.-1v. 11.
(1) The forerunner of the Kingdom
iii. 1-12.
(2) The baptiam of Jesus
iii. 13-17.
(3) The Temptation
iv. 1-11.

This part corresponds to the opening verses of St Mark's Gospel ; it contains the announcement and victory of the King, and His entrance upon His reign; the true kingdom of God is opposed to the false conception of the Kingdom.

## Pakt 111.

The Works and Signs of the Kingdom of God:-iv. 12-xvi, 12.
Section (i). At Capernaum ...........................iv.-viii. 17.
(a) Preacbing of repentance (Metanoia)......iv. 17.
( $\beta$ ) Call of four disciples ...........................iv. 18-22.
( $\gamma$ ) Various dibeases are cured.....................iv. 28-25.
( $\delta$ ) The sermon on the mount....................v., vi., vii.
(є) Cleansing of a leper..............................viii. 1-4.
(5) Cure of the centurion's servant...............iii. 5-13.
( $\eta$ ) Cure of Peter's wife's mother................viii. 14-17.
The preparation for the Kingdom is amendment of life, a changed heart. It is a kingdom of love shewn by deeds of mercy. The Law of the Kingdom is the highest fulfilment of the old Law.

Section (ii). Jesus crosses the Lake...............viii. 18-34.
(a) Fitness for discipleship ......................viii 18-22.
( $\beta$ ) The winds and the sea obey Him.........viii. 23-27.
( $\gamma$ ) The Gergesene demoniacs ...................viii. 28-34.
Jesus shews that self-denial is essential to His subjects; He exhibits His power over nature, and over the spiritual world.

Section (iii). Return to Capernaum................ix.-xiii. 52.
(a) Gure of a paralytic ..............................ix. i-8.
( $\beta$ ) Gall of Levi
ix. 9.
( $\gamma$ ) Feast in Levi's house. Jesus the friend of sinners ix. 10-13.
(8) Fasting .............................................ix. 14-17.
(є) The danghter of Jairus.-The woman with an issue
ix. 18-26.
(5) Two blind men cured
ix. 27-31.
$(\eta)$ The dumb demoniac
ix. 32-34.


In these Chapters the teaohing of the Kingdom is further developed in its relation (1) to John, as the greatest of the Prophets before the Kingdom ; (2) to the religious system of the Pharisees. The Church of Christ is founded by the call of His disciples. Its future is foreshewn in the charge to the Twelve, and in the Parables of ch. xiii.

```
Section (iv). At Nazareth.
            His own receive Him not ..................xiii. 53-58.
Section (v). In different parts of Galilee ........xiv.-xvi. 12.
    (a) Herod, who has slain John, asks concern-
        ing Cbrist
        xiv. 1-12.
    ( \(\beta\) ) Jesus retires ................................................ 13; 14.
    (q) The feeding of Five Thousand
                            xiv. 15-21.
    (8) The passage to Gennesaret-Jesus walks
        on the sea
        xiv. 22-36.
    (e) The tredition of the elders--Hypocrisy.................. \(1-20\).
    (5) The Canaanite woman .....................xy. 21-28.
    ( 7 ) Cure of many sick ...........................xy. 29-31.
    (e) The feeding of Four Thousand .............xy. 32-38.
    (c) A sign refused ................................... \(\mathbf{x v i .}\)..
    (k) The leaven of the Pharisees
        xvi. 5-12.
```

Here the Kingdom of God is brought into contrast with (1) the kingdom of Herod-a point of special interest to Matthew; and (2) with legal righteousness. Jesus indicates the extension of His Church to the Gentiles. He manifests His creative power.

Part IV.

## The Preaictions of the Passion:-_rvi. 13-xx. 34.

Section (i). Near Cmsarea Philippi ...............xvi. 13-28.
(a) Peter's acknowledgment of the Son of God-The first prediction
xii 13-20.
( $\beta$ ) Peter rebuked--The true subjects of the
King .........................................xi. 21-28.
The Confession of St Peter is the central point of interest in the education of the disciples. The importance of the crisis is shewn by the expression 'from that time' (Ivi. 21). Possessing this truth the disciples may learn the other truth-the sufferings of the Son of Man. Each prediction presents the same contrast-a lesson of glory, and a lesson of humiliation.

Section (ii). The second prediation of the

> Passion
xvii. 1-xviii. 35.
(a) The Transfiguration ........................xvii. 1-13.
( $\beta$ ) Cure of the lanatio boy .....................xvii. 14-21.
(v) The prediction xyii. 22, 23.
(б) The Temple Tax xvii. 24-27.
(e) Contention for greatness xviii. 1-6.
(\$) Offences and forgiveness xviii. 7-35.

A glimpse of the glorified Kingdom of God contrasted with the misery of earth. All that follows the prediction shews the inability of the disciples to understand as yet the truth about the Kingdom.

Section (iii). The third prediction of the Passion...xix-xx. 34.
(a) Journey through Perma ......................xix. 1, 2.
( $\beta$ ) Qnestion of divorue ............................xix. 3-12.
( $\gamma$ ) Children brought to Christ ...............six. 13-15.
(o) The rich young ruler ........................xix, 16-22.
(є) Riches-Rewards of Christ's followers ...xix. 23-30.
(5) Parable of the labouters in the vineyard...xx. 1-16.
( $\eta$ ) The prediction
xx. 17-19.
( $)$ The petition of Salome for her sons ......xx. 20-28.
(a) Two blind men are cured.....................xx. 29-34.

Compare the exactness of detail in this third Prediction with the less definite first and second Predictions.

The social life of the subjects of the King-marriage and the use of riches-must be moulded to the laws of the Kingdom. There are great rewards in store for Christ's faithful followers.

# Part V. <br> The Trlumph of the KIng :-xxi--xxv. 

Sunday and Monday, Nisan 9 and 10.
(a) The King enters the Holy City in triumph ........................................xxi. 1-11.
( $\beta$ ) The cleanaing of the Temple ................xxi. 12-14.
( $\gamma$ ) The ohildren's praise ........................xxi. 15, 16.
( $\delta$ ) Bethany-The curaing of the fig-tree.....xxi. 17-22.
(є) The victories of the King ...................xxi. 23-xxiii.
(1) Over the Sanhedrin-The parables of the Two Sons, the Vineyard, and the Marriage Feast............xxi. 23-sxii. 14.
(2) Over the Pharisees-The tribute money .................................xxii. 15-22.
(3) Over the Sadducees-The Resurrection
xxii. 23-33.
(4) Over a certain lawyer-the greatest commandment ......................... xxii. 34-40.
(5) By a counter-question-David's Son xxii. 41-46.
(6) Rebuke of the Pharisees ...............xxiii.
(c) Discourse concerning the fall of Jerusalem and the end of the world-Type and antitype xxiv.

Here Jesus is set forth (1) as the King who triumphs ; (2) as victorious over all adversaries; (3) as the Prophet who must perish in Jerusalem.

> Part VI.
> The Passion:-xxyl. xxvil.
> Wednesday, Nisan 12-Friday, Nisan 14.
(a) A fourth prediction of the Passion ......sxvi. 1, 2.
( $\beta$ ) A meeting of the Sanhedrin ...............xxvi. 3-5.
( $\gamma$ ) The feast in Simon's house-Judas
agrees to betray Jesus ......................xxvi. 6-16.
(8) The Last Supper ..............................xxvi. 17-30.
(e) All shall be offended...........................xxvi. 31- 35 .
(5) The agony in the garden of Gethsemane..xxvi. 36-46.
( $\eta$ ) The arrest of Jesus ........................... xxvi. 47-56.
(e) The trial before Caiaphas ...................xxvi. 67-68.
(c) The denial of Peter .................................xxvi. 69-72.
(x) The formal trial before the Sanhedrin...xxvii. I.
( $\lambda$ ) The remorse of Judes - The Roman trial.............................................xxvii. 2-26.
( $\mu$ ) The mookery by Roman soldiers .........xxvii, 27-30.
(p) The crucifixion and death of Jesus .....xxvii. 31-56.
( $\xi$ ) The entombment
xxvii. 57-66.

The Triumph of the King is followed by the Humiliation, true to the Predictions of Jesus. "He humbled Himself even unto the death upon the Cross."

## Palat VII.

The Resurrection :-xxvili.
(a) The empty sepulchre .........................xxviii. 1-8.
( $\beta$ ) The appearance of the Lord to the women
xxviii. 9, 10.
( $\gamma$ ) The soldiers bribed to silence.................xxviii. 11-15.
(5) Jesus in Galilee
xxviii. 16, 17.
(c) The last commission
xxviii, 18-20.
The Gospel of the Kingdom ends fittingly with the victory over death; with the declaration by the Lord Jesus of His universal power, and His commission to the disciples to teach all nations.

## CITAPTER IV.

EXTERNAL HISTORY DURING THE LJFE AND MINISTRY OF JESUS CHRIST.

## 1. Summary.

B.c. 3. (see note ch. ii. 1) Octavianus Augustus had been sole ruler of the Roman Empire from B.c. 30.
Twice during that period the temple of Janus had been closed in sign of peace.
b.c. 1. Death of Herod. Rising of the Jews against the Procurator Sabinus. Repression of the revolt by Varus: 2000 Jews crucified.
A.D. 6. Resistance to the Census of Quirinus by Judas the Gaulonite and his Galilæan followers.

## A.D. 7. Banishment of Archelaurs.

1-12. Campaigns against the Germans, Pannonians, and Dalmatians, conducted by Tiberius and Germanicus. The disastrous defeat of Varus in Germany. Final success and triumph of the Roman Generals.
14. Death of Augustus and succession of Tiberius.

15-17. Germanicus continues the war against the Germans, and triumphs.
18. Death of Ovid and of Livy.
19. Death of Germanicus.

Jews banished from Italy.
20-31. Hateful tyranny of Tiberius. Ascendanoy of Sejanus. Fall of Sejanus a.d. 30.
26. Pontius Pilate appointed as the sixth Procurator of Judeea

## 2. The Imperial Rule.

It will be seen from this summary, that while Jesus was passing a quiet childhood in the Galilæan valley, few startling events disturbed the peace of the world. But it was an epoch of the greatest historical interest. It was a crisis in the kingdoms of the world as well as in the Kingdom of God. Rome had completed her conquests-no formidable rival was left to throaten her power in any direction. But the moment when the Roman people secured the empire of the world, they resigned their own liberties into the hands of a single master.

Casar Octavianus, afterwarde pamed Augustus, the successor of the great Julius Cæsar, was the first to consolidate this enormous individual power; it was he who bequeathed to the world the proudest titles of despotio rule-Emperor-Kaiser-Czar. With him the true nature of the monarchy was veiled over by the retention of Republican forms, and by a nominal re-election at intervals. The justice and clemency of his rule kept out of sight the worst abuses of unlimited power. And partly owing to the fact that the most brilliant age of Roman literature coincided with the reign of Augustus, his name is associated rather with literary culture and refinement, than with despotic sway.

When Jesus grew up to manhood, the grace and culture and
the semblance of liberty which had gilded the despotism of Augustus vanished under the dark influence of the morose and cruel Tiberius. If ever men suffered from hopeless tyranny and wrong, it was in this reign, It is a miserable history of lives surrounded by suspicion and fear, and of the best and purest citizens yielding to despair or removed by secret assassination.

It can perhaps be scarcely a matter of surprise, that a Jewish patriat, alive to the horrors of this despotism and recalling the prophetio images of a triumphant Messiah, should sometimes have dreamed that the Kingdom of God would be manifested by the overthrow of this monstrous evil, and in turn establish itself as an external power stronger and mere resistless than Rome. It is this thought that gives point to the third temptation presanted to our Lord. (ch. iv. 8, 9.)

## 3. The Provincial System

A glance at the Provincial system of Rome with espeoial reference to Palestine will ehew how truly, in an external sense, Clarist came in the fulness of time.

Under the Empire the condition of the provinces was happier than formerly. The rapacity of individual governors was cheoked by the imperial supervision. Moreover, great consideration was in many cases shewn to a conquered people. National oustoms were allowed to contipue; even native princes were in several instances confirmed in their rule on condition of becoming tributary to Rome.

In accordanoe with this principle, the Herodian dynasty was tolorated in Palestine. Observe how the changes in that dynasty affected the life of Christ. When Jesus was born, Herod was reigning in Jerusalem; henee the events that led to the flight into Egypt. On the return of Jesus with Mary and Joseph, the kingdom was divided; hence the possibility of taking refuge from the cruelty of an Archelaus under the more tolerant Antipas in the home at Nazareth. The banishment of Archelaus a fow years afterwards brought about the establishment in Judæa of the Roman government, which with its accustomed liberality left the national system represented by the Sanhedrin, not wholly unimpaired, indeed, but still influential.

Important consequences followed this precise political position. The Jewish nation was still responsible. It was Israel and not Rome that rejected the Messiah-Israel that condemned to death the Lord of Life. But it was Rome that executed the will of the Jewish people. Jesus suffered, by the law of Rome, death on the Roman cross, with all its significance, its agreement with prophecy, and its divine fitness. The point to be observed is that under no other political conditions could this event have taken place in that precise manner, which was wholly in accordance with the Scriptures that foretell the Messiah.

## 4. A time of Peace.

The lull of peace that pervaded the Roman world, was another element in the external preparation for the advent of Christ. In the generation which preoeded and in that which followed the life of Christ on earth, Palestine, and indeed the whole empire, was disquieted by the greatest political confusion. In the generation before the Christian Era, Antony and Augustus were contending for the mastery of the world, and a disputed succession disturbed the peace of Palestine. The succeeding generation was filled with the horrors of the Jewish war, of which Galilee was the focus, and which culminated in the fall of Jerusalem. It is clear that the conditions of Christ's ministry could not have heen fulfilled in either of these conjunctures.
5. The various nationalities in Palestine.

A further point of interest at the particular period when Jesus lived on earth, is the variety of nationalities which the special circumstances of the time brought together in Palestine.

A political epoch that found a Roman governor in the south (where the native ecclesiastical rule still prevailed), Idumean kings in the north and east, wild mountain and desert tribes pressing on the frontiers in one direction, peaceful Phonicians in another, involved a mixture and gathering of populations which made Palestine an epitome of the whole world. The variety of life and thought, which must have resulted from these different social elements, is one of those external circumstances which have rendered the Gospel so fit to instruct every age and every condition of men.
6. The religious condition of the Empire.

The wider and more interesting question of the religious state of the world at this epoch, cannot be fully discussed here. In Greece and in Rome, the most civilized portions of the earth, Religion allowed, or at least was ineffectual to prevent, a state of morality which St Paul describes with terrible plainness in the first chapter of his Epistle to the Romans. Gross immorality entered even into the ritual of worship ; Religion raised no voice against the butchery of gladiatorial shows, or against infanticide, or slavery, or suicide, or even against the horrors of human sacrifice.
Little real belief in the gods and goddesses remained; and though ancient superstitions still lingered among the vulgar, and interested motives on the part of priests and communities kept alive the cult of special deities, and supported shrines and temples in various parts of the world, and though, credulity gaining ground as true religious feeling passed away, the mysterious rites of Egypt and the East, the worship of Isis and of Mithras, flourished at Rome in spite of repressive edicts-all this was external and unreal, a thin cover for deep-seated and widespread scepticism.
Philosophy did but little to fill the void. Stoicism, the favourite creed with the practical Roman, though apparently nearest to Christianity in some respects, was deeply opposed to the Christian spirit by its pride, its self-sufficiency, its exclusiveness, its exaltation of human nature, its lack of love, its approval of suicide. Epicurism had degenerated from a high ideal to a mere pursuit of sensual pleasure.

It was in the midst of a world thus corrupt to the core, that the beautiful and novel conception rose of a religion which, recognizing no limits of race or language, should without distinction draw all men to itself by its appeal to the sin-stricken conscience, and by the satisfaction it brought to the deepest needs of humanity.

## Note 11.

## a genealogical table of the herodian fammy, including those members

 OF IT WHO ARE MENTIONED IN THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST MATTHEW.Herod the king (ch. ii. $1,16,19$ ) married ten wives, among whom were:


## CHAPTER V.

## THE LITERARY FORM AND LANGUAGE OF THE GOSPEL.

## 1. Hellenistic Greek.

The Alexandrian Greek dialect or Hellenistic Greek in which the N.T. is written was a result of the Macedonian conquests which swept away the ancient barriers of many forms of Greck speech. The mingled fragments of diverse elements gradually took shape in the кow ${ }^{\text {n }}$ duideктos or the New Macedonian dialect as distinguished from the old Doric Macedonian. This in turn gathered to itself fresh forms and peculiarities in the various communities which adopted it, and thus separated off into distinct dialects.
One of these offshoots growing up in the newly founded city of Alexandria with characteristics of its own in tense-forms in vocabulary and in construction became the language of those Jews who gathered in Alexandria in large numbers, partly attracted by the privileges granted them by its founder, partly driven to take refuge there from the cruelties of the Seleucido. It is probable that with these settlers Hebrew soon ceased to be the language of daily life. Constant intercourse with the Greek-speaking population that surrounded them would necessitate the use of a common language. To this fact the LXX. itself bears witness. That version was made at various periods not, as is sometimes said, to satisfy the curiosity of a Ptolemy, but to meet the religious necessities of the Jew. Thus from the first the Alexandrian dialect became strongly tinged by an infusion of Hebrew words and phraseology. The LXX. version stereotyped those new elements, and gave to the Greek of Alexandria a deep impress of Oriental idiom. This dialect thus dignified and consolidated by a great literary work was carried to all parts of the world by the Hellenist or Greek-speaking Jew.

At this stage Hellenistic Greek, as contrasted with Attic Greek, was distinguished by a simplicity of idioms and of syntax, by a restriction in the use of connecting particles, by less discrimination in the force of prepositions, by a growing disuse of the middle voice, and of the optative mood, by a preference for formulm which, though rare in Greek, are common to that language and the Hebrew, by certain peculiar tense-forms, and by an increased employment of analytio tenses. The vocabulary was enriched by words unknown to the fastidious Attic of the literary style. 1. Vernacular words, which though long on the people's lips, now, for the first time, appear in literature; just as the vernacular Latin of Gaul rose to be the most polished European speech. 2. Words of ancient literature, Epio or Lyric, which had not held their own in Attio prose writers, emerging once more into the light of culture. 3. Words with a strong or a coarse meaning in classical days now weakened into the expression of gentler or more refined thoughts. 4. Outlandish words which could not have been in use when Marathon was fought-Macedonian-Persian-Egyptian -Hebrew, and later still, Latin.

When Hellenistic Greek became the language of the N.T. its vocabulary was further modified, partly by the rejection of words too deeply steeped in heathen vice or in false religious thought, partly by the addition of higher and holier ideas to the words which Christianity selected. In three ways at least such a tongue was admirably suited to the work of evangelizing the world. 1. It was universally recognized and understood. 2. It was the language of the common people, not of a refined and exclusive caste. 3. The very loss of the old subtlety has been a gain to it as the channel of religious ideas.

Thus, though the language has lost some of its charms for the scholar, and though it has ceased to give, as once it did, the most perfect expression to human conceptions, yet it has been the chosen instrument through which the thoughts have been conveyed, which, far beyond any other thoughts, have moved and influenced the world.

And it has a wonderful interest of its own. For the scholar
it is the stepping-stone between Classical and Modern Greek. To the theologian it is the starting-point of sacred terminology. Each is concerned to detect the exact force of a word, the drift and associations of every phrase. The variety in the word-history of the New Testament, the diverse fortunes and lives, so to speak, of Hellenistic terms make the search interesting and the solution difficult. Some words are purely Hellenistic, they begin and die with that stage of the language; others lived on to the present day and are still in the mouths of the Athenian citizens and Boootian peasants, expressing daily wants and simple thoughts. Some existing obscurely for long, disclaimed by Attic culture, are now lifted to a diviner height than if Plato had employed them. Others, though known to the purest classical diction, out of an ancient variety and wide range of thought, survive in a single meaning. Some seem to have been kept especially sacred and intact from heathen association as by a particular providence to enshrine the pure conceptions of Christianity. Others, teeming with Pagan thought, have come to Christ to be purified, or to lay at His feet the riches of the Gentiles-the high and inspiring ideas which had been given to men who 'felt after'God in the dark heathen days.

## 2. The Poftcoal Element in this Gospel

There are many a priort reasons which make it improbable that the poetry of the Bible would close with the canon of the O.T. It was not to be expected that the epoch which fuifilled the hopes expressed and vivified in successive ages by inspired odes of surpassing beauty should present the realization of them in a form less excellently perfect. Nor indeed was it to be expected that the greatest of Hebrew prophets should alone refrain from clothing His divine message in the glowing phrases, or in the exact and beautiful forms of Hebrew poetry. We should expeot that in Him, who spake as never man spake, consummate excellence of thought and speech should be cast in the most perfect mould of human art.

Investigation shows that it is so. Poetry as real, as exquisite in
art and feeling, as inventive and varied in device, as full of fancy and of pathos and delicate turns of expression, is to be found in the New as in the Old Testament. Indeed it is an interesting question how much of the literary charm of many parts of the N.T. is due to the latent influence of poetical form.

It is of course possible that much has been lost through translation from the Aramaic into Greek. If our knowledge of Hebrew poetry had come through the LXX. alone many a delicate turn and point of the poetical original would have been lost to view. But as St Matthew has rendered the passages cited from the Hebrew Scriptures more faithfully than the LXX., and with a truer sense of poetic beauty, it may be inferred that our Saviour's Aramaic speech has lost little by its transference to another language.

Here a question of great interest may present itself. How far, it may be asked, is this form due to the Evangelist? How far is it an exact transcript of the Saviour's words? The point might be argued at length, but the decision could scarcely fail to be that in the poetical discourses and sayings recorded by St Matthew we have not only the subject-matter of Christ's teaching, but the very manner in which the sacred truths were delivered.

At the same time it is manifest that St Matthew is the most appreciative among the Evangelists of the form of the Saviour's teaching. He is the Hebrew prophet of the N.T. His writings are $\lambda$ órsa-the prophetic oracles of God. If to any the gift of poetical expression were granted in those days surely it was granted to him, if to any the kindred soul to catch and retain the accents of poetry falling from the Master's lips surely to him.

One argument for the existence of the poetical element in the Gospel might be found in the a priori probability that Christ would deliver His laws in a form which would lend itself easily to the memory of His disciples; and in the observed fact that wherever the discourse rises to matters of the highest considera-tion-wherever maxims are delivered essential to the Christian life, in one or other of its many forms the element of poetry
is discernible. Instances of this are :-the rule of devotion and of childlike humility ( $x .37$-42)-the new social laws in the Christian Commonwealth (xx. 25-28)-the sentence on the Last Day (xxv. 35-46).

If this decision be established its bearing on another subject of deep and mystcrious import will at once suggest itselfthe education of Jesus. We find Him, who is the end of all propbecy, not only trained or training Himself in the thoughts and aspirations of Hebrew prophecy, but growing familiar with the form in which it was couched-and here it may be noted that next to the words of Christ the most poetical expression in the N.T. is to be found in the epistle of James, the Lord's brother. The divine breath of Hebrew poetry lingered as an inheritance in the home of the Son of David.

Such are some of the inferences and underlying questions that indicate the interest of the subject.

Some remarks may now be made, (1) on the principles and mode of Hebrew poetry, (2) on its special laws.
(1) Hebrew poetry is not like classical poetry, Greek or Latin, or like modern European poetry, in having a fixed metre or measurement of words and a rhythm subject to strict laws, though it does possess a rhythmio structure. The chief characteristic of Hebrew poetry is parallelism-the correspondence of one clause to another, sometimes by way of antithesis, somatimes by way of gradation and climax. The response is sometimes effected in a very complicated and artistic way, sometimes in the simplest possible manuer.

This system has the charm of greater variety than English rhyming poetry, more freedom and less danger of straining the sense to suit the rhyme. The ear is caught with the first line and eagerly listens for the response-one of sense and not of sound-perhaps the second, third and even fourth line keep up the suspense and tension, and the answering refrain falls line alter line in perfect correspondence, often with a delicate difference of word or structure to give a fresh delight, or to draw attention to a special point. The restraining element in Hebrew poetry then does not consist in the exigency of rhyme or metre
but the need of an antithetical expression-possibly one cause of

(2) The special laws of Hebrew poetry. (The following remarks are founded to a great extent on the works of Bishop Lowth, who was the first English theologian to explain and apply the principles of Hebrew poetry in the interpretation of the $\mathbf{O}$. T., and of Bishop Jebb, who extended the application of them to the N.T.)

Parallelisms are of three kinds. (a) Synonymous, or better cognate, where the second line or couplet or stanza answers to the first in expression or in structure, or in both, but enhances the effect of it by adding a further and deeper meaning. ( $\beta$ ) Antithetio, where two propositions are contrasted with greater or less exactness. Sometimes they answer to one another, word for word, construction for construction; sometimes the opposition is only in general sense. ( $\gamma$ ) Constructive, when the likeness or opposition does not turn upon the sense or meaning of the propositions, but consists in a balance and likeness of structure, word answering to word in the several lines.

Each of these classes of parallelisms admits of many variations. Sometimes the lines answer to each other alternately; sometimes there is a double parallelism; lines 1 and 3 and 2 and 4 answering to each other, as well as 1 and 2 and 3 and 4. Sometimes again a quatrain is so constructed that, besides the obvious way of reading the stanza lines 1 and 3 and 2 and 4, or 1 and 4 and 2 and 3 , can be read oontinuously. A simple instance of this is ch. vii. 6 , where the connection might be shewn by placing the fourth line second and reading thus:

Give not that which is holy unto the dogs, Lest they turn again and rend you: Neither cast ye your pearls before swine, Lest they trample them under their feet.

This artifice is sometimes extended to stanzes of 8 lines.
Apart from this careful regard to form in Hebrew poetry great use is made of the climax. Of this many examples oecur in this Gospel. It is at this point that it becomes difficult to draw
the line with precision between rhetorical prose and poetry. There are passages of Cicero, for instance, where the balance of contrasted periods and the structure of the climax are so perfect and symmetrical that it would searcely be possible to form a definition of Hebrew poetry which would exclude such passages as these. The distinction however between rhetoric and poetry is often one of feeling rather than of definition. Many of the ornaments of style and diction are common to both, and the difference consists not in the exclusive possession of these but in the use made of them.
Imagery and figurative language are characteristic of all poetry, but of Hebrew poetry they are eminently characteristic. Nature and all the objects of nature, the skies and the luminaries of heaven-man, his works and aims and several employmentshis schemes and ambitions-the different social conditions-the various forms of government all enrich and exemplify the thoughts of Hebrew Christian poetry. This richness of imagery has even been a source of danger. It has given brightness and life to the expression of ideas, but it has led into error through tropes and figures familiar to an Oriental mind finding too literal an interpretation in the West.

## The value of parallelism in exegesis.

It is clear that when a close relation of parallelism is established between two clauses they mutually elucidate one another. The effect of a seemingly slight change is deepened by the involuntary comparison. The absence or the presence of a corresponding word, which would otherwise pass unnoticed, throws into prominence the thought suppressed or added. A clause obsoure from its position is made clear by referring it to the words with which the system of parallelism shews it to be really connected.
Contrasted ideas briefly expressed at the beginning or the end of a discourse will often prove the key to the right understanding of the whole. Again, this system has the power of throwing special words into prominence by placing corresponding emphatic terms first and last in their respective clauses, the less
important expressions between. The meaning of such relative positions cannot be ignored by the interpreter of Scripture.

Comp. in illustration of these remarks, notes on ii. 18, $\mathbf{v}$. $17-20$, vi. $6,7,8$, x. $34-39,40-42$, xii. 31 , xix. 12 , xx. 25 , xxi. 5, xxv. 31-46.

## 3. The Literary Interpretation of the Gospels.

Two great questions must present themselves to every reader of the Gospels. (1) What did the words mean to those who first heard them? (2) What do they mean to us?

In one sense we dare not persuade ourselves that we know, or ever shall know, the exact import of all the expressions in the N.T. The gesture or the look that accompanied the speech, the tone in which it was said, the memories it stirred, its associations, depend on such very slight and delicate threads that we may not hope to have preserved intact and complete the whole thought that flashed on the souls of the men to whom Jesus spoke. To realize this it is only necessary to remember how a line half quoted, even a single passing phrase, recalls a whole poem, a chain of reasoning, a school of politics or theology, and the more familiar the conception the shorter the quotation needed to awaken it.

Some light of meaning must have vanished in this way, more still perhaps in the loss of the original words of Jesus. Few remember that, except here and there a word, the thoughts of Jesus have not reached us in the language in which (according to the most reasonable view) He first expressed them.

In part the New Testament is a translation of Aramaic speech, in part it is a transoript of Aramaic thought. Every word must be weighed with those considerations in view. The scholar must not be tempted to press the classical force too much in exegesis. So far as the moment of utterance is concerned only so much of the Greek thought should be taken into account as is covered by the meaning of the Hebrew or Aramaic word which it represents. Certainly other meanings soon flowed in upon the words of the Gospel, but such meanings would not be present to the minds of those who first listened to the preaching of Christ.

But this is only the first step. The word uttered by Christ meant more than the first group of listeners could fathom. The thought of the Cross-the sayings of the Last Supper-the Sacrifice of Christ-the baptism of fire-the gift of the Paraclete -the growth of the Kingdom,--all these conceptions and many more have received the interpretation of time, and we believe of the Holy Spirit moving through history. It is thus a part of the interpreter's task reverently in this light to search for the meaning of Christ and of His evangelists.
Here the work of interpretation might seem to have found a limit. But there are further steps. The interpreter of a classical work is concerned to discover the precise meaning of the text as it conveyed itself to the contemporaries of his author. The commentator on the N.T. must look on to mark the effect of the sacred words in successive epochs and in differing civilisations. The same disoharge from the sky is snow when it touches the mountain-tops and rain when it reaches the warmer lowland, and there too it is coloured by the ground on which it falls. In like manner Scripture changes form and colour in different ages and in different hearts. Such changes must be noted in order that the abiding essence may remain. The stains of controversy, of passion and of ignorance must be removed and the native brightress of the gem restored to its original setting.

Again, because false interpretation has had enormous influence on history and religion, the commentator must take note even of false Interpretation. In this point too Biblical criticism differs from the work of a classical annotator.
A further point must be noted. A Greek word, whatever its Hebrew or Aramaic equivalent may have been, must have carried much of the old Greek thought with it as it came in contact with Greek-speaking men. It is an interesting question how far this was meant, how far the thoughts thus infused into Christianity are true and wholesome thoughts, how far through that channel any harmful elements may have flowed in upon the original purity of truth.

This subject might be pursued, but enough has been said to shew the endless interest and usefulness of such researches, and
the almost infinite directions in which they may be extended. In the limits of the brief notes which follow little more can be done than to indicate suoh lines of thought, and here and there to point to results.

## Note III. <br> Ter Text of the New Testament.

The evidence for the text of the N. T. is derived from three sources.

1. MSS. of the whole or portions of the N. T. Such portions are sometimes contained in lectionaries. 2. Patristic quotations. 3. Versions.
2. No classical work has so many valuable ancient MSS. on which to establish its text as the New Testament. The earitest of these MSS. are beautifully written on fine vellum (prepared skin of calves or kids) in uncial or large capital letters. The later MSS. are called cursive, from being written in a cursive (curro) or running hand.

The subjoined brief account of the more important uncial and cursive MSS. will explain the references in the Critical notes.
s゙. Codex Sinaiticus. This is probably the oldest MS. of the N. T. now extant, and is assigned to the fourth century. It was discovered by Tischendorf in the Convent of St Catharine on Mount Sinai, in 1859. "It contains both Old and New Testa-ments-the latter perfect without the loss of a single leaf. In addition it contains the entire Epistle of Barnabas and à portion of the 'Shepherd' of Hermas" (Tischendorf). This Codex is now at St Petersburg.
A. Codax Alexandrinus. This MS. belongs to the fifth century. It contains, with very few exceptions, the whole of the LXX. Version of the O. T. ; in the N. T. the missing portions are Matt. i. 1-xxv. 6, John vi. 50-viii. 52, 2 Cor. iv. 13-xii. 6. 'It is now in the British Museum, having been presented to Charles I. by Cyrillus Lucaris, Patriarch of Constantinople, who had previously brought it from Alezandria in Egypt.
B. Codex Vaticanu also contrins the LXX. Version of the 0. T. with the exception of $\mathfrak{a}$ large portion of Genaris and Psalms
cv.-cxixvii.; in the N. T. the latter part of the Epistle to the Hebrews is lacking (from ch. ix. 14-end), also the Pastoral Epistles and the Apocalypse. It is probably either contemporary with ※, or a little later. This MS. is now, as the name implies; in the Vaticen Library.
C. Codex Ephraemi rescriptus: a palimpsest; i.e. on the vellum which contained the worn-out ancient letters (the value of the MS. not being recognised) were written the works of the Syrian Saint Ephraem. In the seventeenth century the older writing was observed beneath the more modern words, and a great portion of this valuable fifth-century Codex has been recovered and published. It contains portions of the LXX. Version of the $\mathbf{O}$. T., and fragments of every book of the N. T. with the exception of 2 John and 2 Thessalonians, which are entirely lost. This Codex is in the National Library of Paris.
D. Codex Beza: a MS. of the sixth or seventh century, with a Latin Version as well as the Greek text, contains the Gospels and Acts, between which the Catholic Epistles ance stood. Of these, 3 John, wo. 11-15, is the only extant portion. The interpolations and varions readings of this MS. are of a remarkable character. There are several lacunæ. It is now in the Cambridge University Library, to which it was presented by Beza in 1581.
L. Codex Regius, written about the eighth century, though later than the foregoing should be named as of great critical value. It bears a strong resemblance to $B$ and to the citations of Origen. It contains the four Goepels except Matt. iv. 22-v. 14, xxviii. 17-20; Mark x. 16-30, and v. 2-20; John xxi. 15-28.

The cursive MSS. date from the tenth century onward, of these the two numbered 1 and 33 respectively have the highest authority.

1. Codex Basiliensis, of tenth century according to Scrivener, who says of this MS. : In the Gospels the text is very remarkable, adhering pretty closely to the uncials BL and others of that class.'
2. Assigned to eleventh century. 'In text it resembles BDL more than any other cursive MS., and whatever may be thought of the character of its readings, they deserve the utmost attention.--Scrivener.

209 may also be named as valuable in the Gospels. Its text resembles $B$. It belongs to the eleventh or twelfth century.
2. Quotations from the Fathers.

The full value of this source of evidence will not be reached until the early patristic writings shall have been critically edited. This has been only partially done. (See Dr Sanday's paper, Expositor, Vol. xi. 171 foll.) Patristic citations are valuable as affording testimony to the existence of a reading at a date fixed within certain limits. In some cases this evidence reaches an antiquity far beyond that of any existing MSS.; it is of speciall weight when an appeal is made in the patristic work from one MS. to another of greater authority, or where a reading is cited and defended in support of an argument, as in ch. i. 18 of this Gospel. But it often fails to render aid in the more delicate points of textual criticism.
3. Versions or translations from the original Greek into other languages.

The evidence of Versions is chiefly useful in determining questions of omission of words or passages. The literal character of some Versions indicates the order of the original language. But in many important questions as to connecting particles, tenses and construction, a translation brings precarious aid. In many cases the text of the Version is itself far from being critically settled, the language of others lies beyond the reach of most scholars. The following are among the more important Versions :
(1) Latin-(a) Vetus Latina. Made in Africa in the second century.

The three principal codices are Cod. Vercellensis (fourth century), Cod. Veronensis (fourth or fifth century), Cod. Colbertinus (eleventh century).
( $\beta$ ) The Vulgate. The revision by St Jerome of the Vetus Latina. The best codices are Cod. Amiaticus and Cod. Fuldensis, both of the sixth century. The present authorised Vulgate is the result of a further revision at the end of the 16 th century.
(2) Syriac or Aramaic Versions.
(a) The Peshito (meaning 'simple,' perhaps='faithful'). This very ancient Version omits 2 Peter, 2 and 3 John, Jude, and the Apocalypse.
( $\beta$ ) The Curetonian Syriac probably represents an older text than the Peshito. This MS. was discovered by Dr Cureton and published in 1858.
(y) The Philozenian or Harclean Syriac. A literal rendering from the Greek made under Philoxenus, bishop of IIierapolis in Syria, A.D. 508, and revised by Thomas of Harkel a.d. 616. This is probably 'the most servile version of Scripture ever made.' The various readings in the margin are a valuable feature in this version.
(8) The Jerusalem Syriac (fifth or sixth century), also made from the Greek, and independent of the Peshito. It is written in a peculiar dialect, resembling the Chaldee rather than the Syriac.
(e) The Karkaphensian Syriac (so called probably from Carcuf, a city of Mesopotamia), discovered by Cardinal Wiseman in the Vatican, contains the same books as the Peshito, and bears a general resemblance to that Version.
Other Versions of critical value are-(3) The Coptic or Agyptian, in which are included the Memphitic and the Thebaic Versions. For an account of these see a paper by Bp Lightfoot, printed in Scrivener's Introduction, \&c., p. 319 foll. (4) The Gothic Version made by Ulfilas, bishop of the Goths, A.D. 348-388. The most valuable codex of this version is the Codex Argenteus (fifth or sixth century) preserved at Upsala. (5) The Athiopic Version (date unknown). (6) The Armenian Version (fifth century).

Among easily accessible authorities on this subject are: Scrivener's Introduction to the Criticism of the New Testament; Prof. Westcott's articles in Smith's Dictionary of the Bible on the New Testament, and on the Vulgate; the Prolegomena to Alford's edition of the New Testament; Hammond's Outlines of Textual Criticism applied to the N.T.
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## THE HOLY LAND.

Palestine (Philistia) or the Holy Land was about 140 miles in length. The distance from Dan to Beersheba was less than that between London and Manchester; the distance from Capernaum to Jerusalem was nearly the same as that from Rugby to London. The average breadth wras- 40 miles.

The politional divisions are indicated as they existed during our Lord's ministry. At the date of His birth all the districts included in this map were comprised in the Kingdom of Herod the Great. After Herod's death, Archelaus ruled over Samaria and Judæa. When Archelaus was banished these divisions were placed under the rule of a Roman Procurator.

Mount Hermon, called also Sirion (the Glitterer), and Shenir (Deut. iii. 9), and Sion (Deut. iv. 48), ch. xyii. 1.

Casarea Philippi, ch. xvi. 13.
Syro-Phcenicia or Canaan, ch. xy. 22 and Mark vii. 26.
Nazareth, ch. ii. 23.
Mount Tabor, the traditional scene of the Transfiguration; at this time its summit was probably occupied by a fortress. Ch. xvii. 1.

Gerasa, not mentioned in this Gospel; see ch. viii. 28, and cp. Mark v. 1, where one reading is Gerasenes, inhabitants of a different Gerasa or Gergesa.

Ephraim, the supposed site of the Ephraim mentioned John xi. 54. to which Jesus retired shortly before His last Passover.

Ramak, ch. ii. 18.
Arimathea, ch. xxvii . 57.
Jericho, ch. xx. 29.
Bethphage, ch. xxi. 1.
Bethaxy, ch. zxi. 17, xxyi. 6.
Bethlehem, ch. ii. 1.
Macherus, the scene of John Baptist's imprisonment and death, ch. iv. 12 and xiv. 10.

## JERUSALEM.

1. Calvary and the Holy Sepulchre. Several explorers have pointed out the probability of the site indicated on the plan. It is outside the city gates. It is near one of the main roads, that leading to Shechem, and by the side of the road rises a rounded knoll (see note, oh xxvii. 33) now called El Heidhemiyeh. Jewish and Christian tradition alike point to this as the ancient place of execation. It is named by the Jews Beth has Sekilah (the place of stoning). Near to this 'barren hillock' on either side of the road was the ancient Jewish buryingplace (now a Mahometan cemetery), within which it is reasonable to place the site of the 'new tomb in the garden' (see Bædeker's Palestine and Syria, p. 189, and Conder, Tent Work in Palestine, ch, xir.). The Charoh of the Holy Sepulchre marks the traditional site, now abandoned.

2, 2, 2, 2. The Haram or Temple platform covered (a) wholly, or ( $\beta$ ) in part by the Temple ( $\tau \mathrm{d}$ lepóy), with its various courts. The first theory (a) is now held by few. But Col. Warren and others consider that the Temple occupied the whole of the Southern part (i.e. aboat 4) of the present enclosure. The mean measurement of the Haram is 982 feet by 1565 feet. (2), (2), (2), (2) represents the iepos according to other authorities. Both the Talmud and Josephus describe the Temple area as square, but their measurements do not agree. The Temple was surrounded by porticos or arched colonnades. The substructures of massive stones surmounted by 'Solomon's Porch' on the eastern side were the olsaסoual of ch xxiv. 1 (see note). Here possibly was the arepúrion of ch. iv. 5.

In the north-west corner of the Temple area stood the Tower Antonia (4). It was built on a rodk fifty oubits high (Joseph, B. J. v. 5. 8), and thus commanded the Temple. Here the Roman gaurison was stationed. See ah. xxvii. 27.
3. $\delta$ vads (indicated by the spot of darker colour), the Sanctuary or Holy House, to be carefully distinguished from the lepor. See chs. xxiii. 16, 35 , xxvi. 61, xxvii. 51 . It was situated on the highest point of the Temple Hill, 2440 feet above the Mediterranean, now ocoupied by the Dome of the Rock. In front of the paós, to the east of it, was the $\theta_{\text {vatagtripoov, ch. xxiii. } 35 .}$
5. The Asmonean Palace, probably the residence of Herod Antipas while in Jerusalem. Joseph. Ant. xx, 8, 11.
6. The palace of Herod (Herodis Protorimm), in the Upper City, the residenee of the Roman Procurator (Philo de leg. ad Gaium, p. 1033 x ; op. p. 1034 m ; Joseph. B. J. ir. 14. 8, จ. 4. 4). Between these two palaces Christ was led when Hilate 'remitted' Him to Herod. (For a description of this palace see Joseph. B.J. v. 4. 4, and Farrar's Life of Christ, ㅍ. 364.)
7. Valley of the Kedron, or of Jehoshaphat. See note ch. xxyi. 31.
8. Valley of Hinnom or Gehenna [ $\Gamma$ éepa]. See note, ch. v. 22.

10. Gethsemane. Ch. xxyi, 36.
11. Bethesda, and 12, Pool of Siloam, not named in this Gospel.

## THE SEA OF GALILED,

called the Lake of Gennesareth (Luke v. 1), the Sea of Tiberias (John vi. 1 and xxi. 1).

Bethsaida Julias, rebuilt by Ferod Philip, the tetrarch, and called Julias after Julia, daughter of Augustus. See note, ch. xiv. 19.

Kerazeh, identified by Gapt. Wilson with Chorazin. Oh. xi. 21.
Highland or The Mountain, the probable scene of the Sermon on the Mount and of the appearance of Jesus Christ, ch. xxviii. 16.

Tell Hûm, the site of Gapernaum, according to Thomson (Land and Book), Capt. Wilson, Dean Stanley latterly, and others.

Et Tabigah, by some thought to be the Bethsaida ("Honse of Fish"), mentioned as being the home of Peter, Andrew and Philip (John i. 44); see chs. viii. 14 and xi. 21. Near Et Tabigah is a large fountain, probably "the fountain of Capharnaum" mentioned by Josephus, B. $J$. III. 10. 8, from which water was conveyed by an aqueduct to the plain of Gennesareth. Traces of this aqueduct and of an octagonal reservoir ore distinetly visible. See Recovery of Jerusalem, p. 349 .

Khan Minyeh, the site of Capernaum, according to Dean Stanley in S. and P. (in Preface to Rec. of Jerusalem the Dean inclines to the Tell Hûm site), Dr Robinson, Mr Macgregor (Rob Roy), and others.

El Ghuweir or The Land of Gennesareth, a fertile plain $2 \frac{1}{2}$ miles in length, about 1 mile in breadth; ch. xiv. 34.

Mejdel, the Magdala of ch. xy. 39.
Tiberias. Not mentioned in this Gospel. But possibly Herod Antipas was holding his court here when John Baptist was put to death at Macherus; oh. xiv. 6 foll. It was built by Herod Antipas and named Tiberias in hovour of the Emperor. See note, ch. xiv. 13-21, and cp. John vi. 1, 23.

K'hersa, identified with Gergesa. Gerasa (not the well-known Gerasa N. of the Jabbok; see Smith, Bib. Dic. sub voc.) is probably another form of the same name. See oh, viii. 23.

Gadara, the capital of "the country of the Gadarenes," to which district Gergesa belonged.
$A$ and $B$, disputed sites for the miracle of feeding 5000 ; ch. xiv. 13--21.

## EYAГГENION KATA MAӨӨAION
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$\sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ è $\nu$ тaîs $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma a i ̂ s ~ a \nu ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i ~ \kappa \eta \rho v i \sigma \sigma \omega \nu ~ \tau \grave{o}$
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 $\kappa а ⿱ 亠 䒑 𧰨$
















 $\kappa а \tau а \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$.



 $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ o u ̀ ~ \delta o \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a l ~ a v ̀ \tau \eta ̂ ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu ~ ' J ~ \omega \nu a ̂ ~ \tau o \hat{u}$


















 тoעך $\rho \hat{\imath}$.
























 трıа́коута. ${ }^{\circ}$ ó єै $\chi \omega \nu$ ютта а’коиє́тш.

















 тєтє, каі̀ оѝк єì̇av, каi àкои̂баı $\grave{a}$ àкои́єтє, каi оѝк ทัкоубау.












































 $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{a} \lambda \epsilon \iota \quad a \dot{u} \tau o i ̂ \varsigma,{ }^{35}$ ó $\pi \omega \varsigma ~ \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \hat{\eta}$ тò $\dot{\rho} \eta \theta \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ тồ




 ${ }^{37} \dot{o}$ ठ̀̀ $\grave{a} \pi о \kappa \rho \iota \theta \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \sigma \pi \epsilon l \rho \omega \nu$ тò ка入ò̀ $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu \alpha$












 а̀кочє́ть.








 matt.







 ódóvтшע.



 pô̂ aủ


 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ à̀тoìs каі̀ $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, ПóӨєу тои́тч $\dot{\eta}$ бофia aйтך каì ai $\delta u \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota s ;{ }^{55}$ où $\chi$ ov̉ $\tau o ́ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ó $\tau 0 \hat{v}$































 $\pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$.
















 סi $\omega \nu$.




























 ө $\eta \sigma a \nu$.






 $\pi а \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ к а \grave{\iota} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$, каí, 'О какодоуш̀ татє́ $\rho a$







 $\mu a \tau a \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \omega \nu$.
${ }^{10} \mathrm{Kai} \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ o ้ \chi \lambda o \nu ~ є i \pi \epsilon \nu ~ a u ̛ \tau o i ̂ s, ~$
















 $\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho i a t, \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu l a \iota .{ }^{20} \tau a \hat{v} \tau a ́$ è $\sigma \tau \iota y$ тà коьрои̂ขтa
 Tòv ă $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \tau \nu$.












 $\psi \iota \chi i \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \iota \pi \tau o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ àmò $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \in \zeta \eta \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \nu \rho i \omega \nu$










 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \delta o ́ \xi a \sigma a \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu ~ ' I \sigma \rho a \eta ́ \lambda . ~$













 $\delta$ ѐ è $\sigma \theta i o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \kappa \iota \sigma \chi i ̀ \lambda \iota o \iota ~ a ̆ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma ~ \chi \omega \rho i s ~ \gamma v-$











 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$.








































 $\theta \rho \omega \pi \pi \omega \nu$.








































 Ө

${ }^{14} \mathrm{Kai}$ é $\lambda \theta o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ o ै \chi \chi o \nu, ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu} a \dot{\nu}-\hat{0}$
















 aưtoís ó 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ s, ~ M e ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon i ~ o ́ ~ v i o ̀ s ~ t o ̂ ̂ ~ a ̀ v \theta \rho o ́ t o u ~ \pi a \rho a-~$ $\delta i \delta \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota$ єis $\chi є i ̂ \rho a s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi ~ \omega \nu, ~{ }^{29} \kappa a i ̀ \dot{a} \pi о к \tau \epsilon \nu о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu$
 $\theta \eta \sigma a \nu \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a$.











* Verse 21 omitted on the best MS. authority.




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov่ $\rho a \nu \omega \hat{\nu} ;{ }^{2} \kappa a i ̀ \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \pi a \iota \delta l o \nu$ ê $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$

























[^1]$\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \varphi \dot{\epsilon} \kappa a \tau \grave{̀} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\beta} \beta a \tau a$ каї $\pi \lambda a \nu \eta \theta \hat{g} \hat{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \xi \quad a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$,
















 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o \varsigma ~ o u ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ a ̀ \nu ~ a i \tau \eta \prime \sigma \omega \nu \tau a \iota, ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta ̆ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$







 $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, ös $\dot{\eta}^{\theta} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu a ̂ \rho a \iota ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau d ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \delta o v i \lambda \omega \nu ~$




























 е̇кей.






















 $\delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s \chi \omega \rho \in i ̂ \nu \chi \omega \rho \in i ́ \tau \omega$.



















 тод $\lambda$ á $^{\prime}$



 $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \dot{\eta} \pi \lambda o u ́ \sigma \iota o \nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ßacı $\lambda \epsilon i ́ a \nu$ т $\omega \hat{\nu}$ oủ $\rho a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. ${ }^{25}$ àкои́ $\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ oi $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i ̀ ~ \grave{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma о \nu \tau o \quad \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a$













 *аі̀ $\check{\epsilon} \sigma \chi a \tau o \iota \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \iota$.



































































































 $\kappa a i$ è $\theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \pi \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu$ aùtov́s.






 B $\eta \theta a \nu l a \nu, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \eta u ̀ \lambda i \sigma \theta \eta ~ e ̀ к є i ́ . ~$





































 $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \ddot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota a \dot{v} \tau \hat{Q}$.


























































 ктоl.

































 $\hat{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \sigma о \nu \tau o ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \delta a \chi \hat{\eta}$ av่тov̂.
 इa









 Xpıotov̂; tivos viós ė $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$; 入éyoualy aùtê, Tồ






 ov่кย́тน.




 ${ }^{4} \delta \in \sigma \mu \epsilon \dot{o}$
















 $\pi \rho о \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ v $\dot{\mu \epsilon i s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o v ̀ \kappa ~ \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon ́ \rho ~} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$,



 $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon ́ \nu \nu \eta s \delta^{\delta} \iota \pi \lambda \dot{\pi} \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.















 * Verse 13 omitted on the best MS. anthority.







 aữô̂ каӨa oóv.






























 óvóдать кирі́ои.
















 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \bar{\eta} \omega^{\dot{\delta}} \dot{i} \nu \omega \nu$.



























































 тô̂ vioû тov̂ ả̀ $\theta \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \pi o v$.




















 $\tau \omega \bar{\nu} \dot{\partial} \delta a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
























































 $\beta \rho u \gamma \mu o ̀ s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\delta o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.







 oi єv่ $\lambda о \gamma \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \pi а т \rho o ́ s ~ \mu о v, ~ к \lambda \eta \rho o \nu о \mu \eta ́ \sigma а т є ~ т \grave{\eta} \nu$











 є่той́батє.












 $\epsilon i \varsigma \zeta \omega \grave{\nu} \nu a i \omega \dot{\mu} \nu .0 \nu$.





















 aü $\eta$ єis $\mu \nu \eta \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma v \nu o \nu$ av่̀ $\eta \hat{\varsigma}$.






















 $\sum \dot{v} \in l \pi a s$.









 $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ è̉aเติข.

























































 $\theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ai $\gamma \rho a \phi a i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \pi \rho о \phi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. то́тє oi $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i ̀$




















## XXVII. 4 KATA MAӨӨAION







 $\pi a i \sigma a s \sigma$;





















 тара

















 тòv $\grave{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ óva $\lambda$ là























 $\sigma \tau a \nu \rho \omega \theta \hat{n}$.
















 $\pi \iota \epsilon i ̄ \nu .{ }^{35} \sigma \tau а \nu \rho \omega ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ סè aùтò̀ $\delta \iota \epsilon \mu \epsilon \rho i \sigma a \nu \tau o ~ \tau a ̀ ~ a ́ \mu a ́ \tau \iota a ~$
























 aข่тóv.
 $\tau \dot{\partial} \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$.


































 סias.
 $\sigma a \beta \beta a ́ \tau \omega \nu, \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ Mapía $\dot{\eta}$ Marб $\delta \lambda \eta \eta \eta \grave{\eta} \kappa a \grave{i} \dot{\eta}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$ Ma-





























 $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$.



${ }^{18} \mathrm{~K} a \grave{\imath} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \grave{\nu}$ o̊ 'I $\eta \sigma o u ̂ s ~ e ̀ \lambda a ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ aủroîs $\lambda e ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, 'E $\delta o ́ \theta \eta ~ \mu о \iota ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~ e ́ g ̧ o v \sigma i ́ a ~ e ̀ v ~ o v ̉ \rho a v \hat{̣} ~ \kappa a i ~ e ̀ m i ~ \gamma \eta ̂ s . ~$






## NOTES.

## OHAPTER I.

In the remarks on the results of textual revision prefixed to the Notes on each Chapter, it is not intended to enter minutely into each critical point, but to indicate generally the drift and import of the corrections, and occasionally to state the grounds on which a reading is preferred.
 best recent editors on the authority of NBD . The evidence, however, is not conclusive, for in the text even these MSS. admit the other forms in some instances. See Scrivener's Introd. p. 488.
2. lytwonoty. In accordance with all the uncial MSS. the final $p$ (called eqehкu before vowels and consonants alike. T'o this rude Tisehendorf admits a few exceptions, as $\delta u \sigma l$ (ch. vi. 24), $\beta a \sigma r \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma l$ ( $\mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{xx} .12$ ). It is probable that ' $\nu$ ' E' $\phi \in \lambda \kappa v \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ appeared invariably in the written prose language even in Attic Greek. See Winer, 43, 44, note 2, and Scrivener's Introd. p. 486, 487.
18. (a) 'Inoov, now read by Tisch. (ed. 8), though absent from editions 5 and 7, is supported by all the Greek codices, but rejected by some oritice, chiefly on the evidence of Irenmes, who (as appears from the Latin version of his works) read $\tau 0 \hat{1}$ Xplotô and sustained it on special grounds; but also because the collocation ó 'Tyoûs Xpiords is hardly defensible from the position of the adjective xpuctos, and is not found eisewhere in the genuine text of the N. T. See Hammond (Text. Crit. p. 66 foll.), who discusses this reading at length: and Scrivener's Introd. p. 493.
 theologically valuable as denoting that the Messiah was born, against the false teaching that Jesus became the Messiah, or the Messiah entered into Him at baptism. Hence the interest of the discussion.
 tiole for beginning a narrative in explanation of a statement: op.

Soph. Ant. 407.
Nem is similarly used in Latin. The insertion of $\gamma$ ap in the text was probably the unconscious error of a copyist familiar with olassical usage.
22. kuplou not rô кuplov. Kúpas, in the sense of Jehovah-the triune God-is almost invariably without the article.
 the textus receptus is probably due to Lake ii. 7, where $\pi \rho \omega \mathbf{c} \delta$ тoкoy is unchallenged. The insertion may have been made for controversial reasons, as slightly favouring the view that 'the brethren of the Lord' were his full brethren. But this is unlikely.

Euaryediov, like $\chi$ pıofors (see oll, i. 18), is rare in the classics. The history of it is that of many Hellenistic words-first Homeric, then vernacular, then again found in literature. It occurs twioe in Homer,

 same passage 1. 166. In Aristoph. Eq. 656 evaryèica $\theta$ cect is 'to
 - good news.' In later Greek evaryeniov acquires the more familiar sense of 'good news,' as distinct from 'reward for good news.' The LXX. has the word in both senses. It was a familiar term to educated Romans: op. "Primum ut opinor eviaryenca. Valerius absolutus est,' Cic. ad Att. II. 3. In its N. T. use evaryethop is closely allied to the thought of the Kingdom of God, it is distinctively the announcement of the Messianic hopes fulilled. The word is not used by St John except in one passage of the Apocalypse, ch. riv. 6, or by St James, and once only by St Peter, it does not occur in St Luke's Gospel. With St Paul, however, eviarretcop is very frequent, and to him is due its leading place in the Christian vocabalary. For the verb see ch. xi. 5. The English equivalent 'gospel' (A.-Saxon Godspell) is a felicitons rendering, though it faile to convey all that
 the Greek word: Evangile (French), evangelium (German), evangelio (Italian).

кard, 'according to.' The gospel is presented according to the plan and aims of the different writers inspired to meet the requirements of particular readers and to satisfy special needs.
 - from the public archives which were carefully proserved and plaved under the special care of the Sanhedrin. The expression recalls,

(1) The genealogy is an answer to the question which would be asked by every Jew of any one who claimed to be the Messiah, 'Is he of the house of David?' for by no name was the Messiah more frequently spoken of by Jews and by foreigners (see ch. xy. 22), and designated in the Talmud, than by that of the Son of David.
(2) Both this genealogy and that in StLuke's Gospel trace Joseph's descent. But see below, v. 16.
(3) St Matthew traces the pedigree from Abraham, the Father of the Chosen Race, through David, from whose house the Messiah was expected; St Luke, true to the scope of his Gospel, traces it from the common Father of Jew and Gentile.
(4) St Matthew gives the royal succession, St Lake, the family Uneage. This accounts for many viriations in names.
(5) This genealogy descends from father to son, and is therefore probably the more exact transeript of the original dooument Et Lukeds ascende from to father.
2. rd̀v 'Irad́x. The article is generally used with indeclinable proper names for the sake of perspieaity. See Winer, p. 141.
3. Odpap. St Matthew also differs from St Luke in naming women in the genealogy. Of the four mentioned two-Rahab and Ruth-are foreigaers, and three-Thamar, Rahab and Bathshebawere stained with sin. The purpose of the Evangelist in recording their names may be to show that He who came to save "that which was lost,' the Friend of sinners, does not scorn such descent.
5. इaduiv...'Lecrac. According to the received chronology the apace of thme between Salmon and Jesse was not lese than 400 years. In that apace there are only four generations recorded in the text. Either then the received ohronology is wrong or the genealogy not complete. In all probability the former is at fault, and the shortening of the period named would bring 'Jewish history into harmony with Egyptian and with the internal evidence of the Israelitish history itself.' Bee Art. 'Genealogy' in Bib. Dict. for this and other points.
6. Daveld $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{d y}$ ßaridita. A spacial hint of Christ the king, of whom David was the type.
Ex tท̂s tov̂ Oúplou. For the omission of yuvauxòs cp. "Hectoris Andromache,' $\boldsymbol{E n}$. uIL. 319: such ellipse is nataral where there would be no difficulty in supplying the missing word.

It is at this point that St Luke's genealogy branches off. According to natural descent Joseph was a descendant of Nathan, not of Splomon. The genealogies meet again in the names of Zorobabel and Salathiel. See below, v. 12.
8. 'Iopap Bt tyevviocev tòs 'Oţiav (Uzziah). The names of Ahaziah, Joash and Amaziah are here omitted; see note, v. 17.
 next $v$. Jechonias $=$ Jehoiachin. A step is thas wanting in the genealogy, which is supplied by a very early though probably not genuine.
 'Texoviav (Jehoiachin). The insertion would make fiftean steps in this portion of the genealogy and would not remove the difficulty unless tovs àde入фois were placed after 'I waxelp.
 mentioned, but Jehoiakim had three ( 1 Chr . iii. 15) : a further indication that 'Iexovias in this verse $=$ Jehoiakim.
ant गins $\mu$ eroukeras Bapunûpos. 'At the time of the migration or transportation to Babylon' ( 606 e.c.). For $E \pi l$ in this sense op. $\bar{E} \pi!$
 the preposition comes from the conception that one event rests on,
bat not wholly on；a person or other events．$\mu e \tau o c x e \sigma t a$ ，the LXX． word for the Babylonish exile，for which the classical $\mu$ erouta is also ased．For the genitive Baßu入âpos see Winer，p．234．Cp．French ＇chemin de Paris，＇road to Paris．
 of his own，＇write ye this man ohildeas＇（Jer．xxii．30）．Salathiel was the son of Neri（Luke），but heir to Jehoiachin．
 Abiud－the Hodaiah of 1 Chron，iii．24－being the grandson of Ze－ rabbabel．Rhesa，who is named as Zerubbabel＇s son（Luke iii．27）， is conjectured to be a title（Rhesa or Rosh＝a Prince）：in that case the text in Luke should run，＇which was the son of Rhesa Zoro－ babel．＇The Juda of Luke is the same as Abiud．
 of Heli＇（Luke），see last note；probably Jogeph was the son of Heli and the heir to Jacob．It is conjectured with much probability that Jacob was Mary＇s father．In that case，although both genealogies show Joseph＇s descent，they are in fact equally genealogies of Mary＇s family．

Matthan or Matthat （According to Matthew）Jacob

17．This division into three sets，each containing fourteen steps of descent，is an instance of a practice familiar to readers of Jewish antiquities．Lightfoot says，＇They do so very much delight in such kind of concents，that they oftentimes sorew up the strings beyond the due measure and stretch them till they orack．＇Such a system neces－ sitates the omission of steps in the descent：see notes vv． 8 and 13.

18－25．The Birth of Jeses Chriet．Luke i．26－－56 and ii．4－7．
St Mark and St John give no account of the birth of Jesus，St Lake narrates several particulars not recorded by St Matthew，（1）the an－ nunciation，（2）Mary＇s salutation of Elizabeth in a city of Juda（or Juttah），and（3）the journey from Galilee to Bethlehem．

18．＇Iๆซoū Xpเั่тoû．See v． 21.
Xplorovi．As a classical word $\chi$ plotis is very rare（ $\$$ ssch．Prom． Vinct． 480 and Eur．Hipp． 516 are among the few instances where it occurs）and thus belongs to a class of words that have passed into Christian use without any debasing pagan associations．In the LXX． it is frequent as a translation of the Hebrew hashiach（anointed）．To the Jew it wonld suggest the thought of（1）Prophet，$\mu \overline{)} \mathbf{a} \psi \eta \sigma \partial \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 proper name it was the Messiah，the Xplords j讠 讠oúpevos of Dan．in．25－ the only passage where the term Mashiach is applied directly to the
coming Deliverer. In the N. T. the Hebrew form is ased twice (John

 $\gamma^{6} \mu \mathrm{evos}$ रpiotbs. Note that one title-Messiah or Christ-has been adopted almost to the exclusion of others quite as common in the O.T., 'The Branoh,' 'He that cometh' ( $\dot{\delta}$ 'ex $\delta \mu \in \nu=5$, Hebr. Habba), 'The Prophet.' This is partly due to the great influence of Daniel's prophecy, partly to the appropriateness of the title to the Son of David.
$\mu \nu \eta$ oteuetions, 'betrothed.' Among the Jews the betrothal took place s year before marriage, and during the interval the betrothed maiden remained with her own family. Bat from the day of betrothal the pair were regarded as man and wife. For the genitive absolute $\mu_{\mu \eta \sigma \tau} . .$. Maplas instead of the nominative as subject to evipet $\theta \eta$ вee Winer, p. 260.

Mapfas. The Hebrew form is Miriam.
19. $\delta$ fkatos $\mathbf{J v}^{\prime}$, 'since he was a just man,' i.e. one who observed the law, and, therefore, feeling boand to divorce Mary. But two courses were open to him. He could either summon her before the law-courts to be judicially condemned and punished, or he could put her away by a bill of divorcement before witnesses, but without assigning cause,
 which Joseph resolved to adopt. The tradition of medievval art that Joseph was an old man at this time rests on no scriptural evidence, but the fact that he disappears from the Gospel history after Luke ii. 51, and the inference that he died before our Lord's ministry began are adduced in support of that view.
kal $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ өiतav. kal appears to have a restrictive force and to be equivalent to ralto. See Jeif, 759. 3, and Campbell's Soph. Introd.

 1. 53. In all these passages, however, it is better to see the restrictive or adversative force not in the connecting particie but in the contrasted clanses and to regard ral as simply conjunctive. See Winer, 545.
 $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is always the negative used with participles. Perhaps the origin of the wage may be traced to the fact that the participle generally explains the motive or condition of an action and so would require $\mu$ ) rather than ov. Then from the tendenoy to grammatical uniformity the usage became universal. In the N. T. there is a close approach in this respect to the rule of modern Greek.

Beryparlotu, 'to display,' 'exhibit,' here 'to expose in open court,'
 received text-is used by Polybias of punishing the guilty for an example to others, in. 60. 7, xv. 32. 6, et alibi, see Schweighäuser sab voc. The aimple verb which does not appear to be classical is found in the sense of 'displaying' as in a triumph in Col. ii. 15, rds \& $\ddagger$ ovalas
 dern Greek version pa eearplop conveys the idea of exposure simply.
20. USov́. Used like the Hebr. kinneh as a particle of transition. See note ah, ii. 7.

- kar' ${ }^{2}$ vap for alassionl brap.
rapainaty, the teohnical word for receiving a bride from her
 EEcon.).

81. ка入ioses тd bvoua aưtov̂'Iqooùv. Jesus represente the Greek form, while Joshua represents the Hebrew form of the same name. The same Hebrew root occurs in the salutation Hosanna: see note, oh. xxi. 9. Joshua who led the Iaraelites into the Promised Land, and Joshua or Jeshua, who was high priest at the time of the return from the Babylonish Captivity, are iypes of Jesus Christ in respect both of work and name.
autos, with some emphasis, he will not only preach $\sigma \omega \pi \eta \rho l a$, but will himself confer it.
 ment of a spiritual Eingdom. Contrary to the thought of many Jews the salvation which Jesus brought was not to be a saving from the Roman or Herodian rule, but a life protected from sin.
82. Bגov. For the Hellenistio use of $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{\lambda}_{\mathrm{os}}$ in preference to $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{r} \mathrm{cp}$. French 'tout' from cotus, adopted rather than any word derived from omnis. Possibly the similarity to Hebr. col (all) may have influenced the Hellenistio writers in their choice.

Yfyowy, 'has come to pass.' The Evangelist speake as a contemporary. The tense is a note of the early date of this gospel.

Eva minpoefi. By this formula the Evangelist reoognises in the event described a fulfilment of a type or prophecy. It mattera little whether we regard tva as (1) final, "in order that,' or (2) by a late use consecutive, 'so that,' in other words (1) as marking the oonscious intention of the prophet or of God speaking through the prophet, or (2) a reflection of the Evangelist viewing the historisal fact in connection with the propheoy-and finding in the propheoy an analogy, if not a definite prediction. For in regard to divine action the intention and result are identical, that is, we cannot conceive of any reault being uxintentional with God. It has been diaputed whether zua is ever used in a conseoutive eense. Meyer and Alford deny this tre (see his note 1 Thess. v. 4), and Winer with perhaps one exception, Rev. xiii. 13. On the other side see Bp Elicott on Eph. i. 17 and Bp Lightfoct on Gal, v. 17, and comp. I Thess. v. 4. In these and other passages tua undoubtedly marks the result as distinct from conseious purpose. In confirmation of this view take into aocount (1) The Jowish mode of thought, according to whioh all results aro regarded as purposed by God. The absence of $\tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \chi$ from the N. T. vocabulary is striking evidence of this. (2) The influence of Latin, in which the same particle ut is used to express aim and result. (3)

The analogy of the genitive of the infinitive (e.g. rod nuarevery) insensibly passing from an idea of aim to that of result. (4) The usage of modern Greek, towards whioh Hellenistic Greek is astep, whigh finds d (lua) too weak to express the idea of purpose and strengthens that
 general tendency of language in a later stage, especially on its popular side, to make special words serve a manifold use.

The use of tya is further extended in Hellenistic Greek
(1) to oblique petition after words of entreaty, command, \&o. in-

(2) to substantival clauses, where övc or ws with the indicative would be the regular classical construction; cp. John xvii. 3, aüt $\eta$ de

 (Schweighäuser).

Comp. the indices of Schweighäuser to Epictetus and of Wyttenbaah to Plutarch, where examples are given of lva consecutive.

## frob. .. 8 no . See note ch. ii. 5.

 so also the Hebrew, which differs from this quotation only in having the singalar 'she shall call.' The citation agrees with the LXX.
 tween кad $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon s$ and кa入є $\sigma o v \sigma v$. See Is. vii. 14.

The historical crisis was this, Ahaz is alarmed by the threatened invasion of Pekah and Rezin-the confederate kings of Samaria and Damascus. Isaiah reassures Ahaz, who hypocritically refuses to ask for a sign. Yet a sign is given. She, who is now unmarried, shall bear a son, probably a scion of the royal house of David; he shall be called Emmanual, and before he arrives at years of discretion the deliverance shall come, though a heavier distress is at hand.

The propheey is distinctly Messianic, but the sign in Isaiah is not concerned with the manner of the child's birth, bat with the name, and the deliverance which should happen in his infancy. Therefore, the weight of the reference is to the name 'Emmanuel" and to the true Son of David, whose birth was the sign of His people's deliverance.

 vi. 26. 6. The explanation would not of course appear in the original Aramaic gospel.
25. هúk L'yivaorkev k. т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. This expression cannot be considered as in any way decisive of the question, whether the Virgin Mary had or had not children besides our blessed Lord.

CHAPTER II.
9. toridin for $\boldsymbol{z}_{\theta \tau \eta}$ (NBOD). The passive implies agency, here divine agenoy: see ch. xxvii. 11.
11. elfov for ejpop, with all the leading MSS. and veraions. cuipov influenced by v. 8.
16. кevplov for rô̂ кuplou, See ch. i. 22.
17. 8nd for into, the reading of all the more ancient authorities. The prophet is regarded as the instrument, not the agent.
18. Opinvos kal omitted before rגavoleds with KB against many later authorities. The omission brings the quotation into closer verbal agreement with the Hebrew; but the words are found in the LXX., and were probably meant to express the Hebrew intensive word by an addition.
23. Nafapte. The MSS. vary wherever this name occurs between Najapet, Najapet, Najapde and Najapd, so that the orthography cannot be determined.

## 1-12. The Visit of the Magr. Recorded by St Matthew only.

1. roû $\delta \hat{E}$ 'Ingoû yervךterros. The year 3 before the Christian era has been fixed almost beyond a doubt as the date of the Nativity.: The present year-1881-is therefore correctly A. D. 1884. The deta on whieh the computation is founded are: (1) The first rale of Quirinus (Luke ii. 2), which should probably be placed between the years b. c. 4 and A.D. 1 of the common era. Josephus mentions Quirinus as Governor in A.d. 6-nine or ten years after the true date of the nativity. The conjectare of a previous first governorship of Quirinus was made and ably supported by A. W. Zumpt. His conolusions are generally accepted. (2) The accession of Tiberias s. D. 14; thus the fifteenth year of Tiberius, in which Jesus was baptized (Luke iii. 1, 2) ended Ang. 19, A.d. 29. (3) The Paschal full moon; which fell on a F'riday, 15th Nisan in A.d. 30 and also in A.d. 33. On one of these two dates the Crucifixion must have taken place. If the second be adopted as agreeing best with the other chronological notes in the gospels, Jesus was crucified on April 3 [0.s.], A.D. 33, when he may have been between 34 and 35 years of age. (4) The reign of Herod; which began in b. c. 36 and ended in b.c. 1. The last-named date has been accurately determined in a paper read before the Society of Biblical Archmology (Jane, 1871) by Mr J. W. Bosanquet, -which see for a learned discussion of the whole question.
ty Byonefp. St Matthew omits the eiroumstances which brought Mary to Bethlehem.

Bn日最eft ('The House of Bread,' cp. John vi. 51), the city of David, situate on a limestone ridge a few miles $S$. of Jerusslem. The old name of Bethlehem was Ephrath or Ephratah; it is now called Beit-lahm. It is worthy of remark that no visit of Jesus or of his disciples to Bethlehem, his birthplace and the cradle of his race, is recorded.
'Hpá́Sov тои̂ ßacrifés. Called afterwards, but not in his lifetime, Herod the Great; he was an Idumman (Edomite) who, chiefly through the friendship of M. Antony, became king of Judrea. For
date of reign see above. The title of $\beta$ acidels distinguishes him from the other Herods named in the gospels. Antipas, who tried in vain to obtain the title, is called King by courtesy, Mark vi. 14.

Herod was not an absolute monarch, but subject to the Roman empire, much in the same way as some of the Indian princes are subject to the British government, or as Servia was till recently subject to the Porte.

LSov". See note ch. i. 20.
máyo, originally the name of a Median tribe, who, according to Herodotus, possessed the power of interpreting dreams. Their religion consisted in the worship of the heavenly bodies and of the elements. At this date the name implied a religious caste-the followers of Zoroaster, who were the astrologers of the East. Their tenets had spread widely; and as the East is a vague term, it is difficult to determine from what country these Magi came. A theory, stated below, connects them with Egypt, or at least with an Egyptian system of chronology. The common belief that the Magi were three in number is a mene tradition, which has been perpetuated by great painters. It was probably an inference from $v$. 11. Every reader of the Classics knows how common a failing it is with ancient annotators to state deductions from the text as proved facts. An equally groundless tradition has designated the Magi as kings, and has assigned names to them. The first part of this tradition is probably due to the words of Ps. 1xviii. 29, lxxii. 11; Is. xlix. 23 and ,other passages. The special names Caspar, Balthasar, and Melchior are supposed to indicate the three countries of Babylon, Assyria, and Egypt.

גпd ávacodêv, plaral, as always in later Greek (Polyb. and Plat.) in the gense of 'the East,' i.e. the quarter in which tho san rises, cp. al $\delta v a \mu a l$, al afkтor (Schweighäuser). Here for 'the Eastern lands,' cp. Anglo-French ' the levant,' This use is later, the classical meaning is 'the rising,' of the sun, moon, or stars, see note on next verse. By another later use drato $\lambda \dot{\eta}=$ 'a branch' or 'shoot,' hence 'The Branch' as a Messianic title.
2. tex $\theta$ els. This form is rarely if ever found in olassical Attic;
 where this tense-form occurs in N.T.
í rexels $\beta$ agrikés. One who was born king-whose title was he-reditary-would bring special fear to Herod.
 Israel and applied to no one except the Messiah. It reappears in the inscription over the Cross (ch. xxvii. 37).

In estimating the Jewish conception of the 'kingdom of heaven' and of the Messiah who is the central figure of that thought, account should be taken of the awe with which the Oriental regarded the person of a king, who was far more highly exalted above his subjects than Western ideas admit (cp. Rawlinson's Herod. vi. 13). The

Barcheos in this sense is to be distinguished from the petty prince or regulus who, like Herod, essumed the imperial title of $\beta a \sigma$ inefs.
 саме.
abeven ted eiostpa. The simplest explanation of this is that a star or meteor appeared in the sky to guide the Magi on their way first to Jerusalem, then to Bethlehem. It is, however, quite.possible that the Magi were divinely led to connect some calculated phenomenon with the birth of the 'King of the Jews." Among many conjectures may be naentioned one recently propounded by Prof. Lauth of Munich. It anpears to be proved that the dog-star Sirius rose heliacally, i.e. appeared at sunrise, on the first of the Egyptian month Mesori, for four years in succession, viz. 5, 4, 3, 2 before our erg. The rising of this star of special briliauce on the first of this special month (Mesori = birth of the prince) would have a marked significanee. By the Magi it might well be connected with the prophecy of "the atar of Jaoob' (Numb. xxiv. 17), and become the cause of their journey to Jerusalem. This theory explains Herod's edict, $v$. 16, for the destruction of all male children 'from two years old and under,' for, gs according to the date assigned to the Nativity of Christ, the arrival of the Magi at Jerusalem would coincide with the year 3 before the Christian era, the star had appeared for two years.

The theory, supported by Alford, which identifles this 'star' with a conjunction of Jupiter and Saturn, forces the meaning of the word 'star,' is inconsistent with the latest chronological results, and is shown to be scientifically impossible by Prof. Pritchard in Dict. of the Bible, sub voc. 'Star of the Magi.'

The connection of the birth of the Messiah with the appearance of a star is illastrated by the name Barcochab ('Son of a Star'), assamed by a talse Messiah who appeared in the jear 120 A.D. It has also been noticed that in the Cartouche or Egyptian royal symbol of Vespasian (see note ch. ii. 6 ad fin.), the word 'God' is for the first time exprassed by a star. (Dr Leuth, Trans. Bib. Arch. Soc. Iv. 2.)
ìv tû drato $\lambda \hat{\mathfrak{n}}$. Probably 'at its rising.' If the ordinary interpretastion 'in the East' be adopted, it would be an unasual, perhaps an unezampled, instance of the singular in this sense. The suggested rendering suits the technical language of the astrologers.

троorkvingal. A favourite word with St Matthew as with St John. Its occurrence thus early in the Gospel strikes the note of the Gospel of the Great King. tpoorkubiv is used of the servile prostration before an Oriental monarch. Cp. Herod. vir. 13, where a striking

 This connection gives point to the word as used ch. xx. 20, where see note.
3. Evapax $\theta_{n}$. Herod, with the instincts of a tyrant, would be alarmed for his throne. His subjects ( $\pi$ ẫa 'Iєpofó $\lambda \nu \mu a$ ) had learnt
 not aympathime in his alarm．
mâcre＇Ipporólupa．The feminine form whioh ocears here and possibly ch．iii． 5 ，is remarkable．Blsewhere＇Iepoob $\lambda_{\nu \mu a}$ is a neuter plaral．St Matthew uses this form in preference to＇Iepouga入’í， except in one passage，ch．xxiii．37，where see note．St Luke，both in his Gospel and in the Acts and St Paul，each with ferv exceptions； adopt the Hebraic form in－$\gamma \mu$ ．St John has the Greek termination only in his Gospel，the Hebrew only in the Apocalypse．

For a similar variety of gender in the name of a town，op．Verg．色n．vii． 682 altum Prmneste，with ARn．viil． 511 Præneste sub


 a meeting of the Sanhedrin．But from the omission of tovs mpsiofyrt－ pous，who are generally included in the designation of the Sanhedrin it is contended by some that this was an irregular meeting of the chief priests and learned men．With this view it is difficult to explain тdiptas．

For an account of the Sanhedrin see note ch．xixvi．3，for $\gamma \rho a, \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{1}$ see notes on ch．vii．29，and for d $\rho$ x＇efeis，note ch．xxi． 15.
rou a X Xpiotos $\gamma$ evratan．Lit．＇where the Christ or Messiah is born．＇ Where do yonr sacred writings represent him to be born？For this


5．By＠入cep rips＇Iowóalas．To distinguish this Bethlehem from the Bethlehem in the tribe of Zebulun（Josh．xix．15）．

Y＇ypantral，well expressed by Luther＇s translation，stehet geschrie－ ben．The tense marks the continued validity of a law or a pro－
 drepor refidívo．Dem．Phil．3． 44.

Šà toû mpodritov，＇by means of，＇＂through＇－the prophet is re－ garded as the instrument．In v． 17 and iii．3，some MSS．have the preposition signifying personal agency（ $0 \pi 6$ ），instead of the instru－ mental preposition（ $\delta$ d $\alpha$ ）；but the asual formula is as in $v .15$ ，

 in passages cited by St Matthew alone）nearly corresponds with the Hebrew text，the literal translation of which is：＂But thon Bethlehem Ephratah，though thou be little to be among the thousands of Judah， yet out of thee shall come forth unto me he that is to be raler in Israel．＇

A note of interrogation in the Hebrew would entirely reconcile the quotation with the original passage．Others have conjectured the loss of a negative in the Hebrew text，which seems to have been cited by some of the fathers with the negative．See Bp Jebb，Sacr．Lit． p． 99.

The LXX．differs widely both in words and construction－an indi－
cation of a Hebrew original of this gospel; for the Greek translation of the prophecy is evidently independent of the LXX. It stands thus

 'I $\sigma \rho a \eta$. Note here the greater excellence of the Gospel version and
 found in the Hebrew originul or in the LXX. $\delta \lambda$ cyoot $\begin{gathered}\text { s appears to }\end{gathered}$
 sical meaning 'one of few,' i.e. 'among the mightiest,' 'considersble' (see Campbell's note on Soph. Ant. 625 and cp. wo $\lambda \lambda o \sigma \tau$ ás) would bring the LXX. more nearly in accord with St Matthew's citstion. The substitution of $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu b \sigma \iota \nu$ for the technical word $\chi \chi^{\prime \lambda \mu d}$ ouv may mark the form in which the message was actually conveyed to Herod, or it may be an adaptation for the sake of clearness. ipoúmepos, modern Greek, in this sense, see Geldart, Mod. Greek, p. 103.

A reflection of this prophecy became prevalent in the East. Accordingly the Roman historians designate the Emperor Vespasian as the Eastern Prince who was destined to rule the world: ' Percrebuerat Oriente toto vetus et constans opinio esse in fatis ut eo tempore Judæa profecti rerum potirentur. Id de Imperatore Romano quantum postea eventu paruit prædictum Judai ad se trahentes rebellarunt.' Suet. Vesp. iv. Similarly Tac. Hist. v. 13. Comp. Joseph. B. J. vi. 5. 4. See above, v. 2.
7. т́те, a favourite word of transition with St Matthew. It occurs more frequently in this gospel alone than in all the rest of the N.T. The modes of transition in the several Evangelists are interesting as notes of style. Thus $\boldsymbol{r} b \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon$ is characteristio of St Matthew,
 equally common in Luke and Matthew.

भ$\times \rho / \beta \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$, 'sccurately ascertained,' ased of scientific exactness,
 Herod's enquiry appears in $v .16$.
ròv xpovov roû фaıv. dot. Literally, 'the time of the star which was appearing,' i.e. when it first appeared and how long it would continue. The $\chi$ pbyos was astrologically important.
 to have been guided by the star; they go to Bethlehem in accordance with Herod's directions, which were based on the report of the Sanhedrin; as they went the star again appeared in the East.



10. Exáp $\quad$ rav xapdr к.т. $\lambda$. The cognate noun becomes far more frequent in Hellenistic Greek under the influence of Hebrew expression. Observe the intensity of the joy expressed by the combination of cognate noun, adjective and adverb. To them it was a triumph at once of saience and religion.
11. Is tiv olclav. St Matthew gives no hint that 'the house' was an inn, or that the babe was lying in a manger. Perhaps here as in other places we are misled by the idens suggested by great pictures; and in truth the visit of the Magi should be placed at least some days after the events recorded in Luke ii. 1-38.
roiss OTvaupoús. 'Caakets' or 'chests' in which treasures were placed. Such offerings to kings were quite in accordance with Eastern asage: Reges Parthos non potest quisquam salutare sine manere. Sen. Ep. xyin. Cp. Pe, Ixviii, 29, lxxii. 10.
$\lambda$ (ßavov kal $\sigma \mu u^{\prime} p$ vav. Frankincense and myrrh were products of Arabia, and, according to Herodotus, of that country only. They were both used for medicinal parposes and for embalming; op. John xix. 39.
 xpmuatifelv. (1) 'To transact business,' 'to deal or act or confer' with any one. (2) Of divine dealings with men, 'to answer,' 'warn' or 'command,'-a late use frequent in Diod. Sio., Platarch and
 Hence o $\chi$ р $\eta \mu a \tau$ to $\mu$ bs (Rom. xi. 4), 'the divine word,' "the oracle.' With Diod. Sic. who retains the classical use of $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu b s, \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \sigma \sigma \mu{ }^{\prime}={ }^{\prime} \mathbf{a}$ judicial decree.' (3) From the notion of transacting business under a particular name x $\rho \eta \mu a \tau l$ fer has the meaning of 'to assume a title,' 'to


 $\mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \mathrm{~s}$ means 'a name.' (4) In modern Greek रрпиатi乡en is used for the substantive verb to be."

кат' örvap. See ch. i. 20.

## 13-15. The Flight into Eaypt.

13. toे ration. Named first as the most precious charge and the most exposed to danger.
cls Alyvitov. Egypt was at all times the readiest place of refuge for the Israelites, whether from famine or from political oppression. It had sheltered many thousands of Jews from the tyranny of the Syrian kings. Consequently large settlements of Jews were to be found in various cities of Egypt and Africa. In Alexandria the Jews numbered a fifth of the population. Wherever therefore the infant Saviour's home was in Egypt, it would be in the midst of his brethren according to the flesh.

At this time Egypt was a Roman province. This incident of Christ's stay in Egypt would be regarded as a precions memory by the African Church-the church of Cyprian, Origen and Augastine.
rov̂ imontrac, 'in order to slay it.' A classical idiom which became frequent in the N.T. expecially with St Paul and St Luke; it is still more frequent in the LXX.

 instanoes are bent refarred to the use of the partitive genitive with varbs aignifying alm or striving for, or to the genitive of aause denoting that from which the antion springs. Comp. the fingl ase of the genitive of the gerund and gerandive in Latin.
(2) Result-a usage closely connected with the last, as the ideas of parpose and resalt are nearly related, particularly according to the Hebraic modes of thought. (See note ch. 1. 22 on $\mathbf{z a}$.) Op.


 ond that consisted or resolted in escape.' See also Gosarau's note on aram sepulchri, Verg. Fin. vi. 177.
(3) In many cases rov with the infinitive is regularly used after words

(4) In some passages it appears (a) as the object of verbs where the accusative would be required in Olassical Greek, as of y ${ }^{2} \rho$ Etpura roû

 expressions may indeed be explained as extensions of recognised genitival uses, bat it is better to regard them as illustrating the gradual forgetfuiness in language of the origin of idioms. In illustration of this, comp. the use in French of the infinitive with de either as subject or as object; e.g. il est triste de vous voir,-on oraint d'y aller; the adoption of the (Latin) secusative in the same language as the sole representative of the Latin cases; and the extension of tya ( v ) with the subjunctive in modern Greek to the various uses of the infinitive.

Hebrew scholars also note the widely-extended ase of ? as influencing this formula. See Winer 407-412. Jelf 492. 678. 3 b . Arnold's Thac. viri. 14.
14. draxapeiv (1) 'to retire' from danger as herer and ohs. iv. 12, xii. 16, and elsewhere; (2) in the later Classies 'to retire from basiness or publio life;' (3) in Ecclesiastical writers 'to retire from the world,' 'become a hermit, or anchoret' (dyax $\omega \rho \eta r \eta$ is).

This word, which occurs much more frequently in this Gospel than elsewhere in N.T. seems to connect itself with two points in the traditional life of St Matthew. 1. His stay in Egypt-the cradle of the anchoret life. 2. His asceticism, to which the notion of 'retirement' is closely related.
15. tas Tifs Teोeusîs 'Hpwion. According to the chronolagy adopted above this would be for a space of less than two years.
Iva. $\pi \lambda$ пршef. See note on ch. i. 22.
 as typical of the Messiah's life. He alone gives significance to that history. He is the true seed of Abraham. In him the blessing promised to Abraham finds its highest fulfilment.. (See Lightfoot on

Gal. iii. 10.) Even particular incidents in the Gospel narrative have their counterpart in the O.T. history. Accordingly St Matthew, who naturally reverts to this thought more constantly than the other Evangelists, from the very nsture of his gospel, recognises in this incident an analogy to the call of Israel from Egypt.

The quotation is again from the original Hebrew of Hosea xi. 2, and again the LXX. differs considerably. It runs $\mathbb{E}^{\text {a }}$ Alyúntou $\mu$ ere-

 $\mu \mathrm{ou}$ would be a closer rendering of the Hebrew than rov $\lambda a b y$ mov.
16. aveilev, 'slew.' The verb occurs here only in Matthew. It is frequent in the Acts, occurriug rarely elsewhere. Ont of a great variety of classical meanings the Hellenistic usage nearly confines the word to its force here. The two instances of a different meaning in N.T. are Acts vii. 21 and Hebr, x. 9.
rdivtas tovis $\pi$ aifos, 'all the male children.'
díto $\delta$ beroûs. Either (1) there is an ellipge of ana $\delta \delta 5$, or (2) more probably sucrous is neuter. If we adopt the hypothesis regarding the star mentioned above, a satisfactory explanation is given for Herod's directions, which otherwise it is difficult to explain. Even if the above theory is not the true one, the two years mentioned in the text are clearly connected with the astronomical appearances described by the Magi, in answer to Herod's 'diligent enquiries.'

Profine history passes over this atrocity in silenco. But Jomephus may well have found his pages unequal to contain a complete record of all the eruel deeds of a tyrant like Fierod. Mscaulay relates, that the massacre of Glencoe is not even alluded to in the pagen of Evelyn, a most diligent recorder of passing political events. Besides, the crime was executed with secreay, the number of children slain was probably very inconsiderable, for Bethlehem was but a small town; and though it wes poseibly orowded at the time (Lake ii. 7), the number of very young children would not have been considerably augmented by those strangers.

The whole scene must have been very different from that which is presented to us on the canvas of the great mediæval artists.
 Identical with the more usual ' that it might be fulfiled.'
18. Jer. xxxi, 15, in L.XX. xxxviii. 15. In a singularly touching passage, Rachel, the mother of the tribe of Benjamin (whose tomb was close to Bethlehem; Gen. Ixxy. 19), is conceived of as weeping for her captive sons at Ramah-some of whom were possibly doomed to die; cp. Jer. xl. 1.

The Evangelist pictures Rachel's grief re-awakened by the slaughter of the infants at Bethlehem.

The Hamah ailuded to by Jeremiah, generally identified with the modern Er-Rama, was about five miles N. of Jerusalem, and in the tribe of Benjamin. There is no proof of another Ramah near Bethlehem. The enalogy therefore must not be pressed.

As the text now stands emended St Matthew's citation agrees with the Hebrew (the repatition of 'for her children' in the last line in the Hebrew text is donbtful), and preserves the beauty of the parallelism. In the quatrain eagh couplet is in cognate parallelism [see Introduction, p. xxxviii.]; the second line advancing on the first, and further there is a parallel relation between lines 1 and 3 and 2 and 4. In the LXX. this beanty is lost; the reading of the Vatican coder is:


 elaly 1.

Observe here the loss of the parallelism by the genitive cases, line 2. It is an interesting example of St Matthew's sense of poetical form, and of the greater excellence and beanty of his version as compared with the LXX.

19-21. The Returi from Eaypt.
20. oi โŋroûvtes. Plural used sometimes where there is no need or no wish to individualise. Others however joined Herod in his design to slay the young child; but with the death of Herod the whole plot would fall to the ground.
22. 'Apxelaos. A son of Herod the Great. His mother was Malthaké, a Samaritan. After a cruel and disturbed reign (under the title of Ethnarch) of about eight years he was banished to Vienna in Gaul-the modern Vienne. His dominions, including Samaria, Judea, and Idumara, then passed into the direct government of Rome. See note, ch. xiv. 1, and Introduction, p. xxix.

Ekeî for $\begin{aligned} & \text { eveice, as in English there for thither : cp. Soph O.C.1019, }\end{aligned}$

 Antipas, full brother of Archelaus. For the extent of his dominions see Map.
23. cis mblı $\lambda_{\text {eyou }}(v \eta \nu \mathrm{Na}$ Napte. St Matthew gives no intimation of any previous residence of Mary and Joseph at Nazareth.

If the Son of David, full of wisdom and of grace, had continued to live on at Bethlehem, the home of his ancestors, hopes and schemes, and therefore dangers, might have gathered round him, rendering impossible such quiet life as he led at Nazareth.

Nafapto. Said to signify 'the Protectress' (Eebr. natsar), a small town of cantral Galilee, on the edge of the plain of Esdraelon, beautifully gituated on the side of a steep hill within a sheltered valley.
 as clear to the contemporaries of St Matthew, as the other references to prophecy vv. 15, 17; for us it is involved in doubt. First, it may be said Nazarene cannot=Nazarite : the word differs in form, and in до sense could Christ be called a Nazarite. Secondly, the quotation is probably not from a lost prophecy. One meaning of the word

Nazorceus is an inhabitant of Nazareth, but the word either (1) recalls the Hebrew word netser a Branch, a title by which the Messiah is designated Isai. zi. 1, or (2) conneots itself in thought with the Hebr. natsar, to save or protect (see above), and so has reference to the name and work of Jesus, or (3) is a synonym for 'contemptible' or 'lowly,' from the despised position of Nazareth. Of these (3) is perhapa the least probable explanation. The play upon words which (1) and (2) involve is quite characteristio of Hebrew phraseology. The sound of the original would be either (1) He whom the prophet called the 'Netser' dwells at 'Netser'-(for this form of Nazareth see Smith's Bib. Dict.), or (2) He who is called 'Notari' (my protector) dwells at ' Natsaret ' (the protectress).

In any oase the passage gains fresh interest from the fact that the early Christians were called Nazarenes in scorn. Cp. Acts xxiv. 5. For them it would be a point of triumph that their enemies thus unconsciously connected them with a prophetic title of their Master.

## CHAPTER III

3. Su for im d , see ch. ii. 17.

## 1-12. John Baptist preages in the Wilderness of Judfa. Mark i. 2-8; Luke iii. 1-18; John i. 15-34.

St Matthew alone names the coming of the Pharisees and Sadducees. St Mark's brief account contains no additional particulars. St Luke adds the special directions to the varions classes-peoplepublicans and soldiers. The fourth gospel reports more fully the Baptist's disclaimer of Messiahship-he recognises the Messiah by the descent of the Holy Spirit-he points him ont as the Lamb of God. Again (ch. iii. 25-36) John shows his awn disciples the true relation between Christ and himself-Christ is the Bridegroom, John is the friend of the Bridegroom.

1. Ev taif ifulpars Extlvals. See Luke iii. 1, where the time is defined.
'Iadivn's o $\beta$ antrovis. So named by the other Synoptists and by Josephus: in the foorth gospel he is called simply John, a note of the authenticity of St John's gospel. Josephus mentions the great influence of John and speaks of the crowds that flocked to hear him preach and to be baptized of him. He says John taught men dperìp



 xvin. v. 2. Compare this view of John's baptism by the Pharisee Josephis with John's own statement of the end of baptism-sls $\mu$ etavotav (v. 11).

## kppúroav. Heralding, a word appropriate to the thought of the proolamation of a King.

 Judah. The term also includes the oliffis and Western shore of the Dead Sean In this wild and nearly trealess distriat there were formerly a few cities, and there are still some luxuriant apots. See Tristram's Topog. of H. L. Ch. 1 v .

The wilderness has a threefold significance (a) as the desolats scene of John's ascetic life, $(\beta)$ as the battle-field of the Temptation (see notes ch. iv.), ( $\gamma$ ) as the pathway of the Royal Advent. In this last aspect John fitly appears in the wilderness as the herald of a promised deliverance foreshadowed by two great prophetic types-the deliverance from Egypt (Numb. xxiii. 21, 22; Ps. lxviii. 4-7), and the deliverance from Babylon, each associated with a maroh through the desert. Isaiah speaks of both (ch. xliji. 18, 19), 'Remember not the formar things, and the things of ancient times regard not' (the return from Egypt). 'Behold I make a new thing...yea, I will make in the wilderness a way' (the return from Babylon). See Bp Lowth on Is. $\mathbf{x l}$.
2. $\mu$ etavoeite. More than 'feel sorrow or regret for sin,' it is rather 'change the life, the heart, the motive for action.' It was a call to self-examination and reality of life.

I Fachilog sêr ovpayay. St Matthew alone uses this expression, but he also employs the equivalent phrase, $\dot{\eta}$ ßarodela rov̂ $\theta \in \hat{v}$, in common with the other N.T. writers. In itself the expression was not new. It connected itself in Jewish thought with the thaocracythe direct rule of God -of whioh the earthly Kingdom was a shadow. It implied the reign of the Messiah (cp. Dan. vii, 14). It beoame the watchword of the zealots 'no king but God.' Jemus took up the word and gave it a new deep and varied spiritual significance, whieh is rather illustrated than defined.

The prineipal meaninge of the Kingdom of Heaven in N.T. are (1) The presence of Christ on earth. (2) His Second Advent. (3) His influence in the heart. (4) Christianity, (a) es a Churoh, (b) as a faith. (B) The life eternal.
3. Stá. See note on ch. ii. 5.

Bud 'Horatov rout tpodrifov. The reference in Is. 11.3 is to the promised return from Babylon. A herald shall proclaim the jopous news on monntains and in the desert through which the return should be. This incident in the national history is transferred to the more glorious deliverance from bondage and to the coming of the true King.

With the exception of autoo for roî $\theta e o \hat{V} \eta \mu \hat{\omega}$ the quotation follows the LXX., es, with few exceptions, in passages cited by all the Synoptists. By Lowth's version of the Hebrew is: 'A voise orieth in the wilderness, Prepare ye the way of Jehovah, make atraight in the deeert a high way for out God,' where the parailelism is more perfect than in the Greek versions.
$\phi \omega v \mathrm{n}_{\text {. The }}$ message is more than the messenger, the prophet's personality is lost in the prophetic voice.
 thought, a Semiramis or a Xerses orders the mountains to be levelled or out through, and causeways to be raised in the valleys. Cp. Diod.


 of camel's hair, ' one of the most admirable materials for clothing, it keeps out the heat, cold and rain." Recovery of Jerusalem, p. 445.
ikglics kal $\mu \lambda_{1}$ äypay Thomson, Land and Book, pp. 419, 420, states that though tolerated, as an article of food, only by the very poorest people, locusts are still eaten by the Bedawin. Burckhardt mentions having seen locnst shops at Medina and Tayf. After being dried in the sun the locuste are eaten with butter and honey. Sometimes they are sprinkled with salt and either boiled or roasted. Thomson adds that wild honey is still gathered from trees in the wilderness and from rocks in the Wadies.

Diod. Sic., speaking of the Nabatmans, an Arabian tribe living near
 aypıov $\dot{\Psi} \chi p \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a 1 ~ \pi o r \hat{\varphi} \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ víaros. The clothing and dress of John were in fact those of the poorest of his fellow countrymen. The description would recall-is probably intended to recall-that of Elijah, 2 Kings i. 8.
6. 'ßamtcyoveo were 'immersed;' (the tense marks the successive instances). $\beta a \pi \tau l j \omega$, a strengthened form of $\beta a \pi \tau \omega$, like some other leading Christian words (e.g. Xptatbs, diydirt, $\mu \in \tau d \nu o l a)$, is rare in the Classics; it is used in different figurative senses by Plato, e.g. of a boy 'drowned with questions,' Euthyd. 277 D ; in Polyb. literally of ships sinking, in Diod. Sic. both literally and metaphorically: $\delta$
 lotótas, r . 85 . Note the revival of the literal meaning in the later stage of the language.

In baptizing John introduced no new custom, for ceremonial ablution or baptism was practised in all ancient religions. Cp. Soph. Aj.

 Among the Jews proselytes were baptized on udmission to the Mosaic covenant. John's baptism was the outward sign of the purification and 'life-giving change,' and contained the promise of forgiveness of sins. Christ too adopted the ancient custom and enriched it with a new significance, and a still mightier efficacy. From the history of the word it is elear that the primitive idea of baptism was immersion. This was for long the only recognised usage in the Christian Church, and much of the figurative force was lost when sprinkling was substituted for immersion. The convert who entered the clear rushing stream, soiled, weary, and scorched by the hot Eastern sun, and then after being hidden from the sight for a few moments
'buried in baptism' reappeared, fresh, vigorous, and cleansed, having put off 'the filth of the flesh,' meemed indeed to have risen to a new and parified life in Ohrist. tr т $\hat{\varphi}$ 'Iop $\delta$ ávy घoтauq̂. Two points on the Jordan are named in John. See note on v. 13.
 (1) of confession as here, and Mark i. 5; Acts xix. 18; or (2) of thanks and praise as in oh. xi. 25; Luke x. 21; Rom. x7. 9.
T. Iaporalov. The name signifies 'Separatists)' the party dates from the revival of the National life, and observances of the Mosaic Law under the Maccabees. Their ruling principle was a literal obedience to the written law and to an unwritten tradition. Originally they were leaders of a genaine reform. But in the hands of less spiritual successors their system had become little else than a formal observance of carefully prescribed rules. 'The real virtues of one age become the spurious ones of the next.' Prof. Mozley, Sermon on Pharisees. The 'hypocrisy' of the Pharisees, which stifled conscience and made them 'ineapable of repentance,' is the special sin of the day rebuked more than any other by the Saviour.

Politically they were the popular party, supporters of an isolating policy, who would make no terms with Rome or any other foreign power. The Zealots may be regarded as the extreme section of the Pharisees.

The Sadducees were the aristocratio and priestly party, they acquieseed in foreign rule, and foreign oivilisation. They refused to give the same weight as the Pharisees to unwritten tradition, but adhered strictly to the written law of Moses. Their religious areed excluded belief in a future life, or in angels and spirits (Acts rxiii. 8). The name is probably derived from Zadok the priest in David's time. Others with less probability conneat it with Zadok, a disciple of Antigonus of Socho, who lived in the second century e.c. The derivation from tsaddik (righteous) is untenable.
yevvfןata, 'offspring,' ' brood,' of vipers.
 but lit. the pernicious and dangerous beast that 'strangles;' from the eame root as anguis, 'ango ' (Ourtius, Etym.). The word suggests the harmfal teaching of the Pharisees that 'strangled' truth.

 dpyn, or 'wrath' is the human conception by which the divine attitude towards sin is 'expressed;' hence, the divine judgment upon sin. Cp.

 roorry, of the divine judgment in relation to the fall of Jerasalem. of $\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta}$ belonge rather to the O. T. than to the New. It does not occur again in this gospel, and is very rare in the others. But St Parul frequently introduces the conception of $\delta \rho \gamma \bar{\eta}$ in illustration of $\delta s c a u o \sigma u ́ r \eta$, cp. Rom.
 $\theta \in 0$ к. $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. $\lambda$.

For this judicial sense of ópỳ̀ in Classical Greek op. тd rplrov vibup


 implies agreeing with God's view of sin and therefore 'repentance' or change of heart.
8. trotrfart. Aorist imperative, denoting complete and immemediate action. See Donaldson Gk. Gran. 427 (a).

Merdivola. Rare in classical writers, joined by Thuc. with dwa o-

 aioxuy $\eta$. The meaning deepens with Christianity. It is not adequately translated by 'repentance.' The marginal reading of A.V. 'amendment of life' is better. It implies that revolution in the religious life whioh Christianity effected and still effects. It is the starting point

 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu<\alpha$ 'pøenitentia,' Beza'e rendering, resipiscentia, raised a stormy controversy. Neither word entirely covers $\mu$ eràvota, which implies boith sorrow for the past and ohange of heart.


 one who was circumcised should enter Gehenna.

Ik tôy $\lambda$ ceoov. Stones are regarded as the most insensate, the farthest removed from life of created things. May there not be a play on the words banim (children) abanim (stones)?
10. $\mu \mathrm{j}$ тоюvิv, 'if it bring not forth.'
. Ekromretal, 'is being cut down,' the work has already begun.

 $\mu \varepsilon \tau i t \in$, Hdt. vi. 37. $E x$ denotes completion of act.
kcopmodv kalov. The Oriental values trees only as productive of fruit, all others are out down as cumberers of the ground. He lays his axe literally at the root. Land and Book, p. 341.
11. Iv ưark. Either (1) 'in water,' the surrounding element is water ; or better (2) 'with water,' ${ }^{2}$, being used of the instrument as fre-
 Ezv rivt aüd dprúrete; Mark ix. 50 . And occasionally in the classical period, as ér $\tau \delta \mu q \sigma_{\text {cotdpou, Soph. Tr. 887, " by cutting with steel,' and }}$ ер кєртод Soph, on the last passage. The best supported reading ijoarl in the parallel passage, Mark i. 8, is in favour of the instrumental sense here, but the other would not be excluded from the mind of a Greek reader.
de, 'with a view to.' els with a noun $=$ a final sentence. In order that we may live the changed life.
 bas pueri). John, great prophet as he was, " with influence sufficient to make even Herod tremble for his throne, is anworthy to be the meaneat slave of the Stronger One-the Son of God.

This figare gives to aujrds its proper force, the 'Master,' in contrast with the slave.
iv merupart diyle. It must be remembered that the matured Christian conception of the Holy Ghost would not be present to the mind of John. Some of his disciples at Ephesus said to St Paul, 'We have not so mach as heard whether there be any Holy Ghost,' Acts xix. 2.
$\pi v \in \hat{0} \mu a$ is the Greek representative of Hebr. ruach which meant 'breath' or 'wind.' This then was the earthly likeness or parable by which the thought of the Holy Spirit was brought home to men. In the O.T. тvє $\hat{\mu} \mu a$ signifies, (1) Breath (2) Wind (3) Spirit or soul一the invisible and immortal part of a man conceived as breathed into him by God, called $\pi y o j \eta \mu \omega \hat{\eta} s, G e n$. iv. 7. (4) The faculty of thought and volition; this is either (a) evil or ( $\beta$ ) good, cp. кal
 mapd Kuplov. (5) The highest spiritual intelligence; the faculty of insight. (6) The divine Personal Spirit. Of these meanings classical Greek hardly includes more than (1) and (2), but cp. Soph. © $d$. Col. 612, where $\pi v \epsilon \hat{1} \mu a=$ 'feeling,' and the beautiful cognate expres-
 the sense of 'wind" has nearly passed away, except in immediate connection with the figurative application, as John iii. 8, toे $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$ btov $\theta \in \lambda \in \iota \pi v \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$, к. $\tau . \lambda$., but the thought of the wind is never quite lost sight of in the derived meaning, and the verbs used in connection with the varions senses of $\pi \nu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\nu} \mu$ a often recall the original sense of the word; nor could any natural phenomenon more strikingly illustrate the manifestations of the Holy Spirit than the viewless, searching, all-penetrating force of wind, or than the breath of man, which is the essence of life and of speech. In a sense the Holy Spirit not only gives but is the highest life of the soul, and the divine prophetic breath. (Acts iv. 25.)

It may be further noted that as ruach, the Hebr. equivalent for $\pi \nu \in i \mu a$, was the only generic term for 'wind,' the figurative or parabolic sense would be more vividly present to the Jew than to the Greek, whose language possesses other words for 'wind,' e.g. äpepos is often ased in the LXX. to translate ruach in this sense.

In the Latin 'spiritus' the thought of 'breathing' would be retained throughout the derived sanses, but not that of 'wind.' In English the thought of the Spirit of God and the thought of the movement of air or of breath are kept separate as far as language goes. It is therefore needful to recall the original image. For the literal meaning of a word is often a parable through which the knowledge of the unseen is approached.
muph. This metaphor implies: (1) Parification, (2) Fiery zeal or enthasiasm, (3) Enlightenment; all which are gifts of the Holy

Spirit. In the ancient hymn by Robert II. of France the third point is brought out:

> " Et emitte calitus Lueis tue radiam $*$ Veni lumen cordium."
 instrument by which the corn after being threshed was thrown up against the wind to clear it of chaff. Cp. Il. xIII. 588-90.



aủrov̂...aü่ov̂...au่ what are Christ's-the hand, the floor, and the corn are His, but the chaff is not His. Cp. a similar prominence given to the sense of possession, Luke xii. 18, 19.
alawa. (From a root signifying 'whirl,' \& .) 'A threshing-floor,' a broad flat place, usually on a rocky hill-top exposed to the breeze, or in a wind-swept valley. uncura is here put for the contents of the threshing-floor, the mingled grain and chaff. Observe how the thought
 use being made of the metaphor. It is the divine wind-the Spirit of God that clears the grain ('Thou shalt fan them and the wind shall carry them away.' Isai. xli. 16); and the divine fire that barns the chaff.
The separation by Christ's winnowing fan is sometimes a separation between individuals, sometimes a separation between the good and evil in the heart of a man or in a society or nation.
dxupor. Cp. Aristoph. Ach. 471, 472.


The 'metics' are the worthless 'residuum' of the citizens.
St Matthew represents the picturesque side of John's preaching. These verses are full of imagery, the fipers, the stones, the trees, the slave, the threshing-floor, are all used to illustrate his discourse. St Luke throws into prominence the great teacher's keen diserimination of character. St John has recorded a fragment of the Baptist's deeper teaching as to the nature and miasion of the Son of God,

13-17. Jesus combs to be baptized of Joinn. Mark i. 9-11;
Luke iii. 21, 22; John i. 32-34.
St Luke adds two partieulars: that the Holy Spirit descended on Jesus (1) "in a bodily shape," and (2) "while He was praying."

In the fourth Gospel, where John Baptist's own words are quoted, the act of baptism is not named; a touch of the Baptist's characteristic hamility.

iii. 28), day's journey from Nazareth, 'alose to the passage of the Jordan near Succoth and far away from that near Jerioho.' Sinai and Palestine, p. 311. Cp. also John i. 28, where the correct reading .
 Tljuv. Lt. Conder (Tent Work in Palestine, II. 67) states that 'Bathania was the well-known form used in the time of Christ of the old name Bashan.' He adds that the name Absirah is given by the rativea to one of the main fords 'where the Jalad rivar, flowing down the Valley of Jeereel, and by Beisân (Bethshean) debouches into the Jordan.' This accounts for the reading 'Bethabara,' and probably fixes the site.
roû ßamrrofîval. For construction see дote, ch. ii. 13. Jesus who is the pattern of the New life submits to the baptism which is a bymbol of the New life ( $\mu \in \tau$ dyota). He who has power to forgive sins seems to seek through baptism fergiveness of sins. But in truth by submitting to baptism Jesus shows the true efficacy of the rite. He who is most truly man declares what man may become through baptism-olothed and endned with the Holy Spirit, and touohed by the flire of zeal and purity.

There is no hint in the Gospel narrative of that beautiful companionship and intercourse in childhood betweeu Jesus and the Baptist with which Art has familiarised us. See John i. 31, a passage whioh tends to an opposite conclusion.
14. 6 Luxáduev, 'was preventing,' or, 'endeavoured to prevent.'
 уоито, Thuc. vil. 4, is a possible exception.) iтокрlvoца Homeric and Ionic. Alexandrine Greek here, contrary to the general rule, follows the Attic rather than the Homeric use, inoкplvopat ocours once only in the N.T. (Luke xx, 20), and there in the sense of 'faigning,' The zor. 1. passive ( $\alpha \pi c \kappa \rho t \theta e i s$ ) in middle sense is late. It occurs in Plato Alc. II. 149 f , but the genaineness of that dialogae is doubtful ; see Lid. and Scott. The aor. 1. mid. is rare in the N.T. See ch. xxvii. 12.

$\dot{\eta}_{\mu i v}, u s$. It was the privilege of John to share the work of the Messiah.

Suknoorivql. Here $=$ + the requirements of the law.'
16. oi oupavol. A literal translation of the Hebrew word, which is a plural form.
kal eifer. We should infer from the tert that the vision was to Jenis alone, but the Baptist also was a witness as we learn from John i. 32, "And John bare record, I baw the Spirit descending from heaven like a dove, and it abode upon him." This was to John the sign by which the Messiah should be recognised.
17. \$wvì dx tôv oủpavâv. Thriee during our Lord's ministry it is reoorded that a voioe from heaven came to Him. The two other ocoasions were at the Transfiguration and in the week of the Passion (John xii. 28).
dyanntos, in the Gospels always in reference to Christ the beloved Son of God, (Mark xii. 6 and Lake xx. 13 cannot be regarded as exooptions). In this conneotion it is closely related to povorevis, op. John i. 14-18, iii. 16-18. (áramprds does not oocar in the fonth

 Bp Lightfoot on Col. i. 13.

In the Epistles the word is applied to the Christian brotherhood united by the common bond of dydiat.
viSokity. A late word (eee Sturz. de dial. Mac. 168) not found in the Attic writers, constructed (1) with the infinitive in the sense of
 v. 8; (2) with aconsative (see ch. xii. 18), 'to be pleased with,' 'take

 ing as (2) or 'to be pleased in,' i.e. to place one's purpose, decision, or resolation in a thing or person. Here the sense is: My Son, the Beloved in whom my pleasure rests, in whom my plan for the salva-




## CHAPTER IV.

5. Homarev for tornour with the four oldest uncials and the cursives 1, 33, 209. The reading of the textus receptus may be due to the present, тара $\lambda а \mu \beta a y \in .$.
6. eltav for $\lambda \in \gamma \in \iota$ with the same weight of authority.

12 and 23. ó 'I $\eta$ rovis omitted in v. 12 after axoúras $8 \xi$, and by Tischendorf also in $v .23$. The instances of this insertion in the text of the N.T. from the margin or from lectionaries are very numerous.
13. Kapapraoúц. This form is found in NBD and veraions, on the other aide are CEL and the majority of MSS.
16. oxdrel, the reading of textus receptus retained in preference to oxoria. The question of reading is interesting, the great MSS. being divided. *CEL and the majority of uncials are in favour of $\sigma \kappa \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$. $\$ \mathrm{BBD}$ read akorip. Of the leading editors Lachmann and Tregelles (neither of whom had seen $\mathbb{N}$ ) read $\sigma \kappa 0 \pi l_{\mathrm{q}}$, Tischendorf reads $\sigma$ котєє.

1-11. The 'Iemptation of Jeges. Mark i. 12, 13; Luke iv. 1-13.
St Mark's account is short; the various temptations are not specified; he adds the striking expression $\dot{\eta}^{\nu}$ uerd $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ enol $\omega v$. St Luke places the temptation of the Kingdoms of the World before that of the Pinnacle of the Temple.

Generally it may be remarked that the account can have come from no other than Jesas Himself. The words of the Evangelist describe an actual scene-not a dream. The devil really came to Jesus, but in what manner he came is not stated. These were not isolated temptations in the life of Jesus. Cp. Luke xxii. 28, 'Ye are they which have continued with me in my temptations.' But they are typical temptations, representative of the varions forms of temptation by which human nature can be assailed. For, as it has often been said, the three temptations cover the same ground as 'the lust of the flesh, the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life' ( 1 John ii. 16) in which St John sums up the evil of the world.

Viewing the temptation in a personal reference to Jesus Christ we discern Him tempted (1) As the Son of man-the representative of homanity-in whom human nature in its perfection triumphs over sin. An important element in the Atonement. (2) As the second Adam regaining for man what the first Adam lost for man. (3) As the Son of Abraham following the fortures of his race, tempted in the wilderness as the Hebrews were tempted: a thought present implicitly in our Lord's answers. (4) As the true Messiah or Christos rejecting the unreal greatness which was the aim of false Messiahs. He would not win popular enthusiasm by becoming a wonder-working fóns or $\mu$ áqos greater than Theudas or than Simon Magus, or a prince more powerful than the Maccabees or than Casar.

Hence a warning for the Church as a Missionary Church. She is tempted to win her conquests by forbidden ways, by lying signs and wonders, by grasping at the dominion of this world, by alliance with the powers of the world, by araft and policy, not by submission and suffering.

The lesson of each and all of the temptations is trust in God and submission to God's will-the result in us of $\mu \in \tau$ dipota.

1. tóte. The ejevs of St Mark i. 12 points still more clearly to the significant nearness of the Temptation to the Baptism.
durix $0 \eta$...vimò roû $\pi v \in \dot{\prime} \mu a t o s$. The agency of the Spirit of God is named in each of the Synoptists. St Mark uses the strong expression 'the Spirit driveth him forth.' St Luke uses the preposition $\epsilon \nu$ (in) denoting the influence in which Jesus passed into the wilderness.
cls тìy toquov. See note on ch. iii. 1, but the locelity of the temptation is not known.

The desert as the scene of the temptation has a peculiar significance. It was the waste and waterless tract (ádu $\delta \rho a r$ tórool, ch. zii. 43) which unpeopled by men was thought to be the abode of demons. So Jesus meets the evil spirit in his own domains, the Stronger One coming opon the strong man who keepeth his palace (Luke xi. 21, 22). The retirement preparatory to the great work may be compared with that of Elijah and of Paul. It is perhaps an invariable experience in deeply religious lives to be taken into the desert of their own hearts and there to meet and resist the temptations that assailed Christ.

тelpaoopipal. The final infinitive is very usual with St Matthew. In the other Synoptic Gospels the purpose is not expressly noted.
 a rendering means 'one who meets or opposes,' 'an adversary.'




To this original meaning of sidßo入os the classicel foree of daßaג入єuv and its derivatives added the ideas of (1) deceiving, (2) calumniating, (3) accusing. In Rev. xx. 2, we find both the Greek and Hebrew
 the two words, synonymous at first, had already been severed, and one among many instances of the inflience of translation on religious ideas.
2. V. tations named were offered at the end of the forty days during which he had fasted. But the parallel accounts represent the temptation as



So far as fasting rests on the facts of human nature it may be regarded as (1) a result of sorrow, (a) either the natural sorrow for the loss of those we love, or ( $\beta$ ) sorrow for sin-contrition. (2) The effect of deep absorption. (3) A means to secure self-mastery and a test of it. Such signs and natural uses of it are deepened and sanctified by the example of Christ.
 to the appetite, Use thy divine power to satisfy the desire of the flesh. The very discipline by which He fortified his human soul against temptation is sought to be made an inlet to temptation-a frequent incident in religions experience.
4. ybypartal. See note ch. ii. 5. Jesus answers by a quotation from Deut. viii. 3. The chapter sets forth the teaching of the wilderness. The forty years were to the Jews what the forty days are to Jesus. The Lord God proved Israel 'to know what was in thine heart, whether thou wouldest keep his commandments or no. And he humbled thee and suffered thee to hunger, and fed thee with manna...that he might make thee know that man doth not live by bread only, but by every [word, omitted in Hebr.] that proceedeth out of the mouth of the Lord doth man live.'

Christ's test of sonship is obedience and entire trust in God who alone is the giver of every good gift. The devil's test of sonship is supply of bodily wants, external prosperity, \&c.
5. áciav mólıv. This designation used of the actual Jerusalem by St Matthew alone is transferred to the heavenly Jerusalem, Rev. xi. 2, xxi. 2, xxii. 19.

To $\pi$ тepúpov. Not as in A.V. 'a pinnacle,' but either (1) 'the pinnacle,' or winglike projection ( $\pi$ tepéryoy $=$ 'a little wing'), i.e. some well-known pinnacle of the Temple, probably on one of the lofty porticoes overlooking the deep Valley of Kidron or Hinnom; or (2) 'the roof' of the Temple or one of the porticoes-a sense which arc-
pory bears in the classics; cp. Scholiast on Aristoph. Aves 1110. Jd



6. Bále $\sigma$ cautdv кátw. The depth was immense: Josephus speaking of the 'Royal Porch' ( $\sigma$ tod $\beta a \sigma i$ invi) says 'if anyone looked down from the top of the battlements he would be giddy, while his sight could not reach to such an immense depth.' Antiq. xv. 11.5.
ytypaitrat. Ps. xci. [zo. LXX.] 11, 12. The quotation follows the
 oov are omitted in the text. The omission distorts the meaning of the original, which is that God will keep the righteous on their journeys. No inducement is offered by them to tempt God by rash venture or needless risk. The Psalmist himself probably quotes Prov. iii. 23. 'Thas [i.e. by obedience: eee preceding verses] shalt thou waik in thy way safely, and thy foot shall not stumble.'
 ends 'as pe tempted him in Massah.' The reference to Massah (Namb. xx. 7-12) shows the true meaning of the Saviour's answer. Moses and Aaron displayed distrust in God when they tried to draw to themselves the glory of the miracle instead of sanctifying the Iord.' Jesus will not glorify Himself in the eyes of the Jews by a conspicuons miracle. His work as the Son of Man is to glorify the Father's name through obedience. Cp. John xii. 28.
8. 'els bopos vi $\ddagger \eta \lambda$ obv $\lambda$ lav. It it idle to ask what this mountain was, or in what sense Jesus saw the kingdoms of the world. It is enough that the thought and the temptation of earthly despotism and glory were present to the mind of Jesus. The Galilmans put the same temptation to Jesus when they wished to make Him a king (John Fi. 15), and even the disciples shared the hope of an earthly Messianic kingdom. The picture of the expected Deliverer was drawn by the popalar imagination from the memory of the Macca-- bees or from the actual power of Cmsar, and this was the thought whioh the tempter presented to Christ.
9. taûrá नot пívta 8áow. Satan, the 'prince of this world' (John xii. 31), claims the disposal of earthly thrones. This is more elearly brought out by St Luke (eh. iv. 6), 'All this power will I give thee and the glory of them, for that is delivered unto me, and to whomsoover I will I give it.' The arrogance, selfishness and cruelty of contemporary rulers would give force to such an assumption. A Tiberins or a Herod Antipas might indeed be thought to have worshipped Satan.
ctiv readv mporkuviog s $\mu \mathrm{ot}$, i.e. acknowledge as sovereign, as the lesser kings acknowledged Cmsar: jus imporiumque Phraates | Cæsaris accepit genibus minor. Hor. Ep. I. 12. 27.
10. Btaye rarava. It is instrative to find these words addressed to Peter (ah. xvi. 23) when he put himself as it were in the place of the tempter. See note ad loc.

In Homer indyeay is used of bringing cattle under the yoke, üraye Soror enkear intous, a force whioh some have given to the word in this passage 'bow thyself to the yoke of God;' against this is the early gloss $\dot{o} \pi i \sigma \omega$ 先u fond in some MSS., and the entirely prevalent ufe. of the verb in other passages.
 city of aims, and forgetfulness of God are the dangers of prosperity and ambition. See context of passage in Deut.
11. 6iqkóvouv, from dakovitw. The Attic form of the imperfect is Éscaxbyour ; but $\delta$ iqkóvour is possibly a right reading, Eur. Cycl. 408. scakoweì is strictly to 'serve at table,' 'minister food,' hence the appropristuness of the word in its use, Acts vi. 2.

## 12-16. Jegus rettrins into Galilee.

Mark i. 14; Luke iv. 14, who aseigas no reason; John iv. 1-B. St John gives a further reason 'when the Lord knew how the Pharisees had heard that Jesus made and baptized more disciples than John, he left Judæa,' 'xe.
12. dxcoúgas Se, 'heving heard,' not only when but also because He heard. It was a needful precantion against the cruel treachery of Herod Antipas. At Capernaum He would be close to the dominions of Herod Philip.

тapes $\delta \theta \eta$. тapa $\delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a t$ is nsed of 'delivering' to death (Acts iii. 13), to a judge (ch. $\quad .25$ ), or of casting into prison (Luke xii. 68 т $\hat{\psi}$ rрактopt; Acts viii. 8 and here); but it is possible that the idea of treachery and betrayal may also be present as in ch. x. 4, xxvii. 3, 4; 1 Cor. xi. 23.

The place of imprisonment was Machærus. The cause of John's imprisonment is stated at length ch. ziv. 3, 4 (where see note) and . Luke iii. 19, 20.

On hearing of the death of John the Baptist Jesus retired into the wilderness. See ch. xiv. 13.
avex cppocy els tiv raninalay. By the shortest route through Samaria. John iv. 4. During this journey must be placed the conversation with the woman of Samaria. This was after a ministry in Judma, which had lasted eight months (Ellicott, Lectures on the life of our Lord, p. 130), some incidents of whioh are related by St John, ii. and iii.

Tadidala = a circle or circuit, originally confined to a 'oircle' of 20 oities given by Slolomon to Hiram, 1 Kings ix. 11. Cp. Josh. xx. 7 and Josh. viii. 2 (where the Vulgate reads Galilma Philistim 'the circle' or 'distriot' of the Philistines). From this small beginning the name spread to a larger district, just as the name of Asia spread from a district near the Meander, first to the Roman Province, then to a quarter of the Globe. The Jews were in a minority in those parts. The population mainly consisted of Phonicians, Arabs, and Greeks.
13. ката入ıт山ц тìv Nağapa. Partly because of the unbelief of the Nazarenes, partly (we may infer) in order to be in a frontier town from which He might easily pass from the jurisdiction of Antipas.

Kadupraoúp, a town on the N.W. shore of the Sea of Galilee. It was the scene of a considerable traffic, and had a large Gentile element in its population. The exact site is keenly disputed. It whs, perhaps, at Khan Minyeh (see map), not quite on the sea, but on the plain of Gennesaret, at a short distance from the sea.

Others, with greater probability, identify Capernanm with the modern Tell Hom, at the N. end of the Lake in the plain of the Jordan. The name Tell Hûm nearly corresponds with Kefr na Hum, thought by some to have been the ancient form of Capernaum. The most interesting point in the identification is that among the rains at Tell Him are remains of a synagogue, in which some of the Saviour's 'mighty works' may have been wronght. See map.

Whatever the truth may be in this question it is certain that in passing from Nazareth to Capernaum Jesus left a retired mountain home for a busy and populous neighbourhood, 'the manufacturing distriet of Palestine.'
14. 8 td 'Haatov. Read the whole of the prophecy (Is. viii. 11ix. 6) which is unfortunately broken in the E. V. by the division into chapters, and is more mistranslated than any other passage of like importance.

## 

$\delta \delta d \nu$ taldio.ons. The accusative may be explained either by the regimen of the omitted Hebrew words or by taking $\delta \delta \delta \nu$ as an adverbial accusative influenced by a similar use of the Hebrew derech.

The immediate historical reference of the prophecy was to the invasion of Tiglathpileser, whom Ahaz called in to assist him against Rezin and Pekah. It fell with great severity on the northern tribes (2 Kings xy. 29). Yet even they are promised a great deliverance ['As in the former time, he brought into contempt the land of Zebulun and the land of Naphtali, so in the latter time he hath made it glorious,' Is. ix. 1], in the first instance, by the destruction of Sennacherib, from temporal distress (cp. Is. chs, x. and xi. with ch. ix. 1-6); secondly, by the advent of the Messiah, from spiritual darkness.
 Hebrew of Isaiah ix. 1,2 (two lines of the original being omitted). The LIX. presents a wide difference in form.
 tinct Hebrew words, the first signifying literally 'walking.' The parallelism suffers by the Greak translation, 'to sit' being an advance on 'to walk,' as implying a more settled condition. Cp. Ps.i.1, ' walked...stood...sat.' In like manner $\sigma$ rid $\theta$ avárou is an advance on



17－22．The Call of Peter and Andrew and of ter Song of Zebedee．See Mark i．16－20．
In Lake，Simon is mentioned withoat any introduction，oh．iv． 38. The narrative of Luke v．3－11 must be referred to a different occa－ sion，though 0.11 corresponds with $v .22$ of this chapter．St Luke adds that the sons of Zebedee were partners with Simon．John i． 35－ 42 refers to a previous summons．We learn there that Andrew was a disciple of John the Baptist，and that Bethsaida was the city of Andrew and Peter．

17．むimd то́тє，for classical $\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi$ exelvov［ $\chi$ ро́vov］．
For $\mu$ eтávola and $\beta$ aoridefa，which are the key－notes of cur Saviour＇s preaching，see note，ch．iii． 2.

18．＇d $\mu \phi(\beta \lambda \eta \sigma$ тpov，＇ m easting－net，＇here only in N．T．（in Mark i． 16 the true reading is $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \not \beta_{\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v t a s ~}^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\left.\theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta\right)$ ．The word occurs Herod．1．141．Cp．Soph．Antig．343，кovфаvó́wl re ф0入oy dopl－
 same kind of net，Georg．i．141．Alius latum funda jam verberat amnem．

グनur ydp dicis．The fisheries on the Sea of Galilee，once so pro－ ductive，are now deserted．It seems that the Bedawin have an invin－ cible dislike and dread of the sea．Consequently there is scarcely a boat to be seen，and the Lake yields no harvest．See Land and Book， 401.
d $\lambda$ ıefs，lit．＇sea－folk＇（ ${ }^{2} \lambda \mathrm{~s}$ ），Homeric bat not in Attic writers，one of the many words that disappear from literature in the long interval between Homer and the Alexandrine epoch．
$\dot{d} \lambda t \epsilon \omega \nu$ plos is quoted as a proverbial expression for a life of ex－ treme poverty．（See Wetstein．）Such it undoubtedly was in general， but see below，v．22．No fitter training than that of the fisherman could be imagined for the perils and privations of the apostle＇s life．

19．Sev̂re．Frequent in Homer and in lyrio poets．It was used as an＇animating interjection＇（Buttmann），without any necessary con－
 Bup kapolay lalyel．Alcman．（Battmann，Lex．316－319．）This word is an instance of epic influence on Alexandrine Greek as it is not Attic：in N．T．it is rare except in this Gospel．
ainuis devepátcov．A condensed parable explicitly drawn out，ch．



22．kal $\boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{v}$ martpa．St Mark（i．20）adds＇with the hired ser－ vants．＇We may infer that Zebedee and his sons and their partners were raised above the lowest social rank．

Two modernisms may be noticed in this verse，idévecs preferred in Hellenistic Greek to $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$ and compounds of $\lambda c l \pi \omega$ ：and dко入ou $\begin{gathered}\text { eip }\end{gathered}$ used in the N．T．to the exclusion of ereadal which does not occur（the compound $\sigma v v e \pi e \sigma \theta a l$ is found in one passage，Acts $x x .4$ ）．

## 29-25. Jesus prraofes ther Gobprl and cures Diseases in Gajmer.

Special instances of cure are recorded in Mark i 13 and foll. ; Luke v. 31 and foll.
23. iv rais owvayoyais. The synagogue, built on a hill or on the highest place in the city, distinguished sometimes by a tall pole corresponding to a modern steeple, was as familiar and conspicuous in a Jewish town as the Church is in an English village. Sometimes, however, the synagogue was placed on the bank of a civer. Sometimes it was constricted without a roof and open to the sky

1. Divine service was held in the synagogue on the Sabbath and also on the eecond and fifth day of each week.
2. The service consisted in reading the Law and the Prophets by those who ware called upon by the 'Angel of the Church,' and in prayers offered up by the minister for the people; the people reeponding 'Amen' as with us.
3. But the synagogues were not churches alone. Like Turkish mosques they were also Courts of Naw in which the sentence was not only pronounced but executed, 'they shall scourge you in thair syangogues.' Further, the synagogues were Public Schools, 'the boys that were scholars were wont to be instructed before their masters in the synagogue' (Talmud). Lastly, the syangogues were the Divinity Schools or Theological Coileges among the Jews.
4. The affairs of the synagogue were administered by ten men, of whom three, called 'Rulers of the Synagogue,' acted as judges, admitted proselytes and performed other important functions. A fourth was termed the 'Angel of the Churoh' or bishop of the congregation; three others were deacons or almoners. An eighth acted as 'interpreter,' rendering the Hebrew into the vernacular; the ninth was the master of the Divinity School, the tenth his interpreter; see oh. x. 27.

It is interesting to trace in the arrangements of the synagogue the germs of the organization of the Christian Church. This note is akiefly due to Lightfoot Hor. Hebr. ad loc.
aủrôv. Often used of the Jews without any definite antecedent, cp. of $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a r e i ́ s ~ a u ́ r \hat{p} p$. Lake v. 30.
vorov... $\mu$ ahaklay. Probably to be distingaished as 'acate" and 'chronic' diseases, $\mu$ a $\lambda a x$ lay implying general prostration of the bodily powers. It is not classical in this sense. The word is confined to St Matthew in N.T.
tv $\tau \hat{\psi} \lambda a \hat{\psi}$, i.e. among the Jews.
24. tis $\delta \lambda \eta v$ тìv $\Sigma$ vopiav. The fame passes to the north and east, rather than to the south. Galilee is connected by trade and affinity with Damascus rather than with Jerusalem.

Bardipous... ouvex opivous. Fdoapos is (1) a 'touch-stone,' the lapis Lydius by which the quality of gold and other metals was tested.

The process is alluded to Herod. vir. 10. Cp. also Theognis 417, हैs
 tare' the toach-stone of justice, beaanse no testimony was believed unless elicited by this means, comp. the same sequence of thonght in the expression 'to pat to the question.' (3) Hence a disease that racks and agonizes the limbs like the torture which many a poor Galilman had experienced in the courts of law.
For the question of 'demoniacal possession' gee ch. vii. 22.
ouvexew is ased specially of the pressure and constraint of disease

$\sigma$ dinurajoudvove, 'affected by the moon;' the changes of the moon being thought to influence mad persons. The passage is important as distinguishing demoniacsl possession from lunacy.

The only special instance of curing a lunatio is recorded in ch. xvii. 14-21 and in the parallel passages, where the symptoms described are those of epilepsy. The origin of mental disease may often be traced to licentious living. Observe the frequent instances of unclean spirits met with in these districts.

The Christian Church has followed her divine Fonnder's example in this tendance of bodily ailment. The founding of hospitals and the care of the sick are distinguighing features of Christianity and among the most hlessed fruits of it. A deeper respect for life and a deeper sense of purity have followed as necessary consequences.
It is contended by some that the 'several house' of 2 Chron. xxvi. 21 was a hospital. Possibly this was so, but the spirit of Judaism in this reapect was not the spirit of Christianity. It may readily be acknowledged, however, that the Jews of the present day are the foremost in works of charity and tender regard for the sick.
25. Aoróriodıs, a group of ten cities. The cities iucluded in this group are variously named by different anthors, they lay to the E. and S. of the Sea of Galilee; by some Damaseas is mentioned as belonging to the group. See map.

 калєодечия.

## CHAPTER V.

In this and the two following chapters the textual criticism rises to higher importance; the precise words spoken by our Lord being in question.

4, B. These verses are transposed by the leading critios following Origen, Eusebius and other fathers, but not on the very highest MS. authority, viz. D. 33 and some versions. On the effect of this ehange see notes.
22. The ingertion of elxin after aưTố dates from very ancient MSS., but $N$ and B omit, also Vulgate and 庣th. Verss. and Origen twice. The feeling whioh prompted its insertion as a marginal note would tend to retain it in the test.
27. The reading of $\tau 0 \hat{i}$ d $\alpha \rho x a l o c s$ after $\epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon \theta \eta$ is due to the tendency to introduce uniformity of structure; other instances of the same kind
 $\gamma^{f}$ evuap for dite $\lambda \theta p$ eis reevvan $v$. 31, to agree with previous verse.
28. In aưTîs read for aử خो力 we trace the probably unconseious emendation of a soholar.
32. Hotxevtinval for moixãadal. The change to the passive is supported by $\& B D$ and approves itself as the truer to fact, but perhaps for that very reason is open to some suapicion.
44. Here we miss the beautiful words undoubtedly spoken by Christ but omitted in this passage by NB B and many of the fathers


 three last words. The peissage is probably an insertion borrowed from Luke vi. 27, 28.
47. EGpikol for $\tau \in \lambda \hat{\omega} p a t$ of the textus receptus, on the highest authority.

Chs. V.-VII. Sermon on the Motent.
It is instructive to find the Sermon on the Mount following close upon the works of meroy which would open men's hearts to receive the Saviour's words. It is a discourse about the changed life or $\mu \in \tau$ á-t pota, showing its conditions; and about the Kingdom or $\beta a \sigma t \lambda e i a$ 2. showing its nature, legislation, and privileges.

The description of the Kingdom here given may be compared with the thoughts suggested by-Satan in the Temptation. Jesus makes no promise to conquer the world, or to dazzle men by a display of power, or to satisfy bodily wants, making poverty cease.

In regard to heathenism the sermon is a contrast, in regard to the Jewish Law it is a sublime falfilment. Again, instead of curses there are blessings, instead of penalties, reward.

Two questions are raised in regard to the Sermon on the Mount. (1) Is it a connected discourse, and not merely a oollection of our Lord's eayings? (2) Is it to be identified with the Sermon on the Plain, Lake vi. 17-49?

The firgt of these questions may without doubt be answered in the affirmative, the second with less certainty. 1. (a) This is the most natural inference from the Evangelist's words and from the manner in which the discourse is introduced. (b) An analysis points to a close conneotion of thought and to a systematic arrangement of the different sections of the Sermon. It is true that some of the sayings are found in a different connection in St Luke's Gospel, but it is more than probable that our Lord repeated portions of His teaching on various occasions. 2. In favour of the identity of the two discourses it may be noted that : (a) The beginning and end are identical as well as much of the intervening matter. (b) The portions omitted-a
comparison between the old and the new legislation-are such as would be less adaited for St Luke's readers than for St Matthew's. On the other hand it is urged that (a) St Matthew desoribes the sermon as being delivered on the mountain (ave $\beta \eta$ els to doos) while St Lake's words are $\epsilon \sigma \pi \eta$ ETl $\tau \delta \pi=u$ redivoû. But the 'mount' and the 'plain' are not necessarily distinet localities. The rómos redcods was probably a platform on the high land. Summoque in vertice montis | planities ignota jacet tutique receptas. Verg. Znn. XI. 526. ( $\beta$ ) The place in the order of events differs in St Luke. But it is probable that here as well as elsewhere St Matthew does not observe the order of time.

Here the question of time is important as bearing on a further question, whether Matthew was himself among the andience. Was the Sermon delivered after the call of the twelve (Lake) or before (Matthew) ?

The following analysis may be of use in shewing the connection.
A. The Subjects of the Kingdom, v. 3-16.
(1) Their charsoter and privileges, v. 3-12.
(2) Their responsibility, v. 13-16.
B. The Kingdom of Heaven in relation (1) to the Law, v. 1748; and (2) to Pharisaic rules, vi. 1-34.
(1) It is the highest fulfilment of the law in regard to (a) The Decalogue, v. 21-37. (b) The law of Retaliation, 38-42. (c) Love or Charity, 43-48.
(2) It exceods the righteousness of the Pharisees in regard to (a) Almsgiving, vi. 1-4; (b) Prayer, vi. 5-15; (c) Fasting, vi. 16-18; (d) Earthly possessions and daily cares, vi. 19-34.
C. Charsacteristies of the Kingdom, vii. 1-27. (a) Judgment on others, vii. 1-6. (b) The Father's love for the Children of the Kingdom, 7-12. (c) The narrow entrance therein, 13, 14. (d) The danger of false guides to the narrow entrance, and the test of the true, 15-23. (e) A description of the true subjects of the Kingdom, as distinguished from the false, 24-27.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{x}$ 入ous. The plaral indicates either (1) the separate groups of listeners; or (2) the people the several units of which the whole was composed. This use of the plural to signify the parts which together form the whole may be illustrated by civocac 'marks of favour,' paviac 'fits of madness,' (Clyde, Gk. Synt. \$ 10); and by ars 'art,' artes 'works of art,' regnum 'kingdom,' regna 'royal prerogatives.'
ro Spos, 'the mountain', the high land bordering on the Lake, behind Tell Hitm or Ain et Tâbigah, which the inhabitants of those places would naturally call 'the mountain' (see map). It was the Sinai of the New Law. Cp. Pe. lxxii. 3.
kaBCraytos aưtov. The usual position of a Jewish teacher. In the 'Talmud 'to sit' is nearly synonymous with 'to teach.'

Christ is not preaching a sermon or heralding the Gospol as in ch. iv. 23. 'The Sermon on the Mount' is more properly the 'New

Law.' Therefore he does not stand like a modern or medimval preacher as often represented, but sits like an Oriental monarch or teacher. The difference seems slight, bat in the Ceremonial East it would mean a great deal.

In Mediaval art the Sermon on the Mount is an illustration of 'Practical Theology.' (See Ruskin, Mornings in Florence, v. 145.)
 examples, is rightly restored on the highest MS. authority in many passages. Sturz (Dial. Mac. et Aleas. §9) regards it as a Cilician form-a point of some interest in relation to St Paul's Greek.

The anacoluthon кaOloavtos aütoט....... $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\gamma} \lambda \lambda a \nu$ aừ $\hat{\varphi}$ is frequent in the N.T. and not very uncommon in the Classics, cp. elk $\delta \mathrm{s}$ $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$ d $\rho$ ajs

 El. 479. See also Жsch. Suppl. 437.
A. The Subieqts of the Kinadom, v. 3-16.
(1) Their character and privileges, v. 3-12.

3-9. The transposition of verses 4 and 5 to their order in the text is on the authority of the leading textual crities without however conclusive MS. sapport. The logioal gradation of thought is in favour of the change. Of the 'Beatitades'-so called from the opening word 'beati' in the Vulgate-the first seven may be regarded as groups of characters, or as a scheme of Christian ethics on an ascending scale, tracing the Christian growth step by step; the two last have special reference to the disciples-they supply the tests and the hopes of discipleship.

The subjoined scheme is suggested in explanation of the order.


First, two passive qualities 'lowliness and meekness,' which mark the character receptive of Christianity, then two activities or movements of the soul; 'moorning,' which alienates it from earth, tending 'to loose the chain $\mid$ that binds us to a world of pain.' Then divine 'hungering and thirsting' which draw it to heaven. This fourth Beatitude is the central point: $\delta<\kappa a \iota \sigma$ úy $\eta$ is the coping-stone of the soul seeking God, the foundation of the soul which has found Him. Three graces of the Christian life follow, 'mercy,' the first-fruits of righteousness, (see the close connection between the two ch. vi. 1 and comp. the fruits of righteousness in the judgment-scene ch. xxv., 'purity of heart,' the soul cleansed from all defilement sees God, and
'peace-making', wherein the soul that has seen God imitates the work of God-reconciliation.
$\pi \tau \omega \times$ ol $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi v \in \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau t$. St Luke omits $\tau \hat{\psi} \pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu a \tau t$, showing that the literal poor are primarily meant, St Matthew shows that they are not exclusively meant. The riwxol (nearly i.q. тanewol) are opposed to the spiritually proud and the self-sufficient; they have need of the riches of Christ and feel their need. To reckon ramewbins ot тatelvoфpooún as a virtue is a Christian thought and opposed to

 reward is the most diverse from the character that wins it: the least ambitions shall have the prize of the most ambitions.
4. $\pi \rho q \sigma^{\tau} \eta \rho$, as an ethical term, is concerned with anger, it means absence from resentment, meekness in suffering; it is mentioned with very faint praise by Aristotle who says, emil $\tau \delta \nu \mu E \sigma \sigma \nu \tau h \nu \pi \rho \not \subset \bar{\sigma} \tau \eta \tau \alpha$

 the root of dyáan, absence of resentment grows into perfect love

 meek have inherited the earth. History has no example of higher exaltation than that of the Apostles, and the code which they promulgated rules the world. To this thought may possibly be referred,

 bnt the secondary meaning of 'all who are sorrowful' is not excluded. Sorrow is in itself neatral, cp. 2 Cor. vii. 9, wop $\chi$ alpo oủx ät d du-


6. aữol, they in their turn.

Xopraothjrovtal. रoptdjecy is one of those words strong and even coarse in their origin which came to be used by the Jews at Alexandria with a softened and more refined meaning. It is properly used
 Rep. 586, then in mid. voice in comedy of men 'to eat'; op. German fressen and see Thuo. vil, 48 and Arnold's note there on $\beta 6 \sigma$ кoyzas. In late Greek as here xoprdjecy = 'to satisfy' for the classical sopeyvival. It is curious to note how completely the distinction between Xoprdje $\sigma$ al and $\epsilon_{\sigma} \theta t \epsilon \downarrow$ has vanished. In Mark vii. 27, 28 both verbs are used, but their proper application is reversed, es $\sigma$ lecp being used of the кupdpıa, and $\chi$ о $\rho \tau d \xi \in \sigma \theta a u$ of the $\tau \epsilon \kappa v a$.
7. Aejuoves. With the Stoics ©ieos was reckoned among the defects or vices, it was a disturbing element that broke in upon the philosophic calm, ep. the following passage which gives the Stoio view of most of the moral ideas of the Beatitudes: $\delta \dot{a} \pi e \epsilon \hat{\omega} y$ ty $\hat{\eta}$ elc $\delta i o c k \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \epsilon$


demorigovrat. This prineiple in the divine government that men shail be desit with as they deal with their fellow-men is taught in the parable of the Unmerciful Servant, oh. xviii., and anderlies the fifth petition in the Lord's Prayer, ch. vi. 12.
8. madapol $\operatorname{Tn}_{\text {n }}$ кap8fa. Purity is a distinguishing virtue of Ohristianity. It finds no place even in the teaching of Socrates, or in the system of Aristotle. Pure in heart 'non sufficit puritas ceremonialis,' Bengel.
riv 0udv 6ұovtal. The Christian education is a gradual unveiling of God (d roadiuvis), all have glimpses of Bim, to the pure He appears
 kúpoov, and see 1 John iii. 2, 3. In a further sense the onveiled sight of God is reserved for the Eternal life.
9. dipquorotol, this is the highest energy of the perfected souf that hass seen God, has had the deepest insight into the divine nature and is thereby moved to do a divine work. elof $\boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{\nu} \eta$ in its lower sense is the absence of dissension or difference between men, in a higher sense it is reconciliation of man with God-the peace made by Christ.
elpquorow's does not occur elsewhere in N.T., but elpquarocéap is nsed Col. i. 20 in the latter sense, cp. also Ephes. ii. 15, aürds $\gamma \dot{\cos }$


viol $\theta$ govi. These are most akin to the divine nature, perfect as their Father which is in heaven is perfect, $v .48$, cp. 1 Johm iii. 1, roєтe

 verb, but implies (1) prestige, as d паิ $\sigma t$ к入ewds olizimous кa入oúpevos,
 Soph. El. 230. See Jebb on the last passage and Ellendt's Lex. sub. voc. (3) recognition by others, cp. Lake i. 76. Rom. ix. 26. Jamer ii. 23.
10. of $\delta \in \delta$ cory $\mu$ évol. 'Those who have been persecuted,' not as in A.V. 'they which are persecnted'. The tense brings the past action into elose relation with the present, and implies either (1) generally Blessed are the prophets and other servants of God, who in all past time have been persecuted, ie. the results of persecution are good, or persecution is a test of good: or (2) specially and with direct reference to the present hour, Bleseed are my followers who have aiready suffered sach persecution for my sake as is indicated in $v .11$, see next note. According to the second view (2) Jesus after enumerating the excellencies of the kingdom of God turns to His own followers, comforting them with the thought that their very troublen have alremdy given them a claim to the titie of 'Blessed.'

The turn to the passive is very beartiful in this connection, the quality itself is veiled but the result is given; not blessed are the diкalo, but blessed are those that have been persecuted $\mathbb{E}_{\nu \epsilon \kappa \varepsilon \nu} \delta$ ixalocivins. Persecution is the seal of perfect $\delta$ ккcuoviv $\eta$.
11. The natare of the persecution is indicated in this verse; not torture, imprisonment, and death, but reproash and callomy, precisely the form of persecution to which the disciples must have been now subjected.
12. dya $\lambda_{i} \hat{a} \sigma \theta$ e, of excessive and demonstrative joy. Neither the verb nor its derivatives are classical. St Luke in his parallel passage


Such contrasts as this which the kingdom of heaven presents have their counterpart in the elpovela of Greek tragedy.
 were xpoфiŋ̃at.

## (2) Their responsibility, v. 13-16

The disciples, though lowly and meek, are heirs of the world. They must claim their inheritance, and not shrink from a foremost position either from fear of persection or from a false ides of Christian $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ela and $\tau a \pi \epsilon \nu \omega \tau \eta s$.
13. Td ajhas Tîs $\gamma \hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{s}$. Salt (1) preserves from corruption; (2) gives taste to all that is insipid; (3) is essential to all organised life. So the Apostles alone can save the world from corruption; the gospel alone can give zest and meaning to society; it is essential to the life of the world.
äacs. Late as a literary word for ats, bat it ocours in the adage älacul Üec. In Mark ix. 49 both forms are used acoording to the
 iv. 6, the dat. didart of the neuter form is used. Attic prose has the plaral only.
ed $\mu \mu \omega \rho a \nu \theta \hat{\eta}$. The cansal force of $\mu \omega \rho a i \nu \omega$ is Hellenistie; in the chassieal period the meaning is 'to be foolish.' For the use of the word in a literal sense ep. Rom. i. 22, фdeккоутes eivat aoфol escopar $\begin{aligned} & \text { च } \sigma a v \text {. And for the interchange of meaning between folly }\end{aligned}$ and insipidity cp. sapere, sapientia, insipidus; sal, sales, 'salt', then 'wit' (so in late Greek dics); insuisus, 'unsalted,' then "stupid'.
iv $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ln. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{y}$ is here clearly instramental, see ch. iii. 11.
 382, describes 'the sweeping out of the spoiled salt and aasting it into the streets ' as 'actions familiar to sll men.'
14. rò фŵs rov̂ кóouov. See John viii. 12, where Jesus says of


 the dark and evil world. ko $\sigma \mu$ os has an interesting history: (1) 'order,' 'propriety' (Homer); (2) 'the divine order and arrangement of nature' (Heracleitus and Anazagoras); (3) 'celestial order' (Plato); (1) 'order celestial and terrestrial'-the universe (Plato, see Bruder's
 $\epsilon \quad \Delta \lambda \varphi \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa 6 \sigma \mu \varphi$, Rom. i. 8 ; (6) the world around us, society; (7) espeoially 'the evil world', so frequently in John as $\mu \sigma \sigma \hat{E}$ juis
 äтeıpos 'a countless multitude' would have seemed to Heracleitus a contradiction in terms (Geldart, Mod. Greek, 94). In LXX. cho $\sigma$ os is not used in this later sense of 'the world,' it there means
 d ко́ $\sigma \mu$ оs av̇т $\hat{\nu}$, Gen. ii. 1.
 in Northern Palestine 'the plain and mountain-sides are dotted with villages...situated for the most part (not like those of Judma, on hilltops, or Samaria, in deep valleys, bat) as in Philistia, on the slopes of the ranges which intersect or bound the plain.' The image in the text therefore recalls Judæa rather than Galilee, Bethlehem rather than Nazareth. Some however have conjectured that the Iofty Safed was in sight, and was pointed to by our Lord. Land and Book, 273.
 is now read for $\boldsymbol{n} \rho \nu \beta$ els.
15. Tiv $\mu \delta \delta t o v$. 'The bushel,' i.e. the common measure found in every Jewish house. The article generalises. Strictly speaking, the modius denoted a smaller measure equal to about two gallons.

入úxvos... $\lambda$ uxula. 'Lamp,' 'lampstand.' The lamp in a Jewish house was not set on a table, but on a tall pedestal or stand, sometimes made with a sliding shaft.

тâotv rois $\boldsymbol{l v}$ rî olkia, i.e. the Jews. St Luke, true to the character of his gospel, says 'that they which onter in', i.e. the Gentiles, 'may see the light'.
B. (1) Thi Kingdom of Heaven is a folpilment of the law, v. 17-48. Stated generally, v. 17-20.
 divine captatio which would instantly soothe the possible fear that



17-20. The poetical form traceable throughout the Sermon on the Mount is especially observable here. ou кaтajivau and $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \bar{\sigma} \sigma a$ are the key-words. The $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ir $v .18$ ( $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ ) introduces an ex-
 carries out the thought of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma a L$. Then note to what a height the contrasting climax rises. So far from being a $\kappa a r a \lambda u \sigma t s$ of the whole law, not a jot or tittle shall pass from it ( $v .18$ ). So far from Christ himself destroying (кazaरúsai) the whole law, if his followers break even ( $\lambda \hat{0} \sigma a u$, a weaker word) a single one of the least of the commandments he shall be least in the Kingdom. So also in $v$. $20, \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma \epsilon^{\prime} \eta$ is an advance even on $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma a \zeta$, which in itself is more than aú кaтa入̂̀áa!.
$\pi \lambda$ пpourat. To give the full and trae meaning to the law: not to extend or develop it so much as to teach the deep underlying
 Rom. ziii. 10.
18. duriv. Strictly a verbal adjective, 'firm,' 'true,' from Hebr. aman to 'support,' 'confirm'; thus used, Rev. iii. 14, $\delta ~ d \mu \bar{\eta} \nu \dot{\circ}$
 or concluding a statement or prayer. The familiar use of the word in the Christian liturgy is deriped from the service of the synagogue.
tốra 'yod' (') the amallest of the Hebr. characters, generally a silent letter, rather the adjunct of a letter than an independent letter. Still a critical interpretation might turn on the presence or absence of yod in a word. The controversy as to the meaning of Shiloh, Gen. xlix. 10, is an instance of this. The letter yod makes the difference between Sarai and Sarah. It is the first letter in Jehovah and in the Hebrew form of Jesus or Joshua.

кepala, lit. 'a horn.' Here the extremity of a letter, a little point or a turn, in whioh one letter differs from another, as e.g. J [caph or c] differs from 1 [beth or b], or as 7 [daleth or d] differs from 7 [resch or r]. The Rabbinical writers point out that a confusion between the first two would change the sense of 'none holy as the Lord' (1 Sam. ii. . 2) to 'nought is holy in the Lord'; and a confusion between the second pair of letters would change 'one Lord' (Dent. vi. 4) to 'false Lord.' Sohöttgen ad lac. The Greek grammarians used the word for 'a mark over a letter,' as d.
19. $\lambda \dot{v} \sigma_{n} \ldots \delta \delta \delta a \neq p$. Recall in this connection St Paul's attitnde in relation to the law. siōdoreay points to the Presbyter or Teaoher, $\lambda \sigma \sigma$, a more general term, to the people.
 The union of doing and teaching is essential. It was the grave sin of the Pharisees that they taught without doing. See ch, xxiii. 2, 3. This explains the for of next verse.
20. 8ukcioouvrm, 'observance of the law.' Unless ye observe the law with greater exactness than the Pharisees, ye shall not enter the kingdom of heaven. The Pharisaic סxкatooív consisted in extended and minute external observances, Christ's $\pi \in \rho / \sigma \sigma \in \nu \mu a$ in reaching the spiritual meaning of the law.
(a) Instances from the Decalogue, ₹. 21-37. (a) Murder, v. 21 - 26 .
21. ทikovigate, 'ye heard,' a use of the Greek aorist to express frequentative action where in English it would be natural to ase the present tense; 'je hear' daily in the Synagogue the law as it was delivered to them of old time. See note ch. xi. 27.
rois cipxalots, 'to them of old time.' This rendering is made almost certain by the datival force of duip in the antithetic clause, v, 22.
22. *woxos, lit. 'held fast by;' (evex( $\omega$ ) so 'lisble to' with dative. It is frequently ured in this technical judicial sense by Plato, the Attic Orators and the later historians, as Polybins and Diod. Sioulus. When thoxos is followed by a genitive some word like $\delta \delta \kappa y$ or $\gamma \rho a \phi$ n should be supplied. See eh. xzvi. 66 and Mark iii. 26 (where d $\mu \mathrm{zpr} \mathrm{\eta}$ -
 for the dative, bat denotes the extent to which the sentence might go 'subject to a penalty extending to the Gehenna of fire'-usque ad poanam Gehennm. The extremity of human punishment is meant with the underlying thought of the figurative sense of Gehenna. See infta.
गी kploe, to the judgment of the lower court, whose jurisdietion was limited.
paka. A word of contempt, said to be from a root meaning to 'spit'. The distinction between Raca and Thou fool is lost, and naturally, for they belong to that class of words, the meaning of which depends entirely on the usage of the day. An expression innocent and unmeaning in one age becomes the watchword of a revolution in another. There is, however, clearly a climax. (1) Feeling of anger without words. (2) Anger venting itself in words. (3) Insulting anger. The gradation of punishment corresponds; lisble (1) to the local court; (2) to the Sanhedrin; (3) to Gehenna.
ouveSplap. See note ch. xxvi. 3 .
yéevvav roû тupós. 'Gehenna of fire, i.e. burning Gehenna', Gehenna is the Greek form of the Hebrew Ge-Hinnom or "Yalley of Hinnom,' sometimes called 'Valley of the sons of Hinnom', also 'Tophet' (Jer. vii. 31). It was a deep narrow glen S.W. of Jerusplem, once the scene of the crael worship of Moloch; bat Josiah, in the course of his reformation, 'defiled Tophet, that no man might make his son or his daughter to pass through the fire to Moloch' (2 Kings nxiii. 10). Op. Milton, Paradise Lost, i.
-First Moloch, horrid king, besmeared with blood Of human eacrifice and parents' tears; Though, for the noise of drams and timbrels load, Their children's cries onheard that passed through fire To his grim idol'.
After that time pollutions of every kind, among them the bodies of criminals who had bcen executed, were tbrown into the valley. From this defilement and from its former desecration Gehenna was used to express the abode of the wicked after death. The words 'of fire' are added, either because of the ancient rites of Moloch, or, if a Rabbinical tradition is to be credited, because fires were always burning in the valley.
toû rupós. The adjectival genitive may be illustrated from classical Greek ä $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu$ ej $\phi \rho \delta \nu \eta$, 'the starry night,' Soph. El. 19. $\chi$ रbwos ттtpuyt, ' a snowy wing,' Antig. 114. tpa己para alfacos, 'bloody wounds,' Eur. Phoen. 1616. See Donaldson's Greek Grammar, § 454,

But in this and other instances in the N.T. this genitive may be referred to a Hebrew usage due partly to the comparative scarcity of adjectives in the Hebrew language, partly to the vividness and poetry of oriental speeoh.
23. outv. In consequence of this trath that anger makes you lisble to the extremity of punishment.
 $\psi v x \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho \eta \delta \omega_{\rho o v} \theta u \sigma l a v \tau \hat{\psi} \kappa v \rho l \psi$, where the Hebrew words are korban minchah; for korban see note oh. xvil. 6. Minchah literally means 's gift,' and technically denoted vegetable offerings as distinguished from the animal offerings. $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a \mu$ is used to translate both korban and minchah. It is adopted in the Talmad as a Hebrew word. $\mu \nu \eta \mu b \sigma v y_{0}$ or ' memorial,' another translation for minchah, Levit. ii. 2, seems to form a link with the use of $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\text { ĝs }}$ in this connection. See Speaker's Commentary, ad loc. eit.
avqoop̂s. The word itself reminds ts that true observance of the lew lies in thought not in aet.
 of complaint against thee, just or unjust.
 began, for God will not accept it unless the heart be free from anger, and the conscience from offence. It is an application of the great prinaiple summed up in 'I will have mercy and not ascrifice.' Cp. also Ps. xxvi. 6, 'I will wash my hands in innocency, 0 Lord, and so will I go to thine altar.'

25, 26: The illustration is drawn from a legal process. It would be wise for the debtor to arrange with the creditor while he is on the way to the Court; otherwise the judge's sentence and a hopeless im. prisonment await him.

Sin is the debt (here especially anger the source of murder), the sense of sin or the conscience is the adversary. Let the sinner come to terms with his conscience by confession of sin and prayer for forgiveness while he has opportunity, lest he be brought unrepentant and unforgiven to the tribunal of the judge.
toel axvowv. The participle conveys the idea of continuance: be at peace with conscience all through life.
 кododpris =-Lat. quadrans, the fourth part of an as, and the amallest Roman coin. ro $\lambda \in \pi \tau \delta \nu$ in the parallel passage in Luke is the prutah or smallest Jewish coin. For this view of sin as a debt op. $\delta \phi e \lambda \lambda \eta-$ $\mu a r a$ in the Lord's Prayer, and the parable of the Unmercifal Servant, oh. xviii. 28 foll., and the Lord's question to Simon the Pharisee, Luke vii. 42.

See Luke xii. 57-59, where the same illustration is used in reference to the divine judgment which was swiftly overtaking the Jewish people.

## ( $\beta$ ) Adultery, 27-32.


tv rŷ kapolq. Contrast with the pure in heart, v. 8.
29. $\delta \delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \delta \delta^{c o v}$, suggested by the preceding veres. The eye and the hand are not only in themselves good and serviceable, but necessary. Still they may become the occasion of sin to us. So pursuits and pleasures innocent in themselves may bring temptation, and involve us in sin. These mast be resigned, however great the effort implied in 'cast it from thee.'
okar\&akifel $\sigma$ e, 'allore thee to destruction.' This verb which is confined to Hellenistio Greek is derived from $\sigma \kappa \alpha d^{2} \delta a \lambda o y$ also Hellenistio; the classical form oкav $\delta \lambda \lambda \eta \theta \rho o v$, itself very rare, is defined as, 'the crooked stick forming the part of a trap on which the bait is placed' (the root-meaning of the word is swift darting movement, as of falling or gliding away, Curtius, Greek Etymology, 166). Hence oxdybanop and its cognates have first the meaning of temptation, combined with those of entrapping and swift destruction. Cp. $\sigma x \dot{d} \nu-$

 trap.' ебкаи $\delta a \lambda / \sigma \theta \eta$ єis $\epsilon \mu \epsilon$. Joan. Mosch. 3049 c. (quoted E. A. Soph. Greek Lex. and there rendered 'tempted to fall in love with me'). This sense of the word conveying, by a vivid and apt imagery, the idea of temptation or allurement to ruin, is applicable to the use of oxiuסanor in most passages of the N.T. See notes, chs. xiii. 41, xvi. 23, xviii. 7. It appears also to be the primary thought in oxaydadices. In other passages the notion of 'entrapping' is prominent. Henoe to 'impede,' 'bring into difficulties'; so to 'irritate,' 'offend.' At this point begins the correspondence with the figarative sense of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa \delta \pi \tau \epsilon \nu$ and $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa \sigma \mu \mu a$, the Latin rendering of which supplies the English words to offend, offence, se., by which oravoa-
 in their origin and literal meaning $\sigma \kappa d j^{2} \alpha \lambda_{0 \nu}$ appears in parallelism with $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \circ \mu \mu a$ in Rom. ix. 31 and 1 Pet. ii. 7, and $\sigma \kappa a y \delta a \lambda!\xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ is nearly synonymous with the figurative sense of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma к \delta \pi \tau \epsilon \nu$.
$\sigma u \mu \phi$ éal yáp $\sigma 02$ к. $\tau$. $\lambda$. Cp. Cic. Phil. viri. 15, In corpore si quid ejusmodi est quod reliquo corpori noceat, uri necarique patimur; ut membrorum aliquod potius quam totum corpus intereat.
81. dmoorácuov. See note on ch. i. 19. The greatest abuses had arisen in regard to divorce, which was permitted on very trivial grounds. One Rabbinical saying was 'If any man hate his wife, let him put her away.' Copies of these bills of divorce are still preserved. The formula may be seen in Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr. ad loc. The same facility of divorce prevails in Mohammedan countries.
32. stapectós. A rare word in N.T. and condemned by the Atticists. See Sturz, Dial. Mac. 210.

入óyou $\pi$ opveias. A Hebraism, "the case of adultery,"
$\dot{\text { ci } \pi<\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu e ́ v \eta \nu, ~ ' w h e n ~ s h e ~ h a t h ~ h e e n ~ d i v o r c e d, ' ~}$
(v) Osths, 53-37.
38. oúk 'imbopkijots. The special reference may be to the third commandment. Cp, also Levit. xiz. 12 , ' Ye shall not awear by my name falsely, neither shalt thou profane the name of thy Cod.' In the kingdom of God no external act or profession as distinct from the thought of the heart can find a place. But such words as those of the Apostle, "The God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, which is blessed for evermore, knoweth that I lie not' (2 Cor. xi. 31), will prevent Christians observing the letter rather than the spirit of our Blessed Savionr's words.
34. $\mu$ 기 $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \delta \sigma \sigma a l}^{8 \lambda \omega s \text {. The prohibition must be anderstood of rash }}$ and oareless oaths in conversation, not of solemn asseveration in Courts of Justice.
ort $\theta$ póvos toxiv rov̂ $\theta$ eov̂. Sueh was the prevalent hypocrisy that the Jews of the day thought that they escaped the sin of perjury if in their begths they avoided using the name of God. One of the Rabbinical sayings was "As heaven and earth shall pass away, so passeth away the oath taken by them.' Our Lord shows that a false oath taken by heaven, by earth, or by Jerusalem is none the less a profa-, nation of God's name.

Hypocrisy reproduces itself. Lhouls XI. 'Edmitted to one or two peculiar forms of oath the force of a binding obligation which he denied to all others, strictly preserving the secret, which mode of swearing he really accounted obligatory, as one of the most valuable of state mysteries.' Introd. to Quentin Durward.
 by the etymological identity of els (evs) and ely. els is used in late Greek where there is no ides of motion, as $\dot{o}$ tiv $\epsilon / 5 \tau \delta p \kappa 6 \lambda \pi 0 \nu \tau o \hat{v}$ татро́s, John i. 18... Where $\epsilon \boldsymbol{y}$ would be required in Classical Greek;


 Epict. mu. 22. 71. Conversely iv is found for $\epsilon$ els, Epict. 11, 20. 23,
 the common spoken dialect of modern Greek $\boldsymbol{i}$ is is used to the exclasion of è. Clyde, Greelc Gram. § 83, Obs. 4. Vincent and Dickson, Handbook to Modern Greek, $\$ 80$.

The construction of $\delta \mu \nu \nu \mu \mathrm{c}$ in classical Greek is $\tau 6$ or кaтí $\tau$ ryos. The first is found in James $\nabla .12$, a passage closely parallel to this,

 a rendering of the Hebrew idiom.
36. $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \overline{\mathrm{i}} \kappa \in \phi \lambda_{\eta}$ бov. A commion form of oath in the ancient world: ep. 'Per caput hoe juro per quod pater ante colebat.' Verg.质n. 1x. 300.
 evil one.'
(b) The law of retaliation, 38-42.
 drew s false inference from the letter of the law. As a legal remedy the lex talionis was probably the best possible in a rude state of society. The principle was admitted in all ancient nations. But the retribation was exaeted by a judicial sentence for the good of the commanity, not to gratify personal vengeance. The deduction that it was morally right for individuals to indulge revenge could not be justified.

Jewish history however records no instanoe of the law being literally carried out. A fine was substituted for the retributive penslty. But the principle of the lex talionis underlay the ensctments of the law, and it is against the principle that Christ's words are directed.

partite. See ch xxvi. 67.
 tion maposed on the words by our Lord's personal example, John xviii. 22, 23.

The gradation of the examples given is from the greater to the less provocation.
40. кpı0 invau. In Attic кolvecr='to bring to trial.' For the construction of крivouac with dat. op. Eur. Med. 609, is ои крсроднас

Xtrwina, 'tunic,' the under-garment. It had sleeves, and reached below the knees, somewhat like a modern shirt. ludtov, tha upper garment. A large square woollen robe, resembling the modern Arab $a b b a$ or $a b a y e h$. The poorest people wore a tunic only. Among the richer people many wore two tunics besides the upper garment. Wealth is often shown in the East not only by the quality but slso by the amount of clothing worn. For the general sense cp. 1 Cor. vi.7, 'There is utterly a fault...suffer yourselves to be defranded.'
41. dyrapoústr, from a Persian word which is probably a corruption of hakkäreh, 'an express messenger' (see Raplinson, Herod. var. 98, note 1), signifies 'to press into service as a conrier' for the royal post, then, generally, 'to force to be a guide,' 'to requisition,' men or cattle. This was one of the exactions which the Jews suffered under the Romans. Alford quotes Joseph. Ant. win. 2, 3, where Demetrius promises not to press into the service the beasts of burden belonging to the Jews. For an instance of this forced service see ch xxiif. 32 .

For the Greek word cp. afrapop $\pi \hat{\Delta} \hat{\rho} \rho$, 'the courier fire,' 巴seh. A gam. 282. The verb is not classical.

HALov. Here only in N.T. Used by Straho=Lat. miliare.
 dтe $\lambda \pi l$ favets (vi. 35). Forced loans have been a mode of oppreskion in evary age, from which, perhapa, no peopla have suffered more than the Jews.
(c) Love or Charity, 43-48-
 thy neighbour as thyeelf.' The second clause does not occur in Levit., but was a Rabbinical inferance. ExOpoús, all who are outside the chosen race, the etymological force of the word. Heathen writers bear testimony to this unsocial characteristic of the Jews. Juvenal says it was their rule-
'Non monstrare vias eadem nisi sacra colenti, Quyesitum ad fontem solos deducere verpos.'-Sat. xIy. 104.
44. See critical notes supra.
 act like God, who blesses those who curse Him and are his enemies, by the gifts of sun and rain. This is divine. Mere return of love for love is a human, even a heathen virtae.

Shakespeare beautifully and most appropriately reprodeces this thought in the appeal to the Jew on the Christian principle ofmeroy, which 'droppeth like the gentle rain from heaven.' Itprehant of
 multi indigni luce sunt et tamen dies oritur.

The illustration would be far more telling in a hot eastern climete than with us. In the Hindoo mythology two out of the three manifestations of deity are Sun and Rain. The thought of God as giver of rain and fruitful seasons is seized upon by St Paul as a conception common to Jew and Gentile on which to found his argument at Lystra. Acta ziv. 17.

Bpexis, used in this sense in the older Greek poets: $\beta$ péxe $\chi$ povetats mффd $\delta \in \sigma \sigma=\sim$ (Pindar), afterwards it passed into the vernacular, but reappears in Polybius, it is frequent in the LXX., and in modern Greek the usual phrases are $\beta \rho \dot{e} \chi \epsilon$, 'it is raining,' $\theta d \beta \rho \xi \xi p$, 'it is going to rain.'
48. of tenĉvou, tax-gatherers; not collectors of a regular tax fixed by government, as with us, but men who farmed or contractul for the publicum (state revenue), hence called Publicani. At Rome the equestrian order enjoged almost exclusively the lucrative privilege of farming the state revenues.

The publicans of the N.T. however are a lower class of tax-gatherers, (exactores), to whom the contractors sublet the collection of taxes. These men repaid themselves by cruel and oppressive exactions. Only the least patriotio and most degraded of the population undertook these funotions which naturally rendered them odions to their fellow-citizens.

It is this system pursued in the Tarkish Empire that produces much frightfol misery and illegal oppression.
47. тois dסe入фois ưpūr póvov. See v. 43. The Hebrew salatation was Shalom (peace).
48. Vrevic teletol. Lit. 'ye shall be perfect.' Either (1) in reference to a future state, "if ye have this true love or charity ye shall be perfect hereafter'; or (2) the future has an imperative force, and $\tau \epsilon \lambda$ tcol is limited by the preceding words $=$ perfect in respect of love, i.e. 'love your enemies as well as your neighbours,' because your Father being perfect in respect of love does this. This use of the future is in accordance with the Hebrew idiom.

## CHAPTER VI.

 receptus. eגequoбvvy was doubtless a marginal explanation.
4. aùtós omitted before àmodáoch, (NBL and others) its presence emphasises the reward.
 torical gloss arising from a search after antithesis. For the real antithesis see note.
 E $\sigma \eta$, the singular introduced to harmonise with context of $\quad$ тay roips $v .2$,

6. тauễov has high authority (NBDE) for $\tau \alpha \mu e \hat{1} о \nu$; cp. the late form ingela for irleca.
 the highest support (NBZ). See notes.
13. The dozology was an early insertion from the liturgy, it is absent from the oldest MSS. (NBD). The textus receptus reads ö́t

18. критт $\hat{\varphi}$ is read for крифaly from the occurrence of the word in verses 4 and 6 .
21. $\sigma$ ow is rightly restored for $\mathbf{v} \mu \hat{\mathrm{v}}$. The sing. individualises the action.
28. In the textus receptus the varbs are in the sing. according to rule : this and $\tau \mathrm{d} \dot{\epsilon} \alpha u r \hat{j} \mathrm{~s} v .34$ are grammatical corrections.
(2) The Kingdom of Heaven exceeds the righteousness of the Pharisces in regard to
(a) Almsarviva, 1-4.

1. Sukuloaivŋy for èequorvivqu. See crit. notes for the evidence for the reading. The two words were nearly synonymous with the Jews, partly because the poor had a right to share in the produce of the land; partly because almegiving is the most natural and obvious external work of righteonaness. In the same way dyain $\eta$, the leading Christian virtue, has lost its original breadth of meaning and has sunk to the modern and restricted sense of 'charity.'
2. ENenjooivt, not classical: it occurs in a poem by Callimachns of Cyrene, librarian of the famous Alexandrian library, circa 260 b.c. Elsewhere it seems to be confined to LXX. and to two writers in the N.T., St Matthew and St Luke. With Charistianity the word became frequent and is found in all western languages in different formsaumône, almosen, alms.
$\mu{ }^{\mu}$ radriogs. The chests for alms in the Synagogue and also in the Temple treasury were called shopharoth (trumpets) from their shape. Possibly the words of the text contain a reference to these shopharoth. Those who dropped their coins into the 'trumpets' with a ringing sound might be said oadarljear. Schöttgen ad loc. But perhaps the expression means simply 'avoid ostentation in almsgiving.'
 logues on the stage (2) 'an wator,' hence (3) in a sense confined to LXX. (Job xxxiv. 30, Exxvi. 13) and N.T. and there with one exception (Mark vii. 6) to Matthew and Luke, 'hypocrites,' those who play a part in life, whose actions are not the true reflection of their thoughts, whose religion is external and unreal. Such men begin by deceiving others, but end in self-deception. It is against these that our Lord's severest reproofs are delivered. imbxptocs occurs in late authors (Polyb, Lucian) in the sense of 'dissimulation,' 'hypocrisy.'
 'impetus', through the softened meaning of 'going', to that of a narrow lane or street, like English 'alley' from French aller. Polybius uses the word for the streets in a camp. In Inake xiv. 21 the póuac are contrasted with the $\pi \lambda a r e i ́ a c$ or broad open spaces in an Eastern city. Schöttgen suggests that the meaning here may be the narrow 'passages' in a synagogue.
cirexovar, 'have in full.' Their reward is now and on earth, cp.


3. roû 8t mouvivtos. Observe the singular number here and v. 6 ; the duties of prayer and almsgiving are taught in their personal and individual aspect. The teaohing of the Talmud commends secresy in almsgiving in such sayings as 'he that doeth alms in secret is greater than Moses.' But the spirit of hypocrisy prevailed; the Pharisees taught and did not.
4. The restored reading in this verse (see above crit. notes) gives the real antithesis which lies in the contrast between reward by God and reward by man, not between secret act and open reward. The repeated $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\psi} \times \rho u \pi \tau \hat{\xi}$ links together the thoughts of the secret act and of the eye that sees things secret.

> (b) Prayer, 5-15.
 Worship. It is a rule for the Chureh.
 sense is a literal translation of a Hebrew word.

Ercwotes. There is no stress on this word, for the posture of standing was as closely connected with preyer as that of sitting was with teaching.
6. Tapleiov. A private oratory or place of prayer. These were usually in the apper part of the honse; in classicel Greek 'storehouse' or 'treasury', the meaning of the word Luke xii. 24. See Matt. xxiv. 26.
 to enjoin clearly secret and silent prayer. Certainly to pray aload and in public appears to have been the Jewish practice (see however 1 Sam. i. 13); it is still the practice with the heathen and Mahommedans. The Roman looked with suspicion on private prayer: 'quod scire hominem nolunt deo narrant' (Seneca). Cp. Hor. Ep. 1. 16. 59-62, where see Macleane's note. Op. slso Soph. Electra 638, where Clytemnestra apologises for offering up a secret prayer.
 prayer or the fervent or reasonable repetition of forms of prayer that is forbidden, bat the mechanical repetition of set words, and the belief that the efficaoy of prayer consists in such repetition.
 'to repeat words again and again.' The word is generally derived from Battus founder of Cyrene who stammered and had a lisp in his speeah, loxpopwyos kal tpau入ós, Herod. rv. I55, where the story is given. Possibly it was a Cyrenian term, in which oase the meaning 'to etammer like your founder Battus' would popularise the word. According to Herod. loc. cit. Battus was Libyan for 'king.'

Wonep of temiko The Jews also had a saying 'every one that multiplies prayer is heard.'
 we are bound to express them. Why? because this is a proof of our faith and dependence upon God, which are the conditions of success in prayer.

## 9-13. The Lord's Prayer.

St Luke xi. 2-4, where the prayer is found in a different conneotion, and is given by our Lord in answer to a request from the disciples to teach them to pray, 'even as John taught his disciples.' The text of St Luke as it stands in E.V. has probably been supplemented by additions from St Matthew.
mditcp $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{v}$. It is of the essence of Christian prayer that God should be addressed as a Father to whose love we appeal, not as a God whose anger we appease. The analogy removes nearly all the real difficalties on the subject of prayer. A wise earthly father does not grant all requests, bat all which are for the good of his children and which are in his power to grant. Again, the child asks without fear, yet no refusal shakes his trust in his father's love or power.
dytanotitw, 'held sacred,' 'revered.' Each of these petitions im. plies an obligation to carry out on our own part what we pray God to accomplish.

 note ch. iii. 2. Lightfoot (Hor. Heb.) quotes an axiom from the Jewish Schools, 'that prayer wherein there is not mention of the Kingdom of God is not prayer.'
11. aprov, 'Bread,' primarily in a literal sense, subsistence as distinct from luxury; but the spiritual meaning cannot be excluded, Christ the Bread of Life is the Christian's daily food.

The address to God as Father influences each petition-to feed, to forgive and to protect his children, are special aets of a father's love.
knıoúrov. This word is unknown to the Classies and in N.T. occurs in the Lord's Prayer only. For a full discussion of the meaning and history of this word see Bp Lightfoot, On a Fresh Revision of the N.T., Appendix 195. His ultimate decision is, "that the familiar rendering 'daily'...is a fairly adequate representation of the original; nor indeed does the English language furnish any one word which would answer the purpose so well." Dr MoClellan has also written an exhanative treatise on érsoúros (Notes on the Four Gospels, p. [632]); he translates, 'give us to-day,' and 'give us day by day [Luke] our bread of life eternal.'

Two derivations have been given. A. $\overline{\epsilon \pi i}$ and oúala. B. The

A. The principal meanings which rely on this etymology are: (1) 'for subsistence,' so 'necessary,' 'needful,' or (2) 'supersubstantial,' i. e. above all essences, so 'excellent' or 'preeminent.' Both these renderings are open to exception; for ouvta is very rare in the sense required by (1), and (2) helongs to a much later theological terminology, and is foreign to the simplicity of the Lord's Prayer. But the form of the compound è $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ covo oos rather than éroúatos affords the most conclusive argument against any interpretation founded on a derivation from ouvia. reptouitos, sometimes adduced in sapport of such a form, is not to the point (for the $t$ in $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ regularly remains unelided), nor are
 sertation); for the words which here follow émi originally began with a digamma.
B. (a) Derived immediately from the masc. participle $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \pi \\ \omega\end{gathered}$
 ceived the meaning of 'coming,' 'succeeding' or 'future,' 'futurus,' 'veniens,' 'adveniens,' a meaning which by a very early interpretation of the word is extended to 'belonging to the future, eternal life,' so 'heavenly' or 'spiritual.'

Against this meaning of the noun and adjective it may be argued: (1) A word made for the occasion could not have received the saccession of meanings implied by this sense; (2) There would be no need to coin a word to express a meaning already conveyed by exoypavos, aióvos, \&c.; (3) érciel implies the nearer future as distinct from $\mu$ è $\lambda$ sos which relates to a more distant future; (4) The one
petition for the supply of simple temporal wants is essential to this, the model of all Christian prayer. Therefore, though the spisitual sense is not excloded, it is present as a secondary and not as a primary meaning. .
( $\beta$ ) Another line of interpretation connects $\dot{e} \pi$ tovacos with the quasisubstantive $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega^{\circ} \hat{\sigma} \sigma a$ ( $\eta \mu \neq \rho a$ ) and gives the following meanings: (1) 'for the mortow,' 'crestinum'; (2) 'daily,' 'quotidianum' of the Veius Itala and of the Vulgate in Lake (not in Matthew where Jerome renders the word 'supersubstantialem'); (3) 'continual,' 'assiduum,' perhaps from the notion of succeeding days.

Of these, (1) and (2) approach very nearly to the true meaning of the word, but against all these the same objection holds which was urged above, viz. that the ideas were expressed by existing adjectival forms. The necessity of a new word arises from the necessity of expressing a new idea, and the new iden expressed by extovocos and by no other Greek adjective is that of the closely impending fature, the moment, the hour, or the day that succeeds the present instant. Translate therefore 'bread for instant need.' For this precise thought no other adjective exists but extofocos; but it is
 implies the interval of a night, it implies delay, it excludes the present
 ఉ̈pa) implies absence of interval and immediate succession. See Bp Lightfoot's Dissertation, p. 203, where this distinction is clearly shown,



 and in N.T. in the Acts only, where in three instances out of five it is used of pursuing a voyage on the 'succeeding' day, in one, oh. xxiii. 11, of


Thas this interesting word é $\bar{\pi}$ wóatos beautifally and alone expresses our dependence, each succeeding day and hour, on our Father for the supply of needs temporal, and in a secondary sense, of needs spiritual. It is the thought expressed by Dr Newman:

> 'Keep thou my feet; I do not ask to see The distant scene, one step enough for me.'
 words used in the N.T. to express the act of forgiveness whether on the part of God or of man. It is important to fix as precisely as possible the meaning of terms intimately bound up with the thought of the Atonement. To the Jewish mind the figure would connect itself
 simply aфeats, Levit. xxp. 31, 40, Ixvii. 24) in which all debts were remitted. See Trench, N.T. Syn. p. 131. To the Greek mind it would denote the thought of 'letting go' from a charge ( $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$, $\phi 6 \nu 0 \nu$, Demosth passim), or from penalties ( $\pi \lambda \neq \gamma$ ds, Aristoph. Nubes, 1426, bat also the idea of forgiveness of debt and generaily of con-

squ入tpata. Sin is a debt-a shortcoming in the mervice due to God or a harm to fellow-men that requires reparation. St Paul gives vivid expression to the thonght Col. ii. 14, $\epsilon \xi a \lambda e 亡 \psi a s$ тo $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} y$ रetpoypapop, 'the bond against us'- 'the account standing against us,' It is contemplated as a thing left undone, rather than an act of trans gression.
 of forgiveness on man's part is past before he prays to receive forgiveness. Cp. ch. v. 23, 24, also the parable of the Unforgiving Servant, ch. xviii. 23 seqq.

 realiy contradictory. The Christion character is strengthened and purified by temptation, but no one can think of temptation without dread.
't̂oal. Lit. 'draw to thyself,' 'rescue,' as from an enemy. Cp.
 where the act of rescuing is regarded as continuous, and Col. i. 13,
 single act of salvation. The aorist imperative ( $\dot{\rho} \hat{\sigma} \sigma a t$ ) indicates a prayer for instant and special deliverance, not continued preservation from danger, cp. $\delta \delta$ s and $\not \partial \phi \epsilon s$ above and $\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma o \nu$, áro $\lambda \lambda \dot{\gamma} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, ch. viii. 25 .
 evil. The Greek bears either rendering, bnt the neuter is preferable and gives a deeper sense. We pray to be delivered from all that is included under the name of evil, not only from external evil but from the prinoiple of evil within us.

## The Formal Structure of the Lord's Prayer.

The Lord's Prayer falls naturally into two divisions answering to one another. The thought of the first line-God addressed as Fatheris felt in each petition. The next three lines correspond to one another precisely in structure and in rhythm. Note the sense of earnestness expressed by the aorist imperative with whioh each line begins, and the sense of devotion expressed by the thrice repeated $\sigma o v$.

These three petitions are in gradation, forming a climax. (1) The preparation for the Kingdom; (2) the coming of it; (3) the perfection of it. This answers to three historical stages: the aoknowledgement of Jehovah in the O.T.; the advent of the Kingdom in the N.T.; the realised Kingdom in the Charch of Christ.
 recallis the address in the first line $\dot{o} \dot{\theta} y$ ov $\rho$, and connects the second division of the prayer with the first by linking avjpavds and $\gamma \hat{\eta}$.

In the three last petitions there is also a climax. (1) Prayer for the supply of present temporal need-the necessary condition of earthly life. (2) Prayer for forgiveness of past sin-the necessary condition of spiritial life, (3) Proyer for future exemption from evil, even
from temptation to evil, i.e. owinpla or salvation. Cp. with the three points of time thus faintly indioated, Soph. Ant. 607, ro $\tau^{\prime}$
 near and far as through the past,' where od exeiva=emeovocov, see note supra.

Last, observe the correspondence of the several clauses in each division: (1) God's name hallowed, with the food and sustenance of the Christian life. (2) The Kingdom of God, with forgiveness of sins (cp. Matt. iii. 2 with Mark i. 4). (3) The will of God, with freedom from evil (1 Thess. iv. 3, Heb. x. 10). In accordance with this interpretation a spiritual sense is given to äproy also, as Christ, the Bread of Life.
14. $\pi$ аратт $\dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$. Another conception of sin, either (1) a false step, a blunder, or (2) a fall beside the way (cp. $\pi$ apaneabur $\epsilon$, Heb. vi. 6), so $e$ transgression. In $\delta \phi \dot{\operatorname{coc} \lambda \nRightarrow \mu a r a}$ sin is viewed in its aspect toward another, in таратт $\dot{\mu}_{\mu}$ ara in its relation to the offender himself, $\pi a \rho d \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha$ is later and rarer than mapaintwots. Polybius uses the word with the same meaning as in the text; in Diod. Sic. it means 'a defeat.'
 the side instead of in the centre.

## (c) Fagting, 16-18.

16. Fasting, in itself a natural result of grief, as any one who has witnessed deep sorrow knows, easily degenerates into a form without reality.
dфavChourıv. Either (1) make unseen, 'veil,' or (2) cause to disappear, so 'destroy', hence (3) 'mar,' by leaving the face unwashen, or by throwing ashes on the head. The first meaning (1) is well established, that of (2) 'destroying' is the prevailing one in LXX., the sense of (3) 'disfiguring,' or 'marring' has less support. Wetstein quotes Etym. M.


 been adduced in support of their view by those who consider Greek to have been the original language of the gospel; but it is more than doubtful that the antithesis is intended.
 they may be seen to be fasting.'

 577.

## (d) Earthly posegesions and Datip oabrs.

 $\pi$ тдyтa $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau a($ (Xenophanes). Love of amassing wealth has been characteristic of the Jews in all ages.

Oriental wealth consisted to a great extent in stores of linen, embroidered garments, \&c., which were handed down and left as heirlooms.

नifs. The English word 'moth'='the devourer'.
Bpērus. Money was frequently buried in the ground in those unsettled times, and so would be more liable to rust. Banks in the modern
 $\sigma \eta \tau b \beta \rho \omega t a$ férovev, James v. 2, 3. One of the many references to the Sermon on the Mount in that epistle. Elsewhere in N.T. $\beta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma t s$ means


 mains in late Greek. Here either (1) of metals 'rust,' or (2) 'eating away'with special reference to $\sigma \dot{n} s$, with which it would forma kind of hen-
 in general. On the whole the second (2) is probably the kind of spoiling or deasy chiefly thought of, but the other meanings need not be exoluded. The word $\beta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma / s$ is doubtless influenced by the Hebr. achal as used Mal. iii. 11.
Siopiracouruv. An expression applicable to the mud walls of Orien-


 hoards buried in the earth.
22. \& $\lambda$ íx vos. 'The lamp.' See ch. v. 15, where the A.V. gives to $\lambda u$ úxpos the meaning of 'candle'; the translation here 'light' is still less correct. The eye is not itself the light, but contains the light; it is the 'lamp' of the body, the light-conveying principle. If the eye or lamp is single, it admits the influx of the pare light only; if an eye be evil, i.e. affected with disease, the body can receive no light at all. The whole passage is on the subject of the singleness of service to God. There can be but one treasure, one source of light, one master. The eye is the spiritual faculty, through which the light of God's truth is recognised and admitted into the soul.

In the current phraseology 'a good eye' meant a bonntiful heart, 'an evil eye ' a covetous heart (Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr. ad loc.). This gives to our Lord's words the thought, 'covetousness darkens the soul more than anything else, it is a medium through whioh the light cannot pass'; op. I Tim. vi. 10, where the same truth is taught in a dif-


The connection in which the words occur in Luke xi. 34 is instructive. The inference there is that the spisitual perception of the Pharisees is dimmed, so that they cannot recognise Christ.
23. Toे $\phi \hat{\omega}_{5}$, here correctly in A. V. 'the light.' If the light be darkened by the diseased and impervions medium which prevents it gaining an entrance all will be darkness within. Coyetousness permits no ray of divine light to enter.
24. Another illustration of the singleness of the Christian character, 'the simplisity that is in Christ' ( 2 Cor. xi. 3), drawn from the relation of master and slave.

Surl kuploss $\delta$ oulevin. Stristly, be a slave to two masters. The absolute subjection of the slave must be considered. The intereste of the 'two masters' are presupposed to be diverse.

Suol, a form condemned by the Atticists (Lob. Phryn. p. 210). In Thuc. vili. 101, $\delta v \sigma l y$ ju $\mu$ epars is read by some editors, see Arnold ad loc. He reads $\delta v o t y$, observing that the words practically differ only in accent.
$\mu a \mu \omega v \underline{a}$. An Aramaic and a Punio word (see Wetstein) signifying 'wealth,' probably connected with Hebr. Aman. So that the literal meaning would be, 'that in which one trusts' (Wilkii Clavis). It is said, on hardly sufficient authority, to have been personified as a god. This would strengthen the antithesis. See Schleusner sub voc. It



25-34. The parallel passage (Luke xii. 22-31) follows immediately the parable of the Rich Fool.
25. סıd rovito, i.e. because this double service is impossible there must be no distraction of thought.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \mu v a ̀ \tau \varepsilon$. 'Do not be anxious,' which was the meaning of 'take, no thought,' when the E. V. was made. 'The same word occurs Phil. iv. 6, $\mu \eta \dot{\partial \epsilon \nu} \mu \epsilon \rho \mu \nu \nu \tau \epsilon$, where, as here, the tense marks continuance, 'do
 pi $\psi$ aures etri autbv. See Bp Lightfoot, On a Fresh Revision of the New Testament, \&c., p. 171.

The argument in the verse is: such anxiety is unnecessary; God gave the life and the body; will He not give the amaller gifts of food and clothing?

Socrates describes this to be the object of his mission: 'to per-

 for a continuation of this quotation.
26. $\boldsymbol{I}_{\mu} \beta \lambda$ ечate. The aorist implies the instantaneous glance possibly at large flocks of birds whirling at that moment in the sky, just as Canon Tristram observed on that very spot 'myriads of rock pigeons. In absolute clouds they dashed to and fro in the ravine, whirling round with a rush and a whirr that could be felt like a rush of wind., The cliffs too are full of caves, the secare resting-places of 'noble griffons, lammergeyers, lanner falcons, and several species of eagles' (Land of Israel, p. 446). From this desoription and from the em. phatic áp $\sigma \tau$ povelov, ch. x. 29, it seems that the multitude of the birds is a leading thought in this illustration just as the colour and bright. ness of the flowers is the most prominent point in the other.
ov่ бтelpovarv к.т.入. There is no argument here against forethought or labovr. In one sense 'trusting to providence' is idleness and a sim. God has appointed labour as the means whereby man provides for his wants. Even birds shew forethought, and search for the food which God has provided for them.

Sıca申'petv, to differ by way of excellence, i.e. 'to excel': $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda_{\text {op }}$ redundant strengthens the verb.
 'stature' or 'duration of life,' so that the meaning may be 'add a cubit to his life.' Comp. Ps. xzxix. 5, 'Thou hast made my days as an handbreadth." This rendering falls in better with the connection. With all his anriety man cannot add to his length of days, or clothe himself like the flowers.

Some reasons however maybe addaced in favour of the rendering of the A.V., which coinoides with the Vulgate. (1) It is better to retain the literal meaning of $\pi \bar{\eta} \chi u v$. (2) The rapid growth of vegetation in the East would make the thought more natural than with us. Comp.


 and Maldonatus ad loc.
28. tv $\delta$ úparos. The birds are an example of God's care in providing food, the flowers of Hie care in providing apparel. The Creator promises that the care ahown to the lowliest of his works shall be extended to the noblest.
rd 'kplva roû dypov, identified by Dr Thomson (Land and Book, p. 256) with a species of lily found in the neighbourhood of Holsh. He speaks of having met with 'this incomparable flower, in all its loveliness...around the northern base of Tabor, and on the hills of Nazareth, where our Lord spent His youth.' Canon Tristram (Nat. Hist. of the Bible) claims this honour for the beautiful and varied anemone coronaria. 'If in the wondrous richness of bloom which characterises the Land of Israel in spring any one plant oan clsim preeminemes, it is the anemone, the most natural flower for our Lord to pluck and seize upon as an illustration, whether walking in the fields or sitting on the hill-side.'
 the use of the plural verb after a neuter plural signifying material objects: either (1) the various parts of the subject are thought of separately rather than collectively; or (2) the action predicated of the subject is conceived as being repeated at successive periods. It may perhaps be a refinement to appeal to these reasons in this particular case, though both apply: probably the preceding structure, v. 26, influences the syntax here. Other instances of this anomaly in the


29. TEpußaineto, 'arrayed himself.' The middle voice has a special force. Though he arrayed himself, the ilies, who trasted to God for their array, are more beautiful than he.
30. X6́pтos, lit. (1) 'an enclosed place,' especially for feeding cattle, hence (2) 'provender,' grass, hay, (3) then generailly 'vegetation,' flowers and grass growing in the fields, which when dried are used for

 $\lambda \in$ yers. The third sense is not elassical:
 wider at the bottom than the top. The process of baking meal-cakes or Chupatties in India, as a friend describes it to me, illustrates this passage and also the meaning of a proc (ch. xiv. 17 and elsewhere) and
 is a jar-shaped vessel formed of tempered clay sunk in the ground. The fuel ( $\chi$ b $\rho$ тos of the text) is 'east into the oven' and lighted. The meal is first made into cakes, which are then taken up and whirled round between the two hands edgeways, and patted until they are as thin and about the size of a pancake, when by a dexterous movement the hand is introduced into the oven and the chupattie thrown against the side. There it sticks of its own adhesion; as it bakes, the edges curl and peel off, when nearly done and in danger of falling, a stick with a curved spike holds it until the correct moment, and serves to withdraw it from the oven. The result is a crisp thin cake, not unlike our oat-cake."
 are retained and used indiscriminately. For this interchange of $\lambda$
 652.
$d \mu \phi \downarrow \dot{\prime} \nu v \omega^{2} v$. This word is used appropriately of the delicate membrane that clothes and protects the flower. Accordingly the thought suggested is not only the brilliant colour of the flower, but also the protection of the surrounding caticle or sheath, which thin and delicate as it is is yet 'little sensitive to external and even chemical agencies.' The periblem (cp. $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \beta a \lambda \epsilon r o$ above) is a technical term with botanists for the cortical tissue or inner membrane underlying the epidermis. See Thome's Struct. and Phys. Botany (translated), Oh. iII.
òльо́тьтtol. A translation of a common Rabbinical expression.
32. $\ell \pi i \xi \eta \tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \boldsymbol{r}$. Either (1) 'seek with eagerness'; $\ell \pi l$ having the force of 'on,' 'further,' so earnestly. See Vaughan on Rom. xi. 7. Or (2) 'make special objects of pursuit,' from the sense of direction or aim in $\epsilon \pi!$. Cp. $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \kappa \kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta \epsilon \hat{i}$, 'to select for caricature.' Riddell, Plato, Apol. Socr. 31 d. With the general thought of the passage cp.


 thought in that epistle. It is the aim ( $\xi_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \varepsilon \bar{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ ) of the Christian life. Note how Christians are taught at least to aim at ( $(\eta \tau e i \bar{y})$ righteousness, when the heathen earnestly aim at ( $\dot{\pi} \cdot \zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i v)$ lower objects.
 inge of Christ is closely parallel to this: aiceite rà $\mu \in \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$ кai rà



For a corresponding sentiment in Greek philosophy cp. Plato, Apol.
 sai idia kal jon oola. The whole passage is worth reading in this connection. Such passages bear witness that what the best heathen recognised as their best thoughts were in fact the nearest to Christianity. The same Spirit led Gentile as well as Jew.
 quotes a Rabbinical saying in illustration: 'there is enough of trouble in the very moment.'
j kakla. Here in the unclassical sense of 'trouble,' 'sorrow,' cp.


## CHAPTER VII.

 Luke vi. 38. In v. 28 again the simple verb is preferred on good authority ta the compound $\sigma v \operatorname{cose}^{\prime} \lambda \in \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
 the aurface, perhaps introduced from a note to mark and heighten the contrast. But the evidence for $\epsilon_{k}$ is not decisive.
 rection to a more regular construction.
 10) are also grammatical corrections tending to explain the structure.
13. eloin才ere for elof $\lambda \theta a r e$ was a change to a more regular form.
14. $\delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ i is rightly adopted, though $\tau i$ has a great preponderance of external authority; of the uncials, $\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{~B}^{*}$ and X alone exhibit $\begin{gathered}\text { tra. The }\end{gathered}$ variant probably illustrates an interesting cause of error, by which the initial letter was sometimes overlooked through being reserved for subsequent revision and more careful work. Scrivener's Introd., p. 15.
 considerable, but not the most ancient evidence to support it. The variation from the passive $\dot{\partial} \mu \omega \omega \theta$ jiactat, v. 26, has some point. Christ Himself sanctions the first parb of the comparison, but leaves the other as a generally accepted and obvious fact without any special sanction on his part. See Jebb, Sacr. Lit. p. 217.

## O. Characteribtics of the Kingdom, 1-27.

After contrasting the New Law with the Mosaic Law and with Pharisaic rules and conduct, Jesus proceeds to lay down rules for the guidance of His disciples in the Christian life.
(a) Jadgment on others, 1-6.

The passage occurs in St Luke's report of the Sermon on the Mount (ch. vi. 37, 38), with a different oontext, and a further illustration of 'fall measure.'

1. 防 крlvere к.t. $\lambda$. This is the form which the 'lex talionis,' or law of reciprocity, takes in the kingdom of heaven.

The censorions spirit is condemned, it is opposed to the extelketa, 'forbearance,' 'fairness in judgment,' that allows for faults, 8 characteristic asaribed to Jesus Christ Himself, 2 Cor. x. 1; op. also Rom. xiv. 3 foll.
iva $\mu_{1}$ крıtête. By Christ on the Last Day.
2. кр $\uparrow \mu a$, ' jndgment' either ( 1 ) in the sense of a judicial sentence
 principle of judging, apparently the meaning here. The notion of 'censure' or 'condemnation' passes into the word from the context as:
 what rare in the classics. In 巴esoh. Supp. 397 it means 'the question in
 57.2 and note 2. Penultimates long in Attic wore sometimes shortened in later Greek, as $\theta \lambda l \psi i s$, oh. xxiv. 9.
3. $\beta \lambda$ erects. Of seeing the external surface of a thing contrasted with ratavoeis, which implies thoughtful perception. It is the contrast between judging from the outside and examination of the heart.

кápфos. A 'twig,' 'splinter,' dry particle of hay ( $\kappa$ ápфض Xen. Anab. 1, 5, 10), straw, \&o. Cp. Aristoph. Av. 641, elfé $\lambda \theta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ es peotrla

 demnation of another for a less grave offence; and whioh (2) would obscure the spiritual discernment, and so render thee an incapable jadge. The Pharisaic sin of hypocrisy (see next verse) was deeper and more fatal to the spiritual life than the sins which the Pharisee condomned.

סox $\delta v$. From $\delta \in \chi$ о $\mu a t$, in the sense of receiving, $=$ 'a beam let in'; ep.
 Aristoph. Vesp. 201. The word appears to be Homeric and vernacular, not ased in literary language.
4. áqes Exßăhw. 'Let me cast out.' See Winer, p. 356 b , and note 3, where instances of this case of ádes with conjunative are
 belongs to the vernacular. In modern Greek is, 8 corruption of ádes, is ased with the subjunctive whenever let occurs in the English imperative. Clyde's Modern Greek, p. 17.
 reading, has considerable MS. support (see Crit. Notes). The gloss if it be a gloss shows a sease of the contrast already indicated by $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \in \omega$
and katavotiv. dird implies removal from the surface, $\boldsymbol{d}^{2}$ removal from deep within.
(b) The Father's love for the children of the Kingdom shown by answering prayer, 7-11.
6. The connection between this verse and the preceding section is not quite obvious. It eeems to be this. Although evil and censorious judgment is to be avoided, discrimination is needful. The Christian mast be jndicions, not judicial.
rod dytov, i.e. 'spiritual traths.". Some have seen in the expression a reference to the holy fiesh of the offering (Hag. ii. 12). But this allusion is very doubtful; see Meyer on this passage.
kvoiv...xoupôv. Unclean animals; see the proverb quoted 2 Pet.
 also Hor. Ep. I. 2. 25, "vel canis immundus vel amica luto sus.' See note on ch. xv. 26.
$\mu a p y a p i t a s$. The only gems mentioned in the Gospels, twice named by Je日us: here, where they signify the deepest spiritual thoughts of God and heaven, and ch. xiii. 46, where 'the pearl of great price' is the kingdom of heaven itself. The general sense is 'use discrimingtion, discern between holy and unholy, between those who are receptive of these high truths and those who are not.' The profame will despise the gift and put the giver to shame. Want of common sense does great harm to religion.

 very rarely (in Classios) after $\mu$ ฑ. Goodwin, Greek Moods and Tenses, §44, note 1.
iv rois mootiv. (1) 'with their feet,' or (2) 'at their feet.'
This verse is a good example of Hebrew poetioal form; the fourth line, кal $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{p} \dot{\eta} \xi \omega \sigma \omega \nu \dot{j} \mu \hat{a} s$, being in parallel relation to the first, $\mu \eta$
 second. Thus the appropriate actions are ascribed to the kúves and the $\chi$ otpol.

T, 8. Here each verse contains a triplet with ascending climax, at-reite-ラทreire-крои́ete. Each line of the one answers to the corresponding line of the other, with which it might be read continuoasly. It is a simple instance of a specisl characteristic of Hebrew poetry, of which examples sometimes elaborated with the greatest skill may be seen in Jebb's Sacred Lit. see. Iv. Comp. with this triple climax of rising earnestness in prayer, the triple climax of things desired in the Lord'e Prayer. A olose relation between the two might be shewn.
alteite, kal foorjoeral. The connection is again difficult. The verse may be the answer to the disciples' anspoken questions: (1) 'How shall we discriminate?' or (2) 'Who are fit to receive these divine truths?" The words of Christ temch, (1) that diseernment will be given, among other 'good things,' in answer to prayer; (2) that
prayer in itself implies fitness, because it implies desire for such traths.
alteite alreìv used of the petition to a superior. Éporây, in its unolassical sense of 'requesting,' is used of equals, a distinction whioh is strictly observed in the N.T. Trench (N.T. Syn. p. 169) remarks, 'our Lord never uses altềv or alreírfat of Himself in respect of that which He asks on behalf of His disciples from God.'
9. Translate: 'Or what man is there from among you of whom his son shall ask a loaf-he will not give him a stone, will he?' Here the regular interrogative form of the sentence is checked and gives place to a fresh form of interrogation which is more pointed as definitely involving the reply. $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ asks affirmatively and expects a negative answer.
äprov...入(Oov...lx0iv...äфtv. The things contrasted have a certain superficial resemblance, but in each onse one thing is good, the other unclean or even dangerous.
10. fif xal lytivy altríret. See Critical Notes. Regarding the constraction as independent, translate (1) 'Or again (the son) will ask a fish-will (the father) give him a serpent?' or (2) understanding the relative $\delta y$ from the previous clause, 'or will he of whom his son shall ask,' \&c.

It may be noted that both afros and lx $\mathrm{li}_{\mathrm{ol}}$ became for different reasons symbols of Christ.
11. movjpol. 'Evil' as compared with the perfect righteousness of God.
dya日ci. For this St Luke (xi, 13) has 'the Holy Spirit,' shewing that spiritual rather than temporal 'good things' are intended.
12. ovin. The practical resalt of what has been said both in regard to judgment and to prayer is mutual charity. The thought of the divine judgment teaches forbearance; the thought of the divine goodness teaches kindness.
(c) The narrow entrance to the Kingdom, 13, 14.

These verses are linked to the preceding by the thought of prayer, for it is by prayer chietly that the narrow entrunce must be gained.
 to be drawn from a mansion having a large portal at which many enter, and a narrow entrance known to few, with broad and narrow ways leading respectively to each. One is the gate and the way of destruction ( $d \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon a$ ), the other is the gate and the way of life ( $\delta \omega \dot{\eta}$ or $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p(a)$. Op. the contrast between oi à ano $\lambda \lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu o c$, 'those in the way of deatruction,' and oi $\sigma \omega j$ f $\mu \mathrm{e}$ voc, ' those on the way of salvation or life,' 1 Cor. i. 18. The $\pi \dot{v} \lambda a l$ are probably the palace or city gates, not, as some have inferred from the position of the words, the entrances to the two ways, $\pi \dot{0} \lambda \eta$ is named before dods according to a not uncommon Greek usage, as being first in thought though second in point of fact; op. Plato, Apol. Soc. p. 18, where raî́ss is named before $\mu \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha к \iota a$, and


To the use of $\dot{\delta} \delta \delta$ s in this passage we may probably refer $\dot{\eta} \delta \delta \dot{\delta}$ and aürv $\dot{\eta}$ ódós, meaning the Christian Church (Acts ix. 2, xix. 9). Such usage was however influenced by the philosophic meaning of a $\delta 6$ s, and the common Hebraisms 'the way of the Lord,' 'the paths of righteousness,' \&c.
14. ötı. This ört equally with the first, $v, 13$, is in construction


For the reading $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \bar{\eta}$ see Crit. Notes. The internal evidence against it is strong. (1) The meaning assigned to $\tau l$, "how narrow;' is unezampled in the N.T.; Luke xii. 49 is not an instance. (2) The reading is harsh and breuks the constructive rhythm of the passage.


 of the day, el $\delta$ गlyot ol $\sigma \omega j \delta \mu \in v a$, , Luke xiii. 43, the parallel passage to this (St Luke has instead of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \lambda \theta a \tau \epsilon$ the stronger phrase $\dot{d} \gamma \omega v / j \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ci $\sigma \in \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu)$. It was a question that had been canvassed most earnestly in the reflective period after the cessation of prophecy. An answer to it would be demanded of every great teacher. See Prof. Westcott's Introduction to N. T., p. 105, especially the quotation from 2 Esdras vii. 1-13. 'The entrance to the fair city was made by one only path, even between fire and water, so small that there conld but one man go there at once,' Before Adam's transgression it was wide and sure.
(d) The false guides to the narrow entrance, and the test of the true, 15-23.
 are $\tau \omega \nu, \pi \rho b_{s} \tau t, \pi \rho \delta s \tau v t$ from the idea of attention to a thing comes that of caution about a thing, and dud denotes the source of expected

 construction is not used in N.T. except by St Matthew and St Luke.

廿eviompoфŋтûv, who will not help you to find the narrow way.
 ' wearing the appearance of guilelessness and truth.'
入úкot äpтayes. Cp. Acts xx. 29, where St Paul, possibly with this passage in his thoughts, says to the presbyters of Ephesus, ely ${ }^{\text {o }}$ otia


 contain implicitly a whole range of thoughts which would be present to the instructed disciples of the Lord-the fold of Christ-the Good Shepherd-the thief 'whose own the sheep are not.'

Wolves are still common in Palestize. Canon Tristram observes that they are larger than any European wolf and of a lighter colour.
16. akavea. A thorn tree, a kind of aoacia. Athenæus describes it as having a romd fruit on small stalls. It would give additional
point to the saying if there were a distant but deceptive likeness between grapes and the berries of the dixapia.
tpifilon. The caltrop, a prickly plant reckoned by Virgil among the farmer's plagues, Lappreque tribulique interque nitentia culta |infelix lolium et steriles dominantur avena. Georg. г. 153.
19. $\mu_{\eta}$ motov̂v. 'If it does not produce.' To this day in the East trees are valued only so far as they produce fruit.
 from v. 16. See Jebb's Sacred Lit. p. 195-197. The well-known lines of Dryden, 'What passion cannot masic raise and quell'; and those of Southey in a passage beginning and ending 'How beautiful is night!' are quoted in illustration.
 day.' This is a forecast far into the distant future, when it would be worth while to assume Ohristianity, when hypocrisy would take the form of pretending to be a follower of the now despised Jesus. (See Canon Mozley's sermon, On the reversal of human judgment.)
KGpue, кúpıs. The iteration implies affection and reverence; it was nsual in an address to a Rabbi. Here it is the repetition of hypocrisy. The chain of meanings in фdoxecu shows that reiterated assertion brings no impression of truthfulness.
eтрофŋтвíгацку, i.e. preached. The greatest of preachers dreads such a sentence. 1 Cor. ix. 27, 'Lest that by any means, when I have preached to others, I myself should he a castaway.' There is a reference to these words in the so-called second epistle of Clement,

 ooturnv. See at $v .23$.

For the position of the augment see Winer, p. 84, and note; Tisch. and Treg. place the augment before the preposition wherever the word occurs, Lach. excepts Jude 14, троeф the position in the text is not unusual, and as there is no simple verb $\phi \eta r e \dot{u} \omega$ it must be regarded es regular.
23. © $\mu$ ohoriv. Properly to 'agree,' 'gdmit': in late Greek to ' assert,' ' affirm.'
oubemote Eprov. "Never recognised you as being my disciples, with my name on your lips your heart was far from me.' Lach false claim is answered by the Judge. As prophets he does not recognise them. He bids the false casters-forth of demons begone as though they thembelves were demons,-the workers of juvdues were really workers


 dyopias.
24. Tâs ófots dxové. Cp. v. 26, every one that heareth. Both classes of men hear the word. So far they are alike. Moreover the two houses have externally the seme appearance. The great day of
trial shews the difference. The imagery is from a mountain-country where the torrent-beds, sometimes more than balf a mile in width in the plain below the mountain, are dry in summer, and present a level waste of sand and stones. We may pictare the foolish man building on this sandy bottom, while the wise or pradent man builds on a rock planted on the shore, or rising out of the river-bed, too high to be affected by the rush of waters. In the autumn the torrents stream down, filling the sandy channel and carrying all before them. For the spiritual sense of the parable see 1 Cor. iii. 10 foll.

The effect of the two pictures is heightened by the poetical form. Observe the three long slow lines that describe the building of the houses suoceeded by the brief vivid sentences that recall the beating of a fierce tropical tempest, and then the lasting result when the tempest passes away described by another long line.

The points of similarity in the two descriptions give prominence to the points of difference. $d \mu \mu o y$ and rérpay are contrasted in the third line of each atanza. But the fatal and infinite distinction is reserved for the close. Like line and like condition succeed each other in the parallel images, and all seems safe and well for each alike until the fatal last line falls on heart and ear with a crash.
 position of the verbs give great vivacity to the description.
oi mотаро․ 'Streams,' rather than 'floods,' A.V. $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta a, y$, ' came,' because before there had been only a dry channel.
28. 若eridrjocovto. The tense implies the continuance of the astonishment, or the passing of it from group to group.

The meaning of this astocishing discourse was not lost upon the audience. No word could express more clearly the wonder and sense of novelty excited by the language and (as we may believe) the looks and bearing of Jesus. It was the astonishment of men who find themselves listening to the proclamation of a revolution set forth with marvellons force and beauty of language, who quite unconsoiously find themselves face to face with a national crisis, the greatness of which was reoognised by the listeners with a swiftness of spiritual perception only paralleled by the intellectual quickness of an Athenian crowd.
of Exגot. The crowds, i.e. the parious groups that composed the assemblage.
 of $i t$.
 continuance of the action, 'He was teaching,' not as A.V. 'taught.' The thought of the listeners was : 'While He was teaching we felt all along that He was a lawgiver, not merely an interpreter of the law.'
wis of ypapцareits au่Têv. Whase highest boast it was that they never apoke save in the words of a Rabbi.
oi $\gamma$ рацнате̂s. Sopherim = either (1) 'those who count' (Heb. saphar); because the Scribes counted each word and letter of the Scriptares; or
(2) 'those occupied with books' (Heb. sepher). The Scribes, as an organised body, originated with Ezra, who was in a special sense the 'Sopher' or Scribe. This order of Sopherim, strictly so called, terminated e.c. 300 . Their successors in our Lord's time were usually termed Tanaim, 'those who repeat, i.e. teach the Law.' They are called 'lawyers' (ch. xxii. 35; Luke v. 17; Acts v. 34), also 'the wise,' 'Elders,' and ' Rabbis.'

A scribe's education began as early as in his fifth year. At thirteen he became a'son of the law,' Bar-mitsvah. If deemed fit, he became a disciple. At thirty he was admitted as a teacher, having tablets and a key given him. See note, ch, xvi. 19, His functions were various; he transcribed the law (here the greatest accuraey was demanded); he expounded the law, always with reference to authority -he acted as judge in family litigation, and was employed in drawing up various legal documents, such as marriage-contracts, writings of divorce, \&o. (See Kitto's Cycl. Dib. Lit. and Smith's Bib. Dict. Art. 'Scribes.')

The alliance between Scribes and Pharisees was very close, each taught that the law could be inter preted, 'fenced round' and aided by tradition, in opposition to the Sadducees, who adhered to the strict letter of the written law.

## CHAPTER VIII.

 omission of $\pi \rho \delta \bar{s}$ before $\epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\prime} \nu$.
3. The name 'I $\eta$ oous occurs in this chapter four times against MS. authority,-vv. 3, 5, 7, 29. Such insertions are principally due to the Church lectionaries, the proper name being introduced at the commencement of a passage selected for reading.
8. $\lambda \delta$ y甲 for $\lambda$ bjop. The accusative inserted as the more usual case after tint.
 stated by Origen to be the prevailing reading.
 fluenced by Luke viii. 32.



## 1-4 A Leper is Cleansed.

St Mark i. 40-44; where this incident is placed in the course of a Galilean circuit, and before the return to Capernaum. St Luke
 Sermon on the Mount. With these discrepancies which meet as at every tarn in the Gospels, it appears to be a hopeless task to construct a chronological arrangement of our Lord's ministry. On the other
hand anoh divergences of plan form the strongest evidence of the independence of the narratives.
 gravity of the disease. In Levit. xiii, 13, where a man appears to be pronounced olean if 'the leprosy have covered all his flesh,' there is probably, as it is pointed out in the Speaker's Commentary, a misconception which has caused muoh difficulty to commentators. The plague there described is not true leprosy or elephantiasis, bat the common white leprosy. The priest shall consider and pronounce clean the plague, i.e. deolare that it is not true leprosy. Leprosy is to be regarded as eapeoially symbolic of sin: (1) the beginning of the disease is almost annoticed, (2) it is contagious (this point is dispoted, but see in Speaker's Commentary note preceding Levit. xiii. 13, and Belcher, Our Lord's Miracles of Healing, eh. iv., also Meyer ad loe. who takes the same view), (3) in its worst form it is incurable except by the touch of Christ; (4) it separated a man and classed him with the dead.
zporesúva. The imperfect marks that persistency in prayer, which Jesus had just promised should win acceptance; while the leper's words imply a faith which is another condition of aoceptance.

For the word see note ch. ii. 2. Kúpee bears out the idea of Oriental soveraignty conveyed by the verb. In Mark the reading ronutefûy is doubtful, Bt Luke has $\pi \in \sigma \omega \bar{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi o y$.
3. fiquro. An aet that would bring with it legal defilement. St
 passion;' both he and St Luke express the healing somewhat more

 โTmev.
$\delta_{p a} \mu \eta \delta e v l$ кifrys. Christ enjoins the cleansed leper to tell no one, thus instructing us that He would not have people converted by His miracles. Christ addresses Himself to men's hearta, not to their eyes or eara. He will not fling Bimself from the height of the temple to persuade men. But the injunction was doubtless also for the saike of the cured leper. It was not for his soul's health to publish to others the work that Christ had done on him.
$\pi \rho o \sigma t y e \gamma k o v 1$ aor. тробtлeүкє 2 aor. (Mark and Luke). For the clagsical use of these two aorista вee Veitch sub voc, $\phi \in \rho \omega$.
8 траб\&тałev Maïaris. 'Two birds alive and clean, and cedar wood, and saarlet and hyssop.' And on the eighth day 'two he lambs without blemiah, and one ewe lamb of the first year without blemish, and three tenth deals of fine flour for a meat offering, mingled with oil, and one log of oil;' or if poor, ' he shall take one lamb for a trespass offaring to be waved, and one tenth deal of flour mingled with oil for a meat offering, and a log of oil and two turtle doves or two young pigeons such as he is able to get.' Levit. xiv. 4, 10, 21, 22.

Dr Edersheim says of this twofold rite that the first was to restore
the leper to fellowship with the congregation, the second to introduce him anew into communion with God.
aủrois. Either (1) to the priests, or (2) to the people who were following Jesus; in either case to shew that Jesus came to fulfil the law, and as an evidence that the cure was real and complete.

## 5-13. Curr of a Canyurion's Servant.

St Luke vii. 1-10, where the incident is placed immediately after the Sermon on the Mount. The centurion sends a deputation of Jewish elders to Jesus, who speak of the worthiness of the centurion and of his love to the nation, 'he built us a synagogue.' St Luke does not introduce our Lord's comparison between Jew and Gentile, and the promises to the latter. This last point is characteristio-the rejection of the Jews is not dwelt apon when the Gospel is preached to the Gentiles. This might be further illustrated from the Acts.
b. ékatóvtapxos, i.e. a captain or commander of a century-a company nominally composed of a hundred men, the sixtieth part of a legion in the Roman army. This centurion was probably an officer in the army of Herod Antipas, which would be modelled after the Roman fashion, and not, as is often understood, a Roman Centurion.

This form appears to be used indifferently with the form in $\cdot \eta$ s which the best criticism has restored in $v .13$.
6. of mais. 'Slave,' not 'son;' the meaning is determined by the parallel passages; in Luke vii. where, though the centurion himself uses the more affectionate term $\pi$ ais ( $v, 7$ ), the messenger ( $v .3$ ) and the Evangelist (v. 10) call the servant $\delta_{0} \hat{i} \lambda o s$.
mapa入utıкós. Stricken with palsy or paralysis, a disease often free from a.ate suffering, but when it is accompanied by contraction of the musoles, the pain, as in this case, is very grievous. St Luke does not name the nature of the disease.
 iv. 24. The invariable practice of extracting evidence from slaves by torture gives $\beta$ acaplseo $\theta a l$ the secondary force 'to torture,' 'to put to the question.'

Possibly the actual experience of this poor slave suggested the word; by no other could he describe to his master the agony he was onduring; it was the agony of torture.
 between the centurion's command and the authority of Jesns. 'If I who am under authority command others, how much more hast thou power to command who art under no authority? If I can send my soldiers or my slave to execute my orders, how much more canst thou send thy ministering spirits to do thy bidding?' The centurion was doubtless acquainted with the Jewish belief on the subject of angels, their subordination and their office as ministers of God.
licavds lva. The construction belongs to the consecutive and later use of tua. The classical idiom would require the infinitive.
9. kal yóp, 'for indeed.' kal connects the reason why Christ should not enter more closely with the facts of the centurion's position.

 кal èкатоутd́ $\rho$ а.
 centurion's orders, his soldiers come and go, i.e. march when he bids them. His slave he orders to do this, i.e. perform any servile work. In the household of the centurion Cornelius we find as here otretal and


Mark this as the first contact of Jesus with slavery. With auch relations between master and slave as these slavery would soon pass away.

It was no express enactment of Christ, but the Spirit of Christ, which this centurion had caught, that abolished slavery.
11. divato入へิv. See note ch. ii. 1.
 quet is often used to represent the joy of the kingdom of heaven. Luke xiv. 15, xxii. 29, 30; Rev. xix. 9. Cp. Isaiah xxy. 6.
 which the banquet is going on.
 wailing and gnashing of teeth which yon speak of;' rò $\lambda e \gamma \delta \mu e \gamma o v$, it was a common figure.
13. "tayc, 'go,' the ordinary modern word in this sense, and so used colloquially before it was establiahed in literary language. Cp .
 viná $\gamma \epsilon i r$ is especially frequent in St John's gospel.

## 14-17. The Cure of Peter's Mother-in-Law of a Fever, Mark i. 29-31; Luke iv. 38, 39.

St Luke's description bears special marks of scientific accuracy. Both St Mark and St Luke mention that the incident took place when 'he came ont of the synagogue;' and St Mark adds that he went into the house of Simon and Andrew with James and John.
14. els wivy olklav חérpov. From John i. 44 we learn that Bethsaide was the city of Andrew and Simon Peter. Either then (1) they had changed their home to Capernaum, or (2) Bethsaida was olose to Capernaum.

TगV reveqpáv. St Peter alone of the Apostles is expressly named as being married. It is however a probable inference from 1 Cor. ix. 5, that all the Apostles were married: $\mu \grave{\eta}$ odx Exouev $\xi \xi$ ovalav d $\delta \in \lambda$ -
 кal $\mathrm{K} \eta \phi \hat{a} s$. It is worthy of note that no wives or children of Apostles are known to Church history.
 youp. avuex. In a teohnical word inplying the 'constraint' of siekness; the symptoms of $\pi v \rho \in \tau \delta s$ séras as described by ancient physicians resemble those of typhus fever.
$\beta<\beta \lambda \eta \mu\langle\eta \eta v$ denotes the great and sudden prostration characteristio of this kind of fever.
15. म゙ $\psi$ ars. The touch of Jesus is not mentioned in Luke.
di $\dot{\eta} \kappa$ cev auturv. The addition of evetcs in Mark is probably a gloss. St Luke however has mapaxp $\hat{\mu} u a \dot{\alpha} \mu a \sigma \tau \hat{a} \sigma a$. To the physician the completeness and suddenness of the cure proves the miraculons nature of it.

8inkown. In the proper sense of serving at table; see note ch. iv. 11.
16. $\lambda 6$ үү. Not by a touch, as in the case of leprosy and faver. Christ never laid his hand on demoniacs.
17. Isaiah liii. 4.

18-22. Fitness for Dibcipleship. Lule ix. 57-62.
St Luke names three instances, and places the scene of the incident in Samaria.

The instances are typical of the way in which Jesus deals with different characters. To one attracted by the promises of the Gospel and full of eagerness, Jeaus presents the darker side-the diffioulties of the Christian life; the half-hearted discipleship of the other is confronted with the necessity of absolute self-renunciation.
19. fis. To be taken in connection with erepos $\delta \ell$, the first in the enumeration.
ypapuartis. The accession of a Scribe to the cause of Christ must have appeared to the people as a great success. Language of the most extravagant adulation is used to express the dignity and influence of the Scribes. Tet Jesus discourages him. No secondary motives are named, but the Scribe may have expected a high position in the kingdom of a temporal Messiah. We are not told whether, thus brought face to face with privation and hardship, he was daunted like the young ruler (ch. xix. 16), or persevered like the sons of Zebedee (ch. XI. 22).
20. 中wheoús. A word used by Plutarch and other late authors.
 83, a heteroclite plural $\phi \omega \lambda \epsilon d$ is found.

'In which all trees of honour stately stood, And did all winter as in summer bud, Spreading pavilions for the birds to bower.' E. Splanger.
 title is found in Dan. vii. 13: 'I saw in the night visions, and, behold, ons like the Son of man came with (in) the clouds of heaven, and came to the Ancient of days, and they brought him near before him.' Hence to the Jews it would be a familiar designation of the Messiah-the King whose 'everlasting dominion' is described in the next verse (Dan. vii. 14). (See Dr Pasey, On Daniel, Lecture ir.)

The Hebraism may be considered in the light of similar expresslons, 'sons of light,' ' son of perdition,' 'son of peace,' \&e., in all of which the genitive denotes a quality inherent in the subject. Sons of light = the spititually enlightened, sons of wisdom=the wise. By the Son of man then is meant He who is essentially man, who took man's nature apon Him, who is man's representative before God, shewing the possibilities of purified human nature, and so making atonement practicable.

The title 'Son of man,' so frequently used by our Lord of Himself, is not applied to Him except by Stephen (Acts vii. 56), 'I see the heavens opened, and the Son of man standing on the right hand of God.' In Rev. i. 13 and xiv. 14, where the expression occurs without the definite article the reference to the Messianio title is not certain.





 clear. The word 'dead' is used first in a figarative, secondly, in a litersl sense, as in John xi. 25, 26. In a figurative sense by the 'dead' are intended those who are ontside the kingdom, who are dead to the true life. Perhaps a brother or brothers of the disciple had rejected Christ, 'let them bury their father.'

St Luke, after 'let the dead bury their dead,' adds, 'but go thou and preach the kingdom of God.'

Perhaps no inoident marks more decisively the height of self-mbandonment required by Jesus of His followers. In this instance the disciple is called upon to renounce for Christ's sake the last and most sacred of filial duties. The unswerving devotion to Christ is illustrated in the parallel passage (Luke ix. 62) by "the man who pats his hand to the ploagh.'

28-27. The Storm on the Lake. Mark iv. 35-41; Luke viii. $22-25$.
St Mark, as usual, adds some interesting details: 'it was eveningthere were other boats with Him-a great storm (haîa $\psi$ ) of windthe waves beat into the boat-He was asleep on the cushion ( $\tau \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \rho 0 \sigma$ кєф ${ }^{\prime}$ (atoo) in the hinder part of the boat.'

With all these points of difference in seven short verses, how can it be said that St Mark's Gospel is an abridgment of St Matthew's:
23. Td $\pi \lambda_{0}$ iov. The ship or fishing-boat, i.e the boat which Jesras always used.
24. नetrjos, elsewhere of carthquakes, Luke and Mark have the more descriptive $\lambda a \tilde{\lambda} \mathrm{ha} \psi$.
aútds Encíteworv. 'He-the Master-continued to sleep.' It is the only place where the sleep of Jesus is named.

The nominative of autis is very rare in Matthew and Mark but very common in Luke. It has the proper classioal force of contrast in this passage, but there is also some evidence that autds was ased of Christ in relation to his disciples as the Master in the sense of avids toa, cp. 2 Peter iii. 4; 1 John ii. 12; 2 John 6, where à̇roû is used of Christ without any expressed antecedent.
 words adds to the impression of danger. Cp. ch. xxvi. 45, 46. St

 request-the aorists in the Lord's prayer.
 Eth. Nic. in. 7, 10. The sea was a recognised test of courage, ou $\mu \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$
 $\theta \rho a \sigma$ is occur in N. T. Cowardice and want of faith are classed together as grievous sins in Rev. xxi. 8, $\delta \in \lambda$ oís kal ditiatos.
 The vivacity of Eastern speech personifies the disease as well as winds and waves. $\quad i \pi \iota \tau<\mu \hat{a} y$, first of fixing a penalty ( $\boldsymbol{r} \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ ), then of judicial rebuke, then of rebuke generally.
27. of $\quad$ av $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ oh. The disciples, and other fishermen who were also on the Lake: see account in Mark.

28-34. The Gadarini Demoniacs. St Mark v. 1-20; St Luke viii. 26-39.
St Mark and St Luke make mention of one demoniac only. St Mark relates the incident at greater length and with more partioularity. St Mathew omits the name 'iegion,' the prayer not to be sent into the 'abyss' (Luke), the request of one of the demoniacs to be with Jesus, and the charge which Jesus gives him to tell his friends what great things the Lord had done for him.
28. Ta. and 「ep $\epsilon \in \sigma \eta^{\nu} \omega^{\nu} \nu$ in the Synoptic acconnts. Gerasa and Gergesa are forms of the same name. Gadara was some distance to the south of the Lake. It was, however, the capital of Peræa, and the more important place; pobsibly Gergesa was under its jurisdiction. Gergesa is identified with the modern Khersa; in the neighbourhood of which 'rocks with caves in them very suitable for tombs, a verdent sward with bulbous roots on which the swine might feed ${ }^{\dagger}$ (Macgregor, Rob Roy), and a steep descent to the verge of the Lake, exactly correspond with the circamstances of the miracle. (See Map.)
vinivimoar. The force of $\dot{u} \pi \delta$ in this word may be illustrated by
 side' (per contra), vinoorpeфєev, 'to turn in an opposite direction;' here
 $\mu a ̈ \sigma \theta u$ are similar instances of the use of $\nu_{\pi} \delta$ cited by Riddell, Plato, Apol. Socr., Digest. 131.
$\mu \nu$ тиelouv. Tombs hewn out of the mountain-sides formed convenient dwelling-places for the demoniacs.
29. L6ovi Expagav. Gp. Verg. Aen. xv. 490, Mugire videbis | sub pedibus terram; but 1800 in Hellenistio Greek is little more than a vivid transitional particle, drawing attention to what follows.
31. Saf $_{\text {foves. }}$. The masculine form occurs nowhere else in N.T. In the parallel passages Mark v. 12 and Lake vii. 29, the best criticism rejects this form. It is an interesting instance of the tendency with copyists to assimilate parallel passages even in minor particulars.
32. tovi кр $\eta \mu$ vovi. Translate, the steep place. The slope of Gergesa, familiar to Matthew and to the readers of his Gospel.
33. of $8 t \beta$ $\beta$ oxoures. It does not appear whether these were Jews or Gentiles, more probably the latter; if the former, they were transgressing the law.
(1) This narrative may be regarded as a signal instance of $\mu$ erapoca, or change from the old evil state to the new life. (2) It recalls the connection between sin and disease. The majority of cases of mania may be traced to sins of impurity; the impurity expelled, the man becomes sound in body as well as in mind. (3) The destruction of the swine should present no difficulty. The asme God, who, for purposes often hidden, allows men to die by thousands in war or by pestilence, here, by the destruction of a herd of swine, enforces a moral lesson which the world has never forgotten.
34. 8 ттоs $\mu$ етаß $\hat{n}$. The motive for the request was fear lest a greater disaster should follow (Meyer).

## CHAPTER IX.

 infra) is supported by K B and Origen, and is adopted by the leading editors. In Lake v. 23, ápé $\omega y$ rac is nnquestioned.
b. Eyetpe for Eyetpal. An example of itacism, errors arising from nimilarity of sonnd. 'In all the passages in which fyelpe occurs, there is found, as a different reading, e' $\gamma \epsilon \rho \rho a$. .' (Meyer.)

 passage, Luke $\nabla .32$. The tendency to harmonise is a freguent source of error.
 stance of the forms of the middle voice gradually giving place to passive forms. In modern Greek there is no middle voice.
35. The words $\epsilon \nu T \hat{̣}$ Râ̂, limiting the action to Israel, are rightly elided after $\mu$ a $\lambda a x l a v$.

 but $D^{*}$ bas $\dot{\rho} \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \mu t \nu a$.

## 1-8. Cure of a Man afflicted with Paralysie. Mark ii. 1-12; Luke v. 18-26.

Both St Mark and St Lake notice the crowding of the people to hear Jesus, and narrate the means by which the sufferer was brought into His presence.

1. cls $\pi \lambda_{0} \hat{i} 0$. In suoh adverbial expressions the article is often absent, as els olkov. Cp. English ' to take ship,' "to go home.'
rìv IElav móhıv. Capernaum, the city where He dwelt, thns
 his ancestral city.

2-6. When Jesus said 'Thy sins are forgiven thee' the young man did not immediateily rise (see v. 7). Instantly the Scribes thought with a sneer 'this fellow blasphemes,' i.e. pretends to a divine power which he does not possess. They said in their hearts it is easy to say, 'Thy ains are forgiven,' let him say, 'Arise, and walk,' then we shall discover his blasphemy. Jesus answers their thoughts. His words are not 'whether' as in A. V., but 'why is it easier to say, Thy sins are forgiven thee, than to say, Arise, and walk?' In truth it was not easier to aay, 'Thy sins are forgiven' as Jesus says those words, for to say them implied the cure of soul and of body too; but in order to convince the Scribes of His power He adds the words, 'Arise, and wall;' and implicitly bids them infer that the inner worl of forgiveness had as surely followed the first words as the outward and visible result followed the command to rise and walk.
 6), theretore suffering from a less severe type of paralysis.

Tilv Tifotv autwôv: the faith of those who brought him as well as his own. Cp. Mark ix. 23, 24.
 Crit. Notes). Comp. with this passage John xx. 23, where d $\phi$ tworat is the trae reading for dotevrat of the received text. The reversal of the readings in the two cases is important. With the divine Saviour the act of forgiveness is present and in progress, with the Apostles it is the spiritual gift to see, and authority to declare a sentence passed in heaven.


 रóyos tồ $\theta є 0 \hat{v} \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \hat{\eta} \tau a$, Tit. ii. 5. (2) to disparage the divine nature, to usurp the honour due to God, as here and generally in the Gospels, (3) 'to calumniate men' Ti $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu 00 \mu a i$ únèp ovi è $\gamma$

 t $\phi \theta$ è $\gamma$ gato, 'spake word of evil omen.' The derivation is uncertain, perhaps from the same root as $\beta \lambda \alpha \xi$, $\beta \lambda d j \epsilon c$, , see Buttmann, Lex,
 words that may do hurt.'
5. evkotórtepov. A post-classioal word, used only in the Synoptio Gospels, and always in the comparative degree.
 upon the ground and sleeps in the open air, in the morning he rolls up his mat and carries it away.
9. The Cail of St Matrirw. Mark ii. 14; Luke v. 27, 28.

St Mark has 'Levi, the son of Alphæons,' St Lake 'a publican named Levi.' The identification of Matthew with Levi can scarcely be seriously disputed. The circumstances of the eall are precisely similar as narrated by the Synoptists; and it was too uscal for a Jew to have more than one name for this difference to be a difficulty. Probably the name Matthew, 'Gift of Jehovah,' was adopted by the Apostle when he became a follower of Jesus.

тарáywr. 'As he passed by,' not passed forth, as A. V.
to te入ouvov, the toll- or custom-house. For a longer notice of the call of St Matthew, see Introduction.

10-13. A Meal in the Evangelibt's Hodse. Mark ii. 15-17; Luke v. 29-32.
10. кal है $^{2}$ vero. See note, oh. xi. 1.
 recline at table.'
év tû oliklq. St Luke eays 'and Levi made him a great feast,' which makes it olear that the meal was in Levi's house.
mad入ai renôval. The fact that the tex-gatherers were numerous onough to form a large class of society pointa significantiy to the oppression of the country. d $\mu$ aptoinol, men of impure lives, or esteemed impure by the Pharisees.
 came into the house, -a custom still prevalent in the East. A traveller writes from Damietta, 'In the room where we were received, besides the divam on which we sat, there were seats all round the walls. Many eame in and took their place on those side-seats, uninvited and yet onchallenged. They spoke to those at table on business, or the news of the day, and our host spoke freely to them. We afterwarde saw this custom at Jerusalem...first one and then another stranger opened the door and came in, taking seats by the wall. They leaned forward and spoke to those at table.' Scripture Manners and Customs, p. 185.

Alatl к．т．入．St Mark represents the question to be asked by ol



12．of loxioutes k．r．$\lambda$ ．There is a touch of irony in the words． They that are＇whole＂are they who think themselves whole．So below，the＇righteous＇are those who are righteous in their own eyes．

13．mopentíves $\mu \dot{d}^{0}$ ert．A translation of a common Rabbinical formala．
＂Fheos $\theta$ enc．＇I desire mercy．＇I require mercy rather than sacrifice， Hosea vi．6．It is a protest by the prophet against the unloving， insincere formalist of his day．It is closely parallel to our Lord＇s injunotion，ch．v．23，24．Sacrifice without mercy is no acceptable sacrifice．To love sinners is a better fulfilling of the law than to stand aloof from them．See note ch，xii．7，where our Lord again quotes these words．

The nenter form êteos is late：cp．кàd̀ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\partial} \pi \lambda_{0}$ ôtos corrected from $\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \nu \pi \lambda о и ̆ \tau o \nu$, Phil．iv． 19.

кa入foric The underlying thought is invitation to a banquet；the word has a special significance in the circumstances：op．the important Christian derived terms $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma$ ts，（1）＇the invitation，＇ 2 Pet．i．10； （2）the body of the＇called，＇ 1 Cor．i．26，and $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \delta s$ as Rom．i．1， $\kappa \lambda \eta r \delta s ~ d \pi \delta \sigma \tau 0 \lambda o s$.

It was from scenes like this that Jesus was named páyos kai


> 14-17. A Question abotit Fastina. Mark ii. 18-22; Lule F ; $33-39$.

It is not quite clear whether this further incident took place at Levi＇s feast．St Luke leads us to draw that inference．

15．of viol roû vupdivyos．See note，v．6．＇The children of the bridechamber＇were the bridegroom＇s friends or groomsmen who went to conduct the bride from herfather＇s house（see note，ch．xxv．1）． The procession passed through the streets，gay with festive dress，and enlivened with music and joyous shouts，and with the brilliant light of lamps and flambeaux．With the same pomp and gladness the bride， was conducted to her future home，where the marriage－supper was prepared．
o $r \boldsymbol{u} \mu$ 中los．The Jews gymbolised the＇congregation＇or＇churah＇ by the image of a bride．Jesus sets himself forth as the Bridegroom of the Christian Church．See Eerschell，Sketch of the Jews，pp．92－97．

8tav ámap日in．For the first time in this gospel Jesus alludes to his death．
vnorevirovoty．Hersohell lquoted in Scripture Manners and Ous－ toms）observes that many Jews who keep voluntary fasts，if invited to a marriage are specially exempted from the observance of them． Jesus first gives a special answer to the question about fasting．There
is a time of sorrow in store for my disciples when fasting will have a real meaning，now in my presence they can but rejoice．Note that fasting and mourning are regarded as quite synonymous．This they are to the perfectly sincere only．The words of Jesus are trae also of Christian experience．There are joyous times when the presence of Christ is felt to be near．Then fasting would be out of harmony．But there are also seasons of despondency and depression，when Christ seems to be taken away，when fasting is natural and appropriate．

16．outbels $\delta \epsilon$ ，but no man．The particle $\delta \in$ is omitted in A．V．； it marks a turn in the argument which is indicated still more clearly in Luke（v．36），Elered $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ кal mapaßo入i力 mpòs aúzoús．The words of Jesus here take a wider range．He says in effect to John＇s disciples：＇Your question implies ignorance of my teaching． My doctrine is not merely a reformed Judaism like the teaching of John and Pharisaism，it is a new life to which such questions as these con－ cerning ceremonial fasting are quite alien．＇
dipuópov，＇new；＇literally，uncarded，from $\gamma \nu$ dint $\omega$ ．The old garment is Judaism．Christianity is not to be pieced on to Judaism to fill up its deficiencies．This wonld make the rent－the divisions of Judaism－still more serious．
oxcoua is used of the＇schisms＇in the Corinthian Church， 1 Cor． i．10，and has so passed into ecclesiastical language．

17．ofvov veov els diokov̀s ma入ouoús．The Oriental bottles are ekins of sheep or goats．Old bottles would crack and leak．This may be regarded as a further illustration of the doctrine taught in the pre－ ceding verse．But it is better to give it an individual application． The new wine is the new law，the freedom of Christianity．The new bottles are those fitted to live under that law．The old wine is Judaism，the old bottles those，who trained in Judaism，cannot receive the new law，who say＇the old is better＇（or＇good＇），Luke v． 39.

Our Lord＇s answer then is threefold，（1）specially as to fasting， （2）as to Christianity in regard to Judaism，（3）as to individuals trained in Judaism．
（1）This is a joyous time，not a season for fasting，which is a sign of sorrow．
（2）Christianity is not a sect of Judaism，or to be judged accord－ ing to rules of Judaism．
（3）It is not every soul thet is capable of receiving the new and spiritual law．The new wine of Christianity requires new veisels to contain it．


 （Schweighä̈user）．
oivor pfov．＇New wine，＇i．e．wine of this vintage．donoùs кauroús， ＇new skins，＇i．e．that have not been used before；©p．каигду $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ eiov，a sepulchre that had never been used，not one that had been lately

one that is distinet from the old covenant. See Trench, Synonyme; part 2, 810.
18-26. The Dajghter or Jainds, 18, 19 and 29-26; Mark v. 22-84 and 35-43. Luke viii. 41, 42 and 49-56.
The Womax coeted of an Ibsun of Blood, 20-22. Mark v. 25-34;
Related with more detail by St Mark and St Luke. She had spent all her living on physicians. Jesus perceipes that virtue has gone out of him. The woman tells all the truth before the people.
18. Gpxcv. From Mark and Lake we learn that he was a chief ruler of the synagogue (dंpxLovod́rwoos, Mark), Jairus by name. गे ©vyd-


20. toû kpa.नाeSov. See ch. xiv. 36 and $x$ rii. 5.
21. Deyev yàp to iaurî. The imperfect denotes intensity of feeling, 'she kept saying over and over to herself.'
22. Eusebins (IH. E. vir. 18) states that in the oity of CmsareaPhilippi stood a bronze statue of this woman kneeling before the Saviour, who was represented extending his hand to her.
23. St Mark and St Luke mention the message to Jairas on the way, that his daughter was already dend, and name the three disciples whom Jesus permits to enter the house with him.
rovs auk $\eta$ cds. The minstrels are mentioned by St Matthew only. Lane (Modern Egyptians) says 'the women of the family raise the cries of lamentations called 'welweiteh' or 'wilwal;' uttering the most pieroing shrieks and calling upon the name of the deceased.' The employment of hired minatrels for funeral lamentations seems to have been universal in the ancient world. Cp. Cantabat mestis tibia
 luctu. 10. 'Even the poorest among the Israelites will afford her not less than two pipes and one woman to make lamentation.' (Talmud.)
 to assist in the preparation for the funeral rites were reckoned among the most meritorious works of charity.
24. Td mopoliov. Diminative of affection. This form is rejected
 frequent in Epictetus, Lucian, and other late authors. See Lob. Phryn. 73, and Sturz, De dial. Maced. p. 42.
od $\gamma d p$ dimetavev $d \lambda \lambda d$ katevife. These words are reported withont variation by the three Synoptists; it is open to question whether they ought not to be taken literally. For although roumão $0 a l$ is frequently used both by classical authors and in the N.T. of the sleep of death, it is donbtful whether this metaphorical sense is ever attached to



The Jews also ppoke of death as sleep, but it is clear that in this inatance they understood Jesus to apeak of natural sleep.

катеүeluv. For the force of kard cp. кaraфinepp, ch. ravi. 49; Acts




## 27-31. $A$ Curiz of two Bund Men.

Peculiar to St Matthew. Archbp. Trench alludes to the fact that cases of blindness are far more numerons in the East than in Western countries. 'The dust and flying sand enter the eyes, causing inflammations.....the sleeping in the open air, and the consequent exposare of the eyes to the noxious nightly dews, is another source of this malady.'
27. viós $\Delta$ aveli. See note ch. i. 1. The-thought of the kingdom of heaven had been closely linked with the reign of a son of David, but doubtless with many Jews the glory of the Asmonean dynasty (the Maccabees) and the eatablished power of the Herods had tended to obscare this expectation. To have clung to it was an act of faith.
28. For val see Bp. Ellicott on Phil. iv. 3. Here of assent to a question, as oh. xvii. 25, and as always in John. Sometimes of assent to a statement, as ah. Iv. 27, or strongly asseverative as always in Iuke and oh. xi. 9, 26.
30. \&pßpцӓбOal. Lit. 'to roar,' leonis voce uti (Sahleurner), then (1) 'to charge with vehement threats:' op. ei ov $\beta \rho \boldsymbol{c} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a c o$, Aristoph. Knights, 851, where the Beholiast explaing the word to dpylfecoat kal dтєineip, implying 'fretful impatience,' (Jebb on Soph Ajax, 822); (2) 'to enjoin strictly' (here and Mark i. 48); (3) to be loudly indig-
 bsbly means, 'felt indignation in his spirit'' possibly, expressed indignation, 'groaned in his spirit;' so also John zi. 38.

> 32-34. Curir of a Dumb MAn possegged by an evil spirit. St Luke xi. $14,1 \overline{0}$.
 question of demoniacal possession. We ask whether the instances desaribed by the Evangelists point to forms of disease recognised in modern medical practice or to a distinot clase of phenomena.

Jewish beliaf indeed appears to have attributed diseaseg, cases of insanity and even bodily infirmities such as dumbness, to the agency of indwelling personal evil spirits or daucova. The distinguishing festure of suah demoniacal possession may be described as the phenomenon of a double consciousness. The occult spiritual power became as it were a second self ruling and ohacking or injuring the better and healthier self.

But on the other hand the use by the evangelists of a word or expression with whioh a theory is bound up, or aven vivid and picturesque description in secordance with it, does not necessarily imply their acquiesoence in that theory much less the actual truth of it.

Accordingly the adoption of the word jaimbrior and its cognates cannot be considered as decisive on the point of the real existence of personal spiritual agents in disease. A handred words and phrases implicitly containing false theories, are yet not rejected by sorrect thinkers. Christ left many truths to come to light in the course of ages, not needlessly breaking into the order by which physical facts are revealed.

At the same time not only is there nothing in the result of science (whish does not deal with ultimate causes) inconsistent with some form of the belief in demoniacal possession, but certain phenomena of madness and infatuation are more naturally described by the words of the evangelists in their accounts of demoniacal possession than by any other; and our Lord's own words, 'This kind goeth not out but by prayer and fasting,' seem more than a mere concession to vulgar beliefs; for it is obvious a less definite expression might have been used if the belief itself was mistaken.

In the classical writers dauchyos is used of acts, agencies, or powers that lie beyond human control or observation. Demosthenes e.g. in a striking passage speaks of the divine power or force which he sometimes fancied to be hurrying on the Hellenic race to destruction: Ėre-

 Soph. El. 1270. The $\delta a u \mu$ orvoy of Socrates was the divine warning voice which apart from his own reasoning faculties checked him from enter-
 a divine being or agent, a divinity or demi-god. The enemies of Socrates in their indictment used the word in this secondary sense not intended by him. He was charged with introducing кauyd $\delta a \mu \mu \nu a$ (cp. Acts x vii. 18). It is in this sense of demigods or intermediate divine agencies that $\delta a t p o p_{1}$ is used 1 Cor. $\mathbf{x}$. 20, 21, where the argument is obscured by the rendering of the A.V. 'devils.' As a classical word $\delta a \mu$ ópıop never means 'evil spirit.'
34. Dieyov. 'Used to say;' this was their habitual argument. The answer to it is given, ch. xii. 25-30.

35-38. The Preaching of Jesus. The Harvest of the Worid.
This passage forms the preface to the mission of the twelve. The conneetion points to a regular sequence of thought in St Matthew's plan. The work of Christ is described as the model for the work of the twelve; cp. v. 35 with ch. x. 7, 8. The pity of Jesus for the lost and shepherdless flock was the motive for the mission; cp. v. 36 with ch. x. 6. The thought of the harvest of God and the labourers, vv. 37 and 38 , is raised again in the charge oh. x. 10. The A.V. unfortunately translates épyárq! by 'labourer' ix. 37, and 'workman' x. 10.
38. vórov... $\mu$ alakiav. See ch. iv. 23.
38. Loォ $\lambda a \gamma x{ }^{v / \sigma \theta \eta}$. $\sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi^{\nu a}=$ the nobler organs, heart, liver, lungs, then specially the heart as the seat of various emotions. In a literal sense Acts i. 18; in the eense of 'pity' frequent in St Paul's epistles. In the classics the meaning is extended to other feelings: $\mu$ in
 yoy Expia $\theta i \overline{i v}$ ，Eur．Med．220．The verb，which is post－olassical，is con－ fined to the sense of＇feeling pity，＇and oecurs in the Bynoptic Gospels only．
drku入 $\mu$ tvol．＇Worn out，harassed．＇The literal meaning of $\sigma x u \bar{\lambda}$－
 $\lambda_{o v,}$ Mark v．35．It is a striking instance of the softening and re－ fining process in the meaning of words：cp．Epeúyoucc，xoprdjw．
 by the national teachers．
$\mu \grave{\eta}$＇xovra．＇When they have no shepherd，＇the condition that ex－ cites pity is expressed by $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ ，oúk exoyva would indicate the fact simply．
 on the occasion of sending forth the Seventy：cp．also John iv．35，

 denotes the enthusiastic impulse of mission work：cp．Mark i．12，rd




## CHAPTER X．

3．Oasdaios（ $N$ B and several versions）．The other reading $A \in \beta$ ．及aios has however the authority of $D$ ，and it is difficult to account for the presence of the word（which occurs here only）unless it was the original reading．
 and by many cursives and veraions．Tischendorf has replaced the words in his text，ed． 8 ．

25．$\langle\pi \epsilon \kappa d \lambda \epsilon \sigma a v$, a certain correction for exáh $\epsilon \sigma a v$ ．For the dif－ ference of meaning see notes infra．

28．àmokтevóvtav．Reduplication of consonants was character－ istio of the Alexandrine dialect；Sturz（de dial．Al．et Mac．p．128）， qnotes as instances，d $\mu a \rho \tau d \nu v e t, \phi \theta d \nu \nu \epsilon \nu$, ，кaraßevvetv，\＆c．

41．入íquетан（ $\mathcal{B}$ B D）．The non－assimilation of consonants was also characteristic of the Alexandrine dialect，as evyós，$\sigma$ bukeco $\theta e$ ， guydáret．On the other hand assimilation takes place in the Alexan－ drine dialect in the case of $v$ ，contrary to the usage of other dialects，
 MSS．differ considerably in these readings（Sturz，130－134）．

The Misgion of the Twetre 1-4, and the Chaboi to them, 6-42. Mark iii. 14-19, and vi. 7-13. Lnke vi. 12-16; ix. 1-6.

1. Toùs $\delta$ wíeka $\mu$ aOŋrás. The first passages in St Mark and St Lake record the choice or salling of the Twelve, this ohepter and Mark vi. and Loke ix. narrate the mission or a mission of the disoiples, Possibly they were sent forth more than once. The number twelve was doubtleas in reference to the twelve tribes of Iarael, which, as the type of the Christian Church, survive unbroken and undispersed.
vorov... paiaklav. See note oh. iv. 23, and ix. 35.
2. droortotay, the only passage in this Gospel where the word accurs. The literal meaning, 'sent forth,' or 'envoys,' though searcely recognised by classical authors, was not new. It seems to have been a 'title borne by those who were despatched from the mother city by the rulers of the race on any foreign mission, enpecially such as were charged with collecting the tribute patd to the temple service' (Lightfoot, Gal. p. 90). The title of djadorodot was given in a special sense to the Twelve, but was not confined to them. Matthias was added to the number of the twelve, Paul was 'called to be an apostle,' James the Lord's brother, and Barnabas, are designated by the same title. It had even a wider signification: cp. among other passages Rom. xvi. 7. The name is applied to

 that sent Him.

There are four lists of the Aposties recorded, one by each of the Synoptic Evangelists, one in the Acts of the Apostles. No two of these lists perfectly coincide. This will be seen from the tabular view below.

Mait x. 8.

1. Simon Peter.

2 Andrew.
9. James the bon of Zebedee.
4. John his brother.
4. Phup.
6. Bartholomew.
7. Thomas
g. Matthaw the Publican
9. James the son of Alphæus
10. Lebbsen gurnamed Thaddexu!
11. Blraon the Cananita.
12. Judas Lacariot. Judas Iscariot. Judas Iscariot.

It will be observed from a comparison of these lists that the twelve names fall into three divisions, each containing four names which remain in their respective divisions in all the lists. Within these divisions however, the order varies. But Simon Peter is placed first,
and Judas Iscariot Iast, in all. Again, Philip invariably heads the seeond, and James the son of Alphmus the third division. The classification of the apostolate is the germ of Ohristian Organisation. It implies diversity of work and dignity suited to differences of intelligence and oharacter. The first groap of four are twice named as being alone with Jesus, Mark i. 29, and xiii. 3; Peter and the sons of Zebedee on three oacasions, see ch. xvii. 1.

Andrew, a Greek name; see John xii. 21, 22, where the Greeks in the temple address themselves to Philip, ' Philip cometh and telleth Andrew and Andrew and Philip tell Jesus.' An incident that seems to point to some Greek connection besides the mere name.
3. Philip, also a Greek name prevalent at the time, partly through the influence of the Macedonian monarchy, whose real founder was Philip, father of Alezander the Great; partly owing to its adoption by the Herodian family.

Lebbeus, Thaddæus, Jude the [son] of James, are all names of one and the same person. He was the son in all probability of a James or Jacob, not, as usually translated, brother of James. The name 'Lebbbeus' $=$ 'courageons' from a Hebrew word (leb) signifying ' heart.'

This Jude or Judas must not be confused with Jude or Judas the 'brother' of our Lord; nor must James the son of Alphæus be confused with James the brother of our Lord. The 'brethren of the Lord' believed not on Him, and could not have been among His apostles. James and Judas were both common names, and the variety of names seems to have been smail at this epoch. Acoording to this theory there are four persons named James-(1) the son of Zebedee, (2) the son of Alphearis, (3) the father of Jude, (4) ؛ The less' or rather 'the little' ( $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu} \kappa \kappa \rho \delta s)$, the brother of the Lord: and three named Judas(1) the brother of the Lord, (2) the apostle, son of James, (3) Iscariot.

Matthew or Levi alao was son of an Alphøus, but there is no evidence or hint that he was connected with James son of Alpheue.

Bartholomew $=$ son of Tolmai, probably to be identified with Nathanael. (1) St John, who twice mentions the name of Nathamael, never mentions that of Bartholomew ; (2) the three Synoptists mention Bartholomew but not Nathanael. (3) Philip is closely connected With Nathanael and also with Bartholomew. (4) Lastly, Nathanael is mentioned with six other disciples as if like them he belonged to the Twelve. (John xxi, 2.)
4. Simon ì Kayavaîos, (Aramaic Kanani, Hebr. Kannah, 'jealous,' Ex, xI, 反), or $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \tau^{\prime} s$, equivalent terms. The fierae party of the Zealots professed a rigid attachment to the Mossic law; they acknowledged no king save God. Under Judas the Gaulonite they rose in rebellion at the time of the census.

We hear of a Theudas (which is another form of Thaddæus) who rose in rebellion (Acts $\mathrm{\nabla}$. 36). Is it not possible that this Lebbwas or Jude may owe his third name to this patriot, as a Galilman might regard him? It may be observed that simon (Joseph. Ant. xyil. 10, 5) and

Jodas (Ant. minic, 1, 1) were also names of zealous patriots who rove against the Boman govermment.

Iscariot=Man of Kerloth, in the tribe of Judah; accordingly (if this be the case) the only non-Galilman among the Apostles. For pther accoants of the name see Dict. of Bible.
The choice of the disciples is $\mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{n}}$ instance of the winnowing of Christ, the sifting of the wheat from the chaff. In these men the new life had manifested itself. Their faith, or at least their cspacity for faith, was intense, and sufficient to bear them through the dangers that confronted them by thair Master's side. [Editor's notes on Greek text of St Luke's Gospel.]

## 6-42. Chribt's Gharget to the Apogtles.

This discourse falls naturally into two divisions; of which the first (iv. 5-15) has reference to the immediate present, the seeond relates rather to the eharoh of the fature. The subdivisions of the first part are: (1) Their mission field, 5, 6. (2) Their words and works, $7,8$. (3) Their equipment, 9,10 . (4) Their approan to cities and houses, 11-15.
 Gentiles,' $\mathrm{op} . \mathrm{ch}. \mathrm{iv}. \mathrm{15}, \mathrm{'the} \mathrm{way} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{sea.'}$

This prohibition is not laid on the Seventy (St Luke x. 1-16), they are expressly commissioned to carry tidings of the gospel to cities and places which our.Lord Himself proposed to visit.
uls $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ इaquaptrôv. The Samaritans were foreigners descended from the alien population introduced by the Assyrian king (probsbly Sargon), 2 Kings xvii. 24, to supply the place of the exiled Israelites. In Luke xrii. 18, our Lord calls a Samaritan 'this etranger,' i.e. this mann of alien or foreign race. The bitterest hostility exigted between Jew and Samaritan, which has not died out to this day. The origin of this international il-feeling is related Ezra iv. 2, 3. Their religion was a corrupt form of Judaism. For being plagued with lions, the Samaritans summoned a priest to instruot them in the religion of the Jews. Soon, however, they lapsed from a pure worghip, and in consequence of their hatred to the Jews, purposely introduced certain innevations. Their rival temple on Mount Gerizim was deatroyed by John Hyreanus abont 129 b.o. See Natt's Sketch of the Samaritans, p. 19.

About twenty years previous to our Lord's ministry the Samaritans had intensified the national antipathy by a gross act of profanation. During the colebration of the Passover they stole into the Temple Courts when the doors were opened after midnight and atrewed the sacred enolosure with dead men's bones (Jos. Ant. xvin. 2, 2). Even after the siege of Jerasalem, when the relations between Jows and Samaritans were a little less hostile, the latter were still designated by the Jews as the 'Proselyter of the lions,' from the ciraumstance mentioned above.

Samaria was the stepping stone to the Gentile world. After the Ascension the charge to the Apostles was to be witnesses, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\nu}$ re 'Iepou-
 i．8．The Aots of the $\Delta$ postles contain the history of this euceassive widening of the gospel．

8．入erpoùs kabapffers．Eeprosy is not classed with the other digesses．As especially eymbolical of a sin－atricken man，the leper requires cleansing or purification．
 girdles．＇The disciples must not furnish themselve日 with the ordinary equipment of an Eastern traveller．
 or copper represents the native currency．The coinage of Herod the Great was copper only．But Greek and Roman money was also current．The Roman denarius，a silver coin，is frequently mentioned （ch．xviii．28，xx．2）．The farthing，0．29，is the Roman as，the 16th part of a demarius；the Greek drachma of nearly the same value as a denarius，and the stater（oh．xvii．27），were also in circalation．

Lávas．Literally，girdles or money－belts，cp．＇Tbit eo quo vis qui zonam perdidit，＇Hor．Ep．ir．2．40．Sometimes a fold of the tonic hold up by the girdle served for a purse，＇quando｜major avaritis patuit sinus？＇．Juv．Sat．工． 88.

10．Súp Xurŵyas．See ch．v．40．In like manner the philosopher Boarates wore one tunie only，went without sandsla，and lived on the barest necessarieg of life．See Xen．Mem．1．6．2，where Antiphon，





 never wore two tunics but only a cloak of fine linen（ $\sigma \stackrel{\nu}{ } \delta 6 \mathrm{pa}$ ）．

Uroọfpura，＇shoes．＇From Mark vi． 9 it appears that the apostles were onjoined to wear sandals（ $\sigma a y \delta j^{\prime} \lambda \iota a$ ）．This distinction is dwelt upon in the Talmad．Shoes were of softer leather，and therefore a mark of more luxurious living．Sandals were often made with soles of wood，or rushes，or bark of palm－trees．Lightfoat，Hor．Hebr． ad loe．

## terderns．See on ch．ix．35－38．

These directions correspond to the Rabbinical rales for approboh to the Temple：＇Let no man enter into the Mount of the Temple；neither with his staff in his hand，nor with his shoes upon his feet，nor with money boand up in his linen，nor with e parse hanging on his baek＇ （Iughtfoot，Hor．Hebr．ad loc．）．In some sense this connection must have been meant by Christ，and present to the minds of the disaiples． It would intensify the thought of the sacredness of their mission，and suggest the thought of a Spiritual Temple．
12. eloepx $\quad$ нevol is tiv olklav. 'When ye are entering into the house,' i.e. the house of him who is indicated as 'worthy.' The injunction to remain in the same house was, perhaps, partly to avoid feasting from house to house, partly for the sake of secrecy-a necessary precaution in after times. Such 'worthy' hosts of the Charch afterwards were Lydia st Philippi ('If ye have jadged me to be faithful to the Lord, come into my house and abide there,' Acts xvi. 15), Jason at Thessalonics, Gaius perhaps at Derbe, see Rom. xiv. 23. This kind of general hospitality is still recognised as a duty in the East, where indeed it may be regarded as a necessity.


#### Abstract

d $\sigma \pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$. 'Salute it,' saying 'Peace ( $\epsilon \rho \rho \eta \nu \eta$ ) be unto you' (Shalom l'cha), the usual salutation at this day. This of course explains elpfip in the next verse. The ordinary and oonventional salutation acquires a sacred depth of meaning on the lips of Christ, Luke xiv. 36 and John xiv. 27. 14. Ekrıvd'łate tdv kovtoptóv, as St Paul did at Antioch in Pisidia, Acts xiii. 51. The cities of Israel that rejected the Gospel shonid be regarded as heathen. The very dust of them was a defilement as the dust of a heathen land. See Lightfoot, ad loc.


16. Comp. ch. xi. 24.

## 16-42. The Church of the Future.

(1) The Apostolic character, 16. (2) Persecution, 17-25. (3) Consolation-the care of the Father, 26-31. (4) The reward, 32. (5) The Ohristian choice, 33-39. (6) The hosts of the Church, 40-42.





фporvpot ..dx\&patot. The qualities required for the safety of the unarmed travelier. Prudence and simplicity are the defence of the weak. $\phi p b \nu \mu 0 \iota=$ 'pradent,' full of precantion, possessing such 'practical wisdom' as Peul had when he claimed the rights of Roman citizenship at Philippi. Bat the wisdom of a serpent is often to escape notice. With this thought the etymology of $\delta \phi$ ss agrees, whether it is the 'seeing creature" (ox- as in $\delta \pi \omega \pi a$ ) quick to discern danger, or 'the oreatare that hides' ( $6 \pi \dot{\eta}$, a hole). Comp. the ex-

 text to ropoús, denoting intellectual discernment of the good. The difference in the directions precisely meets the difference of the two
 'sincere,' not 'harmless,' as in A. V. The disciples who were 'simple' as doves might hope to share the immanity of doves. Tibullus says * (I. 7. 17):

Quid referam nt volitet crebras intacta per urbes Alba Palestino sancta columba Syro.
The epithet alba helps to explain axepacot.
17. тpootx the serpents and the doves, which shun the approach of men; but
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega v$.

бuvEEpLa. i.e. provincial synagogue-tribunals. See note, ch. iv. 23.
 or Duumviri at Philippi (Acts xvi. 20), the Politarchs at Thessalonica (Acts xvii. 6).

Bacticif. As Herod Agrippa or the Roman Emperor.
 has been quoted as if it justified want of preparation for sermons or addresses to a Christian congregation. The direction points definitely to the Christian 'apologies,' of which specimens have come down to us in the Acts (iv. 8-12, ₹. 29-32, vii. 1-53, xyvi. 2-29) and in the records of the Early Church.
 not stand alone. The same Spirit instructs him which inspires the universal Church. St Paul experienced such consolation: è $\tau \tilde{\eta} \pi \rho \omega \dot{p} \eta$
 iv. 16, 17. It is to this work of the Holy Spirit that the word rapd$\kappa \lambda \eta r o s$ may be especially referred. He is the Advocate in court standing by the martyr's side. This is the classical force of mapdr $\lambda \eta$ ros.
21. dibildos...dide入фóv...тatip tekvov. The history of perseantions for religion affords many instances of this. It is true even of civil disputes. Thucydides, describing the horrors of the Corcyrean se-






 $\psi u \chi d s$ ì $\mu \hat{\nu}$, , by your pafience ye shall win for yourselves your souls,' i.e. win your true life by enduring to the end. Comp. Rom. v. 3-5,


owinjoctal. 'Shall be saved,' shall win $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho / a$. In classical Greek furnpla means, 'safety,' 'welfare,' i.e. life secure from evil, op. Luke i. 71; in the Christian sense it is a life of secured happiness, hence 'sslvation' is the highest sense. So $\sigma \dot{\omega} \xi \in \sigma \theta a t=$ ' to live securely' with an additional notion of rescue from surrounding danger, al $\sigma$ w $\xi^{5}$ $\mu \epsilon \nu_{0}$ means those who are enjoying this life of blessed security.

structions' have a far wider range than the immediate mission of the Apostles. They are prophetic, bringing both warning and consoIation to all ages of the Church.
 is to a great extent parallel to this, treats of the destruction of Jerrsalem; and no one who carefulty weighs our Lord's words can fail to see that in a real sense He came in the destruetion of Jerusalem. That event was in truth the judgment of Christ falling on the unrepentant nation. In this sense the Gospel had not been preached to all the cities of Irrael before Christ came. But all these words point to a more distant future. The work of Christian miseions is going on, and will still continue antil Christ comes again to a final jadgment.
 can expeet no other treatment than that which befell their Master Christ. The same proverb ocours in a different connection Luke vi. 40, where Christ is speaking of the responsibility of the Apostles es teachers; 'es they are, their disciples shall be.'
25. dipkeròv ¿va, comp. 'sufficit ut exorari te sinas.' Plin. Suvh use of $u t$ in Latin will illustrate and indeed may have inflinenced the extended use of lya in later Greek.

Beelyepovid. Baal Zobub='Lord of flies,' i.e. 'averter of llies,' a serious plague in hot countries. By a elight change of letter the Jews threw contempt on their enemies' god, calling him Baal Zebel'Loord of mire' - and lastly identified him with Satan. The changes from Bethel ('House of God') to Bethaven ('Honse of naught or evil'), (Hos. iv. 15), from Nahash ('serpent') to Nehushtan (2 Kinge sviii. 4), and from the name Barcoclab ('Son of a star'), assumed by a false Messiah, to Barcozab ('Son of a lie'), are instances of the same quaint humour.

Another derivation of Beelzebul makes it equivalent to 'Luord of the dwelling,' i.e. of the abode of evil spirits. This meaning would be very appropriate in relation to 'the master of the house;' and the form Baalzebul is a nearer approach to the Greek word than Baalzebel.

 of Jesue had actually added the name in derision.
 are implied: (1) If yon fear, a day will eome which will reveal your disloyalty; (2) Fear not, for one day the unreality of the thinge that terrify you will be made manifest.
27. © als td ois dikovetc. Lightfoot (Hor. Heb.) refers this to a custom in the 'Divinity Sohool' ' of the synagogae (see oh. iv. 23), where the master whispered into the ear of the interpreter, who repeated in a loud voice what he had heard.
 Syria proclamations are frequently made from the housetops at the present day. The announcement of the spproaching Sabbath was
made by the minister of the Synagogue from the roof of an exceeding high house (Lightfoot, Hor. Heb.) just as the Turkish 'Muezzin' proclaims the hour of prayer from the top of the mosque.
28. dттктєผरóvtav. Among other instances of this Alexandrine form quoted by gturz (de dial. Mac. et Alex.) are daaprdvvecy ( 1 King ii. 25) and dvaßfpyeu (Dent. i. 41). See Crit. Notes, oh. 1. 28.
 beyond this life. Comp. Olem. Rom. Ep. II. 4, where there is a pro-
 $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda a p ~ a \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \partial v \theta \in z ́ v$. Or (2) Satan, into whose power the wioked sur. render themselves.
iv yctivn. See note, ch. v. 22.
29. orpoulia, translated 'sparrows' (A. . .) means any kind of small bird.
 human life is more precious in God's sight than the life of the lower animass (v. 31); (8) That kindness to animals is part of God's law.
 and object of his oonfersion.

84-39. These verses exhibit beantifully three characteristics of Hebrew poetry, antithesis, climax refrain. The first four lines $\mu$ ग vopiogre...ol olktakol aúroû, which reflect the words of Mieah vii. 6, indiaate the separating influence of Christianity. Note here, as in all great revolutions of thought, the change begins from the young. The separation is against father, mother, mother-in-law. The remnining lines indicate the cause of division. Absolute devotion to Christ implies (or may imply) severance from the nesrest and dearest of earthly ties. This is set forth in a climax of three couplets each
 quatrain.
Y $\lambda$ Oov $\beta$ a $\lambda$ eir. The infinitive expressing a purpose is apecially charasteristic of this Gospel. The idea of aim is not prominent in the construction, as the infinitive might equally well express result.
35. SLXórat. $\delta \pi a \xi \lambda \in \gamma$. in N.T. carries on the idea of separation involved in $\mu d \chi a u \rho a$, for which Luke in parallel passage xii. 52 has дтамеритдби.
37. The connection is this: there will be divisions in familles; My disoiples must not hesitate to side with $M e$ rather than with father or mother, or son or daughter. The new life changes the old relationships: everything is viewed now in reference to Christ, to whom His followers are related as mother and sisters and brethren.

This absolute self-surrender and subordination of all meaner interests to the higher law and the one great Master find parallels in Greak conceptions. Hector prefers honour and duty to love of Andromache (Il. vi. 441 foll.). The interest of the Antigone turns on the conflict between obedience to the supreme law of conscience and the respect to humen law and human relations:

Ant. 453.
Thas it is that Christ sets his seal on all that is noblest in the uninspired thought of the world.
 devotion and self-abandomment required in the disciples of Jesus. These are deeply interesting and solemn words. The cross is named for the first time by the Saviour. The expression recurs ch. xvi. 24, following upon the announcement of the Passion to the disciples. By the Roman custom eriminals were compelled to bear the cross to the place of execution. The Galineans would know too well what was meant by 'taking the cross.' Many hundreds had paid that forfeiture for rebellion that had not prospered under Judas the Gaulonite and others. (See Introduction, Chapter Iv.)
 from mere vegetative existence to the highest spiritual life of the soul. Sometimes this variety of meaning is found within the limits of a single sentence- ${ }^{-H e}$ that findeth the life of external comfort and pleasure, shall lose the eternal life of spiritual joy; and conversely, he who loseth his earthly life for my sake shall find the truer and more blessed life in heaven.' Even in a lower sense this is true: ónórot



40-42. The Reception of the Apostleb and Ministers of Jebue Chribt.
In respect of poetical form, note first the ascending climax ùâs..

 oeivable; the reward is not only for welcome to a prophet but for the slightest service to the lowliest child of God (see Bp. Jebb, Sacr. Lit., on the whole passage). For a similar rise and fall in a poetioal passage see ch. xx. 25-28.
40. $\delta \delta_{\text {ex }}{ }^{\text {pravos. In }}$ the sense of receiving as a teacher, and of welcoming as a guest, see v. 14. Whoever welcomes the Apostles and listens to them, listens to the voice of Jesus Cbrist and of God the Father Himself, and They 'will make their abode with him,' John xiv. 28.
41. cls 6 voна троф $\quad$ тov. A Hebraism: for the sake of, out of regard to the prophet's character. In translating the Hebr, l'shem the


 gospel hath.

Sikacov. The righteous are those who fulfil the requirements of the Christian law (comp. ch. i. 19), true members of the Christian Churoh-the saints.
48. Eva, тầ $\mu$ ккр $\hat{v} v$. The reference may be to the disciples. But there appears to be a gradation, in the lowest step of which are 'these little ones.' Possibly some children standing near were then addressed, or, perhaps, some iconverts less instructed than the Apostles had gathered round. 'The little ones' then woald mean the young disciples, who are babes in Christ. The lowest in the soale-apostles -prophets-the saints-the young disciples. The simplest act of kindness done to one of Christ's little ones as such shall have its reward.
\&nxpoû (U8aros). As aqua is understood in Latim 'Frigida non desit, non deerit calda petenti.' Mart. xrv. 103.
 fact, $\mu \bar{\eta}$ the very conception of it; of denies a thing absolutely, $\mu \eta$ as it presents itself to us. The explanation usually given of an ellipse of $\delta$ tos totev fails to satisify all instances. See Goodwin's Greet Moods and Tenses, § 89.

## CHAPTER XI

2. 8 ld for $\delta 80$ of textus receptus on the highest evidence.
 кal $\lambda e$ yovots. The authority for the correction is decisive.
3. The change from $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ to $\begin{gathered}\text { phy }\end{gathered}$ supported by $\mathrm{NB}^{*}$, by Jerome's testimony, and by some Versions.
4. Here the correction is partly a question of punctuation. The
 ädou калавı $\beta a \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \eta$. The best editors give the reading of this text: but there is some authority for $\bar{\eta} \dot{\dot{\psi}} \psi \dot{\omega} \theta \theta_{\eta}$ s in place of $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\psi} \psi \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$.
The earliest MSS. afford little guidance as to punctuation. 'The Greek interrogation now in use (;) first occurs aboat the ninth century, and (,) used as a stop a little later.' Scrivener's Introduction, p. 45.
5. Jebus preacher tef Gospel, probably dnaccompanied by the Twelve.
2-19. Concerning Johe the Baptist.
His message to Jesus 2-6. His position as a Prophet 7-14. His relation to Jesus and to his contemporaries 15-19.

St Luke vii. 18-35.

1. kal हैelvero. A translation of a Hebrew transitional formula; $^{\text {a }}$ the verb which follows (1) is sometimes connected with kal, as ch. ix.

no coinneoting particle; (3) sometimes the fnfinitivs is used, as an e'үtveto rapatrope $6 e \sigma \theta a i$ adrd, Mark ii. 23. This formuls varied by eqtyero de is especially freqnent in St Luke, and doas not odear $\frac{\text { th }}{}$ St John. The particalar phrase kal èvivero, öts Erèneces, is confined to St Matthew; pee ch. vii. 28 (quver.), xiii. 53, xix. 1, xxvi. 1. (Winar; p. 406 e , and p. $760 e$, and note 2.)
dref0iv. The place where Jesus delivered the charge to the Apostles is not named.

Tì 4 yya, which were not the works which John might have expeated from a Messiah, in whose hand was the separating fan, and at whose coming the axe was laid at the root of the trees.

2. I dpXópcvos. Hebr. Habba, one of the designations of the Messiah; in every age the prophet said 'He cometh.' See note ch. i. 18.

Ircoor, another-a different Messiah, whose 'works' shall not be those of love and healing. tpor $\delta a \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu e y$, probably conjunctive, 'are we to expect.'
It is often disputed whether John sent this message (1) from a sense of hope deferred and despondency in his own soul; he would ask himself: (a) Is this the Christ whom I knew and whom I baptized? (b) Are these works of which I hear, the works of the promised Messiah or (2) to conflrm the faith of his disciples, or (3) to induce Jesus to make a publie confession of His Messiahship. (1) The first motive is the most natural and the most instructive. In the weary constraint and misery of the prison the faith of the strongest fails for a moment. It is not doabt, but faith wavering: 'Lord, I believe; help Thou mine unbelief.' (2) The second has been suggested, and found support rather from the wish to uphold the consistexicy of the Baptist's oharacter than becanse it is the clearest inference from the text; note especially the words diacyrelhate,'Iwdipy. (3) The third motive would have been hardly leas derogatory to John's faith than the first. And would not our Lord's rebuke, $v .6$, have taken a different form, as when he said to Mary, 'Mine hour is not yet come?'
5. Comp. Isaiah xxxv. 5 and lxi. 1. The first passage describes the work of God, who 'will come and save you.'
ттఱxol wiayentoovtal. In earthly kingdoms envoys are sent to the rich and great. Compare the thought implied in the disciple's words, 'Who then can be saved?' If it is difficult for the rich to enter the kingdom, how much more for the poor?

For the construction see Winer 287. 5, and 326.1, a. It falls under one or other of the following rules: (1) a verb governing dative of person and accusative of thing in active voice retains the accusative of
 rupl rı. (2) A verb governing a genitive or dative in the active has for subject in the passive the object of the adtive verb.
6. xal pandpros, k. ч. $\lambda$. Blessed are all who see that these works of mine are truly the works of the Messiah. Some had thought only of an avenging ond tridmphant Christ.
paxdpos. A term that denotes spiritual insight and advanoe in the true life.
 hats the foree of being entrapped or deeeived by false notions.
r-14 The position of John as a prophet. The mestage of the Baptist must have made a deep and a mournfal impression on the bystanders. It may have caused some of them to lose their faith in Christ or in John, and to ask, like John, whether this was indeed the Christ. Jesus restores their belief in John by an appeal to their own thoughts concerning him. It was no fiokle waverer of oourtior that they went out to see.
7. Some editors place the interrogative after toquav, but the correction seems harsh and unnecessary.
 the words have a corroborative force. It was no waverer that ye went out to see-his message was clear, his faith was strong then.

Others give the worde a literal sense-the reeds on the banks of Jordan-and observe a alimax, a reed-a man-a prophet-more than a prophet-the greatest of them.
 1166) suggests that there may be a historical allusion in these words. A certain Menahem, who had been a colleague of the great teacher Hillel, 'whe tempted by the growing power of Herod, and with a large number of his followers entered the king's service... they appeared publicly in gorgeous apparel, glittering with gold.' (See Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr., on Matt. xxii. 16.)
 the Baptist beheld Hitn, and ushered in His kingdom: he was the herald of the King. Further, John was himself the subjeet of prophecy.
 tive force, the form aeploabrepon is due to the redundance of expression characteristic of the later stage of a language.
10. Yi'үpantou. See note ch. ii. 5 .
 a literal translation of the Hebrew, except that for the second person, ${ }^{*} \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \in \nu$ gov, the Hebrew has the first person, 'before me.' The same change is made in the parallel passage Luke vii 27, and where the words are cited by St Mark i. 2. By such change the Lord quotes the prophecy as addressed to Himself. The gov of the N.T. represents the $\mu_{0}$ of the O.T. Possibly the reading is due to the Arambic Version of the Scriptures familiar to the contemporaries of Christ. But in any case only the divine Son of God could apply to Himself what was spozen of Jehovah.
 than others. Those who are in the kingdom, who are brought nearer to God and have clearer epiritual knowledge of God, have higher privileges than the greatest of those who lived tefore the time of Christ.
 John, and also the beginning of the Kingdom: it was from the time of John's preaching that men began to press into the kingdom, and the earnest won their way in. For the preaching of John was the epoch to which all prophecy tended.
$\beta$ cailerau. Is forced, broken into, as a ship enters a harbour by breaking the boom stretched across the harbour's mouth. Op. $\beta$ dd-
 out of the harbour at Syracuee. John's preaching was the signal for men to press into the kingdom-to adopt eagerly the new rule and life heralded by John and set forth by Christ.
 in-the eager and enthusiastio followers of Christ seize the kingdomwin it as a prize of war.

Blaotal. Here only in N.T. one other instance of its ocoarrence is quoted (Philo, de Agricultura, p. 314, A.D. 40). Cp. the Pindario Bcatás.
13. yáp gives the reason why the wonderful growth of the kingdom should be witnessed now.
 which John was placed seemed inconsistent with such a view of his mission' (Meyer).
16. opola dotiv mavilous к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. If the grammatical form of the comparison be closely pressed, the interpretation must be that the children who complain of the others are the Jews who are satisfied neither with Jesus nor with John. The men of the existing generation appealed in turn to John and to Christ, and found no response in either. They blamed John for too great austerity, Jesus for neglect of Pharisaic exclusiveness and of ceremonial fasting.

But if the comparison be taken as applicable generally to the two terms, it may be explained by John first making an appeal, then Ohrist, and neither finding a response in the nation. This is the ordinary interpretation, and certainly agrees better with the facts, inasmach as Christ and John made the appeal to the nation, not the nation to them.

It has been remarked that the joyous strain of the children, and the more genial mood of Christ, begin and end the passage, pointing to joyousmess as the appropriate note of the Ohristian life.
 a matter of fact is stated, but the view which was taken of John's conduct.

Demosthenes was reproached for being a water drinker, ís zỳ̀
 II. 30.
19. For this adversative use of cal, see note ch. i. 19.

Sckatoûv. Lit. 'to make right', of a person to do him justice, give him what he deserves, either panishment (Thuc. mo. 40. Herod. I. 100), or (later) acquittal: here, 'was aequitted of folly.' The aorist marks the result, or is the aorist of a customary aot-a meaning expressed by the present tense in English.

ท' roфla is 'divine wisdom,' God regarded as the All-wise. The conception of a personified Wisdom is a growth of later Jewish thought, bringing with it many beautiful associations of Jewish literature, and hallowed by the use of the word in this sense by Christ.
 result, is used of the instrument and of the agent in later Greek. Here the sense is: 'the results justify the plan or method of divine providence.'
 'the divinely wise.' The spiritual recognise the wisdom of God, both in the austerity of John and in the loving mercy of Jesua, who condescends to eat with publicans and sinners.

## 20-24. The Citiles tieat repented not.

St Luke x. 13-15, where the words form part of the charge to the seventy disciples. It is instructive to compare the connection suggested by the two evangelists. In St Matthew the link is the rejection of Christ by the Jews-then by these favoured cities; in St Luke, the rejection of the Apostles as suggestive of the rejection of Jesus.
21. Xopaftely is identified with Kerazeh, two and a half miles N. of Tell Ham. The ruins here are extensive and interesting; among them a synagogue built of hard black basalt and houses with walls still six feet high. Recovery of Jerusalem, p. 347.
$\mathrm{B} \eta \theta \sigma a i \mathrm{\delta} \mathrm{a}^{2}$ (House of Fish), either on the Western shore of the Lake near Capernaum (see Map); or, in case there was only one place of that name (see note, chap. xiv. 13), it is Bethsaida Julias, so named by Herod Philip in honour of Julia, daughter of Augustas.
22. $\pi \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{v}$. Connected probably with $\pi \lambda \in \frac{1}{}, \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$. So 'more than,' ' moreover,' ' further' (Curtius, Grk. Etym.; Ellicott, Phil. i. 18; Winer, p. 552 ); or with $\pi$ encs, 'besides,' 'apart from this,' 'only' (Hartang, Lightfoot, Phil. iii. 16). (1) The rendering 'moreover' would suit this passage. (2) In others $\pi \lambda \lambda_{p} p$ almost $=d \lambda \lambda d$, ' notwithstanding' (the additional fact being often adversative); or (3) 'except,' constructed with genitive, or ${ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau$, or with $\dot{\eta}$. The first and last of these constructions favour the derivation from $\pi \lambda \in \neq 0$.
23. Kaфapvaoup. See map. Although Capernaum was truly exalted unto heaven in being our Lord's 'own city,' the thought is rather of self-exaltation. The expressions recall Isaiah xiv. 13-15. Caper-
naum has oxalted berself Iike Babylon-like BabyIon she shall be brought low. The idea that Capernaum was literally on a height does not appear to be borne out by facts. Both the conjectural nites are marked low in the map published by the Palestine Exploration Fund.

## 25-27. The bevillation to 'Babeg.'

St Iuke x. 21-22, where the words are spoken on the retarn of the Seventy.
The close connection between this seotion and that which follows has been pointed out by Dean Perowne (Expasitor, Vol, vill.). In this section two divine moral laws are set forth: (1) The revelation is made to hamility. (2) The revelation is made through Ohrist elone. The invitation which follows (vv. 28-30) is given (1) not to the self-assertion of man, but to his need and the confession of that need, by One who is 'meek and lowly in heart;' (2) with a promise of rest to those, and those only, who take upon them Christ's yoke and learn of Him.
25. arroxptets. This que of droкptocis, 'answering,' where no question precades, is a. Hebraism.
 eh. iii. 6; cp. Phil. ii. 11, then to 'ntter alond' praise or thanks, as here and Rom. xiv. 11 (quoted from Is. xiv. 23), ơrt $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{ol} \times \mathrm{d} \mu \psi \in \iota$ тâv

roû oúpavồ кal Tîళ $\gamma$ ŷs. The expression points to God an the author of law in nature and in religion.
ört Ixpufas. 'That thou hidest,' not by an arbitrary and harsh will, but in accordance with a law of divine wisdom. Trath is not revealed to the philosophioal theorist, but the humility that submite to observe and follow the method of nature and working of God's laws is rewarded by the discovery of truth. For this use of the aorist see note $v .27$, last alause.
dimò oroфâk кal ovvetûv, for the classical oonstruction, кри́nteay $\pi \tau \tau v a$, or $\tau t \pi p b s \tau t v a$. There is a sense of meparation in conoealment' denoted by $\dot{i} \pi \delta$. The secrets of the lingdom are not revealed to those who are wise in their own conceit, but to those who have the meekness of infants and the child-like eagerness for knowledge. In a special Jewish sense 'the wise and prudan't are the Soribes and Pharisees. In a purely Greek sense, roфol kal auverol are they to whom eapecially the apprehension of the higheat truths belonged. dopla is wisdom in its highest philosophic sense; it is the most exset

 Toveros is 'critical intelligence.'
26. val d $\pi a r j p$. 'Yea, Father (I thank thee), that,' \&c. Not as in A. V., 'Even so, Father, for,' \&c. For the nominative in place of vocative cp. Soph. El. 634,
dSokla. 'Pleasure,' in the aanse of resolve or datermination (aee note, oh. ili. 17). The divine plan of discovery and revelation is a subjeat of thankfulness.
27. mape8de $\eta$. Strictly, 'were delivered.' The A. V. tranalates the aorist by a present in this passage, by a perfect definite the

 in the N. T. (1) In classical Greek the aorist is occasionally used where the English idiom would require the perfect definite. But in such cases it is not correat to say that the English perfect and the Greek aorist denote precisely the same temporal idea, but rather that in some instances the Greeks marked an action only as past where our idiom connecte the past action with the present by the use of the perfect definite. (2) Again, when the Greek eorist seems to be used for the present, the explanation is: (a) either that the action is past, but only just past-a point of time expressed by the English present, but more accurately indicated in Greek by the use of the arist; e.g. the Greeks said acourately $\tau$ l $\begin{aligned} & \text { lisgas ; what didst thou say? when the }\end{aligned}$ words have scarcely passed the speaker's lips; in English it is natural to translate this by the less exact 'what sayest thon?' ( $\beta$ ) Or the action is one of indefinite frequency. Here again the English present takes the place of the Greek aorist. But in this idiom also the roriat retaina its proper force. The Greaks only cared to express a single occurrence of the act, bat from that single oscurrence inferred the repetition of it. It will be observed that these usages are due to the singular (a) exactness and ( $\beta$ ) rapidity of Greek thought.

In later Greek some of this exaotness was doubtless lost, the aorist coming more and more into use, being an 'aggressive tense,' as Buttmann calls it, till in modern Greek the synthetic perfect has diaappeared.

It is, howeyer, possible probably in every instance in the N. T. to refer the aorist to one or other of the above-named classical nees, even where (1) the perfect and aorist are used in the same clanse. As in Aets xxii. 15, éwpaxas = 'hast seen' (the image is still vividly present just now-past action connected with present time); кai pravoas, 'and didst haar' (act regarded merely as past); so also in Jas. i. 24,
 act, the perfect the continuing effect. Op. Medea, 293, ov rûv $\mu e \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$.
 of the evil remain now. Or (2) where the relation to the present is
 bought...married;' see above (1). Or (B) where poy or punl is joined to the aorist. Here the temporal partiole denotes the present order or state of things as contrasted with the past, not the present moment;
 Lightfoot, ad loc. Op. I Peter ii. 25.

In this paseage and ch xrviii. 18, the act indioated by the aorist's placed in the eternal past, where the notion of time is lost, but as an eternal fact may be regarded as ever present, this aspect of the aorist is properly represented by the English present tense.
emicucorkel, as distinguished from the simple verb, implies a further and therefore a more perfect and thorough knowledge. iva $\mathrm{Emin}_{\mathrm{m}}$
 especially of the knowledge of God and of Christ as being the perfection of knowledge. Bp. Lightfoot, Col. i. 9.

## 28-30. Regt for ter heaví laden.

These words of Jesus are preserved by St Matthew only. The connecting thonght is, those alone shall know who desire to learn, those alone shall have rest who feel their burden. The babes are those who feel ignorant, the laden those who feel oppressed.
28. Dev̂te $\pi$ pós $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Jesus does not give rest to all the heavy laden, but to those of them who shew their want of relief by ooming to Him. For $\delta \in i$ ite see note ch. iv. 19.

котьผิขтes kal $\pi$ кффор $\tau \sigma \mu$ évol. Answering through parallelism to the last line of the stanza-d $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$ juyds c.r. $\lambda$. The figure is from beasts of burden which either plough or draw chariots, wagons, \&c., for which котiwiures and rayds are appropriate words; or else carry burdens (фортla).
 veyed by the word $\zeta$ urbs, which was used commonly among the Jews for the yoke of instruction. Stier quotes from the Mishna, 'Take upon you the yoke of the holy kingdom.' Men of Belial =' Men without the yoke," 't the uninstructed.'

 кal emtecielas toî Xplatoo. It is this character that brings rest to the soul, and therefore gives us a reason why men should become His diseiples.
 Lord, Stand ye in the ways, and see, and ask for the old paths, where is the good way, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls. But they said, We will not walk therein.'
rais $\psi$ wxais] Not relief from external bodily toil.
30. to фopilov rov Aגadpóv lottv. Contrast with this the barden of the Pharisees, ch. xxiii. 4, фopтla $\beta$ apta [kal $\delta v \sigma \beta$ ávтaктa].

## OHAPTER XII.

 instances the textus receptus represents an unauthorised change to an edifier construction or a more usual grammatical form.
 authority of the leading editors and has the sanction of the oldest MSS. and several versions. But, with the exception of $\& B$, all the important Uncials contain the words, and their retention gives weight and solemnity to the clause.


## 1-13. The Obsebvancer of tere Sabbati.

1. The disciples pluck ears of corn on the Sabbath. 2. A man with a withered hand cured on the Sabbath.

St Mark ii. 28-28, iii. 1-5; St Luke vi. 1-11.

tois oajßaciv. For the form as if from a sing. od $\beta \beta_{\text {as }}$-aros see Winer 73. Tò $\sigma d \beta \beta a \tau o \nu$ and $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma d \beta \beta a \tau a$, whether in singular or plursl, mean (1) the sabbath, $\epsilon_{\nu} \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \alpha \beta \beta d \tau \psi$, Luke vi. 7. इчe $\delta t \sigma a \beta \beta d r \omega v$,
 $\mu \operatorname{la\nu } \sigma a \beta \beta a ̆ t \omega \bar{\prime}$, Matt. xxviii. 1.
treivaoray. A late form for ételp Attic $\pi \epsilon \omega \bar{\eta} \nu$ and $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$.
ripjavro rid elv aráxuas. The Pharisees, who seem to have been watching their opportunity, make the objection as soon as the disciples began what by Pharisaic rules was an unlawful act.
 rule not found in the Mosaic Law. It was a principle with the Pharisees to extend the provisions of the Law and make minute regalations over and beyond what Moses commanded, in order to avoid the possibility of transgression. To pluale ears of corm was in a sense, the Pharisees said, to reap, and to reap on the Sabbath day was forbidden and punishable by death. These regulations did in fact make void the Law; e.g. the result of this particular prohibition was to contravene the intention or motive of the Sabbath. If asbbatical observances prevented men from satisfying hanger, the Sabbath was no longer a blessing but an injury to man.
3. Ahimelech, the priest at Nob, gave David and his companions five loavers of the shèwhread ( $\mathbf{1}$ Sam. xxi. 1-7). 'It is no improbable conjecture that David came to Nob either on the Sabbath itself, or when the Sabbath was but newly gone.' Lightfoot, Hor. Heb. ad loc.
4. Tols áprovs Tifs mpo日erews. Literally, 'loaves of the setting forth," i.e. the bread that was set forth in the sanctuary. It was also called 'continual bread' as being set forth perpetually before the Lord, hence the Hebrew name, 'bread of the presence.' Twelve loaves or cakes were placed in two 'piles' (rather than ' rows,' Lev, xxiv. 6) on the 'pure table' evary Sabbath. On each pile was put a golden cup of trankincense. See Exod. xxv. 30 ; Lev. xxiv. 6-8; Josephus, Ant. III. 10. 7.

Tis $\pi$ pooteracs. This ase of the attribute genitive is very frequent in the Hebrew language, which has few adjectives in proportion to the substantives. Adjectives of material are almost entirely wanting (Rödiger's Gesenius Hebr. Oram. p. 236). The construotion however

 wing.' See Donsldson, Grk. Gr. 454.

B. ávflywate. For the sor, see ch. v. 21 and xi. 27.
$\beta \in \beta \eta \lambda o v i \sigma w$. By labour in removing the shewbread, preparing fire for the sacrifice, and performing the whole temple service. "Not merely does the sacred history relate exceptional instances of necessity, bat the Law itself ordains labour on the Sabbath as a duty' (Stier).
$\beta \in \beta \eta \lambda o u ̂ \sigma \iota y$. The verb is late. $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \lambda o s$ ( $\beta d \omega, \beta a l \nu \omega, \beta \eta \lambda o s$, ' $a$ threshold ') lit. = 'allowable for all to tread,' so common, profane.
6. $\mu$ eifov. The neuter gives the sense of indefinite greatness; cp.

 $\theta \in b \nu$.
 that the action of the protasis did not take place. The Pharisees did not recognise the true meaning of the prophet.
 There is something more binding than the Law, and that is the principle which underlies the Law. The law rightly understood is the expression of God's love to man. That love allowed the act of David, and the labour of the priests; 'Shall it not permit my disciples to satisfy their hanger?'
 always mase., in Hellenistic Greek generally neuter, similar instances
 Phil. iii. 6 (Lachmann and Tischendori).


al does not introduce direct questions in Attic Greek. For this later use, compare Latin an and even si. The construction is probably due to an ellipse. Winer, 639.
11. In the other Synoptic Gospels the argument is different. 'Is it lawful to do good on the Sabbath days, or to do evil 9 to save life or to kill?' St Matthew states the argument that bears specially on the Jewish Law. St Luke, however, mentions the application of the same argument by our Lord on a different occasion, ch. xiv. 5. Our Lord's answer is thrown into the form of a syllogism, the minor premise and conclusion of which are left to be inferred in St Luke loc. cit.

13. árexargotà $9 \eta$. For the double augment see Winer, P. ii., xii. 7.

14-21. Tem Pharisees plot aganfet Jesue, who rettries.
Mark iii. 6-12; Luke vi. 11, 12.
 rodians joined the Pharisees.
 the historic tenses is the established usage in Hellenistic Greek. For instances in the Classics see note, ch. xiv. 36. The use of the sub.
junctive gradually displaced the optative mood, which does not exist in Modern Greek. In the N.T. it is somewhat rare. It occurs, (1)
 $\mu a x a ́ p o c, 1$ Pet. iii. 14. (2) In the expression of a wish; as, $\mu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathrm{f}}$ 's картд户 фá oot, Mark xi. 14, and the formula, $\mu$ خो үevooro. (3) In indirect questions; as, $\eta_{p} \xi_{\alpha}$ xxii. 23. (4) In a temporal sentence; once only, in oratio obliqua, Acts xxv. 16. (5) With ay, 'when subjective possibility is connected with a condition' (Winer), as Aets xvii. 18. (6) In strictly final sentences it does not occur; on the apparent instances, (a) Mark ix. 30, and xiv. 10, where there are strong reasons for regarding $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ oi and mapa $\delta \hat{\imath}$ as subjunctive forms; and ( $\beta$ ) Eph. i. 17, where the sentence introduced by lya expresses the object of the prayer or wish; see Winer. p. 360, note 2, and p. 363.
 ciple which He laid down for his disciples' guidance.

 follows, expanding the thought of those words: 'His'force shall not be abated nor broken. Until he hath firmly seated judgment in the earth ' (Lowth's trans.). In the LXX., 'Tax $\dot{\beta} \beta$ and 'I $\sigma \rho \alpha \bar{\eta} \lambda$ are inserted as subjects in the first clauses, and there are many verbal discrepancies.
18. © trais $\mu$ ov. 'My servant.' In Isaiah's prophecy, either (1) 'the chosen one,' whom Jehovah raised 'from the north' (Is. xli. 25) to do his will, and bring about Fis people's deliverance from the Babylonish Captivity, or (2) the nation of Israel the worker out of Jehovah's purposes, in either case in an altimate sense the Messiah.

кpiotl. The Hebrew word (mishpat) is used in a wider sense than кplots denoting 'role,' 'plan,' 'ordinance,' \&e. Adhering, however, to the strict force of the Greek, we may regard кplots as the 'divine sentence or decree,' so the 'purpose' of God in the Gospel.
roîs z̈veatr. Possibly our Lord in His retirement addressed Him. self more especially to the Gentiles-the Greeks, Phœenicians, and others, settled near the lake. 'They about Tyre and Sidon, a great multitude, ...came unto Him,' Mark iii. 8.

19, 20. These verses describe the gentleness and forbearance of Christ. He makes no resistance or loud proclamation like an earthly prince. The bruised reed and the feebly-burning wiok may be referred to the failing lives which Jesus restores and the sparks of faith which He revives.
19. Epiren Here, only in N.T., it may be noted that in this cita-
 $\mu a$, none of which occur in the LXX. version of the prophecy; the fut. кared $\xi \in$ is extremely rare, and the construction of enaljev is found here only in N.T. The divergence from the LXX. points to an independent version, and the divergence from St Matthew's vocabulary points to some translator other than the Evangelist.
dxovers. Late for middle form dкои́бета.
ev raits miarelats. 'In the open spaces' of the city. Jesus had retired to the desert.
 judgment triumph-until he brings it to victory.' $\epsilon \kappa \beta d \lambda \lambda \epsilon \in \mathbb{P}$ denotes the impulee of enthusiasm. See ch. ix. 38.

For eis vikos the lit. rendering of the Hebr. ia 'to trath.' Maldonatus suggests as an explanation of the discrepancy, a corruption in the Chaldman text. But, on the other hand, els' vîxos expresses the general sense of the omitted words.
 agrees with this. The Hebrew text has 'for his law.' It is hardiy probable that the mistake should have arisen, as Maldonatus suggests, from the similarity of $\nu \delta \mu \psi$ and $\delta \nu \delta \mu a \tau t$.

## 22, 23. Cure of a marf who was Buind and Dumb.

 Luke xi. 14-16.St Luke omits to mention that the man was blind as well as dumb.
23. $\mu$ 斤ti out implies a negative answer. Those who can scarcely hope for an affirmative reply, natarally give a negative cast to their question. 'Can this possibly ( $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ) be the son of David?' But the question itself implies a hope. See Winer, p. 641, note 3, and p. 642; Jelf, §873. 4, and Goodwin, Moods and Tenses, p. 84.

24-30. The Cearge, 'He cabteth odt devils by Berleretib.' The Answer of Jebus.

$$
\text { Mark iii. 22-27; Luke xi. } 15 .
$$

24. Betitgooil. See ch. x. 25.
 destroy a nation, but a nation disunited, rent by factions, in the presence of a common enemy must fall. Here Satan's kingdom is regarded as warning against the kingdom of God.

Observe the gradation of $\beta$ acinela- $\pi b \lambda \iota s-o l x l a-\sum a \tau a v a ̄ s ; ~ i t ~ i s ~ a ~$ climax; the smaller the commanity the more fatal the division. Division in an individual is a contradietion in terms.
 ciples of the Pharisees, who either really possessed the power of casting out evil spirits, or pretended to have that power. In either case the argument of Jesus was onanswerable.
28. \v avép
 mediate past. $\phi \theta d \nu \in \omega$, , from its classical foree of 'anticipating,' or 'coming before others,' passes to that of simply coming and arriving at a place. This was indeed probably the original meaning of tha
word (Geldart, Moi. Greek, p. 206). It is also the modern meaning; трофөdveep being used in the rense of 'to antioipate.' But in such
 the prevailing classical use is discerned. Both senses are found in N.T. For the first, 1 Thess. iv. 15 , ou $\mu \eta\rangle \phi \theta d \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ тov̀s коч $\mu \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \tau a s$,



29. Not only is Satan not an ally, but he is an enemy and a vanquished enemy.
 rid $\dot{\sim} \pi \alpha_{\rho \rho \chi o v t a ~ o f ~ S t ~ L u k e-h i s ~ g o o d s ~ a n d ~ f u r n i t u r e, ~ h i s ~ a r m o u r ~}^{\text {and }}$ and equipment generally. Cp. Is. liii. 12, тज̂y l $\sigma \chi v \rho \hat{\omega} \gamma \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \hat{i} \sigma \kappa \hat{v} \lambda \alpha$ (LXX).
 between Christ and Satan is continued. Satan is not divided against himself, neither can Christ be. Neutrality is impossible in the Christian life. It must be for Christ or against Christ. The metaphor of gathering and scattering may be from collecting and scatter-
 Bara (John x. 12), or from gathering and squandering wealth, money, \&o., the resources given by God to his stewards to spend for him: cp.

$\sigma \kappa о р \pi(G \in t v$, an Ionic word for the Attic $\sigma \kappa \kappa \hat{d} d \nu \nu v \mu$. It is found in Lucian, Strabo and other late writers (Lob. Phryn. 218).

31-37. Blaspebitna acainst the Hofy Ghost.
31. SLà toûro. The conolusion of the whole is-you are on Satan's side, and knowingly on Satan's side, in this decisive struggle between the two kingdoms, and this is blasphemy against the Holy Ghost-an unpardonable sin.

This answer is thrown into a poetical form, often observable in the more solemn, or (in human language) the more stndied utterances of Christ. Two couplets are followed by a fifth line (oüte è roúru .. $\mu \in \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau t)$ whioh affects each one of the preceding lines.

This oharge was not brought forward for the first time. For a while it may have been passed over in silence. When the season for utterance came the manner as well as the meaning of the words would fix themselves for ever in the memory of the listeners.
 against the Holy Ghost is to speak against the clear voice of conscience, to call good evil and light derkness, to pursue goodness as sach with malignity and hatred. Such sin, or sinful state, cannot be forgiven aince from its very nature it excludes the iden of repentance. Jesus, who saw the heart, knew that the Phariseas were insincere in the charge which they brought against Him. They were attribating to Datan what they knew to be the work of God. Their former
attacks against the Son of man had excuse; for instance, they might have differed conscientiously on the question of sabbath observance, now they have no excuse.
 nection are; 'Be honest for once; represent the tree as good, and its fruit as good, or the tree as evil and its fruit as evil; either say that I am evil and that my works are evil, or, if yon admit that my works are good, admit that I am good also and not in league with Beelzebab.'
34. yevvípata kxıঠvâv. Cp. ob. iii. 7. Here the argument is turned round against the Pharisees: 'your words and works are evil, and apring from an evil source.'

The burat of indignation after an argoment calmly stated resembles the turu in St Stephen's speech (Acts vii. 51) $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o r \rho \dot{\chi} \eta \lambda 10 c$, kal аंтєріт $\mu \eta \tau<\iota$ к.т. $\lambda$.
$\pi \omega \bar{s} \delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta \epsilon \operatorname{dyc} \theta d \mathrm{da} \lambda_{\epsilon} \mathrm{v}$ к.т. $\lambda_{\text {. }}$ Closely connected with the preceding thought, but further illustrated by two figures--the overflow as of a cistern, and the abundance of a treasury.
 words are regarded as the overflow of the heart.
35. Eкßä入ct expresses vigorous and enthusiastic teaching and infiuence.

Oŋqaupoî. Treasury or storehouse. Cp. ch. ii. 11.
36. dip ${ }^{6 v}$, without result ( $a$ and tpyov, ep. the frequent rhetorical contrast between $\lambda \delta \gamma o s$ and $z_{\rho \gamma o \nu}$, also between $\dot{\rho} \boldsymbol{j} \mu a$ and $E_{\rho} \gamma o v$, as Soph. O. C. 873; Thuc. v. 111), so 'useless,' 'ineffective,' and by
 rous. Eph. v. 11. Words must be not only not evil, but they must be aetively good. The same principle rules the decision at the final judgment (ch. xxv. 45).
 Note the repeated $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o \nu, \ldots \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu \ldots \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu$. The English Version by translating $\dot{\rho} \hat{\gamma} \mu a$, 'word,' and $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} p \lambda \hat{\gamma} \omega \bar{\nu} \sigma o v$, 'from thy words,' regards $\dot{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu a$ as synonymous with $\lambda 6 \gamma o s$, and translates as if $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\nu} \nu$ р $\eta \mu \mathrm{d} \tau \omega \nu$ were read. But a different explanation may suggest itself if the passage be read thus: 'every idle $\dot{\rho} \tilde{\eta} \mu a$ that men shall speak, they shall render a $\lambda$ doos thereof in the day of judgment; for from thy own $\lambda 6$ you thou ghalt be acquitted and by thy own $\lambda \delta \sigma^{\circ}$ thou shalt be condemned.' The sound and rhythm of the sentence almost compel the reader to refer the same meaning to $\lambda$ byou and $\lambda \sigma y \omega \nu$ and to distinguish between $\dot{p} \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a$ and $\lambda \sigma \gamma \omega \nu$. $\lambda b$ oros is the 'reasoned word,' the defence put forth by the individual in the day of judgment for this special thing-' the idle expression;' the plural तóroc denotes the varions points in the defence. In this view $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ introduces the reason for drodjovovat $\lambda 6$ jov. Acquittal or condemna-


the actaal scene of judgment, Matt. xxv. 34-45. For the change from the generic $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi o c$ to the specializing 2 nd person sing. in $v .37$ see ch. vii. 7, 8.

The above interpretation harmonises better with facts, for tpya as well as p phaara will come into account on the last day.

## 38-42. Tel Pharigess abit for a Sign.

St Luke xi. 16, 29-32. St Luke omits, or at least does not state explicitly, the special application of the sign given in v. 40 , to understand which required a knowledge of the Jewish prophets which would be lacking to St Lake's readers.
 taken by the Pharisees after their resolution to destroy Jesus.
39. $\mu$ oxal(s, estranged from God; a figure often used by the Prophets to express the defection of Israel from Jehovah. Op. ch.
 $\sigma \epsilon \omega 5$; and Is. Ivii. 3.
40. Jonah is a sign (1) as affording a type of the Resurrection, (2) as a preacher of righteousness to a people who needed repentance as this generation needs it.
iv т by translating kírous, 'whale.' кरोros (probably from a root meaning 'cleft,' во 'hollow,' \&e., perhaps connected with squatus, ' $a$ shark')
 кท̂тоऽ. Od. xiI. 97.

The O.T. rendering is more accurate, 'the fish's belly' (Jonah ii. 1), 'a great fish,' (Jonah i. 17). It is soarcely needful to note that there are no whales in the Mediterranean.
41. divaortjo the day of judgment) beside. When on the day of jndgment the Ninevites stand side by side with the men of that generation, they will by their penitence condemn the impenitent Jews.
 instances $\epsilon$ is appears to be equivalent to $\epsilon \bar{c}$. The two prepositions were originally identical in form and meaning - $e \nu$ s. In proof of this

 John i. 18. 'w' as̉zd $\lambda 0 \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta$ els $\sigma \kappa \alpha \dot{d} \eta \eta$, Epict. III. 22, 71. On the

 Grammar, p. 510. Clyde's Greek Syntax, § 83, obs. 4.
42. ßaclaıfoa vótov. 'The Queen of the South.' So correctly and not $a$ queen of the South as some translate. The absence of the definite article in the original is due to the influence of the Hebrew idiom. For an account of the queen of Sheba or Southern Arabia, see 1 Kinge x . 1.

Bardurara. This form is found in all the late authors for the classical $\beta$ adincia. See Lob. Phryn. 96.

48-45. A Figtre to imlostrate tee subpasadya Wicredntrs of the day.
Lake xi. 24-26, where the connection is different. St Lake, as usual, omits the direct application to Israel.

This short parable explains the supreme wickedness of the present generation. And herein lies the connection. The Jews of former times were like a man possessed by a single demon, the Jewr of the day are like a man possessed by many demons. And this is in accordance with a moral Iaw. If the expulsion of ain be not followed by real amendment of life, and perseverance in righteousuess, a more awfol condition of sinfulness will result. See note $v .45$.
43. Se, 'but,' introducing the explanation of the facts stated. The connection is obscured in A.V. by the omission of the particle.
dvéSpar rótcuv. The waterIess desert aninhabited by man was regarded by the Jews as the especial abode of evil spirits.
44. oxoláGoyta. Properly 'at leisure.' There must be no leisure in the Christian life; to have cast out a sin does not make a

 demon of idolatry-the sin of its earlier history, but worse demons had entered in-the more insidious and dangerous sins of hypoerisy and hardness of heart.

46-50. Jegus is sought by His Mother and Brethrin. The true Motier and Biethren of Jesje.

Mark iii. 31-35; Luke viii. 19-21.
The account is given with very slight variation by the three Synop. tists. But see Mark iii. 21 and 30, 31, whare a mative is suggested'When his friends heard of it, they went ont to lay hold on Him : for they said, He is beside Himself' (v. 21). It would seem that the Pharisees, on the pretext that Jesus had a demon, had persuaded His friends to secure Him. This was another device to destroy Jesus, see vv. 14 and 38.
47. of $\dot{a} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \lambda \phi_{0}$ orov. It is a point of controversy whether these were (1) the own brothers of Jesus, sons of Joseph and Mary, or (2) sons of Joseph by a former marriage, or (3) cousins, sons of a sister of Mary.

The names of the 'brethren' are given ch. xiii. 55 , where see note.
It may be observed in regard to this question that the nearer the relationship of the didelpal to Jesus is held to be, the more gracions are the words of Christ, and the nearer the spiritual kinship which is compared to the human brotherhood.
 the old relationships. By the spiritual birth new ties of kindred are established.
60. 6ayts ydp dy rolidn k.rd. "These which hear the word of God and do it' (tuke viii. 21).
roû dy ofpavois. The addition is important. 'Not those who do the will of my earthly father, but those who do the will of my heavenly Father are brethren.' The essence of sonship is obedienee, and obedience to God constitutes brotherhood to Jesus who oame to do тd $\theta \in \lambda \eta \mu a \tau 00 \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu \tau o s . ~ J o h n ~ v i . ~ 38 . ~$

## CHAPTER XIII.

2. $\pi \lambda o i o v, ~ f o r ~ \pi \delta ~ \pi \lambda o i o v . ~ F e r e ~ t h e r e ~ i s ~ n o ~ m e n t i o n ~ o f ~ t h e ~ p a r t i e u-~$ lar boat used by Christ and his disoiples.
3. Líropal, for tewwal. The latter reading is due to the influence of grammatioal uniformity, or an ritacism, confusion of vowela that have a similar sound.
 first ahange ia less well supported than the second, but the tendency to assimilate in the first case to $\delta$ $\sigma \pi e i p \omega v(v .3)$ would be greater.
4. ETfotepoy for togeipes. The simple verb has large MS. support, but there woald be great probability of losing the preposition in transcribing, and very little of its insertion if not in original text. For effect on sense see note infra.
5. The insertion of 'Hoalou before $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \rho \circ \phi$ गुrou, a mistaken gloss, has vary slender authority, $x$ being the only unoial that contains the reading.
6. There is strong support for kalerai instead of carakateral which may have been influenced by $v .30$.
7. a a $\gamma \eta$ for d $\gamma \gamma$ eía, on good authority. $d_{\gamma} \gamma c i \alpha$ an explanation of the raser form dr $\gamma \eta$.
8. 入éret aúroîs $\dot{o}$ 'I $\eta$ ooûs. Omitted in the oldest uncials $\mathbb{N B D}$, appears in 0 and with the later uncials. The harshness. of the construction without these words goes to prove a later insertion.
9. Tŷ Baonicle has the best authority and is the more difficult reading. els $\tau \dot{\eta} v \beta_{a} \sigma_{i} \lambda \epsilon l_{a r}$ was probably a marginal note.

1-9. Jebus teaches in Parabligs. The Parable of the Sower,
Mark iv. 1-9; Luke viii. 4-9.

1. Ixai0nтo. The usual position of a Jewish teacher.

Tapd Tiv Odגaroav. At the $N$. end of the Leke of Gennesaret there are small creeks or inlets ' where the ship conld ride in safety only a few feet from the shore, and where the multitudes seated on both sides and before the bost could listen without distraction or fatigue. As if on purpose to furnish seats, the shore on both sides of these narrow inlets is piled up with smooth boulders of bessalt.' Thomson, Land and Boot, p. 356.
2. dls $\pi \lambda_{0}$ iov. See crit. notes, and compare such expressions as t $\rho$ дontac els olkor, Mark iii. 19.
8. ty mapaßohais. Up to this time Jesus had preached repentance, proclaiming the lingdom, and setting forth the laws of it in direct terms. He now indicates by parables the reception, growth, characteristics, and future of the kingdom. The reason for this manner of teaching is given below, vv. 10-15.
 mashal) $=$ ' a likeness' or ' comparison.' The meaning of the Hebrew word extends to proverbial sayings: 1 Sam. x. 12; Prov. i. 1, and to poetical narration, Ps. lxxviii. 2 (see Dean Perowne's note). Parables differ from fables in being pictures of possible occurrences-frequently of actual daily occarrences,-and in teaching religious truths rather than moral truthe. See below v. 10 and v. 33.
4. $\mathbb{a}^{\mu}{ }^{\mu} \nu \mathrm{v} \ldots \mathrm{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha \mathrm{d}$. For this use of the relative as a demonstrative


 $\dot{\dot{o}} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ т $\dot{o}$ was originally demonstrative, but the relative and the article are traced to independent originels. Clyde's Greek Syntax, § 30. (Ed. 5.)
mapd rìv $\dot{\text { óSóv, }}$ i.e. along the narrow footpath dividing one field from another.
B. Td пєтр́́íq. Places where the underlying rock was barely covered with earth. The hot sun striking on the thin soil and warming the rock beneath would cause the corn to spring up rapidly and then as swiftly to wither.
7. ai ákavdal. Virgil mentions among the 'plagues' of the wheat,

> 'Tt mala culmos Esset robigo segnisque horreret in arvis Carduus.' Georg. i. 150-153.
8. A $\mu \geq$ èkatóv, к.т. $\lambda$. Thomson, Land and Book, p. 83, aseribes the different kinds of fertility to different kinds of grain; 'barley yields more than wheat, and white maize sown in the neighbourhood, often yields several hundred fold.' It is however better to refer the difference of yield to differences in particular parts of the good soil. The highest in the kingdom of God differ in receptivity and fruitfulness.




> 10-17. The Reabon why Jebus teaches in Parables. Mark iv. 10-12; Luke viii. 10.
10. Ev тapaßo入aîs. The parable is suited (1) to the uninstructed, as being attractive in form and as revealing spiritual truth exactly in proportion to the capacity of the hearer; and (2) to the divinely wise as wrapping up a secret which he can penetrate by his spiritual in-
sight. In this it resembles the Platonic myth; it was the form in which many philosophers olothed their deepest thoughts. (3) It fulfils the condition of all true knowledge. He alone who seeks finds. In relation to Nature, Art, God Himself, it may be said the doll 'seeing see not.' The commonest and most obvious things hide the greatest truths. (4) The divine Wisdom has been justified in respect to this mode of teaching. The parables have struck deep into the thought and language of men (not of Christians only), as no other teaching could have done; in proof of which it is sufficient to name such words and expressions as 'talents,' 'dispensation,' 'leaven,' 'prodigal son,' 'light under a bushel,' ' building on sand.'
 to the initiated-the inner teaching of the gospel. St Paul regards as 'mysteries,' the spread of the gospel to the Gentiles, Eph. iii. 3. 4, 9; the doctrine of the resurrection, 1 Cor. xv. 51, the conversion of the Jews, Rom. xi. 25; the relation of Christ to His Church; Eph. จ. 32.

To the Greek, $\mu v a r$ thpia would recall the associations of Eleusis and Samothrace, and so necessarily bring a part of the mystic thought into Christianity; only, however, to contrast the true Christian
 Col. i. 27), with the seoresy and exclusiveness of the pagan mysteries Bp. Lightfoot on Col. i. 21-28. The derivation is from $\mu \dot{\mu} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$, 'to close the lips.' The initiated are called $\mu e \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu=0$ or $\tau \in \lambda \in i o c$ (fully instrueted); the use of the latter word may be applied to the same


12. Op. ch. xxv. 29.
 the people do not understand, \&c., i.e. (1) either He teaches them in the simplest and most attractive form so as by degrees to lead them on to deeper knowledge, or (2) He teaches in parables because it is not fitting that divine truths should be at once patent to the unreflective and indifferent multitude.

In the parallel passages a final clause takes the place of the causal


 tention or aim. But in regard to God's dealing, all results are intended results, and the usual distinction between consecutive and final clauses is lost. The result of teaching by parables was that the careless and indifferent did not understand, it was the intention of God; in other words it is a spiritual law that those only who have riots ahall learn. The form and thought of the ariginal Hebrew corresponds with this view.
14. Is. vi. 9, 10. The words form part of the mission of Isaiah
 as the seat of intelligence, has become gross or fat, and so closed against the perception of spiritual truth.
 future indicative co-ordinately after a final particle, ap. Rev. xxii. 14,


 361. In the classics the future indicative in pure fingl clauses is found after $\delta \pi \omega$ and $\delta_{\phi \rho a, ~ n e v e r ~ a f t e r ~ l y a ~ o r ~}^{\text {is }}$, and very seldom after the simple $\mu \eta$. Goodwin's Moods and Tenses, p. 68. Elmsley, however (Eur. Bacch., p. 164) does not admit the exception of [pa. See Winer, loc. cit. above. In the N.T. $\delta \pi \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ocours with the future, Matt. ch. xsvi. 59, and, on good MS. authority, Rom. iii. 4. As distinguished from the subjunctive in such instances the future indicative implies a more permanent condition.
 to understand the explanation which would be thrown away on the unistructed multitude.

> 18-23. The Parabtie of the Sower is explained. Mark iv, 14-20; Luke viii. $11-15$.
19. On some the word of God makes no impression, as we say; some hearts are quite unsusceptible of good.

тaveds dкovovtos. Si quis audit, quisquis est, for the classical táp tis áкóvoy. wás here follows the usage of Hebr. kol, 'all,' or 'any.' See note ch. xxiv. 22.

20,21. evidus...civós. The unstable and volatile nature is as quick to be attracted by the gospel at first, as it is to abandon it afterwards when the trial comes.
$\delta \delta k$ omapefs. 'He that was sown.' The man is compared to the seed. Comp. the more definite expression in Luke viii. 14, to $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon l \mathrm{~s}$
 'He that receiveth the seed' (orapeis being taken in the sense of rìy

 tion of Christians, and the time when ' the love of many shall wax cold,' ch. xxiv. 12.
okavSaligetal. 'Falls,' is ensnared by attempting to avoid persecution. See note, ch. v. 29.

 things destroy the 'singleness' of the Christian life. Compare with this the threefold employment of the world as described by Christ, a.t the time of the Flood, at the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah, and at the coming of the Son of man. (Luke xvii. 26-30.)
$\mu i p \nsim$ va, 'absorbing care,' from a root that connects it with $\mu e \rho \mu \eta$ pljw, Mdiprus, memoria, mora.
23. The word will be more fruitful in some hearts than in others. Even the Aposties exemplified this. The triple division in their number seems to point to differences of gifts and spiritual froitfulness.

24-30. The Parable of the Tares. Confined to St Matthem.
24. mapetopkey here and v. 31 only in this sense. Elsewhere of ' setting meat before a guest'-the usual Homeric use of the wordMark vi. 41, viii 6, 7; Luke xi. 6. Of committing a charge to a person, Luke xii. $48 ; 2$ Tim. ii. 2. In mid. voice, of 'proving' by comparison, Aets xxii. 3. Here the word might be taken in a similar sense 'made a similitude,' rapapo $\lambda \dot{\eta} y$ regarded as cognate.

नrelpavth, not 'which sowed,' A.V. but when he sowed.
 The expression is not introduced into the Lord's explanation of the parable.
$k \pi \sigma_{\sigma \pi \in \rho}$ gigdiva. Travellers mention similar instances of spiteful conduct in the East, and elsewhere, in modern times. émi gives the force of an after sowing or sowing over the good seed.
çứáva. Probably the English 'darnel;' Latin, lolium; in the earlier stages of its growth this weed very closely resembles wheat, indeed can scarcely be distinguished from it. This resemblance gives an obvious point to the parable. The good and the evil are often indistinguishable in the visible chorch. The Day of Judgment will separate. Men have tried in every age to make the separation beforehand, but have failed. For proof of this read the history of the Essenes or the Donatists. The Lollards-as the followers of Wyekliffe were called-were sometimes by a play on the word Lolium identified by their apponents with the tares of this parable. A friend suggests the reflection: 'How strange it was that the very men who applied the word "Lollard" from this parable, acted in direct oppo-
sition to the great lesson which it taught, by being persecutors."

The parable of the Tares has a sequence in thought on the parable of the Sower. The latter shows that the kingdom of God will not be coextensive with the world; all men have not sufficient faith to receive the word. This indicates that the kingdom of God-the true Church-is not coextensive with the visible Church. Some who seem to be subjects of the Kingdom are not really subjects.
26. 'tquiv, 'was manifest,' when the good corn made fruit: before that they were indistinguishable.

## 31-33. (1) The Parable of tee Mobtard Seed. (2) The Parable of the Leaten which leatened the Meal.

 (1) Mark iv. 30-32. (1) and (2) Luke xiii. 18-21.The 'mystery' or secret of the future contained in these two parables has reference to the growth of the Charch; the first regards the growth in its external aspect, the second in its inner working.

The power that plants possess of absorbing within themselves, and assimilating the various elements of the soil in which they are planted, and the surrounding gases-not by one channel but by many-the conditions too under whioh this is done-the need of water, of the breath of heaven and of sunlight-find a close parallel in the history and influence of the Church of Christ. It is an instance where the thought of the illustration is deepened by fresh knowledge.
 does not name an agent, the planter of the seed.
 special reference to the land of Israel.
 but least in proportion to the plant that springs from the seed. Moreover the mustard seed was used proverbially of anything excessively minute.
 rest or shelter or to eat the seeds, of which goldfinches and linnets are very fond. (Tristram, Nat. Hist. of Dible, p. 473.) кaтaбктvoî. Literally, dwell in tents. If we think of the leafy huts constructed for the feast of tabernaeles the propriety of the word will be seen. The mustard plant does not grow to a very great
 not be pressed. Dr Thomson (Land and Book) mentions as an exceptional instance that he found it on the plain of Akkar as tall as a horse and its rider.

катабкпиoir. For the infinitive termination see Winer, p. 92.

 jifov. (Donaldson's Pindar, de Stilo Pindari, p. liv) and the Thessalian genitive form is oo for ov (Papillon, Compar. Phil. 112 note).
33. burn. Except in this one parable, leaven is used of the

 the Rabbinical writings. This thought probably arose from the prohibition of leaven during the paschal season. But the secrecy and the all-pervading character of leaven aptly symbolize the growth of Christianity, (1) as a society penetrating everywhere by a subtle and mysterious operation until in this light-as a seoret brotherhood-it appeared dangerous to the Roman empire; (2) as an influence unfelt at first growing up within the human soul.

Sir Bartle Frere on Indian Missions, p. 9; speaking of the gradual change wrought by Christianity in India, says, in regard to religious innovations in general: "They are always subtle in operation, and generally little noticeable at the outset in comparison with the power of their ultimate operation.'
oára тpia, 'three seahs.', In Gen. xviii. 6, Abraham bids Sarah ' make ready three "seahs" of fine meal, knead it and make cakes upon the hearth.'
34. dv rapaßohais. In reference to the teaching by parables it may be remarked, (1) that the variety in the subject-matter not only gives great vivacity and fulness to the instruetion, but the several illustrations would interest specially particular classes and persons-the fisherman on the lake, the farmer and the merchant would each in turn find his own pursuit furnishing a figure for divine things, even the poor woman standing on the outskirts of the crowd learns that her daily task is fruitful in spiritual lessons. (2) As descriptive of the lingdom of heaven they set it forth us incapable of definition, as presenting many aspects, as auggested by a variety of external things, though not itself external. (3) For the general effect on the imagination and for variety comp. the series of images by which Homer describes the march of the Aohæan host. Il. II. 455-484.
35. "̈т ${ }^{\text {ons }} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \hat{\mathrm{n}}$, For the meaning of this formula cp note, ch. i. 22.

Sid roi mpoфyitov, Asaph, the author of Ps. lixxvii. from which this quotation is taken. He is called 'Asaph the seer,' 2 Chron. Exix. 30.

The quotation does not agree verbally with the LXX. where the
 tion of the Hebrew. The psalm which follows these words is a review of the histcry of Israel from the Exodus to the reign of David. This indicates the somewhat wide sense given to 'parables' and 'dark sayings.' Here the mashal, mapaion $\eta$, or 'comparison,' implies the teachings of history. Though possibly the term may apply only to the antithetical form of Hebrew poetry. See Dean Perowne ad loc.

 $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \dot{u} \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\nu} \delta \epsilon, O d$. v. 438 . The word is similarly used in Pindar and Theocritus, and in the LXX. of lions roaring, Hos. xi. 11; Amos iii. 4, 8; of water bursting forth, Lev, xi. 10, and in Ps. xviii. 2 figu-
 sense of 'speaking;' such softening of coarse and strong meanings is characteristic of Alexandrine Greek, op. $\sigma$ кú $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$.

катаßо入ท', foundation, beginning. So used by Pindar and Polyb.




## 36－43．Explanation of the Parablix of the Tares，in St Matthew only．

39．नuvt Acla．In classical Greek＇a joint subscription，or association for paying state dues，＇\＆c．later the＇completion＇of a scheme opposed


бvirthea alâvos．＇Completion of the 巴on，＇the expression is confined to this Gospel；see below，vv． 40 and 49 and ch．xxiv．3，but

 result of the Flons．In the two last passages the＇ARons＇are the successive periods previous to the advent of Christ，the＇厌on＇of the text is the period introduced by Christ，which will not be completed till his second Advent．

41．mávтa rà okdiveina．Everything that engnares or tempts men to destruction；gee ch．v． 29.
 article see ch．viii．12．＇The grinding of the teeth and the attering of piercing shrieks give relief in an agony of pain．＇Darwin，Expres－ sion of the Emotions，p． 177.

43．то́тє of 8Lxalo к．т．入．Op．Dan．xii．3，＇Then they that be wise shall shine as the brightness of the firmament．＇

44．The Parable of tee Hid Treasore，in this Gospel only．
In ancient times，and in an unsettled country like Palestine，where there were no banks，in the modern sense，it was a common practice to conceal treasures in the ground．Even at this day the Arabs are keenly alive to the chance of finding such buried stores．The dis－ honesty of the purchaser must be excladed from the thought of the parable．The unexpected discovery，the consequent excitement and joy，and the cagerness to buy at any sacrifice，are the pointe to be observed in the interpretation．
cupáv．Here the kingdom of heaven presents itself unexpectedly， ＇Christ is found of one who sought Him not．＇The woman of Sama－ ria，the jailer at Philippi，the centurion by the Cross are instances，
 needed for the winning of the kingdom，cp．eh．x．38．Thus Paul gave up position，Matthew wealth，Barnabas lands．
dyopdj̧ct todv ảypòv excivov．Pata himself in a position to attain the kingdom．

4b，46．The Parable of the Prari of Great Pbiog，in Et Mat－ thew only．
Here the story is of one who succeeds in getting what he strives to obtain．The Jewish or the Greek＇seekers after God，＇possessing many pearls，but still dissatisfied，sought others yet more choice，and
finding one, true to the simplicity in Christ, renounce all for that; the one his Iegalism, the other his philosophy. Nathaniel, Apolios, Timothens, Justin Martyr are amongst those who thus sought and found.
46. $\pi t \pi \rho a к є \psi$, 'sells at once.' The perfect marks the quickness of the transaction, cp. Dem. Phil. r. 19, $\delta$ edox AaL , 'instantly determined


 retiunrai, (Thuc. II. 45) 'is at once held in honour.' Donaldson, Greck Frammar, p. 409, (cc.)

> 47-50. The Parable of the Net, in St Matthew only.
7. Gayทirn. A drag-net or beine (the English word comes from the Greek through sagena of the Vulgate). One end of the seine is held on the shore, the other is hauled off by a boat and then returned to the land. In this way a large number of fishes of all kinds is enolosed. Seine-fishing is still practised on the coasts of Devonshire and Cornwall.

The teaching of this parable partly coincides with that of the parable of the Tares ( $v v .24-30$ ). In both are exhibited the mixture of good and evil in the visible Church, and the final separation of them. But here the thought is specially direoted to the ingathering of the Church. The ministers of Christ will of necessity draw converts of diverse character, good and evil, and actuated by different motiveb. From the parable of the tares we learn not to reject any from within the Charch, in the hope of expelling the element of evil. It is a parable of the settled Church. This is a missionary parable. It teaches that as a matter of history or of fact, no barrier or external test will serve to exclude the unworthy convert.
50. els тinv xáplvov tove mupós. The article has the same force as in $\delta$ к $\kappa a v \theta \mu \delta$ s. The figure may be generally drawn from an oriental mode of punishment, or there may be special reference to Dan. iii. 6 .

## 51, e2. The Scribes of the Kinadom of Heaven.

51. $\sigma u v \mathfrak{k} k a \tau \epsilon$. $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \in \sigma t s$, 'intelligent apprehension,' is used specially of spiritual intelligence, Col. i. 9. Cp. ch. zvi. 12, xvii. 13.
 of Scribes who shall be instructed in the lingdom of heaven-instructed in its mysteries, its laws, its future-as the Jewish Scribes are instructed in the observances of the Mosaic law.
kaud kal ma入aua. (1) Just as the householder brings from his stores or treasury precious things whioh have been heir-looms for generations, as well as newly acquired treasures; the disciples following their master's example will exhibit the true teaching of the old law, and add thereto the new lessons of Christianity. (2) Another interpretation finds a reference to Jewish sacrificial usage by which
sometimes the newly-gathered fruit or corn, sometimes the produce of a former year furnished the offering. The wise householder was ready for all emargencieg, So the Christian teacher will have an apt lesson on each occasion.
As applied to the teaching of Christ Himself kaved points to the fresh revelation, audaid to the Law and the Prophets on which the new traths rested and from which they were evolved. Instances arg, the extended and deeper meaning given to the decalogue, and to the law of forgiveness, *o., the fresh light thrown on prophecy and on Rabbinical sayings, the confirmation of the ancient dealing of God combined with the revelation of entirely new truths, as that of the resurrection,-of the Christian Church, -of the Sacramente, -of the extension of the Gospel to the Gentiles.

> 53-58. The Prophet in His own Country Mark vi. $1-6$; Luke iv. $16-30$.

In Mark the incident is placed between the eure of Jairns' daughter and the mission of the Twelve ; in Luke our Lord's discourse in the synagogue is given at length. Bat many commentators hold with great probability that St Luke's narrative refers to a different and earlier visit to Nazareth
b3. Herîper. Only here and ch. xix. 1 in N.T. The seemingly intransitive use of alpety comes from the familiar phrase atpecy $\sigma \tau \bar{\lambda} \lambda \bar{\lambda} \nu$, 'to start an expedition,' then, the object being omitted, as in many English natical phrases, 'to start.' This use of the compond

64. tijv $\begin{gathered}\text { ratpl } \delta a \text { autoû. Nazareth and the neighbourhood. }\end{gathered}$

 allusion being made to the father, as in the other synoptists, possibly
 As every Jew was taught a trade there would be no improbability in the carpenter's son becoming a soribe. But it was known that Jesus bad not had the ordinary education of a scribe.
of didechot aitrovi. Probably the sons of Joseph and Mary. It is certain that no other view would ever have been propounded except for the assumption that the blessed Virgin remained ever-virgin.
Two theories have been mooted in support of this assumption. (1) The 'brethren of the Lord' were His cousins, being sons of Cleophas (or Alphwus), and Mary, a sister of the Virgin Mary. (2) They were sons of Joseph by a farmer marriage.
Neither of these theories derives any support from the direct words of Seriptare, and some facts tend to disprove either. The second theory is the least open to objection on the ground of language, and of the facts of the gospel.
The brethren of the Lord were probably not in the number of the Twelve. This seems to be rendered nearly certain by St John's

is atrengthened by the way in which the brethren's names are introduced, as though they were more familiar than Jesus to the men of Nazareth; it seems to be implied that they were still living there.

James afterwards became president or bishop of the Choreh at Jerusalem: he presided at the first Council and pronounced the deci-
 is generally ascribed to him. His manner of life and his death are described by Hegesippus (Eirs. H. R. ir. 23, p. 58, 59, Bright's ed.). Of Joses nothing further is known. Jude is most probably to be identified with the author of the Epistie bearing his name. Tradition has an interesting story concerning his two grandsons, who being arrested as descendants of the roysl house and therefore possible leaders of sedition, and broaght before the Emperor Domitian, desoribed their poverty, and shewed him their hands, rough and horny from personal toil, and so dispelled the idea of danger and regained their freedom (Eus. H. E. IIr. 21). Of Simeon tradition has nothing certain or trustworthy to report.

For the many difficult and intricate questions involved in the controversy as to the 'brethren of the Lord,' see the various articles in Dict. of the Bible, and Bp. Lightfoot's dissertation in his edition of the Irpistle to the Galatians.

## CHAPTER XIV.


 decisive authority. The gen. sbs. a grammatical note, which has come into the text as the easier reading.

14, 22, 25. The subject 'I $\eta$ oovs omitted, insertion due to lectionsries or marginal note.
19. tovi x бprov. The plural tov's $\chi$ botous ('grassy pleces') has the sapport of the late MSS.: the gen. sing. is the reading of NBC $^{*}$.
 textus receptus. The change of case after $\epsilon \pi l$, and of the order of the participle, is suggestive: $\pi \in \rho \iota \pi$. $\epsilon \pi i \operatorname{Th}\rangle \theta \mathrm{d} \lambda$. 'walking over the sea,' $\epsilon \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \theta_{a \lambda}$. $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi$; 'upon the sea,' (the wonder that first struck the disaiples,) ' walking,' a secondary thought.
30. Lox vpóv, omitted by Tischendorf on the evidence of $N B^{*} 33$. Lachmann and Tregelles, who retain it, did not know of $\mathbb{K}$.

## 1-12. Herod the Tetrabch puts to deate Join the Bapthet.

Mark vi. 14-29, where the further conjectures as to the personality of Jeaus are given, 'Elias, a [or the] prophet, or as one of the prophets,' and the whole account is narrated in the vivid dramatio man-
ner of St Mark. St Luke relates the cause of the imprisonment, iii. 19, 20; the conjectures as to Jesus, ix. 7-9.
 Twelve. See Mark loc. cit.
${ }^{`}$ Hpójins. Herod Antipas, tetrarch of Galilee and Peræa. He was a son of Herod the Great, and Malthake, a Samaritan, who was also the mother of Archelaus and Olympias. He was thus of Gentile origin, and his early associations were Gentile, for he was brought up at Rome with his brother Arohelaus, He married first a daughter of Aretas, king of Arabia, and afterwards, while his first wife was still living, he married Herodias, wife of his half-brother Philip, -who was living in a private atation, and must not be confused with Philip the tetrarch of Iturma, Cruel, scheming, irresolute, and wicked, he was a type of the worst of tyrants. He intrigued to have the title of tetrarch changed for the higher title of king; very muoh as Charles the Bold of Burgundy endeavoured to change his dukedom into a kingdom. In pursuance of this scheme Antipas went to Rome 'to receive for himself a kingdom and return' (Luke xix. 12). He was however foiled in this attempt by the arts of his nephew Agrippa, and was eventually banished to Lyons, being accused of confederacy with Sejanus, and of an intention to revolt. Herodias was his worst enemy: she advised the two most fatal errors of his reign: the execution of John Baptist, which brought him into enmity with the Jews, and the attempt to gain the royal title, the result of which was his fall and banishment. But there is a tonch of nobility in the determination she took to share her husband's exile as she had shared his days of prosperity. For Herod's design against our Lord, see Luke xiii. 31; and for the part which he took in the Passion, see Lake xxiii. 6-12.
rerpápx 7 s. Literally, the ruler of a fourth part or district into

 wards the name was extended to denote generally a petty king, '(tetrarchim regnorum instar,' Plin. H. N. $\nabla .16$ ) the ruler of a provincial district. Deiotarus, whose cause Cicero supported, was tetrarch of Galatia. He is called king by Appian, just as Herod Antipas is called king, v. 9, and Mark vi. 14.

The relation of these principalities to the Roman Empire resembled that of the feudal dependencies to the Suzerain in medimval times, or that of the Indian native states to the British Crown-political independence and the liberty of raising troops, imposing taxes, maintaining courts of justice, only conditional on the payment of tribute into the imperial exchequer.
2. aúrós. Emphatic, 'he himself,' 'in his own person.'
 ducaan doctrine, that there is no resurrection.

Stà toûto. In consequence of having risen from the dead he is thought to be possessed of larger powers. Alford remarks that this -
incidentally confirms St John's statement (ch. x. 41), that John wrought no miracle while living.
ai $\delta u v$ apects. 'The works of power' of which Herod had heard. duyduels, miracles regarded as marks of divine power; as proofs or signs of the divine presence they are $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon i a$, as exciting wonder they are tepara. The latter word is never used alone of miracles: this is not the side on which the Gospel dwells. Trench. Syn. of N. T. 177 foll.
tevpyourtr. Not 'shew themselves forth,' A.V., but, 'are active in him.' The verb is frequent in Aristotle, the substantive evepyea is an important philosophical term in relation to $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu \mu s . T h e ~ s a m e ~ c o n-~$ trast is auggested here. In Polybius èepyeiv is sometimes (1) transi-
 transitive, as т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ altiwp evep $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ these uses are found in N.T. (I) $\dot{o}$ aivds $\theta \epsilon d s$
 Eph. ii. 2.
3. Iv Tn̂ фuдaкî. At Machmrus, in Perra, on the eastern side of the Dead Sea, near the southern frontier of the tetrarchy. Here Antipas had a palace and a prison under one roof, as was common in the Erst. Cp. Nehemiah iii. 25, 'The tower which lieth out from the king's high house that was by the court of the prison.' It was the ordinary arrangement in feudal castles. At Machmrus, now M'khaur, remains of buildings are still visible. These are probably the ruins of the Baptist's prison. Herod was living in this border fortress in order to prosecute the war with his offended father-in-law, Aretas. He was completely vanquished-a disaster popularly ascribed to his treatment of John the Baptist.
4. Deyev. Imperfect, 'told him repeatedly.'
'Xelv, 'to marry' her. EXelp has this special force, 1 Cor. v. 1,




 vice' is fixed upon as the leading characteristic of the Baptist in the collect for St John the Baptist's day.
5. 0encv. From St Mark we learn that Herodias was eager to kill John, while Herod, partly from fear of his prisoner, partly from interest in him, refused to take away his life. St Mark's narrative gives a picture of the inner court intrigues, and bears evidence of keen questioning of some eye-witness as to facts. Possibly some of Herod's own household were secret adherents of John.
 checked the arguments of the Pharisees, ch. xxi. 26.
6. Yeveolors yevouévors. Dative of time, 'marking precisely time when' (Clyde); cp. тoîs $\sigma a \beta \beta a \sigma \tau$, ch. xii. 2, Winer, p. 274. Plural,
as usual in names of festivals，dүкаi»a，dsyua，Пара日йиаıa，Saturnalia． Here rd revécia retains what must have been its original sense，＇a birthday festival；＇but in classical Greek it meant a memorial feast in honour of the dead，celebrated on the anniversary of birth，and so distinguished from rd verúrta，the feast observed on the anniver－ sary of death．See Rawlinson＇s note on Herod．rv．26．The classical
 the process of Christian thought to mean a festival commemorative of a martyr＇s death－his birth into the new life－Exire入eîy rخेग rồ


ゅpXグбaro．Some sort of pantomimic dance is meant．Horace notes as one of the signs of national decay that even highborn maidens learnt the voluptuous dances of the East，Hor．Od．imi．6．21．Herod would recall similar scenes at Rome．See note $v .1$.
 ried to her uncle Herod－Philip，the tetrarch，and on his death to Aristobulas，grandson of Herod the Great．

8．троßıßaणөєïनa．＇Impelled，＇＇instigated；＇cp．Xen．Mem．1．5．

mivaf $=$＇a flat wooden trencher＇on whioh meat was served，$\delta a u \tau \rho{ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}$
 have been the meaning of the old English word＇charger＇（A．V．）， which is connected with cargo and with French charger，and signined originally that on which a load is placed，hence a dish．

9．$\lambda v \pi \eta^{\theta}$ els，＇though，vexed；＇he still feared the popalar ven－ geance，and perhaps did not himself desire the death of John，see Mark vi． 20.
ס parndeús．A title which Antipas had in vain tried to acquire：it whs probably addressed to him by his courtiers．
8ta rovs yprovs．＇Because of the oaths；＇he had aworn re－ peatedly．
 story of Fulvia，who treated with great indignity the head of her mar－ dered enemy Cicero，piercing the tongue once so eloquent against her． Both ars instances of＇furens quid femina possit．＇The perpetration of the deed on the occasion of a birthday feast would heighten the atrocity of it in the eyes of the ancient world：it was an acknowledged rale，＇ne die que ipsi lomen accepissent aliis demerent．＇
The great Florentine and other medimval painters have delighted to represent the contrasts suggested by this scene at Machwrus．The palace and the prison－Greek refinement and the preacher＇s sim－ plicity－Orientai luxury and Oriental deapotism side by side－the cause of the world and the cause of Christ．In all this the＇irony＇ of the Greek dramatists is present．The real strength is on the side that seems weakest．
 of forbearance, if not of kindness, on Herod's part. He did not persecute John's disciples, or prevent them paying the last officea to their master.

ттヘ̂मa. Lat. cadaver, in this sense $\tau \tau \hat{\mu} \mu a$ is followed by $\nu \in \kappa \rho \circ 0$, or by genitive of person in classical period as, 'Etcok $\lambda$ fous $\delta \dot{e} \bar{\pi} \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a \operatorname{\Pi o\lambda v}$ $\nu$ veikous $\tau \in$ тồ; Eur. Phoen. 1697.

13-21. Jesje rettrif to a Desert Place, wherit Hef fiedg Five Thoutand.
Mark vi. 31-44; Lake ix. 10-17; John vi. 5-14.
This is the only miracle narrated by all the Evangelists. In St John it prepares the way for the memorable discourse on the 'Bread of Life.' St John also mentions, as a result of this miracle, the deaire of the people 'to take him by force and make him a king.' Thare is a question as to the locality of the miracle. St Luke eays (ch. ix. 10) that Jesus 'went aside privately into a desert plade belonging to. a city called Bethsaida.' St Mark (ch. vi. 45) desaribes tha disciples as arossing to Bethsaida after the miracle. The general inference has been that there were two Bethsaidas ; Bethsaida Julias, near the mouth of the Jordan (where the miracle is uspally said to have taken place), and another Bethsaida, mentioned in the parallel passage in St Mark and possibly John i. 44. But the Sinaitio MS. omits the words in italies from Luke, and at John vi. 23 reade, 'When, therefore, the boats came from Tiberias, which was nigh anto the place where they did eat bread.' If these readings be accepted, the scene of the miracle mast be placed near Tiberias; the Bethsaida of Mark, to which the disciples crossed, will be the well-known Bethsaids. Julias, and the other supposed Bethsaida will disappear even from the researches of travellers.


15. ठquias $\gamma$ evopivy!s. In the Jewish division of the day there were two evenings. According to the most probable view the space of time called 'between the evenings' (Ex. xii. 6) was from the ninth to the tweifth hour (Jos. B. J. vi. 9. 3). Hence the first evening ended at 3 o'clook, the second began at sunset. In this verse the first evening is meant, in $v .23$ the second.

The meaning of ì wpa is not quite clear, perhaps the usual hour for the mid-day meal.
16. ن́peif. Emphatio.

 (aftous крtityous), for which the classical word is $\mu \hat{\jmath} \zeta$ a, whs the food of the very poorest. It seams probable that the English word mase is traceable to $\mu a \zeta a$, a encharistio significance having been given to this miraole from very early times. The aprot were a kind of biscnit,
thin and crisp cakes which could be broken, hence $\kappa \lambda d \sigma a s, \kappa \lambda d \sigma \mu a \tau a$, see note, ch. vi. 30. Cp. Juv. v. 67, 'quanto porrexit murmure panem | vix fractum.
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \sigma \pi \varphi$. St Mark and St Lake mention that they sat in companies,
 St Mark adds the picturesque touch, кal dive $\pi \in \sigma a y ~ \pi \rho a \sigma t a l ~ \pi \rho a \sigma \iota a l$.


z $\delta$ cukiv. In Mark and Luke eztzov: 'oontinued to give,' 'kept giving.'
 $\kappa \lambda{ }^{\prime} \sigma a s$, therefore not 'fragments' in the sense of crumbs of bread, but the 'portions' broken off for distribation.
$\delta \$ \delta$ exa kodivous. The same word is used for baskets in the four accounts of this miracle, and also by our Lord, when He refers to the miracle (ch. mivi. 9); whereas a different word ( $\sigma \pi \nu \rho(\delta \epsilon s$ ) is ased in describing the feeding of four thousand and in the reference made to that event by our Lord (ch, xvi. 10). Juvenal describes a large pro-vision-basket of this kind, together with a bondle of hay, as being part of the equipment of the Jewish mendicants who thronged the grove of Egeria at Rome: 'Judmis quoram cophinus fcenumque supellex, ill 14,' ' cophino fonoque relicto | arcanam Judaa tremens mendicat in aurem,' vi. 542. The motive for this custom was to avoid ceremonial impurity in eating or in resting at night.

22-33. The Disclples cross from the Scene of the Miracle to Bethsatda.
Mark vi. 45-52; John vi. 15-21.

St Matthew alone narrates St Peter's endeavour to walk on the sea.
22. To $\pi \lambda^{2} \frac{10}{0}$, the ship or their ship.

Hóvos クiv Excî. This is a simple but sublime thought:-the solitary watch on the lonely mountain, the communion in prayer with the Father throughout the beautiful Eastern night.
24. 及aravitópevov. The expression is forcible, 'tortured by the waves,' writhing in throes of agony, as it were. Theee-sudden storms are very characteristic of the Lake of Gennesaret.
25. тerápty $\delta \dot{\delta} \phi \nu \lambda a \kappa \hat{n}$, i.e. early in the morning. Op. "Et jam quarta canit venturam buecina lucem,' Propert. iv. 4. 63. At this time the Jews had adopted the Greek and Roman custom of four night watches. Formerly they divided the night into three watches, or rather according to Lightfoot (Hor. Heb.) the Romans and Jews alike recognised four watches, but with the Jews the fourth watch was regarded as morning, and was not included in the three watches of
' deep night.' The four watches are named (Mark niii. 35) 1 Even
 ing ( $\pi \rho \omega t$ ). St John states that they had rowed 25 or 30 furlongs.
†̀ $\lambda$ Acv tpods aúroús. Mark adds 'He woald have passed by them.'
 oìvora $\pi \delta \nu \tau o \nu ~ д р а ̂ ̀ ~ \pi є \rho a ̂ ̀ ~ \pi \lambda e i v ~(H o m e r) . ~ S e e ~ c r i t i c a l ~ n o t e s, ~ s u p r a . ~$
26. duj̀ $\tau 0 \hat{1} \boldsymbol{\phi} \delta \beta$ ou éppagav. Note the article. Not merely cried out from fear, but the fear which necessarily resulted from the appearance made them ery out.
 could be heard even throngh the atorm, though the wind was strong and the oarsmen labouring and perhaps calling out to one another. The hand of the Saviour was quite close to the sinking disciple.
30. Loxupóv. Predicate.

кататортi!geotal. Here and ch. xviii. 6 only in N.T. 'to sink into the deap sea. (т $\delta$ yros, the wide open sea, so the deep saa, conneeted with wáros and pons, 'the watery way,' (Curtius), but according to others with $\beta \in \nu \theta 0 s, \beta \notin \theta o s)$.
31. els tl; Literal translation of the Hebr. lammah, ' with a view to what?' = lyarl, see note ch. xxvii. 46. Eסíaraaas, see ch. xxviii. 17.
32. tкסтarev. колáfecv, properly to be weary or fatigued ( $\kappa b \pi \tau \omega$, $\kappa 6 \pi o s)$, then to rest from weariness or suffering, used of a sick man Hipp. p. 1207, (so kbros, of the pain of disease, Soph. Phil. 880, then figaratively of the wind or a flood, ep. Herod. VI. 191, where speaking of the storm at Artemisium he says that the Magi stopped

33. Eeav̂ viós at. $\mathbf{A}$ son of God. The higher revelation of the Son of the living God was not yet given. See ch. xvi. 16.

34-36. Jesue adres' bios folk in the Land of Gennegarert.
Mark vi. 53-56, where the stir of the neighboarhood and eagerness of the people are vividly portrayed.
34. Siamepáavtes. Having erossed the bay from Tiberias to the neighbourhood of Capernaum. See map and note on vv. 13-21.
cis Tevvnaapet. By this is meant the plain of Gennesaret, two miles and a half in length and about one mile in breadth. Modern travellers speal of 'its charming bays and its fertile soil rich with the scourings of the basaltic hills." Josephus describes the district in glowing terms (B. J. inI. 10. 8). See Recovery of Jerusalem, p. 351.
 classical ontws see note ch. i. 22, and Goodwin's Greek Moods and Tenses, p. 78.

The sequence of the sabjunctive on a historical tense gives vivid-
ness to the narrative by retaining the mood originally used by the speaker. The usage is frequent in the classical period: eqcopouv $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa$

 ch. $x$ iif. 14.
reî xparse6ov. The hem of the garment had a certain sanctity attached to it. It was the distinguishing mark of the Jew: cp. Numbers xy. 38, 39, 'that they add to the fringes of the borders (or corners) a thread of blue.' At each corner of the robe there was a tassel; each tassel had a conspicuous blue thread symbolical of the heavenly origin of the Commandments. The other threads were white.
 issue of blood, ch. ix. 20-22.

## CHAPTER XV.

6. kal omitted before ov $\mu \dot{\eta}+\tau \mu$. on the most ancient authority.
 ing of Tisohendorf has the authority of $\mathcal{N}$ and C and some cursives,
 from Mark.
7. The words droţec $\mu 0 t . . . \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a \tau \iota ~ a u ́ r \hat{\omega} y ~ к a l$, which fill up the quotation from the LXX., are omitted on the highest MS. authority.
8. 'I $\eta$ oû́s omitted and 30 тồ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{\text { for }}$ for aúroû.
9. Ikpaģev rightly replaces the rarer form èpaúra $\sigma \in v$.
10. mporecouvet is probably right, though the evidence is evenly balanoed between aor. and imperf.

35, 36. The omission of kal before evxaparтinas makes the structure very harsh. It is the reading necessitated by the rules adopted for forming the present text. Tregelles omits the kal against Lachmann and Tisehendorf. If the former had seen $N$ it can scarcely be doubted that he would have ingerted the conjunction so necessary to the flow of the sentence.
 some ancient authorities have Mareodis. Most of the later uncials read Majóad.

> 1-20. The True Relithon and the Falge. A Discourse to ter Pharibees, the people, and the Discipleg.

> Mark vii. 1-23.

These twenty verses anm up the great controversy of the N.T., that between the religion of the letter and external observances and the religion of the heart, between what St Panl calls 'the righteousness which is of the law and the righteousness which is of God by (or grounded upon) faith,' Phil. iii 9.
 tation from the Sanhedrin，such as was commissioned to question John the Baptist．Op．John i． 19.
 were the Jewish teaohers，or soribes，such as Hillel and Shammai． The traditions were the rules or observances of the unwritten law， whiah they enjoined on their disciples．Many of these wete frivolons； some actually subversive of God＇s law；yet such was the estimation in which these＇traditions＂were held that，according to one Rabbinical saying，＂the words of the scrives are lovely，above the words of the law；for the words of the law are weighty and light but the words of the scribes are all weighty．＇

 vii． 9.



 preferable form（Lob．Phryn．200），means to＇gbuse，＇＇revile；＇so in
 brew word represented here by кaкo入oyeiv is tranglated by drıud乡eiv and means＇to treat with disrespect，＇＇to despise．＇In one form，how－ ever，of the Hebr．verb the meaning is＇to curse，＇but the first sense is to be preferred here：＇whoever makes light of their claims to sup－ port，＇se．See Guillemard，Hebraigms in N．T．，ad loc．

ס． 8 copov $\delta$ ldv к．т．$\lambda$ ．＇Let that by whatsoever thou mayest be profited by me（i．e．the sum which might have gone to your support） be a＇gift＇（kop $\beta a ̂ y$, Mark），or devoted to sacred purposes．＇

The seribes hald that these words，even when pronounced in spite and anger against parents who needed succour，excased the son from his natural duty，indeed boand him not to parform it；and，on the othar hand，did not oblige him really to devote the sum to the serviee of God or of the temple．
 notes）obvistes the need of the awkward ellipse supplied in A．V．by the words＇he shall be free，＇and throws out with far more force and

 mother；＇ye say（in certain cases），＇a man shall not honour his father and mother．＇
ov $\mu \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ with futare indicative or with subjanctive，is an emphatic denial．See note，ch．x． 42.

7．кa入ôs impoфifeverv．A common Jewish formula in quoting a ssying of the prophets．

8, 9. Isaiah xxix. 13. The quotation nearly follows the LXX. The Hebrew has nothing answering to $\mu d \tau \eta \nu \delta \xi \sigma \in \beta$ oural $\mu \in$.
 current in the times of the pre-exile prophets.' (Cheyne, Is. ad loc.) Thus Pharisaism had its counterpart in the old dispensation.
10. тробкалес́devos тòv óx $\lambda \frac{1}{}$. The moment our Lord turns to the people, His teaching is by parablee.

This appeal to the multitude ae worthier than the Pharisees to receive the divine truths is significant of the popular character of the Kingdom of heaven.
11. kotroi. Literally, maketh common; cp. 'common or unclean,' Acts x. 14. "The Pharisees esteemed "defiled men" for "common and vulgar" men; on the contrary, a religious man among men is "a singular man."' Lightfoot ad loc.
12. ot Sapıraiot Évavialiot \#rav. A proof of the influence of the Pharisees. The disoiples believed that Christ would be concerned to have offended those who stood so high in popular favour.
13. mâra фuteio. Not a wild flower, but a cultivated plant or tree; the word occurs here only in N.T.; in LXX. version of O.T. it is ased of the vine, the most carefolly cultivated of all plants; 2 Kings xix. 29; Ezek. xvii. 7; Mic. i. 6; Aq. and Symm. hnve $\delta \dot{\text { e }} \dot{\mathrm{o} \rho \omega \nu}$ фитelan in Gen. xxi. 33, of the tamarisk. Here the plant cultivated by human handsthe vine that is not the true vine of Israel-is the doctrine of the Pharisces.
 quoted in a different connection, Luke vi. 39 ; cp. also ch. xxiii. 16.
 from unguarded wells, quarries, and pitfalls; it abounded also in persons afflicted with blindness. See note ch. ix. 27.
16. dikиๆ́v. Here only in N.T. Strictly, ' at the point of time,' in late authors, 'even now,' 'still.' Latin, adhuc. In the modern Greek versions ért is used for $\dot{\alpha} \alpha \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.

кai $\boldsymbol{i} \mu \mathrm{e} \mathrm{s}$, as well as the crowde to whom the parables are spoken.
 $\mu o v t \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho(\psi) \tau 0 \hat{U} \mathrm{X} \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau 0 \hat{0}$, Ephes. iii. 4.
19. ék yd̀ $\tau$ ท̂s кapठlas к.т. $\lambda$. The enumeration follows the order
 reasonings'-form a class under which the rest fail, indicating, too, that the transgression of the commandments is often in thought, by Christ's lew, not in deed only.

The plurals 'murders, sdulteries,' \&c., as Meyer points out, denote the different instances and kinds of murder and adultery. Murder includes far more than the act of bloodshed.

## 21-28. Tre Datgeter of a Canalnite Woman is cüped.

Mark vii. 24--30.

This narrative of faith without external observance or knowledge of the Law affords a suggestive contrast to the preceding discourse. It is not related as we might have expected by the Gentile St Luke. St Mark has various points of particular description not given here.
21. divex'́pŋrev. Perhaps to avoid the hostility which this attack upon the Pharisees would arouse. St Mark preserves the connection diva$\sigma \tau a ̀ s ~ \alpha \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ as if $H_{e}$ had been teaching ( $\kappa a \theta l \sigma a s$ ).

 גidalas, makes il certain that Jesus crossed the borders of Palestine and passed through a Gentile land.
 (vii. 26). The two expressions are in Hellenistic Greek identical. In Joshra v. 12, 'The land of Canaan' (Hebr.) appears in the LXX.

 literally the low lands or netherlands, at first applied to the whole of Palestine, was confined in later times to the maritime plain of Phoenicia. Still, aecording to Prof. Rawlinson, the Canaenites and Phoonioians were distinct races, possessing marked peouliarities. The former were the original occupants of the country, the latter 'immigrants at a comparatively recent date.' (Herod. Vol. iv. p. 199.) The relations between Phœnicia and Palestine had been with scarcely an exception peaceful and friendly. The importance of the narrative lies in the fact that this woman was a foreigner and a heathen-a descendant of the worshippers of Baal. She may have heard and seen Jesus in earlier days. Cp. Mark iii. 8 , 'they about Tyre and Sidon...came unto him.' This instance of mercy extended to a Gentile points to the future diffusion of the Gospel beyond the Jewish race.
è $\lambda \in \nmid \sigma_{0} v \mu \varepsilon$. Identifying herself with her daughter. Cp. the prayer of the father of the lunatic child: *Have compassion on $u s$ and help us,' Mark ix. 22.
vids $\Delta$ aveid. A title that proves the expectation that the Messiah should spring from the house of David. It is the particular Messianic prophecy which would be most likely to reach foreign countries. The Tyrian woman's appeal to the descendant of Hiram's friend and ally has a special significance.
 woman's faith, that He may purify and deepen it. Her request must be won by eaxnest prajer, 'lest the light winning should make light the prize. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

Observe that Christ first refuses by silence, then by express words.
 Lachmann and Tischendorf (ed. 7); and see Winer, p. 104, note 3.
drtodugov aurriv. By granting what ahe asks, by yielding, like the unjust judge, to her importunity.
 but his personal ministry was confined, with few exceptions, to the Jews.

The thought of Israel as a flock of sheep lost upon the mountain is beatifully drawn out, Ezekiel xxxiv.; 'My flock was scattesed upon all the face of the earth, and none did search or seek after them' ( $\boldsymbol{v}, \mathbf{6}$ ). Read the whole chapter.
 кuyapua are the Gentiles. This was the name applied by the Jews to all outside the chosen race, the dog being in the East a symbol of impurity. St Paul, regarding the Christian Church as the true Israel, terms the Judaizing teachers tolls kóvas, Phil. iii. 2. The same religious hostility, and the same names of scorn, still exist in the East between Mussulman and Christian populations. Ohrist's words, as reported by St Mark (ch. vii. 27), contain a gleam of hope, dqes $\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ -

27. xal Yáp. 'For even' (' yet' of the A.V. is misleading). The woman takes Jesus at His word, admits the truth of what He eays, accepts the name of reproach, and claims the little that falls even to the dogs. 'True, it is not good to cast the ehildren's bread to the doge, for aven the doga have their share,-the crumbs that fall from their master's table.'
 of the children, so completely is the strict use of the two words reversed.

Tis tpartific tôv кyplwv. The 'Masters' must be interpreted to mean God, not, as by some, the Jewish people. Note the turn given by the introduction of the eŕpou. кuvápta that have rúpou are not the wretched outcasts of the streets-they have some one to care for them. Even the Gentiles may expect a blessing from the God of Israel,


 many Biind, Dumb, and Lamre.
Mark vii. 31-37, where, not content with the general statement; the Evangelist describes one special case of healing.
29. cis rd ofpos. The mountain country; the high land, as dietinguished from the low land, which He hed left.

82-38. Fotd Thousand Mbi, beetdes women and chlumein, abi mitactlotidy find.
Mark viii. 1-9.
 mative see Winer, p. 704, 82 and note 3.
 the anse of gratias agere. The dearee in Demostin. de Cor. p. 257, where the word is found, (see Lob, Phryn. 18) is probably spurious. The classioal expression is $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \operatorname{l} \nu$ edoevach.
 lesson is the lavishness of Providence. God gives even more than we require or ask for. But the leading thought is a protest against waste.
37. 4 mrd $\sigma \pi v p l$ ©as. See note ch. xiv. 20, and Acts ix. 25, where St Paul is said to have been Iet down from the wall of Damascus in a $\sigma \pi u p l s$, probably a large basket made of ropenet, possibly a fisherman's basket; in 2 Cor. xi. 33, where the sams incident is related, the word oap $\begin{gathered}\text { duy } \\ \text { is used. Why the people broaght different kinds }\end{gathered}$ of baskete on the two occasions we cannot determine. The facts seem to point to a difference in nationality or in occupation. $\sigma \pi v p$ is connected with $\sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \omega$, 'to twist,' is the Lat. aporta, or sportula.


## 39-XVI. 4. Jisus at Magdala, on Magadan, es trmptrd fo aife a Sign. <br> Mark viii. 10-12; Luke xii. 54-57.

39. Mayaס́áv. For the reading soe critical note. It is probable that the familiar Magdala supplanted in the text the more obscure Magadan. Magdala or Migdol (a watch tower) is identified with the modern Mejdel, a collection of rains and squalid hats at the S.E. corner of the plain of Gennesaret, opposite to K'herse or Gergesa. This is the point where the lake is broadest. Prof. Rawlinson thinks that this Magdals may be the Magdolus of Herodotus, ri. 159; unless indeed by a confusion curiously similar to that in the text, Herodotus has mistaken Migdol for Megiddo. Magdala was probably the home of Mary Magdalene.

## CHAPTER XVI.

2 and 3. ódlas...oú 8tuacte. The genuinensss of this passage is doubtful. It is omitted in several uncials (among them NB) and cursives. Origen passes over the passage in his Commentary, and Jerome notes its omission in pleriaque Codicibus. Still the internal eridence is strong in its favour and it is retained by the leading editors, though bracketed by Tischendorf end Westcott and Hort. See Scrivener's Introduction, p. 49 (3).
 фйтou after 'I $\omega v$ â.
11. äprov for aptov, a certain correction.
20. 'Inroîs, though found in some important MSS. (not in NBL) is rightly omitted, the internal evidence against it is strong, and the insertion might casily be made by a mistake in transcription.
 The coalition between these opposing sects can only be accounted for by the uniting influence of a strong common hostility against Jesus.
trelpágovres. The participle sometimes expresses in a condensed form what might be expanded into a final or consecutive sentence. See Campbell's Soph. Essay on the language, \&c., § 36. (5) b., ERas

 loc. cit.
 of Christ's teaching, but they would follow the rules of a Rabbi who, like one of the ancient prophets, should give an external sign-a darkening of the glowing sky-a flash of light-a peal of thunder. The answer of Christ teaches that the signs of the times, the events of the day, are the signs of God, the sign that Christ gives.


3. $\sigma \tau \cup y \alpha a ́ G \omega i$, late. Polybius uses $\sigma \tau v \gamma \nu o ́ \tau \eta s$ of the weather.

т̀̀ mpóromov tov̀ oúparov̂. Perhaps Jesus and His questioners were looking across the lake towards the cliffs of Gergesa, with the sky red from the reflected sunset. In Luke the signs are' a cloud rising in the west' and the blowing of the 'south wind.'
 which point in many ways to the fulfilment of prophecy, and to the presence of Christ among men; others to the ozerthrow of the national existence through the misguided passions of the people, and the absence of true spiritual life. In Luke xiii., two events of typical importance are reported to Jesus who shews how they are $\sigma \eta$ ueia $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ кaupüy: they were not, as the Jews interpreted them, instances of individual punishment for sin, bat they were warnings to the nation. Perhaps no clearer proof of this want of political or spiritual insight, and of blindness to facts, could be given than the pretension to politi-
 тотє. Neither Babylonish captivity, nor tribute to Caesar, nor presence of a Roman Procurator were $\sigma \eta \mu$ eia to them.

The work and life of Christ were in the highest sense anرeîa. He was Himself $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \rho \nu \dot{d} \nu \tau \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma{ }^{6} \mu \varepsilon \nu o \nu$.
4. $\mu$ olxalis. See ch. xii. 39.

Td $\sigma \eta \mu$ iov 'Iowa. See ch. xii, 39-41, where the same word oocurs in the same connection. An estranged people cannot see signs. The words in Mark viii. 12 are 'there shall no sige be given unto this generation,' i.e. no such sign as they demanded.

## E-12. Tex Lleaven of thir Peabibims and of the Saddughes.

Mark viii. 14-21, where the rebuke of Christ is given moreat length in stirring language; and Luke xii. 1, where the context and ocession


6. Tîs $\mathfrak{y} \mu \mu \eta \mathrm{s}$. Teaching, which like leaven is corrupt and pene-

 of leaven before the passover. See Schöttgen on 1 Cor. v. 7, and cp. Hos. vii. 4, and note ch. xiji. 39.

 eixoy (Mark). It is possible that Jesus may have employed figarative language even more than was usual with Eastern teachers; certainly this special metaphorical use of leaven was new. See Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr. ad loc. Again, the Pharisees had rules of their own as to what kind of leaven it was lawfol to use, and what kind it was right to avoid. Hence it was not strange that the disciples should imagine that their Master was laying down similar rules for their guidance.
8. $\delta \lambda$ เү6 $\pi$ rotol. Their $\pi l$ lot $s$ had failed in two respects: they had shown (1) want of spiritual insight by taking s $\kappa \mu \eta$ in a literal sense. (2) Want of loving trust in thinking that Jesus intended a rebuke to their forgetfulness.
9. oทтแ voeite. In Mark the rebuke is conveyed by a reference to the prophecy quoted ch. xiii. 14, 15 (Is. vi. 9, 10), with the striking


кoфluous... $\sigma \pi v \rho$ (fas. See notes ch. ziv. 20 and xv. 37.
12. $\sigma$ vvîkav. See note on $\dot{a} \sigma$ v́veтor, ch. xv. 16.

13-20. The great Confresion of St Peter, and ter Promise atyen to him.
Mark viii. 27-30: The question is put 'while they were on the way,' the words 'the Son of the living God' are omitted, as also the blessing on Peter. Luke ix. 18-21: Jesus was engaged in prayer alone; the words of the confession are 'the Christ of God;' the blessing on Peter is omitted.
13. Kaucapelas rifs $\Phi u \lambda(\pi \pi \pi o u$. The most northerly point in the Holy Land reached by our Lord. The city was rebuilt by Herod Philip, who called it by his own name to distinguish it from Cæsarea Stratonis on the sea coast, the seat of the Roman government, and the scene of St Paul's imprisonment.

The Greek name of this Cassarea was Paneas, which survives in the modern Banias. Cxbarea was beautifully placed on a rocky terrace under Mount Hermon, a few miles east of Dan, the old frontier city of Israel. The cliff near this spot, where the Messiah was first acknowledged, bear marks of the worship of Baal and of Pan. See Recovery of Jerusalem, and Tristram's Land of Israel.
 Jesus is: In what sense do the people believe me to be the Son of man! In the sense which Daniel intended or in a lower sense? Observe the antithesis in Peter's answer:- the Son of man is the Son of God.
14. 'Iep\&plav. Named by St Matthew only. The mention of Jeremiah as representative of the Prophets is explained by Lightfoot (Hor. Hebr. Matt. xxvii, 9) by reference to a Talmudic treatise, according to which the book of Jeremiah came first of the Prophets, following the books of Eings.
 not only sees in Jesus the promised Messiah, but in the Messiah recognises the divine nature. It was this claim that brought mpon Jesus the hostility of the Jews. Trypho the Jew in his dialogue with Justin Martyr declares that his nation expected a human Messiah: such a claim made by Jesus might even hrave been admitted: it is the claim to divinity not to Messiahship that rouses the popular fury (John viii. 58,59 ) and decides the judgment of the Sanhedrin (Matt. xxvi. 64,65 ).
17. Bàp 'I $\omega v a ̂$, ' son of Jonah,' or 'son of John.' The Greek form may stand for either name (see Bp. Lightfoot on a Fresh Revision of N.T., pp. 159, 160); but the reading adopted by the best editors John i. 43, vids 'I $\omega$ dvov, seems conclusive in favour of the latter rendering. Bar is Aramaic for son; ep. Bar-abbas, Bar-tholomew, Bar-nabas.
örl $\sigma d p \xi$ кal $a f_{\mu a}$ к. т. $\lambda$. Not man, but God; 'flesh and blood' was a common Hebrew expression in this contrast. The recognition was not by material test or human judgment, but by the witness of the Holy Spirit.
 to $\Pi$ ! $\tau \rho \rho$ os has been keenly disputed. To suppose no connection between $\Pi \ell \tau \rho o s$ and $\pi t \tau \rho a$ is opposed to candid criticism. On the other hand, to view $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho a$ as simply equivalent to $\Pi \epsilon \tau \rho o s$, and to regard the personal Peter as the rock on which the Chorch is built, narrows the sense. Пépa is the central doctrine of the Christian Churchthe Godhead of its Lord. Yet Peter is not named in connection with the $\pi t \tau \rho a$ without cause. To Peter first was granted spiritual insight to discern, and courage to confess this great truth; and therefore it was his privilege to be the first scribe instructed to the kingdom of heaven, and to Peter as such the blessing is addressed. For an illustration of this view of Peter, regarded, not as an individual, bat as a represen-

 $\mu \in \nu o s, ~ \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ à el elt
 бoфlav．

On these words mainly rest the enormous pretensions of the Roman pontiff．It is therefore important（1）To remember that it is to Peter with the great confession on his lips that the words are spoken．The Godhead of Christ is the $\pi \in \tau \rho a-$－the keystone of the Church，and Peter is for the moment the representative of the belief in that truth among men．（2）To take the words in reference：（a）to othar pas－ sages of Scripture．The Church is built on the fonndation of the Apostles and Prophets，Eph，ii．20，on Christ Himself， 2 Cor．iii 11. （b）To history ；Peter is not an infallible repository of truth．He is rebuked by Paul for Judaizing．Nor does he hold a chief place among the Apostles afterwards．It is James，not Peter，who presides at the Council at Jerusalem．（c）To reason：for even if Peter had precedence over the other Apostles，and if he was Bishop of Rome，which is not historically certain，there is no proof that he had a right of confer－ ring such precedence on his successors．
$\mu \mathrm{Ov} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{ikc} \lambda \eta \sigma$ lav．The word $\mathrm{E} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma l a \quad$ occurs twice in Matthew and not elsewhere in the Gospels．See note ch．xviii． 17 where the Jewish èккえ $\quad \sigma$ la is meant．From the analogy of the corresponding Hebrew word，Eкк入 $\eta \sigma / a$ in a Christian sense may be defined as the con－ gregation of the faithful throughout the world，united under Christ as their Head．The use of the word by Christ implied at least two things：（1）that He was founding an organized society，not merely preaching a doctrine：（2）That the Jewish éкк入 ${ }^{2} \sigma l a$ was the point of departure for the Christian Éкк $^{2} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} / a$ and in part its prototype．It is one among many links in this gospel between Jewish and Christian
 the Latin nations；Eglise（French），chiesa（Italian），iglesia（Spanish）． The derivation of the Teutonio Church is very doubtful．That usually given－Kupcaróy（the Lord＇s house）－is abandoned by most scholers． The word is probably from a Teutonic root and may have been con－ nected with heathen ueages．See Bib．Dict．Art．Church．


 Aen．vi，126，Noctes atque dies patet atri janua Ditis．Here the ex－ pression symbolises the power of the unseen world，especially the

 odavare），to pîcos．＂Acōps is used for the Hebrew Sheol，the abode of de－ parted spirits in which were the two divisions，Paradise and Geluenna． The introduction of such Greek religious terms to translate Hebrew religious terms is full of interest．It may be thought to ratify in part，at least，Greek religions ideas，to blend and modify those ideas with Jewish doctrine，and to bring the result of both to be raised and enlightened by the teaching of the Master．
od kartoxjorourt aưrîs. The gates of Hades prevail over all things haman, but the Church shall never die.
 not altogether new. To a Jew it would convey a definite meaning. A key was given to a Scribe when admitted to his office as a symbol of his anthority to open the treasury of the divine oracles (ch. siii. 52). Peter was to be a Scribe in the kingdom of heaven. He has received anthority to teach the traths of the kingdom. Again the key was symbolic of office and authority generally; cp. Is. xxii. 22: "The key of the house of David will I lay upon his shonlder, \&c.'-words which are transferred to Ohrist Bimself Rev. iii. 7. These words of his Lord wonld afterwards gain a fresh force for Peter, when he found that through him God had opened 'the door of faith to the Gentiles.' Acts xiv. 27.
 gation as binding; 'to loose' is to declare a precept not binding. Such expressions as this were common: 'The school of Shammai binds it, the school of Hillel looses it.' The power is over things, not persons. The decisions of Peter, as an anthorized Scribe of the Kingdom of God will be ratified in heaven. Such decisions of the Scribes of the Kingdom of Heaven were the sentence pronounced by James, Acts xy. 19, and the judgments of Paul in the Corinthian Church.

 where the reference is to the judicial authority of the apostles; here a legislative power is conferred. Observe carefully the force of the perfect diфéwrac and кeкpáтquтa, 'whosesoever sins ye shall remit, they have been remitted.' Your spiritual $\sigma \dot{y} p \in \sigma \iota$ will enable jon to recognise and ratify the divine judgment on offending persons. So here note the future perfect forac óvofutyoy, your decision will háve been anticipated in heaven.
 læan enthusiasm should endeavour to make Him a king.

## 21-23. The Pagshon $i s$ foretold.

Mark vii. 31-33; Luke ix. 22. St Luke omits the rebnke to Peter.
 каl $\dot{\alpha} \pi о$ докс $\mu \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} v a$.
21. ixd $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \tau \epsilon$. An important note of time. Now that the disciples have learned to acknowledge Jesus to be the Messiah, He is able to instruct them in the true nature of the Kingdom.

Sei conveys the idea of duty, of a course of life not led haphazard, but determined by principle, of the divine plan which rules the life and work of Christ from first to last. This thought is specially prominent in the third gospel:-in His childhood, et roís rô matpos

 iv. 43, in the fulfilment of the prophecies of His sufferings and death
 $\delta_{o ́ k a r}$ airove, xxiv. 26. Cp. Acts xvii. 3. The same thought is applied to St Paul's life and work in the Aets xviii. 21, xxiii. 11, and in other passages. It was felt to be the motive of noble lives before the gospel:



mohld matciv. Thaxeap strictly means to 'feel,' or 'experience,' without any thought of pain or suffering. The history of the word is a melancholy comment on the experience of mankind. To feel was to suffer. In the language of Christianity ríaxear is nsed specially of the sufferings and death of Christ, as here, and Luke xxii. 15, rpo
 through the Vulgate, 'passion' has passed with this technical sense into English as in 'Passion-tide,' 'Passion-week.'
 xxvi. 3.
dimoxtavAŋ̂ral. As yet there is no mention of the Roman judge or of the death upon the cross; this truth is broken gradually, see v. 24.
dंтоктavenval. A rare late form. The Attic writers as a rule used ophonce and its compounds to sapply the passive of кcelve. Veitch cites éxtelyopro, Thuc. ini. 81, as the one known exception. In Homer the peasive forms occar; e.g. aor. 1 tardemv, Od. rv. 537 . Also rarely in late authors drexrdpeac, Polyb. 7. 7, and in LXX. and N.T. (Veitch, sub voc. ктeLp $\omega$ ).
 tion be reconclled with the slowness of the disciples to believe in the hesurrection? Not by supposing that obscure hints of the Paskion were afterwards pat into this explicit form; bat rather (1) partly by the blindness of those who will not see; (2) partly by the oonstant use of metaphor by Jesns. "Might not," they would argue, "this ' death and rising again ' be a symbol of a glorious visible kingdom abont to issue from our present debasement?"
 literally: 'may God pity thee,' i.e. 'give thee a better fate,' or (2) 'pity thyself? Dhe


 of events to be ahunned or deprecated.
 tempter, and argues for the false kingdom instead of for the true fsee notes ch. iv. 8-10).
okáváalov épov, i.e. a snare to allare me, as tempting me to forsake the divine plan of self-denial and sacrifice.
 the thinge of God bat the things of men,' i.e. thine are not God's thoughts but man's thoughts. Cp. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ бapkds фpovovotv (have a oarnal mind), Rom. viii. 5; fad dive фpoveîte, Col. iii. 2. In the classics
 othuav (Dem.), 'to be on the side of Philip or on the side of the people.'
 in the state) is $\tau \dot{d} \delta^{\prime} \neq \delta \delta u$, Soph. Ant. 374. Thus the expression in the text = 'thou art not on God's side but on man's, and therefore a Satanas or $\delta$ ci $\beta$ olos, an adversary of God thwarting his plan of humility'.

With the exception of the parallel passage in Mark, and Acts xxviii. 22, фрорєip is confined in N. T. to St Paul's epistles where it is frequent, especially in Romans and Philippians.
24-28. Sblf-rinodncement requibed in Cerist's followhrs. Their Reward. Mark viii. 34-ix. 1; Luke ix. 23-27.
 The expression, ch. x. 38, differs slightly, is ou $\lambda a \mu \beta d^{\prime} v e t ~ \tau \delta \nu ~ a r a v p d y ~$ aüroû, where see note. dipd $\tau \omega$ т $\partial \nu \quad \sigma r a u \rho \dot{\nu} \nu$ implies death; this explains the $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho(v .15$ ), let Christ's follower lose on the crose the lower life, as the Master lost His, crucify also his earthly affections, of which the
 and he shall win the higher spiritual life here and hereafter. Another thought of the Cross is slavery-it was especially a slave's death ('cives Romani servilem in modum cruciati et necati,' Cic. in Verrem 1. 5); 'you must be slaves not kings;' cp. ch. xx. 25-28 and Phil. ii. 8,


For the thought of the Christian's crucifixion with Christ cp. among

 was that the Christ should gain the whole world, i.e. the Roman Empire. This was the very temptation presented to our Iord Himself 'the kingdoms of the world and the glory of them.' What is the value of universal dominion, of the whole power of Cmsar, compared with life $7 \psi_{0} \neq$ had a wide range of meaning to the Greek; it was 'life' in all its extent, from the mere vegetative existence to the highest intellectual life. Christianity has deepened the conception by adding to the connotation of $\psi \circ x{ }^{i}$ the spiritual life of the sorl in union with Christ.

The higher and the lower sense are both present in these verses, it is true that the world is worthless if life be lost, still more true if the union of the soul with Christ should be saerificed. The Greek poet discerned that there is a greater gain than external prosperity, $\dot{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{E} \pi e l}$





 $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \phi \varepsilon \lambda e l a s ~ t \dot{\eta} \nu$ els toùs "Eגanvas edvotay (Dem. Phil. If. 10); no external gain, it was felt, would tempt Athens to abandon her loyal and pro-Hellenic policy-for that she would make every sacrifice.
27. $\gamma$ áp. The reason given why the higher life-the soul-is of priceless value: (1) The Judge is at hand who will condemn selfindulgence and all the works of the lower life, and will reward those who have denied themselves. (2) Further (v. 28) this judgment shall not be delayed-it is very near. The same motive for the Christian



The valiant never taste of death but once.
Sehkspear, Jul. Cas. Act II, 2.
St Matthew's version of this 'hard saying' indicates more plainly than the other Synoptic Gospels the personal presence of Christ.

 the same. Various solutions are given. The expression is referred to (1) the Transfiguration, (2) the Day of Pentecost, (3) the Fall of Jerusalem. The last best fulfils the conditions of interpretation-a judicial coming-a signal and visible event, and one that would happen in the lifetime of some, but not of all, who were present. To take $\bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta}$
 error, and to ignore the explanation of the Kingdom just given.

## CHAPTER XVII.

 later uncials-the more ancient evidence rightly prevails.
5. ákovere av่тoù for aưtồ akovere on the authority of NBD.
9. ik for amb on decisive evidence; $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa$ from out the mountain, from the heart of it-a less usual expression than $\dot{d} \pi \delta$.
10. трштоv, inserted to help the sense, appears in the majority of later uncials, not in NBD.
 earliest evidence in its favour.
21. Here the received text has: rôto ò̀ rd yépos oún éккорejerau el $\mu \eta$ ì $\bar{\eta} \quad \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon u \chi \hat{\eta}$ кal $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i \underline{q}$. The words are undisputed in the parallel passage, Markix. 29, with the exception of $\kappa$ al $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon / a$ omitted by Tischendorf without decisive evidence. Here the omission is supported by $\mathrm{NB}^{*} 33$ and some important versions.
 the MSS．Gite jher in well supported，but looks like an explanstion of the participle．
 the later uncials．

## 1－13．Thr Trastfiauration．Mark in．2－13；Luike ix．28－36．

 has＇about an eight days after，＇according to the common Jewish reckoning，by which each part of a day is counted as a day．The note of time cannot be without a purpose．The link is intentional between the announcement of the Passion and the kingdom of utter sacrifice on the one hand，and the foretaste of glory on the other．
 to be with their Master on the two other occasions，（1）the raising of Jairus＇daughter，（2）the agony in the Garden of Gethsemane．
cls bjpos $\dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda o \delta v$ ．A contrast suggests itself，between this mountain of the Kingdom of God，and the mountain of the kingdoms of the world，ch．iv． 8.

An old tradition placed the acene of the Transfiguration on Mount Tabor．It is known，however，that the summit of Tabor was at this period oceupied by a fortress，and there is no hint given of Jesus being in that neighbourhood．Many regard one of the spars of Hermon， or even its summit（Conder，Tent Work，\＆c．266），as the most likely spot．Cmbarea Philippi，the last named locality，lies under Hermon， and its glittering cone of snow may have suggested the expression in
 mitted into the text．

2．цетєнорф ${ }^{\prime} \theta_{\eta}$ ．＇Was transformed．＇Here was a change（ $\mu \in \tau 反$ ）of $\mu о \rho \phi \eta$＇，＇the abiding form，＇＇the manner of existence．＇$\mu \varepsilon \tau a \mu о \rho \phi о \hat{0}-$ $\sigma$ acs＇involves an inwardness of change，a change not external，net of accidents，but of essence．＇Trench，N．T．Syn．Part II．p．87．$\mu$ ． $\boldsymbol{\sigma} a$－ oxnuarlfery denotes change of external appearance．See Rom．xii． 2 and Phil．ii．6－8，where see Bp Lightfoot＇s notes and separate note on $\mu$ ор $\phi \eta$ and $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ．

St Lake records that the ohange took place èv $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ тporéricoour аǘTठ
 at night，which is also rendered probable by the statement of $\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{t}}$ Luke that the three Apostles were＇heavy with sleep，＇that they ＇kept awake，＇that they descended＇the next day，＇ch．ix． 32 and 37.
8．Mwïन论 kal＇H入las（Elijah）．The representatives of the Law and the Prophets．The whole history of the Jewish Chureh is brought in one giance，as it were，before the Apostles＇eyes in its due relation to Christ．St Luke names the subject of converse：they ＇spake of his decease which he should accomplish at Jerusalem＇ （ix．31）．
4. mourfow. So in the best MSS., 'let me make.' The transition to the singular is in keeping with Peter's temperament; he would like to make the tabernacles.-Meyer. By $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu a l$ are meant little hats made oat of boughs of trees or shrubs, such as were made at the Feast of Tabernacles.
5. oürós totuv $\delta$ uids kit. $\lambda$. Words that recall the baptism of Jesus; ch. iii. 17, where see note. For the tense of ed $\delta \delta \kappa \eta \sigma a$, op. $\pi a \rho \kappa \delta \delta \theta \eta$, ch. xi. 27.
8. Tòv 'I $\eta$ ooûy $\mu$ fóvov. Christ, who came to fulfl the Law and the Prophets, is left alone. To His voice alone the Charch will listen.
9. To ${ }^{5}$ papa. 'The thing seen,' not a 'vision' (A.V.) in the sense of a dream: it is equivalent to a eidov (Mark), a éjpakav (Luke).
10. odiv. Elijah had appeared to the ohosen three Apostles. It seemed to them that this was a fulfilment of Malachi's prophecy and the necessary condition of the Messiah's Advent as explained by the Saribes. But they are forbidden to announce this to any one. Hence the inference expressed by ozv. The Scribes must be mistaken. For surely the Messiah would allow His disciples to make kaown this clear tozen of His presence.
11. 'Halas Expetal к.т. $\lambda$. 'Elijah cometh and will restore all things,' not will come first (A.V.). Our Lord's words point to a fulfilment of



 expression; it is not so much a citation as an allusion addressed to
 rally be interpreted by a reference to the precise words of Malachi. In the light of that prophecy the dimokardataбts would signify a national restoration to unity under the influence of the Messenger of Jeho ${ }^{\text {ºh. }}$
 words, (1) How is the future to be explained? (2) In what sense wers they fulfilled by John the Baptist? (I) If the prophecy be regarded as absolutely and finally fulfilled in John the Baptist the point of departure for the future dmoxaraordoet, and the presentfuture epxeral must be taken; not from the time when the words were spoken, but from the time when the prophecy was first uttered. Christ cites and affirms the prediction of Malachi. (2) The answer to the second question must be sought in the angelic message to Zachs-





The view that regards the words as pointing to an event still in the future, and to the coming of another Elijah, of whom the first Elijah and John were types, is rendered improbable by the words quoted

 hence 'clear' recognition.
 accusatives. $i v$ aju $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ in him as the aphere or field of their action.
$\mu € \lambda_{\epsilon l} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma_{\chi} \mathrm{tv}$, is destined to suffer, such is to be his experience
 $\delta \sigma a \dot{\eta} \theta \bar{\lambda} \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.

## 14-21. A Lunatic Child rs cured.

Mark ix. 14-29, where the scene and the symptoms of the diseass are described with great particularity. Luke ix. 37-42.
14. $\mathbf{~ 1 \lambda \theta o ́ v т \omega v ~ \pi p o ̀ s ~ t o ̀ v ~ o ̈ \chi \lambda o v . ~ S o m e ~ w i l l ~ r e c a l l ~ R a p h a e l ' s ~ g r e a t ~}$ pioture of the Transfiguration, in which the contrast is powerfully portrayed between the scene on the mount, calm, bright, and heavenly, and the scene below of suffering, human passions, and failure.
15. $\sigma \in \lambda \eta \nu$ rigeral. This is the only special instance of cure in the case of a lunatic. They are mentioned as a class, ch. iv. 24. The word literully mesns 'affected by changes of the moon.' On the thought underlying the word, that there is an access of manis at the time of lunar changes, see Belcher, Our Lord's Miracles of Healing, p. 181.

St Mark describes the child as foaming, gnashing with his teeth, and pining away. St Luke mentions that he 'crieth out.' all these were epileptic symptoms; "the child was a possessed epileptic lunatic.'
 the multitude thronging round, as representing the whole nation. The disciples, if not specially addressed, are by no means excluded from the rebuke. For this moral sense of $\delta \operatorname{ca\sigma r\rho e} \phi \omega \mathrm{cp}$. Luke xxiii. 2,



20. Epeite $\tau \hat{\omega}$ ŏpel тоútqu к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Such expressions are characteristic of the vivid imagery of Eastern speech generally. To 'remove mountains' is to make difficulties vanish. The Jews used to say of an eminent teacher, he is 'a rooter up of mountains.' See Lightfoot ad loc.

## 22, 23. Tere Second Announgement of the Pagbion. Mark ix. 31 ; Lake ix. 44.

Both St Mark and St Luke add that the disciples ' understood not this saying.' It was difficult for them to abandon cherished hopes of an earthly kingdom, and 'might not Jesus be speaking in parables of a figurative death and resurrection?' See note, oh. xvi. 21.

Observe here the various phases in the prediction of the Passion. The first (ch. xvi. 21) foretells the rejection of Jesus as the Messiah by the Jews, and his death in the indefinite passive, droxrave $\hat{\eta} p a l$.

The seaond speaks of the betrayal into the hands of men, els xeipas


The third (ch. xx. 17-19) partioularises the share taken by Jew and Gentile. The Sanhedrin shall condemn and deliver to the


## 24-27. Jebus pats the ehaf beetele of the Sanotidary. Peouliar to St Matthew.

Tai $\delta(\delta$ paxpa. This was not a tribute levied by Cosar or by Herod, but the half-shekel (Exod. xxx, 13) paid annually by every Jew into the Temple treasury. The 'sacred tax' was collected from Jews in all parts of the world. Josephus (Ant. xwi. 6) has preserved some interesting letters from Roman proconsuls and from Augustus himself, to Cyrene, Ephesas and other communities, directing that the Jews shonld be allowed to forward their contributions to the Temple without hindrance.

It would be interesting to know whether the Jewish Christians continued to pay the Temple-tax in accordance with the Lord's example.

After the fall of Jerusalem and the destruction of the Temple the Jews were obliged to pay the two drachmæ into the Roman treasury. Joseph. B. J. vir. 6. 6.

ข' telec. Probably some who misunderstood or who wished to misrepresent Jesus had raised the doubt whether He wonld pay the tribute. It is possibly a hint that His claims to the Messiahship were becoming more widely known. Meyer remarks that the val of Peter makes it clear that Jesus had been in the habit of paying the tax.
25. tpotq0arav aivotv. 'Anticipated him' by answering his thoughts.

TA귀 $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \eta$ voov. Taxes (1) indirect and (2) direct; on (1) thinge and on (2) persons. кйข ${ }^{2}$ os, Lat. census : see ch. xxii. 17.
dind tôv viâv к.т. $\lambda$., i.e. of their own sons, or of those who do not belong to the family, namely, subjects and tributaries.
 The deduction is, "Shall he whom thon hast rightly named the Son of God pay tribute to the Temple of his Father?' The Romane called their sons free ( $l i b e r i$ ), as opposed to slaves.
27. बтarnpa (latqu, 'to weigh'), 'a stater'; a Greek silver coin equivalent to the Hebrew shekel, or to four drachmo in Greek money, hence sometimes called $\tau$ er $\rho \dot{0} 0 \rho a \chi \mu \circ$. 'In paying the templetax it is necessary that every one should have half a shekel to pay for himself. Therefore when he comes to the changer he is obliged to allow him some gain which is called $\kappa b \lambda \lambda u \beta$ os (see ch. xxi. 12): And when two pay one shekel between them each of them is obliged to allow the same gain or fee.' The collection of the Temple tax was
made in 4 dar, the month preceding the Passover. Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr., Matt. xxi. 12.
divil 申qô kal бovi. Op. Ex. xxx. 12-16, "The rich shall not give more, and the poor shall not give less than hali a shekel, when they give an offering zuto the Lord to make an atonement for your souls, ( 0.15 ). It is in accordance with this thought of atonement or anbstitution that the preposition $d_{\nu v i} i$ is used.

## CHAPTER XVIII.

6. mepl (NBL and some of the Fathers) for exi of textus receptus. els is also strongly supported, and repl may have come from the parallel passages in Mark and Luke.
 id imoג indos. This is strongly supported by the later MSS. The omission rests oil the evidence of $\mathbb{K} B L$, and several versions and Fathers.
 grammatical correction.
7. ds toùs wódas aúrof. Almost certainly a gloss, the weight of the earlier MSS. is in favour of the omission.
 have the support of C and 12 uncials, but are omitted in the earlier MSS.

1-4. A Lesson in Homitity. The Kingdom of Heapeq and Littlit Cmubren.
Mark ix. 33-37 ; Luke ix. 46-48.
 had again excited hopes of a glorions kingdom on earth. We may suppose that Jesus and St Peter were alone when the last incident happened, they had entered the house (probably Peter's) and were now joined by the other apostles who had been disputing on the way ( $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\partial} \dot{\partial} \bar{\psi}$, Mark).
$\delta \mu^{(C \zeta} \omega v(\tau \omega \nu \quad \Delta \lambda \lambda \omega v)$ as distinct from the superlative, the comparative contrasts an object with but one standard of comparison, $\mu f$ fotos would have implied three or foar degrees of rank among the Twelve. Winer, 303 and 305.
 aind rap daut $\uparrow$. St Mark notes that Jeaus first took the child in His

 sal lácopua aviroús.
oủ $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ elo $\lambda \lambda \eta$ 亿re, 'shall not enter,' mueh less be great therein,
4. тametváce iavedr. He who shall be most Christ-like in humility (see Phil. ii. 7, 8) shall be most like Christ in glory. Cp- ztatel-

 particular point in which little children are an example to Christians, and the words of St Panl give the precise lesson of this incident taken in connection with the death upon the Cross just foretold. Jesus
 Tov. See ch. xi. 29.

The expression tanew. eavtor is more emphatic than the middle voice and implies greater self-mastery.

## B, 6. Cerist's Littlur Ones. Mark ix. 37-42.

The thought of Jesus passes from the dispate among His disciples to the care of his little ones, the young in faith, who, if they have the weakness, have also the hamility of little ohildren.

ס. Is dav $8 \delta_{\delta \eta} \eta \mathrm{rac}$. It is a eacrament of lovingkindness when Christ himself is received in the visible form of His little ones. $\delta \in \chi e \sigma \theta$ ac is not only to welcome, show kindness to, bat also to receive as a
 children a symbol of the tareavorins of Christ.
6. Tiotewbytav els ${ }^{1} \mu$. For the distinction between riarevelr els 'to believe in any one,' i.e to put entire faith in him, and raoteveay ruvi, 'to believe any one,' i.e. to give credit to his words, see Prof. Westoott on John viii. 30 (Speaker's Commentary). The first construction is oharaoteristic of St John's gospel and in the Synoptics occurs only here, and in the paraliel passage Mark ix. 42.
ounферєt lva, expedit ut. See note oh. i. 22.
$\mu u ́ \lambda o s$ óvucós. A millstone turned by an ass, and so larger than the ordinary millstone. Cp. Ovid (Fasti vi. 318): 'Et qum pumioeas versat asella molas.'

The manner of death alladed to appears to have been anknown to the Jews. But Platarch mentions this punishment as being common to Greece and Rome. Cp. Juv. Sat. viu. 213, where, as in other places, it is named rather than the aross as a swift and terrible penality for crime. The Soholiast on Aristoph. Equites, 1360, explains

 'deep sea,' but either ' the expanse of open water' ( $\pi \lambda d \xi, \pi \lambda a r{ }^{\prime} s$, flat, se.), or the 'tossing,' 'besting' sea ( $\pi \lambda \eta j \sigma \sigma \omega$ from root $\pi \lambda a \gamma$ ). In this passege, therefore, the sense of depth is rather to be looked for in кatarovtco $\theta \hat{p}$, though the connection between mórros and $\beta \in \nu \theta_{0}$, $\beta d \theta$ os, \&c., is donbtful; Cartins prefers the etymology of mdros, 'path,' and Lnat. pons. (See Trench, N. T. Syn. 52, 53, and Curtius, Etym. 270 and 278.)

## 7-9. Of Offences. Mark ix. 43-48.

From offences-snares and hindrances to the faith of Christ's little ones-the discourse proceeds to offences in general-everything that hinders the spiritual life.
7. ovial. Alexandrine, but corresponding to da, Alsoh., Pers. 115, 121, the Latin form is va. áno denotes that $\sigma$ кdiviana are the source of woes.
okáv6a入a. Snares, allurements to evil, temptations. See notes on ch. v. 29, 30 .


 $\pi \in \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$, but it is better to refer the construction to the Hebrew usage, by which the comparative idea is expressed by the positive adjective followed by the preposition $\min$ (from). The construction is common in the LXX. and it may be noted that a rare classical usage tends to become frequent in Hellenistic Greek if it be iound to correspond to a common Hebrew idiom. For another instance of this see note on tou aupos below.
9. $\mu$ owóda ${ }^{2} \mu \mathrm{ov}$. In ciassical Greek a distinction is made: the Cyclops or the Arimaspi (Hdt. in. 116) are $\mu \Delta \nu \dot{\delta} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu 0$. A man who



गไ้̀ Yєєvuav roṽ mupós. 'The fiery Gehenua.' This adjectival genitive may be paralleled from the classics: $\chi \delta \rho \tau \omega \nu$ evi $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$ Eúpó$\pi a \nu$, Iph. in Taur. 134. See note oh. v. 22, and Donaidson, Greek Grammar, p. 481, for other instances. But the frequency of the usage in Hellenistic Greek is again attributable to the Hebrew idiom.

## 10-14. Cerist'b Carf for Hie Little Ones hlldstrated by a Parable. Luke xv. 3-7.

After a brief digression (vv. 7-9), Christ's love for His young disciples again breaks out in words. Let no one despise them. They have unseen friends in the court of heaven, who are ever in the presence of the King himself. There, at any rate, they are not despised. It was for them especially that the Son of Man came to earth.
10. of äүүe入ou aủwiv. In these words our Lord sanctions the


 reserve with which the doctrine is dwelt upon in the N.T. is in contrast with the general extravagance of Oriental belief on the aubject.
 from the court of an Eastern king, where the favoured conrtiars
enjoy the right of constant approach to the royal presence; cp. Esther i. 14, 'Which saw the king's face and which aat the first in the kingdom.'
12. The expression and the imagery of the parable recall Ezek. xxiv.; comp. also ch. xy. 24. In Luke the parable is spoken with direct reference to publicans and sinners, whom the Pharisees despised, and who are the 'little ones' of these verses. Such differences of context in the Gospels are very instructive; they are, indeed, comments by the Evangelists themseives on the drift and bearing of partioular sayings of Christ.
This parable is followed in Luke by the parable of the Lost Drachma and that of the Prodigal Son which illustrate and amplify the same thought.

16-36. Fobgiveness of Sins. Luke xvii. 3, 4.
God's forgiveness of sinners suggests the duty of forgiveness among men.
15. $\boldsymbol{A}_{\text {eygov aủròv k.t. } \boldsymbol{\lambda} \text {. 'Rebuke him.' See Levit. xix. 17, 'Thou }}$ shalt not hate thy brother in thine heart: thou shalt in any wise rebuke (èerkets, LXX.) thy neighbour, and not suffer sin upon him' (rather, 'not bear sin on his account,' 'by bearing secret ill-will,' Ephes. iv. 26; or by 'encouraging him to sin by withholding due rebuke.' Speaker's Commentary ad loe.).
iktp § $^{2}$ oas, 'gained,' i.e. won over to a better mind,--to Christ. Cp. 1 Cor. ix. 19-22, and 1 Pet. iii. 1. The aorist is of the action just past. If he shall have heard thee thou didst (at that moment) gain thy brother.
 ch. xivi. 18 (where see note) in the Gospels. In the former passage the reference to the Cliristian Church is undoubted. Here either (1) the assembly or congregation of the Jewish synagogue, or rathier, (2) the ruling body of the synagogne (colleginm presbyterorum, Schleusner) is meant. This must have been the sense of the word to those who were listening to Christ. But what was spoken of the Jewish Church was naturally soon applied to the Christian Church. And the use of the term by Christ implied for the future an organised Church exercising discipline, organised too at least in part on the model of the synagogue.
 uses the phrase of his contemporaries. What Jesus says, Matthem the publican records. ¿Qvicós, the adjective of $\ell \in \sim \eta$, in the special Jewish sense of 'Gentiles,' in Polybius $\bar{\epsilon} \theta \nu \epsilon \kappa \delta s=$ ' national.'
 spoken to all the disciples, representing the Church. 'Whatsoever you as a Church declare binding or declare not binding, that decision
 'shall have been bound...loosed,' and cp. note ch. ix. 2.
19. The slight digression is continued. Christ thinks of His Church, Not only shall your decisions be ratified, but your requests ahall be granted, provided ye agree.
 The close relation between the fatare indicative and the sabjunotive moods easily accounts for the usage; in many passages the readings vary between the sabjunctive and the fatare indicative; in Acta viii. 31, edav $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ris $\dot{\delta} \delta \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \epsilon$ is read by Tischendorf and Tregelles. It is

 Soholiast, $v$. 5 , where $\delta$ tap is followed by indicative.
20. 8 dio $\bar{\eta}$ тpeis. In the smallest gathering of His followers Christ will be present.
ovoppuinow ouvarys is used specially of the 'gathering' of the


 ' a day on which servioes are held.'
21. (los dreakis. The Rabbinieal rule was that no one should ask forgiveness of his neighbour more than thrice. Peter, who asks as a saribe a seribe's question, thought he was making a great advance in liberality and shewing himself worthy of the kingdom of heaven. Bat the question itself indicates complete misunderstanding of the Christian spirit.
 There is no limit to forgiveness.
 ture is drawn from an Oriental Court. The provincial governors, farmers of texes, and other high officials, are summoned before a despotie sovereign to give an account of their administration.

7 Rncev, 'chose,' 'resolved:' all is subject to his sole will.
Soúncov, i.e. subjects, for all snbjects of an Eastern monarch from the highest to the lowest are 'alaves.' Demosthenes frequently makes a point of this, e.g. Phil. III. 32, кăp aürds $\mu \dot{\eta}$ map $\hat{\eta}$ tovis


24. $\mu v p l \omega v$ radávt $\omega v$. Even if silver talents are meant, the aum is enormous-at least two million pounds of our money. It was probably more than the whole annual revenne of Palestine at this time; see Joseph. $4 n t$. xir. 4. 4. The modern kingdoms of Norway or Greece or Denmark hardly produce a larger national income.
It is the very sum which Demosthenes records with pride to have been atowed in the Acropolis at the height of Athenian prosperity:


The rast amont implies the hopeless character of the debt of sin.
20. $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ "Xoytos, 'since he had not.' He had wasted in extravagance the provincial revenues, or the proceeds of taxation.

 of an Eastern Court that delights to exalt or debase with swift strokes. The pardon is frea and unoonditional.
28. ©jpev, 'found,' perhaps even songht him out.

Iva rovy ouvSouduv. By this is meant the debt of man to mon, offences which men are bound to forgive one another.

Exatòv © iquapla. The denariue was a day's wage (ch. xx. 2). The sum therefore is about three months' wages for an ordinary labourer, by no means a hopeless debt as the other was; see note, ch. xxvi 7.
*rviyev, imperfect, not acr. 2, which does not appear to be used in the active. See Veitch and Lob. Phryn. 107.
 $\lambda e \hat{\nu} \nu$ woald be used by an equal addressing an equal.
 conscience of mankind approving or anticipating the divine sentence.

3s. Cp. the Lord's Prayer, where forgiveness of others is nut forward as the claim for divine pardon.
34. The acquittal is revoked-a point not to be pressed in the interpretation. The truth tanght is the impossibility of the unforgiving being forgiven, but the chief lesson is the example of the divine spirit of forgiveness in the act of the king. This example the pardoned slave should have followed.
tois $\beta$ aravlerais. 'To the keepers of the prison,' the gaolers, part of whose duty it was to torture ( $\beta a \sigma a \nu\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{\nu}\right)$ the prisoners. Thus in the Greek version of Jer. xx. 2, by Symmachus, $\beta a \sigma a v i \sigma \tau h p o \nu$ is 'a prison' (A.V. 'stocks'). Fischer, de vitiis Lex. N.T., p. 458.
36. dud T $\boldsymbol{\omega} v$ kap $\delta \omega \bar{\omega} v \dot{v} \mu \omega \hat{v}$. A different principle from the Phark see's arithmetical rules of forgiveness.

## CHAPTER XIX.

13. трoन $\quad$ vex ${ }^{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a v$ ( $N$ B C D $工$ and others) for $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta p \epsilon \chi \theta \eta$, an early grammatical change.

16, 17. Here the textus receptus has: $\Delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa a \lambda e ~ a \gamma a \theta \epsilon, ~ \tau i$ dja日dv



The omission of ajafe has the most ancient evidence in its fayour.
 other MSS., several versions and patristic quotations. The textus receptus is found in $\mathbf{C}$ and in many later ancials.
 latter perhaps influenced by Mark；in Luke éqó入aga should be read．

Eк veórnofs $\mu$ ov omitted in $\mathbb{N}$ B L．（D omits $\mu$ ov．）The insertion supported by $\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{cb}} \mathrm{C}$ D，later unciale，some versions and Fathers，may be accounted for by the oceurrence of the words in Mark and Luke．
 and some versions and on good patristic evidence．

то入入am The best editors adopt ro $\lambda \lambda$ ．notwithstanding the strong support of the other reading．écatorrat．probably introduced from Mark to explain the less definite $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda a \pi \lambda a \sigma l o p a$.

## 1，2．Jheve goes to Juday from Gahiler． Mark x． 1.

 in Mark we learn that this means：Came into Judwa by the trans－ Jordanic route through Perma，thus avoiding Samaria．It does not mean that any portion of Jadan lay beyond Jordan．St Matthew here omits various particulars，of which some are to be supplied from Lake ix．51－xvii．11；others from John－two visits to Jerasalem （vii．8－10 and x．22－39）；the raiaing of Lazarus（xi．1－46）；the retirement to Ephraim（xi．54）．
$\mu$ ripper．In this senge late，in N．T．only here and ch．xiii． 51.

## 3－12．The Qutbtion of Mariligh amd Diforge． Mare x．2－9．

vv．10－12 are peculiar to Matthew．St Mark mentions the part of the conversation contained in $v .9$ as baving taken place＇in the bonse，＇vv．10－12．

3．reapá̧ovres aùróv．For present participle containing an idea

 are omitted in Mark．In Matthew they contain the pith of the question：＇Is the husband＇s right to divorce his wife quite unlimited？＇ The school of Shammai allowed divoree in the case of adultery， the sehool of Hillel on any trivial pretext．

It was a question of special interest and of special danger in view of Herod＇s marriage with Herodias，
4． $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ dipX $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$ ．An appeal from the law of Moses to a higher and absolnte law，which has outlived the law of Moses．

B．Iveka toutou．The lesson of Nature is the lesson of God， ＇Nanquam aliud Natura alind Sapientia diait．＇Juv．Sat．Xiv． 321.
ко $\lambda \lambda \eta^{\theta} \dot{\eta} \sigma$ erau．This word and the compound $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \kappa$ ．in N．T．use are confined to St Paul and St Luke except Rev．xviii．5．This paseage and Mark x． 7 （where the reading is doubtful）are quotations．

The classical meaning of кodגay is (1) to glue; (2) to inlay; (3) to

des rápka $\mu$ lav. els denotes the state or condition into whioh a thing passes. The constraction follows the Hebrew idiom.
6. ©, the neuter strengthens the idea of complete fusion into a single being.
owejcujcv. The eorist of the divine action undetermined by time.


 to the hardness of your hearts towards God. So the law was relatively good, not absolutely. A great principle. Even now all are not capable of the higher religious life or of the deepest traths. Some interpret 'hardness of heart,' of the oruelty of men towards their wives.
kтéтричкv, 'sllowed,' s. correction of èverelגaro, v. 7. Moses did not enjoin, but merely permitted a bill of divorce.
oủ Ybyovev. Not 'was not so,' A.V., but 'hath not been so' continuously from the beginning to the present time. It is not an original and continnous tradition.
9. See eh. v. 32.
10. It is difficult to fix the precise meaning of in altla. It is either: (1) the cause or principle of the conjugal union: 'If the union be so close as thou sayest;' or, (2) the cause or reason for divorce, namely adultery, referring to alria, v. 3: 'If for this reason, and for this alone, divorce be allowed;' or (3) 'the case' in a legal sense like causa, res de qua in judicio agitur: 'If this be the only case with which a man may oome into court.' $\Delta$ further meaning, sometimes assigned 'condition,' 'state of things,' may be rejeeted. On the whole (2), which is Meyer's view, seems prefersble.

In D the reading is $\mathbf{a} v \delta \rho \delta$, the correct word in contrast with juvacoss, bat the reading is not supported. $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{d}$ is used to express relation generally, as in modern Greek.
oiv $\sigma \mu \phi$ ¢pet yapijocu. Nothing could prove more clearly the revolution in thought brought to pass by Christ than this. Even the digciples feel that such a principle would make the yoke of marrigge unbearable.
yauñral. This aorist is used both in the sense of 'to give to wife' and 'to take to wife,' it is nearly confined to late authors. See Veitch sub voc. ү үaر $\mu \omega$.
11. X Xopeiv is to have or make room for, 80 (1) to contain: 山్రTe

 Hdt. 1. 151; (2) to receive (in love): $\chi \omega \rho \neq \eta \sigma a \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\sigma} s, 2$ Cor. vii. 2; (3) to receive intellectually, 'comprehend,' or 'accept;' (4) the Homeric meaning 'to withdraw,' i.e. to make room for another, is not found in
the N.T.; (5) the ordinary classical force, 'to advance,' i.e. to make room for oneself, 'to go,' is found ch. xy. 17 and 2 Pet. iii. 9, els $\mu$ erd-
 no progress in you.'

It is better to refer $\tau \delta \nu \lambda 6 \gamma$ op $\tau 0 \hat{T}$ $\alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \phi \in \rho e r \gamma a \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma u$, than to the whole preceding argument. The general sense will then be: 'Not all, but only those to whom it hath been given, make room for (i.e accept and act upon) this saying.'
12. eloiv үáp. The rà explains ots $\delta \in \delta o \tau a t$.
 from marriage in order to devote themselves to the study of the law, in later times men have done so for the furtherance of Christianity.
 accept it-he to whom it has been given-he who belongs to either of the three classes named.

The discoiples found difficulty in the pure and binding conditions of marriage laid down by Christ, and saw no escape save in abstaining

 Christ shews that there is difficulty there too. The limitations of Christ were forgotten in early days of Church history. Folse teachers arose, 'forbidding to marry' ( 1 Tim. iv. $3, \kappa \omega \lambda \nu 6 \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu)$.

As in so many of onr Lord's important 'rules,' the principle of Hebrew parallelism is discernible here. The closing words- $\delta \delta$ juv. $\chi \omega \rho$. $\chi \omega \rho e l \tau \omega-r e c a l l$ the opening words and respond to them-oir $\pi d y \tau e s . . . o l s$ $\delta \dot{\delta}$ arau, the enclosed triplet rises to a climax-the highest motive is placed last.

## 13-15. Little Ceildren are brought to Christ. Mark x. 13-16. Lake xviii. 15-17.

In Luke the incident is placed immediately after the parable of the Pharisee and Publican; there it is an illustration of humility. Here, and in Mark, the connection between the purity of married life and the love of little children cannot be overlooked.
13. It appears that it was customary for Jewish infants to be taken to the synagogue to be blessed by the Rabbi. Smith's Dict. of Bible, Art. 'Synagogue,' note E.
tra kriof. For the sequence of the subjunctive on historic tenses see note ch. xii. 14.
 and above all, humility, are the ideal characteristics of little ohildren, and of the subjecte of the kingdom.
 capable of receiving a blessing, though not conscious of an obligation. It is the authorization of infant baptism. St Mark, as often, records a further loving act of Jesus, èjarкa入ıoducvos aùrá.

## 16－22．The Young Rige Roler．

Mark x．17－22．Luke xviii．18－23．
From Luke alone we Iearn that he was a＇ruler；＇from Matthew alone that he was young．Each of the three Synoptists states that＇he was very rich＇（Luke）；＇had great possessions＇（Matthew and Mark）．

16．its тробе入0＇́v．＇Came one running，and kneeled to him＇ （Mark）．＇A certain ruler，＇i．e．one of the rulers of the synagogue，like Jairus．The＇decemvirate＇（see ch．iv．23）of the synagogue were chosen from＇men of leisure＇（Hebr．Batlanin，op．the same thought in Greek oxoinj，from which ultimately through Lat．schola comes Eng．scholar），who were free from the necessity of labour，and could devote themselves to the duties of the syaagogue，and to study；of these the first three were called＇Rulers of the Synagogue．＇

 shall I do＇＇the ruler touches the central error of the Pharisaic system－ that goodness consisted in exaot conformity to certain external rules of conduct．Jesus shews that it is not by doing anything whatever that a man can inherit eternal life，but by being something；not by observing Pharisaic rules，but by being ohildlike．
 answer is reported in Mark and Luke is：$\tau l \mu \mathrm{e}$ 入éqets dayabbv；oúdeis
 seizes upon the word dya日òv in the ruler＇s question；according to the other gospels the reply turns on the use of the word as applied to
 effect they are identical．Christ＇s answer is so framed as to wake reflection．＇Why do you put this question about＂the good，＂why do you call me＂good？＂Do you understand the meaning of your own question＂＇It was not a simple question，as the ruler thought：two points are raised：（1）What is＇the good？＇（2）How to enter life eternal．Then again the answer to the first is partly left to inference， and the answer to the second lies deeper than the young ruler＇s thoughts had gone．（1）There is one only who is good，therefore（the inference is）＇the good＇can only be the will of God．（2）Then the way to enter into life eternal is to keep God＇s will as expressed in the commandments． Jesus shews that here too the questioner had not thought deeply enough．Keeping the commandments is not external observance of them，but being in heart what the commandments mean，and what the will of God is．

Note in this incident（1）the manner of Jesus adapting itself to the condition of the＇seholar，＇one who had leisure to think，and who plumed himself on having thought．To such he points out the way to deeper reflection．（2）The mission of Jesus to＇fulfil the law．＇（3）The spiritual use of the law（the ten commandments），as awakening the sense of sin，and so leading to repentance．Bengel says：＇Jesus se－ curos ad Legem remittit，contritos evangelice consolatur．＇
18. molas; What commandments? written or unwritten? human or divine? the law of Moses or the traditions of the elders? or perhaps the young ruler expected s specimen of the rules with which this new Rabbi would instract his disciples to 'fence round' the law. In N. T. тoîos may perhaps always be distinguished from $\boldsymbol{T} / \mathrm{s}$; in later Greek (see Sophocles, Lex. sub voc.) and in the modern vernacular the distinction is lost.

Td ove qovelfers к.t. $\lambda$. For the ase of the article prefired to a

 Winer, p. 135.
ov่ фovev́recs. In Hebrew a negative is never used with the imperative; prohibitions being always expressed by means of the future (or imperfeet). This idiom is here followed in the Greek, of $\phi$ g. prohibition, ripa-positive command (Rced.-Gesen. Hebr. Gram., p. 280) the future is however also used in pare Greek to express the impera-
 Med. 1320 (Donaldson Grk. Gram. p. 407).

Comp. this enumeration with that in ch. x7. 19. Here, as there, the commandmeuts proceed in order from the 6th to the 9th. Here, as there, the enumeration stops at covetousness-the rich ruler's special failing. The fifth commandment not named in ch. rv. had probably an individual application here. Neither St Mark nor St Luke preserve the same order


 not (1) in the deeper sense which the word sometimes bears in reference
 גelous (see elso Col. i. 28); nor (2) in the sense of 'perfect' in manhood, opposed to babes, as Phil. iii. 15, also 1 Cor. xiv. 20; Eph. iv. 13; Heb. v. 14).
 bat to do that would be a prool of being perfect, it is the test for his special case, not a universal rule. With many it is more difficult to use wealth for Christ than to give it up for Christ. St Mark has the touching words 'Jesus beholding him loved him.' The incident recalls the parable of the 'merchant man seeking goodly pearls' (ch. xiii. 45, 46). Here is a seeker after good, the pearl is found: will he not sell all that he hath and buy it?




 lamenting.'
22. 入uтоúpwos. A conflict of opposite desires vexed his soul. He
wished to serve God and mammon. He was sorrowful because he saw that the special sacrifice required to win eternal life was too great for him. He was lost through the $\alpha \pi d \tau \eta ~ \tau o i ̂ ~ \pi \lambda o j r o v ~(c h . ~ x i i i . ~ 22) . ~$.

> 23-26. Of Ricies, asd thes Kinadom of God. Mark x. 23-27. Luke xviii. 24-27.

These reflections follow natarally on the last incident.
23. Tìv far. т $\omega$ v oủp. Comparing this with vv. 16 and 17, we
 as eynonyms.
24. кápŋ入ov 8เd тpuaŕuaros padisos. An expression familiar to Jews of our Lord's time. The exaggeration is quite in the Eastern style. Some attempts however have been made to explain away the natural meaning of the words. xd $\mu c \lambda \rho \nu$, which is said to mean ' $a$ thick rope,' has been read for кdu.j入ov. But the change has no MS. support, and кhulios, which does not oscur elsewhere, is probsbly an
 be the name of a gate in Jerasalem. Bat the existence of sach a gate is not established; and the variety of expression for 'a needle's
 (Lake), is against this view. The variation also indicates that the proverb was not current in Greek. The expression in Luke is the most classical. japls is rejected by the Attio parists: in ja japls $\boldsymbol{\text { o }}$ écou oük ä̀ ris $\gamma^{\nu \nu o l \eta}$ (Liob. Phryn. p. 90). tpír $\eta \mu$ a was a vernaoular word and is foumd in Aristoph. Pac. 1234.

An eastern traveller has suggested that the association of ideas arose thas: every camel driver carries with him a large needle to mend his pack-saddle as occasion requires, hence the 'camel' and the 'needle.'
 ciples, which can find no echo in souls trained to Christianity, is not quite easy to understand. But there was present to the dissiples, perhaps, a latent Jewish thought that external prosperity was a sign of the favour of heaven. Then in a town like Capernaum all the leading religious people would be rich (see note v. 16). There is always a tendency when religions life is at a low ebb to make disciples of the wealthy and to exalt their gaintliness. One of the distinctive marks of Christ's mission was 'preaohing to the poor.' Op. St



Tls apa 8úvatal $\sigma \omega 0$ ๆ̂vat; The thought of the disciples still liver: for the guilt of detected wickedness is mainly brought home to the poor, the sins of the rich and educated seldom result in crime, acoordingly wealth and intelleat make men seem better, 'sometimes even supplying the absence of real good with what looks extremely like it.' See a Sermon by Prof. Mozley, on The Reversal of Human Judgment, pp. 85-87.
26. ap $\beta \lambda$ équs. These heart-searching looks of Christ donbtiess
gave an effect to His words which it is impossible to recall, but which would never be effaced from the memory of those who felt their meaning.

27-30. The Claim of tee Disciples.<br>Mark x. 28-31. Luke xviii. 28-30.

 force, 'left,' 'followed.'
ridipa toval jifiv; Peter, still not perfect in the Spirit of Christ, saggests a lower motive for following Christ. The answer of Christ shews that all true sacrifice shall have its reward, but all that looks like sarrifice is not really such, therefore ' Many that are first shall be last." Among the Twelve there was a Judas.
 themselves defined by $\delta$ бтау кавlби к.т. 入.

 'the periodic restitation of all things' (M. Antoninus xi. 1, quoted by


 find a place in the N.T. meaning of the word. It is the renewed and higher life of the world regenersted by Christ, sacceeding the birthpangs (widives) which the present generation must suffer. Again, it is the espiritual return of Israel from the bondage of the Iaw, which the


Other meanings have been assigned to $\pi$ a cureveola in this passage: (1) The Saviour's return to glory in His Father's kingdom. (2) The glorified life of the Apostles after death.

In Tit. iii. 5 mancu $\boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{v} \epsilon \sigma i a}$ is used of the new life the entrance to


 new birth of thought which spiritualised every conception. Israel became no longer Israel according to the fleah, to reign was to reign spiritually with Christ. In this spiritual Israel the Apostles have actually sat on thrones. They are the kings and jadges of the Church of God.
 nection between the number of the Apostles and the twelve tribes of Israel.
29. This saying would fulfil itself in many ways to the thoughts of the Apostles. (1) In the spiritual relationships, homes, children, and fathers in Christ that sprang up to them wherever the gospel was preached. In a deep sense the thought of 'omne solum forti patria est' would come home to the first evangelists. (2) As Christ recognised his kindred in those who did the work of His Father, reciprocally His servants found in their brethren, wife, children and lands.
(3) Sometimes self-renouncement created intensifiod love for others: sometimes kinsfolk forsaken for Christ were in turn won for Christ, and thus increased manifold the gift and love of kinship.
 word that explains so mach fall so softly at the end of the sentence as to be heard only by the nearest to the Saviour? Was it half forgotten till persecution came?
30. Note the connecting partioles- $\delta \varepsilon$ in this verse, $\gamma \mathbf{d \rho}(\mathrm{xx} .1$ ), oüтcs (xx. 16); $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ marks the contrasting statement, $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ introduces the illustration of $i t$, outcus olosing the illustration reverts to the statement illustrated.

## CHAPTER XX.

 highest evidence.
 $\lambda \eta \psi_{\epsilon \sigma \theta}$. The thought of $v .4$ was probably repeated in a marginal note.
12. The omission of $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}$ a after $\lambda_{\text {fyoutes }}$ is on the best evidence ( $\mathrm{N} 1 \mathrm{BC}^{2} \mathrm{DI}$ versions and fathers).
 $\sigma_{\lambda i \gamma o c}^{\delta \dot{\epsilon}} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau a l$. Here the older MSS. are followed, but CD and the mass of later uncials and many versions and patristio quotations con$t_{\text {ain }}$ the clause, which is certainly genuine, in ch. xxii. 14.

 ( $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{M}^{*} \mathrm{~L}$ and other uncials), dyact of MSS.).

 with NBDLZ and Origen The words are genuine in Mark.
28. After this verse an early insertion is found in D and the

 great extent Luke xiv. 8-10. See Scrivener's Introduction, pp. 8 and 500.
34. avr $\hat{\nu}$ of $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu 0$ omitted ( NBDLZ ); the insertion is not very easy to account for,

## 1-16. The Parable of the Labourers in the Fineyard. Peculiar to St Matthew.

1. ópola үáp dotıv к.т.入. There are many possible applications of the parable, but the only true explanation of its meaning to the disciples at the time must be reached by considering the question to which it is an answer. The parable is addressed solely to the disciples. The thread of thought masy be traced in this way : It is
imposaible for a rich man, one who trusts in riches, to enter the kingdom of heaven. The disciples, through Peter; say 'We at any rate left ail and followed thee; what shail we have therefore?' Our Lord's answer is (1) partly encouraging, (2) partly discouraging.
(1) All who have in a true sense given up all for Christ shall have a great reward (ch. xix. 28, 29).
(2) But (v. 30) priority of time is not everything. The parable is given in explanation of this point. Not only will the disciples not be the only called, but they may not reach a higher place or a highex reward than some who follow them at an apparent disadvantage. Still all who work shall have their reward. But they must beware of a spirit very prevalent among hard workers, and not think too mach of their own labours, or be displeased becanse others are equally rewarded.

Possibly the element of time is introduced to illustrate in a parabolis form the apparent degrees of serviee, and to signify that no man can estimate the comparative merit of work for God.
 $\beta a \sigma c \lambda e i$, ch. xviii. 23.


 p. 525 and note 5.
2. Ik $\delta \eta v a p l o v$. ' On the terms of a denarius,' $\varepsilon x$ indicates the point from which the bargaining proceeds, the starting point and so the basis of the compeet. It is not= $\delta \eta y a \rho i o v, v .13$, genitive of price or rate of pay. A denarius was the ordinary day's wage of a labourer, that of a common soldier was less, as we learn from Tac., Annal. 1. 17: nee alind levamentum quam si certis sub legibus militia iniretur, ut singulos denarios mererent.' A 'florin' or a 'half-orown' would represent the meaning to English readers far more accurately than the 'penny' of the A.V. which gives a wholly wrong impression. See ch. xviii. 28.
 is $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu \in \hat{1} \nu \tau \nu h$ or $\pi \rho$ bs $\tau \omega v a$.
4. $\delta$ dav ${ }^{0}$ dicarov. This time there is no stipulated sum. The labourers are invited to leave all to the justice of the householder. It is a lesson in faith and an implied rebuke to the spirit displayed

 mon labourers to his vineyard. Thus not only in the beginning of the gospel, but in every age Christ Himself calls labourers to His work. The Master never stands idle.
 first instanoe to the call of a Paul, a Barnabas, and a Timothy, who adopted the Canse later than the Twelve. In a secondary and less immediate sense they seem to indicate the successive periods at which the various nations were admitted to the Churah of Christ. Was it
unjuatt that European nations should have equal privileges with the Jews in the Churoh of Christ, or that Paul should be equal to Petert

Note the reproach conveyed by dopol. Even they to whom no message has come may do some Epyop for Christ. See Rom. ii. 10, 14.
8. $\tau \hat{\Psi} \hat{\Psi}$ ! $\pi ⿺ \tau \rho \sigma \pi \varphi$. 'To his steward,' as in Lake viii. 3, 'I $\omega$ duya
 word occurs in the N. T., Gal. iv. 2, eritpoozot, 'guardians' of a minor's person, are distinguished from olkbvouol stewards of his property. The word was Hebraized and used in both these senses by Rabbinical writars (Schöttgen ad loc. cit.).
9. dyd Equáprev, 'a denarins each.'


 repcyorw $\left\langle\omega \sigma \frac{\pi}{}\right.$ тo $\lambda i$ itac. The word was probably formed from the sound of the cooing of doves, and is therefore like $\tau p j$ get both in original
 ando. The verb occurs more frequently in St John's gospel, writton in an Ionic city, than in any other book of the N. T. Verb and noun are foand in the LXX. and in Epictetus and other late writers. See Lob. Phryn. 358.

 cerimus dies tamen multi nobis sermones fuerunt.' Seneca, Epist. 67.
©pav. 'During the residence in Babylon the Hebrews adopted the division of the day into twelve hours whose duration varied with the length of the day.' Edersheim, Temple, dec., in the Time of our Lord, p. 174).
 regarded as man's estimate of his own merits, which is not the divine estimate. The words echo the tone of 'what shall we have?' ch. xix. 27. Man does not here acquiesee in the Jndge's decision, as in the parable of the debtors, ch. xvii. What is just does not at first seem just, but, as in science many things that seemed untrue are proved to be true, what seems unjust will be proved just when we know all. Further, time is not the only element in service. An act of swift intelligence or of bravery wrought in the space of a single minute has saved an army or a people, and merited higher reward than a lifetime



Paoradoaru.' Geldart, Mod. Greek Lang. pp. 191, 192, notices the frequent occurrence of $\beta$ arrdjeur in N. T. as a moderniam. No word has a longer literary history, it occurs in almost every Greek writer, from Homer to the N. T.
rd $\beta$ ápos rịs tipépas kai rd̀ kav́rcwva. 'The burden of the day and the hot morning wind.' kav́roya, emphatio by its position at the end of the sentence, heightens the effect of tie picture, and gives reality
to it. The labourers hired in the cool evening hours would esoape the long toil, and what is more the scorching sirocco which blows from
 James i. 11. It was from the combined influence of sun and sirocco

 See also Ps. ciii. 16 and Is. xl. 6, and read Dr Thomson's account of the two kinds of sirocco (Land and Book, pp. 536, 537). Describing the effect of the sultry sirocco he says: 'The birds hide in thickest shades; the fowls pant under the walls with open mouth and drooping wings; the flocks and herds take shelter in caves and under great rooks; the labourers retire from the fields, and close the windows and doors of their houses.'
13. 'taSpos is used of any temporary connection, without the idea of affectionate friendship. It is used by a master to his slave; by a guest to a fellow-guest; as a general address on meeting. Op. ch. xxii. 12 and xxvi .50 , where it is a term of reproachful rebuke.
15. ód0a入 $\mu \mathrm{s} s$ mounpós. The belief in the evil eye still prevails in the East. The envious or malevolent glance is thought to have an injurious effect. Here the sense is: Art thou envious because I am just?
16. oi $\pi р \hat{\omega} т о$. Not only as primarily in the parable the first called, but the first in position, knowledge and influence.

## 17-19. Jesus gong up to Jerubalbif foretells His Pabsion for ther third time.

See chs. xvi. 21, xvii. 22, 23; and Mark x. 32-84; Luke xviii. 3134. St Mark and St Luke add 'shall spit upon him' (Mark); 'shall be spitted on' (Luke) ; St Matthew alone names 'crucifixion;' St Luke, who mentions only the share which the Gentiles had in the Passion, adds 'they understood none of these things, and this saying was hid from them, neither knew they the things which were spoken.'

The disciples, as Jews, still placed their hopes in the present world: 'what shall we have?' They still thought Jesus might be using a figure of speech. Jesus was alone in the certainty of His awful secret. He had no sympathy from His followers.

For distinctive points in the several predictions of the Passion see notes ch. xvii. 22, 23.
 \$1 $\delta \delta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \omega \mathrm{kal}$ youfereiv.

18, 19. Observe the exactness of the prediction; the Sanhedrin shall condemn but not kill, the Gentiles shall scourge and crucify.
 equivalent to a final clause. Thus the guilt of the orncifixion is
 к.r.ג., denoting independent action on the part of the Gentiles. St Lake, the Gentile Evangelist, passes over in silence the guilt of the

Jewish chief priests and Seribes. That this is not accidental, but part of the evangelistic plan, seems proved by comparing the langaage of St Peter, Acts iii. 13, 14 (where the crime is pointedly brought home to Igrael) with his speech in the house of Cornelins, Acts x. 39, 8 y xal
 dropped, and the Gentile mode of execution named.

20-28. Salome's Prayet yor her song, and the Answer of Jesus.
Mark x. 35-45. St Mark begins "And James and John the sons of Zebedee came unto him, saying, \&e.' For once St Matthew is more graphic and true to detail than St Mark.
 learn by comparing Matthew xxvii. 56 with Mark xy. 40.
> 'Among which was Mary Mag- 'Among whom was Mary Magdalene, and Mary the mother of James and Joses, and the mother of Zebedee's children,' Matthew dalene, and Mary the mother of James the less and of Joses, and Salome.' Mark xv. 40. xxvii. 56.

тробкvvov̂ca. The act of prostration before an Eastern Kingthough the word 'crucify' might have suggested a slave's death. The Kingdom of heaven introduces many such contrasts.
airovira. She dares not speak until her Lord addresses her.
21. cime tva кa0loworv к.т. $\lambda$. Cp. for the thought ch. zix. 28, for the construction ch. i. 22.
22. oúk or8arc. Observe, Jesus addresses the sons, not the mother.
rl alteiofe. There is some force in the middle voice 'ask for pourselves,' or 'cause to be asked.'
$\pi$ teiv....ाlvew. If the difference between the tenses be pressed, the aor. $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ titiv implies a single draught-a taste of the cup, the present $\pi \pi^{\prime} \nu \in \nu$ a continued drinking of the cup.
 Cp. among other passages, Is. li. 17, 'Thou hast drunken the dregs of the cup of trembling, and wrung them out,' and Ps. lxxv. 8; the prophets use the figure in reference to the vengeance of God and His wrath against sin. When the disciples afterwards recalled the image it would sigmify to them the mediation of Christ, who by His passion and death drank for mau the cup of suffering. Maldonatus suggests the thought of "the poison cup,' the cup of death. For the image, cp. 'quot bella exhausta canabat.' Verg. Aer. xv. 14.
 Herod Agrippa I. (Aots xii. 2). John suffered many parsecutions, but died a natural death. The rebuke of Jesus is very gentle; his soul knew what suffering was in store for the two brothers.
 exelyous 'but it shall be given, \&c.,' thus retaiming the proper force of

 566, 728.
24. of 8tкa ग’yavdkrnaav. In his ingenuus Evangelistes. Bangel. The indignation of the 'Ten' displayed the same spirit and motive as the request of the sons of Zebedee. It seemed as if the jealousies and intrigues of an earthly court were breaking ont among the disciples of Jesus.
25. Jesus points out the inversion of earthly ideas in the Kingdom of heaven. This important 'rale' of the Mastar is thrown into the form of Hebrew parallelism. The antithesis is complete. In the Kingdom of heaven the ambition mast be to serve not to reiga; that Kingdom is in every way the reverse of the kingdome of the world. In the latter the gradation of rank is (1) the supreme prince ( $\alpha \rho \chi \omega \bar{p}$ ); (2) the nobles ( $\mu \in \gamma d \lambda o c$ ) ; (3) the ministers or attendants ( $($ didiovol) ; (4) the siaves ( $\delta_{0} \hat{\lambda} \lambda o$ ). In the Kingdom of heaven he that will be the noble must be the minister or attendant; he that will be supreme must be the slave. What Jesus teaches is the dignity of service in the King. dom of heaven.
kacaxvptev́overt. The word occurs in two other persages of the N.T. besides the parallel passage (Mark x. 42). In one there is probably a reference to our Iord's words here. St Peter, teaching the

 the account of the sons of Sceva, the possessed man, катакиреeíras
 anthority, just as кareqovalajen is appropriate to the delegated authority of the $\mu \in \gamma$ as or subordinate governor. кarek. here only and in the parallel passage Mark x. 42. It is a novel compound formed perhaps for the sake of the parallelism.
38. ous $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda e v}$ к.т. $\lambda$. 'Came not to be ministered onto, but to minister,' i.e. (as the parallelism shews) came not to be a $\mu e \gamma a s$, 'a great one,' but to be a servant ( (iáóoyos), xal doûvau tìp $\psi u x \eta y$ aüroû $\lambda u ̛ T p o v ~ d \nu \tau i, ~ r o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, a still further humiliation-to be a slave and render a slave's sapreme service-to die a slave's death for others. This view, to which the poetical form of the whole paragraph points, bringa the passage into close relation with St Paul's words:
 tavdrov $\delta \xi$ бravpoû (Phil. ii. 7, 8). The conception of a redemption from the slavery of sin through Christ is enriched by that of a life sacrificed to win life for ns,

The bearing of such passages as this on the alleviation of slavery in the ancient world should be considered. Their influence towards the abolition of alavery in modern times might have been still greater if the translators had used the word 'sleve' rather than 'servant' in the E. V .

גérpop only here and Mark x. 45 in the N. T., a ransom or price paid for the redemption of a eaptive from elavery. For the thought
op. Rom. iii. 24; 1 Cor. vi. 20; 1 Pet. i. 19. The English word is derived through the French rarcon from Lat. redemptionem. The act of


 Eph. i. 7. See also 1 Cor. vi. 20; 1 Pet. i. 19.
 The difference between the $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{y}$ and the $\pi d \nu \tau \omega y$ in these two passages must be explained by the difference between the offer of salvation and the acceptance of it. It is offered to all, accepted by many. The preposition durl denotes the vicarious nature of Christ's death.

> 29-34. Two Men cobed of Buindness.
> Mark x, 46-52. Luke xviii. $35-43$.

There are remarkable divergences in the Synoptic accounts of this miracle. Some indeed have supposed that different miracles are related by the Evangelists. St Mark speaks of one man, 'blind Bartimmus, the son of Timmus.' St Luke also mentions one only, but desoribes the incident as taking place 'when Jesus oame nigh unto Jericho,' whereas St Matthew and St Mark state that the miracle was wrought 'as they departed from Jericho.'

It is of course possible that $\mathrm{S} \dagger$ Luke narrates a separate mirade. The only other solution is to suppose an inaccuracy in an unimportant detail.
29. oxdos moגus. The caravan of Galilæans and others going up to Jerusalem for the Passover. Their numbers would protect them from attack in the dangerous mountain defiles leading to the capital.

Jericho was at this time a flouxishing aity. It was opulent even in the days of Joshna from the fertility of the surrounding plain, its extensive commerce, and from the metals found in the neighbourhood. Levelled to the ground and laid under a ourse by Joshas, it was afterwards rebuilt by Hiel the Bethelite, and ragained a portion of its former prosperity. At this period the balsam trade was a principal source of its wealth.
Herod the Great beautified the city with palsces and public buildings, and here he died. After Herod's death Jericho was sacked and burnt, but restored by his son Archelaus,
'Jerieho was once more a 'City of Palms' when our Lord visited it. As the city that had so exeeptionally contributed to His own ancestry; as the city which had been the first to fall, amidst so much caremony, before 'the captain of the Lord's host and his servant Joshua,' we may well suppose that His eyes surveyed it with unwonted interest.'-Smith's Bib. Dict. Art. 'Jerioho.'
30. viid $\Delta a v e$ ( 5 . An appeal which reflects the thought that especially signalizes this period of our Lord's ministry, the Son of David entering upon his kingdom.
 who had received sight and soundness of limb by the word or touch of Jesus followed Him to Jerasalem.
クiкohoút $\ddagger$ cav. Jesus Himself leads the procession. See Luke xix. 28.

## CHAPTER XXI.


19. ov $\mu \eta \kappa \in \dot{\tau} \mathrm{L}$ BL, whereas N C D and later uncials omit ov. The accidental omission, however, is more probable than the insertion of ov, and the reading in Mark ( $\mu \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau 4$ without ovi) may have influenced the text here.
 later anthorities.

28-31. The textus receptus is here upheld. For a discussion of the var. lect. see Hammond, Text. Crit. 109.

46. Als has the more ancient evidence, ws the more numerous later codices in its favour.

Nisan 9 (Palm Sunday).
1-10. The Royal Entry into Jerugalem,
Mark xi. 1-11. Luke xix. 29-40. John xii. 12-19. St Luke alone places here the incident of Christ weeping over Jerusalem (xix. $40-44$ ).
 Bethany at the mount of Olives' (Mark). "Nigh to Bethphage and Bethany at the mount called the mount of Olives' (Luke). Bethany was about two miles from Jerusalem, at the S.E. base of the mount of Olives. Of Bethphage (' place of green or winter figs') no remains have been discovered, and its exact position is unknown. It was probably west of Bethany, and so near to Jerusalem as to be reckoned part of the Holy City. See Godet on St Luke xix. 28. Some have inferred from the order in which Bethphage and Bethany are named that Bethphage was east of Bethany.
 never man sat' (Mark and Lake). St Matthew notes the close correspondence with the words of the prophecy; see $v .5$.

Oriental travellers describe the high estimation in which the ass is held in the East. The variety of Hebrew names for these maimals indicates the many ases to which they are put. The propheoy from Zechariah quoted v. 4 contains three distinct Hebrew words for an 'ars.' 'Sitting upon an ass (chamor, from a root meaning red) and a colt (ayir, 'a young male ass') the foal (lit. 'the son') of an ass
(athon $={ }^{4} \mathrm{a}$ she-ass,' from a root meaning 'slow').' 'His lot varies as does the lot of those he serves. The rich man's ass is a lordly beast. In aize he is far ahead of anything of his kind we see here at home. His coat is as smooth and glossy as a horse's... His livery is ahiny black, satiny white or sleek monse colour. I never saw one of the dingy red of his Poiton brethren.' Zincke's Egypt.
3. The account leads to the inference that the owner of the ass was an adherent of Jesus who had perhaps not yet declared himself. The namber of such secret followers was perhaps very large:
4. yeyovev. 'Is come to pass:' the Evangelist speaks of an event still recent. Bp. Lightfoot points out (On a Fresh Revision of the N. T. p. 91) that for. yefovep of the earlier and contemporary evangelist we find é $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \nu \in \tau 0$ in a similar expression in the later fourth Gospel.

5. aimare $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ Ovyarpl Xhúv. The quotation is partly from Zecha-
 the LXX. rendering of Is. Ixii. Il. The remainder is an abbreviated citation from Zech. ix. 9, where the LXX. version is: [xaipe $\sigma \phi \delta \delta \rho a$,

 $\pi \hat{\varphi} \lambda_{o \nu}^{\nu} \nu^{\prime}$ av. The words in brackets, omitted in the citation, oocur in the Hebrew text as well as in the LXX. In the last clause, where St Matthew differs from the LXX., he agrees with the Hebrew text. It is a proof of St Matthow's feeling for poetical form that the parallelism does not suffer in the shorteqed form of quotation. The word aẃsty which osours in Zeohariah, and $\dot{\delta} \sigma \omega \tau j \dot{j}$ whiah follows the words quoted from Isaiah, omitted here but suggeated by the quotation, would recall ' hosanna' and the name Jesus ( $\sigma \omega \tau$ й $\rho$ ). See below.


7. Td ifórta. Their upper garments, the abbas of modern Arabs. Cp. with this the throne extemporised for Jehu, 2 Kings ix. 13.
8. $\delta \pi \lambda_{\text {eforos }}$ ox $\lambda^{2}$, the greater part of the crowd.
totpourav Éautû̀ rà ínárta. Instances are recorded of similar acts of reapect ahewn to Rabbis by their disciples. See Sohöttgen, ad loc.
'9. 'תouvvd. Hebr. ' hoshiah-na,' 'save now,' 'save I pray.' Na is a partiole of entreaty added to imperatives. They are the first words of Ps. oxviii. 25, 'Save now, I beseesh thee, O Lord; O Lord, I beseech thee, send now prosperity,' a verse which was sung in solemn procession round the altar at the feast of Tabernacles and on other occasions. As they sang these words it was the custom to carry young branches of palm, and the boughs of myitle and willow, which were brandished or shaken at intervals. (See Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr. ad loc.)
$\tau \bar{\psi} \nu i \bar{\varphi} \hat{\Delta} \Delta$. Dative of general reference. The 'Salvation' is in some way connected with the Son of David as the cause or instrument of it. See Clyde's Greek Synt. \& 15.

The multitude recognise the Messiah in Jesus and address to Him the strains and observe the ritual of their most joyous festival. The shouts of 'hasanna' must have been significant in another way to the disciples. The verb is from the same root and had nearly the same sound as the name Jesus. See note v. 5.
The thought of 'Egivation' is so closely connected with the feest of Tabernacles, that to this day the name 'hosanna' is given to the bundles of branches, to the prayers at the feast, and to the feast itself. See Wetstein ad loc., and cp. Rev. vii. 9, 10.

St Luke paraphrases the expression for his Gentile readers, 'glory in the highest.'
 accents the rendering would be, "Blessed in the name of the Lord be he that cometh." Dean Perowne on Ps, oxviii. 26. 'He that cometh' ( $\mathrm{H} a \mathrm{~b} b a$ ) was a recognised Messianic title. St Mark adds 'Blessed be the kingdom of our father David, that cometh in the name of the Lord.' St Luke has 'Blessed be the king that cometh,' do., and mentions that the multitude 'began to rejoice and praise God with a loud voice for all the mighty works that they had seen.' St John reports the words thus, "Blessed is the King of Israel that cometh in the name of the Lord.' These shouts of triumph-which were the 'gospel' or heralding of the King-must have sounded across the valley of Kedron up to the precincts and porches of the Temple.
'Bethany stands in a shallow hollow scooped out of the shoulder of the hill. The path follows this till the descent begins at a turn where the first view of the Temple is caught. First appeared the castles and wails of the city of David; and immediately afterwards the glittering roof of the Temple and the gorgeous royal arcade of Herod with its long range of battlements overhanging the southern edge of Moriah.'-Tristram's Topography of Holy Land.

The entry into Jerusalem must not be regarded as an isolated fact. It was a culminating outhorst of feeling. It is olear that the expectation of the kingdom was raised to the highest pitch. The prostration of Salome at the feet of the Prince; the request of her sons; the dispute among the ten; the gathering crowds; the cry of Bartimens; the triumphal entry, are all signs of this feeling.

For as the Royal Entry is a figure, a parable through external sights and sounds of the true and inner secret hingdom of God.
10. From two passages of Josephus (B. J. ir. 14. 3 and vi. 9. 3) it appears that $2,900,000$, or even 8 greater number, were present at the passover, numbers encamping in the vicinity of the holy city. We may picture the narrow streets of Jeruselem thronged with eager inquisitive crowds demanding, with Oriental vivacity, in many tongues and dialeets, 'Who is this?'
krecot $\eta$, was 'convulsed' or 'stirred' as by an earthquake, or by a violent wind.

The events of this day extend to $\mathbf{v} .23$ of this Chapter．

## 12－14．The Second Cleansina of the Temple． Mark xi．15－18；Luke xix．45， 46.

It is olear from the other Synoptists that the Cleansing of the Temple took place on Nisan 10，not on the day of the entry．St Mark says（xi．11）that＇when he had looked round about on all things there，the eventide being come he went back to Bethany．＇In point of time＇the cursing of the fig－tree＇should precede the＇Cleans－ ing of the Temple．＇Si Mark adds to this account＇would not suffer that any man should carry any vessel through the temple．＇St Mat： thew alone mentions the healing of the lame and the blind，and omits the incident of＇the widow＇s mite，＇recorded by the other Synopt－ ists．The first＇Cleansing of the Temple，＇at the commencement of our Lord＇s ministry，is recorded John ii．13－17．
 the enthusiasm of His Galilman followers，and the conscionsness of wrongdoing on the part of the traders，rather than any special exercise of miraculous power，effected this triumph of Jesus in His Father＇s House．
dyopálovtas $\operatorname{\epsilon v} \tau \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{i} \in \rho \hat{\varphi}$ ．The traffic consisted in the sale of oxen and sheep，and such requisites for sacrifice as wine，salt，and oil． The marchandise took place in the Court of the Gentiles．
 from кb入入 $\nu \beta$ os，a small coin（Aristoph．Pax，1200）taken as a fee，hence \ater＇rate of exchange．＇Op．Cic．in Verr．Act II．3．78，＇Ex omni pecunia．．．deductiones fieri solebant：primum pro spectatione et col－
 spread with their trade，just as the Genoese or Venetian merchants brought the word agio into general use．
tis $\pi$ крибтерás．The definite article here and in the parallel passage （Mark xi．15）＂indicates the pen of a narrator，who was accustomed to the sight of the doves which might be purchased within the saored precincts by worshippers＇．［Bp Lightfoot，On a Fresh Revision of the N．T．＇p．109．］

13． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\ell}$ үpatral．See note，oh．ii． 6.
d olkos к．т．入．The passage is quoted from Is．Ivi．7，but，with the omission of the words $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma t y$ rois $e \theta v \in \sigma u$ ，these are included in the quotation by St Mark but not by St Luke．The context in Isaiah treats of the admission of the Gentiles：＇Yet will I gather others to him，beside those that are gathered unto him＇（v．8）．
 bandits，＇cp．Jer．vii．11，＇Is this house which is called by my name become a den of robbers in your eyes？＇Thus two separate passages of the $O$ ．T．are combined in a contrasted or parallel form．The
context of these words is strikingly anggestive: 'If ye thoroughly amend your ways and your doings...and shed not innocent blood in this place...then will I cause you to dwell in this place in the land that I gave to your fathers for ever and ever.' The caver of Palestine had always been refuges for the lawless, and in the reign of Herod the Great the robbers dwelling in caves had rebelled against him and resisted his power, Jos. Ant. 1. 12. Possibly this thought may be present here: 'Te have made my house a stronghold of rebels aggainst God and the Messiah, when it ought to be a garrison of loyal subjects.' Also the disputes of the traffickers resembled the wrangling of
 i $\mu \pi$ тopiov of the first 'cleansing' (John ii. 16).

15, 16. Tui Chlldren's Praige. Peculiar to St Matthew.
15. of apx ifetic. (1) The high-priest, (2) those who had served $^{2}$ that office, (3) the priests who were members of the high-priest's family, and (4) perhaps, the heads of the twenty-four priestly courses. See note oh. xxvi. 3.
robs rasfas roùs kpáfovtas. Children were tanght at an early age to join in the temple services. These caught the familiar feast-day strain from the Galilean pilgrims, and unconscious of all that their words meant, saluted Jesus.
 rendering of the Hebrew is : 'out of (or by) the mouths of children and sucklings hast thou founded strength'. Ps, viii. 2. The ruling thought of the opening verses is the glory of God set forth in His works. The 'soarcely articulate' ory of an iufant proves, like the heaven and the skars, the power and providence of God. On all these God builds a stronghold against His adversaries, i.e. convinces them of His might. So aliso the children in the temple attest the trath of God. See Dean Perowne and Speaker's Commentary on the passage quoted.
17. B $\dagger$ Өaviav. 'House of dates,' or, according to Caspari, 'Plave of shops, or merchant tente,' on the S.E. of the Mount of Olives, see note v, 日. Here Jesus lodged with Lazarus and his sisters.

## 18-22. The Cursing of the Fia-Tref.

Mark xi. 12-14, and 20-24 St Mark places this incident before the 'Cleansing of the Temple,' see note $v v_{.} 12-14$. It is an interesting and leading instance of miracle and parable in one. The miracle is an acted parable.
18. Imtivagev, late for erelpqeev, the contraction of ae into a instead of $\eta$ in $\pi \epsilon \nu \omega \omega, \delta, \delta \psi d \omega$ and $\chi p d \omega$ against the Attic rale appears rarely in the later authors, Aristotie, Theophrastus, Plutarch, \&e.
19. $\sigma$ vкîv $\mu$ lav. Probably a single fig-tree, standing alone, and so conspicuous. eis is, however, used in Alezandrine Greek for $\tau 1 s$, cp.
 and in Hebrew the numeral 'one' is constantly no more than the indefinite article ' $a$ '.
 or (2) hanging over the road.
 indeed it looks particularly bare with its white naked branches. Sohöttgen, however, states ad loc., that the Rabbis compared the fig-tree to the law because at every season froit may be gathered from it ; and one species (see Shaw's T'ravels, p. 370, and Land and Book, 23) if favoured by the season and in a good position, puts forth fruit and leaves in the very early spring, the fruit appearing before the leaves. This is the "hasty fruit before the summer' (Is. xxviii. 4), 'the figs that are first ripe' (Jer. xxiv. 2); 'the first ripe in the fig-tree at her first time' (Hos. ix. 10). It was doubtless a figtree of this kind that Jesus observed, and seeing the leaves expected to find fruit thereon. At the time of the Passover the first leaf-buds would searcely have appeared on the common fig-tree, while this year's ripe fruit would not be found till four monthe later.

The teaching of the incident depends on this circumstance (comp. Luke xiii. 6-9). The early fig-tree, conspicuous among its leafless brethren, seamed alone to make a show of fruit and to invite inspection. So Israel, alone among the nations of the world, held forth a promise. From Israel alone could fruit be expected; but none was found, and their harvest-time was past. Therefore Israel perished as a nation, while the Gentile races, barren hitherto, bat now on the verge of their spring-time, were ready to burst into blossom and bear fruit.

Esppápon. From St Mark we gather that the disciples observed the effect of the curse on the day after it was pronounced by Jesus.
20. Adsunarav. It was rather the power and wonder of the act than the deeper significance of it that moved the disciples. The miracle was to them an 'act of power' ( $\delta \dot{\prime} y a \mu s)$, or a 'wonder' ( $\tau$ t $\rho a s$ ), rather than a 'sign' ( $\sigma \eta \mu$ eior). Yet Jesus follows the turn their thoughts take, and teaches that prayer and faith will remove mountaing of difficalty, see ch. xvii. 20.

 nate.' See ch. xi. 3, when it is used of discerning the face of the aky,
 sense ' to decide,' and in middle to 'get a question decided at law,' 'to litigate.' (4) Hence generally 'to dispute,' $\delta t e x \rho l y o v t o ~ \pi \rho o b s ~ a u t d \nu$


 this passage. The last usage is not classical.

> 23-27. The Authomity of Chribt in questioned, Mark xi. 27-33; Luke xx. 1-8.

> Tuesday, Nisan 11.
 тaviтๆท; The second question is not a mere repetition of the first.

Jesus is asked (1) what kind of authority He possesses-human or divine? (2) By whose agency this authority was bestowed? No one had a right to teach unless 'aathority' had been conferred upon him by the soribes.
 usual. The question of the Elders was really an attack. Jesus meets that attack by a counter-question which presented equal difficulties in three ways-whether they said from heaven or of men, or left it unanswered. To say from heaven was equivalent to acknowledging Jesus as Christ, to asy from men was to incur the hostility of the people, to be silent was to resign their pretemsions as spiritual ohiefs of the nation.
 priests had kept aloof from John though he was of the priestly caste; and (2) that John pointed to Jesus as the Messiah. For riatedect
 'Those who have no fears and believe Philip.' See note ch. xviii. 6.
27. Note the sincerity of the ou $\lambda k \gamma \omega$ in contrast with the evasion of otx ot

28-32. Tefe Parable of the Two Song, and the Explanation of it. Peculiar to St Matthew.
St Luke omits the parable, perhaps as referring especially to Iarael. The parable follows in close connection with the question as to the teaching of John.

The parables and discourses that follow deal no longer with the listant future of the Charch, but with an immediate present. The sabjects illustrated are-(1) The rejection of the Messiah. (2) The rejection of the Jews as a nation. (3) The Judgment, (a) which has already begun; (b) which will be enacted terribly at the siege of Jerusalem; and (c) finally fulfilled at the end of the world.

Observe throughout the separation which is implied in the Judg. ment-the dividing sword which Christ bringe--the Jewish race and the world, each parted into two great divisions-the two sons-the two parties of husbandmen or of guests-the wise and foolish virgins -the sheep and the goats-the talents used and misused.

It is the last act in a divine drama of surpassing interest and full of contrasts. The nation, and especially the Pharisees, who are the leaders of thought, triumphant to external sight, are hurrying to destruction, impelled by a hidden fate in the face of elear warnings; while Christ the King, Who seems to be vanquished and done to death, is really winning an eternal victory.
28. teicva 8vo, representing the sinners who first refused to do God's will, but repented at the preaching of John; and the Pharisees who, having 'the righteousness which is of the law' (Phil. iii. 9), professed to do God's will but did it not. Both are sons. God still cares for both. The Pharisees may follow the sinners into the kingdom of

God (v. 31). Paul was still a Pharisee; Nicodemas the Pharisee was still a secret follower of Christ.
 repentance or metanoia, a deeper and more lasting feeling: see ch . iii. 2.

According to a well-supported reading (see Crit. Notes) the cases of the two sons are reversed. The first agrees but goes not, the second refuses but afterwards works in the vineyard. The variation is interesting, because it points to an interpretation by which the two sons represent Jew and Gentile.
30. Eүw кирцє. Observe the alacrity and politeness of this answer compared with the blunt ov $\theta \in \lambda \omega$ of the first: Eje draws attention to the contrast.
31. $\pi$ poáyourtr. Are (now) going before you.
32. 'I $\omega$ ávv ${ }^{2}$ s. The mention of John points to the connection between this parable and the preceding incident.
 ch. xxii. $16 ; \dot{j} \delta \partial \nu \nu \omega \pi \eta p l a s$, Acts xvi. 17 . The Christian doctrine was called in a special sense $\dot{\eta}$ ódós (Acts xix. 9, 23).
i $\delta$ orves, viz. that the publicans and the harlots believed him.
 pented in the deeper sense; see above, v. 29.

тov̂ $\pi$ rбтev̂नal. For this consecutive formala see note ch. ii. 13.

## 33-46. The Wicied Husbandmen.

Mark sii. 1—12; Lake xx. 9-19.
No parable interprets itself more clearly than this. Israel is represented by an image which the prophets had made familiar and un-mistakeable-the Vineyard of the Lord. The householder who planted the Vineyard and fenced it round signifies God the Father, Who created the nation for Himself-a peculiar and separate people. The husbandmen are the Jews, and especially the Pharisees, the spiritual leaders of the Jews. The servants are the prophets of God, the Son is the Lord Jesus Christ.
33. Eqúтeuvev durtelâva. Cp. the parable in Isaiah v. 1-7, where the description is very similar to this. See also Ps. Ixxx. 8-16; Jer. ii. 21; Ezek. xv. 1-6. The vine was adopted as a national emblem on the Maceabean coins.
 fence of prickly pears. St Luke makes no mention of the separating hedge. Israel was separated thronghout her history politically, and even physically, by the natural position of Palestine.

ش̈pufev $\boldsymbol{e} v$ aivẹ $\lambda \eta v \delta v$. The winepress was often dug or hewn out of the limestone rock in Palestine. There were two reeeptacles or vats,

The upper one was striotly the press or $\lambda$ nubs (Matthew), the lower one
 the grape passed. The two vats ere mentioned together only in Joel iii. I3, 'The press (gath) is full, the vate (yekabim) overflow' (quoted in Bibl. Dict., see art. 'Winepress').
mípyoy. Probably a wooden booth raised on a high platform, in which a watcher was stationed to goard the grapes.

Neither the winepress nor the tower seems to have any special significance in the interpretation of the parable.
 parta of Europe. It is known as the metayer syatem, the arrangement being that the occupier of the land should pay to the landlord a portion-originally half-of the produce. The system existed in England for about sixty years at the end of the fourteenth centary. Before the Revolution of 1790 nearly the whole of the land of France wha rented by metayers. At the time of our Lord's ministry it was customary for the Romans to restore conquered lands on condition of receiving a moiety of the produce. Fawcett's Manual of Political Economy, p. 223; Rogers' Political Economy, p. 168.

## 


Stpetv, (1) 'to fiay,' (2) then, from the effect of scourging, 'to beat.' In the second sense it is classical only in the comic poets; cp. Vuigar English 'to hide.' In Acts xvi. 22 the Prators bid the lictors 'scourge' ( $\beta a \beta \delta i(\xi \in y)$ Paul, who, referring to the outrage, says: $\delta$ d-

 turn,' (2) then 'turn a person,' cause him to avert his gaze through


 Tit. ii. 8 , 'that the adversary be put to shame;' (4) in middle voice, 'to let oneself be tarned or influenced' by a person or thing, through some feeling of awe, revarence and the like; (a) with a genitive denoting the source of the action or feeling (Donaldson's Greek Gram-
 later with an accusative denoting the object of reverence or concern,
 ѐутретбдеуоя.
 in the technical sense which the English 'seize' also bears: cp. EXwy
 gested as a translation of this passage, 'take possersion or seisin upon his inheritance.' (Moulton's History of the English Bible.)
 fixion of Jesus ontside the city of Jerasalem.

41．$\lambda$ lyovaly aủici．An interruption from the listeming crowd， which marks the intense intereat with which these parables were heard．The indignstion of the bystanders is aroused as if it were a tale of actual life．
 какผิs，Aristoph．Plut．65．A frequent formula in the classics．

42．iv tais $\gamma$ poupaîs．Ps．exviii． 22 （vv．25， 26 of the same psalm are quoted above，$v .9$ ，where note）；the pailin＇was probably com－ posed for the first celebration of the Feast of Tabernsoles after the completion of the Second Temple＇（Neh．viii．13－18）．（Dean Pe－ rowne．）The original reference was to a stone used in the erection of the second Temple．The＇corner stone＇is the Jewish nation rejected at first，afterwards restored from captivity．Christ transfers this image to His Oharch，formed of Jew and Gentile alike（see Meyer）， which，though despised at first，was destined to aucceed to the spiri－ tual supremacy of Israel．

In Aots iv．11，Eph．ii．20， 1 Pet．ii．6，Ohrist Himself is the head－ corner－stone；but the two applications are not inconsistent，for Christ was the Representative first of the Jewish Nation（ch．ir．15， ii．1－11（3）），then of the Charch．Gp．also Isai．Exviii．16，＇I lay in Zion for a foundation a stone，a tried stone，a precious cornar stone， a sure foundation，＇
$\lambda$（Coov．A stone rather than the stone．The builders probably rejected many stones．
 and supports the roof．
aưTך．Either（1）agreeing with кє申a入方，or（2）a Hebraism．In Hebrew there is no neuter form，and it is possible that aÿrn of the LXX．may be due to the influence of Hebrew grammar．This cor－ ruption is found in some passages of the LXX，Ps．Exvi．4，miay
 Vulgate has＇umam petii a domino hanc requiram．＇See Maldonatus ad loc．

43．Sud roito．Because of this rejection．
44．ò $\pi$ rerdy $\& \pi i$ tò $\lambda$（Aov к．т．$\lambda$ ．Lightfoot，Hor．Hebr．，sees here a reference to the custom of stoning：＇the place of stoning was twice as high as a man．From the top of this one of the witnesses，striking him on his loins，fells him to the ground：if he died of this，well；if not，another witness threw a stone upon his heart．${ }^{\text { }}$ The second process was inevitably fatal．

Bat it is perhaps better to refer the image to an earthenware vessel （1）falling to the ground when it would be shattered，or（2）erushed by a stone when it would be bruised into atoms．
－votiactijercal．A late olassical word，in N．T．here und Luke xx． 18 （the parallel passage）．The simple verb $0 \lambda d \omega$ is Epic（Homer and Hesiod）and Alexandrine（Theocritus）．



 21. Gp. Dan. ii. 44, where the rendering in Theodotion's version is

 translation of the A.V., 'grind to powder,' which probably is due to conteret of the Vulgate, cannot be justified. The Fulgate rendering may be due to a confusion between the nearly simultaneous prosesses of threshing and winnowing. 'Conterere' is very applicable to the former process. See a good description in 'Conder's Tent Work in Palestine, in. 259.

The meaning as applied to Christ appears to be: Those to whom Jesus is a 'rock of offence' (1 Peter ii. 8; Isai. viii. 14) in the days of his humiliation shall have great sorrow: but to incar his wrath when He comes to judge the earth will be utter destruction.

43, 44. For remarks on the poetical form of these verses see Bp Jebb's Sacred Literature, pp. 127-130. The climax is perfect. The first couplet ( $\ddagger \rho \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha a \ldots \kappa a \rho \pi o \nu{ }^{\prime} s$ aúrîs) expresses loss, the second (kal
 loss is enhanced by the sight of the possession passing to another, in the second pain is succeeded by utter destruction.
 things: (1) to seize Jesus quickly, for the Passover (during which no hostile measures could be taken) was close at hand; and because Jesus might be expected to quit Jerusalem after the feast. (2) To seize Him apart from the people; for the Galilæans would suffer no one to lay hands on their King and Prophet. Treachery alone enabled the Jews to secure their end.

## CHAPTER XXII.

10. $n \nu \mu \phi \omega_{v}$ for $\gamma$ ános on the evidence of $N B^{*} L$.
 Alford suggests that the insertion was made from 'the difficulty presented by \& person bound hand and foot being cast out, without some expression implying his being taken up by the hands of others.'
11. $\lambda$ eyoves for oi $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $o v \tau e s$, on the best authority- NBD ( C is defective here), and many other uncials.
12. Yifuas replaces the unclassical $\gamma$ a, $\boldsymbol{n}_{j} \sigma a s$, probably an insertion when the latter form became the usual one.
13. Againat the repeated $\theta \epsilon \delta s, \theta \epsilon d s$ the most ancient testimony is conclusive; between $\dot{\dot{j}} \theta \epsilon \mathrm{~d}$ s and $\theta \epsilon d$ s the great MSS. are divided, $\theta \epsilon d \mathrm{~d}$ (KD), $\dot{d} \theta$ eds (BLA). Tischendorf omits the article, Lachmann and Tregelles retain it.
14. Omit кal $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \omega \nu$ before $\delta \delta \delta \delta \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon$ with NBL, versions, and patristic evidence.
15. The article before $\mu e \gamma a \lambda \not \lambda y$ is a gain to the sense. It is strongly supported.


## 1-14. Ter Parable of ther Royal Marriage Feagt. Peculiar to St Matthew.

The parable recorded by St Luke (xiv. 16-24), though similar to this in some respects, differs in its context and special teaching and in many details.

As of the other parables of the Passion, the primary intention of this regards the present and the immediate future. The parable falls into two divisions, (1) vv. 1-7; (2) vv. 8-14. In the first (1) the servants are John Baptist and the first disciples of Christ; the feast is the Kingdom of God, or the Christian Church; the invited gueats, who refuse to come, are the Jews; the vengeance taken was literally fulfilled at the siege of Jerusalem, a.D. 70. (2) This division relates to the preaching of the Gospel to the Gentiles. As in the Net (ch. siii. 47) or in the Corn-field (ch. ziii. 24), worthy and unworthy are mingled until the King separates.


3. dimeorechev tov̀s סovi入ous. This was in accordance with Eastern custom. Cp. Esther v. 8, and vi. 14.
 ep. singuli introducebantur, Livy x. 38.
7. ©pycoon. For a subject to scorn the summons to the royal feast implied disloyalty and rebellion.
rd orparépara, 'troope.' Cp. Luke xxiii. 11, where the word is used of Herod's aoldiers, бù̀y roîs arparev́maøır aùzov̂, and Rev. ix 16. The soldiers of Titus literally achieved the purposes of God.
 une outlets of the streets, i.e. the central place into which the streets converge. This has the authority of Chrysostom. Hom. 69, in Matt. (see Trench, Parables, p. 230, and cp. Schleasner). Or (2) roads leading out of the city into the country. Op. al סok $\xi 0 \delta 0$, tov̂ oavárov (Ps. 1xvii. 20), 'the means of esoape from death.' (3) Crossroads or through passages conneating the main streets. Hdt. I. 199,

 'streams branching out in several directions.' (1) and (2) are perhaps most suggestive in the interpretation of the parable. The gospel
should pass into the regions beyond the city of the king, or be preached in such meeting places of the nations as Rome, Antioch and Corinth.
 missionaries, Paul, Silas, Barnabas and others.
 performance did not rise to the thoronghness of the Master's command. See Bp Lightfoot, On a Fresh Revision of the N.T., p. 68.
rounpoús te kal áyafoúg. Who will always co-exist in the Church on earth.
 1693) which in this instance it is supposed the master of the feast himself provided, so that there was no excuse. The supposition is required by the conditions of the parable, and gifts of robes were, and still are, too common in the East to make this a difficulty, though no clear evidence of this practice appears in books of Eastern travel. This man is the representative of a class-the bad (v.10), who are not clothed in righteousness.
13. Etaipe. See note, ch. xx. 13.

สŵs clo $\hat{\eta} \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \mathrm{G}$. ‘How didst thou presume to enter’.

13. Td бкбтos тd écicepov. The dark wild night withoat moon or stars, the cold and gloom of which would contrast terribly with the warmth and light within; or perhaps the dark dungeon outaide the brightness of the banqueting-hall.
$\delta \kappa \lambda a v \theta \mu \dot{b}_{s} \kappa . т . \lambda \quad$ See note ch. vili. 12.
16-29. The Temptation of the Herodianṣ. The Tbibute Money. Mark xii. 13-17; Luke xx. 20-26.
15. Taүttfútu, 'to ensnare,' as a fowler ensnares birds: used here only in N. T.

All the protions attempts had been to disoredit Jesus as a religious teacher; the present is an attempt to expose Him to the hostility of the Roman government. Will He follow Jadss the Gaulonite, in disowning all hutnan anthority? or will He acquiesce in the Roman rule? In the one case He would incur the oondemnation of Pilate, in the other the scorn of His Galilman followers.
 for the Pharisees represented the patriotic resistance to all foreign power; whereas the Herodisnis, as their name implies, supported the Herodian dynasty, and, as the context shews, acquiesced in the Roman rale. With the form of the name cp. Cassiani, Sertoriani, the partisans of Cassius, Sertorius; so also Christiani. The Herodians are not named except in the first two Gospeis; nor does Josephus inclade them in his ascount of Jewish seats. They were probthbly numerically insignificant,
and may indeed have consisted merely of a few renegade Jews, who belonged to Herod's court. See eh. xi. 8.
offopuev 67 d din $\mathrm{inj}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{cl}$. Nothing could exceed the insidions hypoerisy of this attack on Jesus. His enemies approash Him as a teacher whom they trust.
of ydp $\beta \lambda$ dees de $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi r o v$, i.e. 'Thou art not moved by external appearance; neither wealth, power, nor prestige will influence thy
 a rendering of a Hebrew expression meaning literally 'to raise the face,' or 'to accept the face.' So in O.T., in a good sense, 'to reccive kindly;' in N.T., always in a bad sense, 'to look on the outside of things,' externol condition, or 'to shew partiality.'
 mayest not set a stranger over thee' (Deut. xvii. 15), was interpreted to mean that the Jews should pay tribute to no foreign power. But their history exhibits them as tributary in turn to Assyria, Babylon, Egyptand Persia.

The question was an attempt to see whether Jesus would adopt the watchword of the Zealots-'there is no king but God.' This speoial tribute, the poll-tax levied on each individual, was particularly offengive to the patriotic party among the Jews. The foreign word (censum) would in itself have a hateful sound to Jewish cars, and was probably purposely used by the Pharisees and Herodians for that reason. The translator of the Aramaic gospel (see Introd. ch. ii.) does not suffer the point to be lost by giving a Greek equivalent for censum.
18. yvoús, 'having recognised.'
 the coin in which the tax is paid.

Squipuov. A denarius, bearing probably the image of Tiberius. The Jewish coins were not impressed with the effigy of their kings. Herod Philip, alone of his family, out of flattery to the Emperor, had caused his coins to be stamped with the likeness of Cmsar.

21. dinóסore ounv ra Kaloapos Kaioapl. 'Pay back therefore.' The Jewish doctors laid down the principle that 'He is king whose coin passes current.' St Paul expands this principle, which underlies our Lord's answer (Rom. xiii. 1 foll.). The claim of earthly rulers to obedience resta on the delegated authority of God. Cesar has a claim to tribute becanse his $\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi \mathbf{\xi}$ outla is of God-he is God's viceroy. In the providence of God the Jews had become sabject to Cassar, therefore the lower duty of tribute was due to Cæesar, the higher duty of obedience was due to God. 'Cæsar and God' are not therefore opposed terms, as they are often taken to be. Submission is due to Cmesar because submission is due to God. It is the Suzerain enjoining proper eubmission to hie vassal-prince, 'the powers that be are ordained of God.'
 equally cogent. As the subjects and 'husbandmen' of God, the Jews owe Him servioe and frait. Neither in regard to Casar nor to God do the facts of the case leave any doubt as to what is due, and to whom, nor does obedience to the one of necessity olash with obedience to the other.

The deep importance of the words consists in this. They define the natare of the Kingdom of God. It is not a Jewish theocracy exaluding Rome, but a divine supreme kingdom existing side by side with the Roman empire, or any other empire or kingdom, not an imperium in imperio, bat an imperium supra imperium.

23-13. The Sadducees tempt Jegos. The Condition of ter Future Life.

> Mark xii. 18-27; Luke xx. 27-39.
23. EaסSoukaiol. See note ch. iii. 7. This is the only direct contact of the Sadducees with Jesus.
$\lambda$ לyoures. 'Then came Sadducees saying,' i.e. with their argument that, \&o. For the omission of article before $\lambda$ évopecs see Crit. Notes supra; its absence before $\Sigma$ adoouraiot implies that they did not come as a class. Cp. of Фapıatiol, v. 15.
 'levirate law,' from Lat. levir, a brother-in-Iaw; see Deut. xxv. 5. 'The law on this subject is not peeuliar to the Jews, but is found amongst various Oriental nations, ancient and modern.' Speaker's Comment., Deut. xxv. 5.
29. $\mu \mathrm{\eta}$ ब ${ }^{2} \delta$ ótes, i.e. 'because ye do not know ' $\mu \mu \mathrm{\eta}$ states the ground or reason of the mistake) (1) the Scriptures, which affirm the dootrine; nor (2) the power of God, which is able to effeot the resurrection, and after the resurrection to create a new order of things in the new world.
30. iv rù avaorduct, i.e. in that world or thet phase of existence which begins with the resurrection.

The logical difficalty vanishes; for in this respect the analogy between the present world and the next does not hold good. The danger of the argument from analogy always lies in the fallacy that the things compared are alike at each point.
32. Jesus appeals to the Pentateuch when arguing with the Sadducees, with whom the books of Moses had the greatest authority.

Stated in a logical form the argument is: God is a God of the living only, but He is the God of Abraham, therefore Abraham is living. The same deduction from the words was made by the later Rabbinical writers.

The principle on which the proposition 'God is the God of the living' rests, lies deeper. It depends upon the close relation between the life of God and the life of His children. The best illustration of the trath is the parable of the Vine (John xy. 1-8). The connection
between the living God and the patriarchs, whose God He is, is as close as that between the vine and its branches. If the vine lives its branches live. If God is living and immortal the patriarchs are living and immortal. If the branches die they cease to belong to the vine; if the patriarchs were dead they would have ceased to have any relation
 and Rom. v. 10, $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a \operatorname{\epsilon y} \tau \hat{y} \jmath \omega \hat{y}$ aúvov̂. Hence in a deep sense God is termed $\dot{\alpha}$ j $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$, 'the living One,' in whom all live.

So far there has been proof of immortality.
The communion of saints in and with God carries with it immortality.

The resurrection of the body is not expressly proved. But as Maldonatus observes ad loc. those only denied the resurrection of the body who denied immortality; therefore one argument proved both. In Jewish thought to raise the dead implied reanion of eoul and body. This appears from Hebr. xi. 19 доүı $\sigma$ deepos $\delta \tau$
 Bengel adds the thought that God is God not of Abraham's apirit only, but also of his body on which the seal of the promise was set, ...' 'rgo ii qui Deum habent vivere debent et qua parte vivere intermiserant reviviscere in perpetuum.'
33. ELбaxin. Teaching.
 passing through the crowd from one to another.

34-40. The Greatest Commandment.
Mark xii. 28-34; comp. Luke x. 25-28.
In Luke the question is asked at an earlier period of the ministry, after the return of the Seventy; and the meaning of 'neighbour' is illustrated by the parable of the 'Good Samaritan.'
 completely, not only for the moment. фuds is a muzzle for dogs, or a


 figurative sense is Hellenistic. $\phi(\mu 0 \hat{\nu}$ is used ( $v .12$ ) of the guest; Mark i. 25 and Luke iv. 35, of sileneing a demon; Mark iv. 39, of silencing a storm; 1 Cor. ix. 9 and 1 Tim . v. 18, of muzzling an ox.
 distinguished from the 'traditions' or unwritten law.
37. See Deut. vi. 5.
 the words are heart...soul...might. kapota inoludes the emotions, will, purpose; $\downarrow v x \dot{\eta}$, the spiritual faculties ; $\delta$ cavosa the intellect, the thinking
faculty. This greatest commandment was written on the phylactery which the 'la wyer' was probably wearing. See ch. xxiii. 5.

St Mark (vv. 32-34) adds the lawyer's rejoinder and the commendation of Jesus, 'thou art not far from the Kingdom of God.'
40. iv taítals kp\&uaral. The classical expression would be dx тоитту крєраита.

## 41-46. The Gon of Dayid.

Mark xii. 35-37; Luke xx. 41-44.
 'Jehovah said to Adoni,' i.e to my sovereign Lord, the Messiah, the Son of David. The repeated kípoos...kuoley seems to be an indioation of what must certainly have been the fact, that Jesus avoided (as all Jews do now the pronunciation of the name Jehovah, using instead Adonai, which is represented by Kúptos.
eTrev. 'The Hebrew word translated 'said' implies divine inspiration, hence 'in spirit' ( $v .43$ ). Dean Perowne translates, 'the oracle of Jehovah unto my Lord.'
 This verse is quoted in 1 Cor. xv. 25; Heb. i. 13, and x. 12, 13. (Cp. for the expression ch. xx. 21.) The Psalm was always regarded by the Jews as Messianic, hence their silence and inability to answer without acknowledging the divinity of Jesus.

кdelov for кde $\theta \sigma \sigma$ in late prose and in comedy, see Veitch, aub voc. кdonjuac, and Winer, p. 98, with Dr Moulton's note. The same form occurs Luke xx. 42; Acts ii. 34; Jas. ii. 3; and in LXX.

## CHAPTER XXIII.

3. Tipeiv, omitted after eltw.

4. kal $\delta u \sigma \beta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau a \kappa т a$ omitted after $\beta$ (apia. The grounds of omission are not quite decisive. $N$ ( $\mu$ eүá入a $\beta a \rho \in a$ ) and $L$ omit the words but BD and the majority of uncials and versions retain them.
 emphasises the contrast.
 omitted.
5. faßßl, twice in textur receptus against best evidence.
6. ErDdokalos, Lor kadnvirins. All the leading editors against $N^{*} \mathrm{DL}$, and others following $\mathrm{Na}^{\mathrm{caB}}$ and a majority of codices.
7. The textus receptus here inserts the words which stand for certain in Mark xii. 40; Luke xx. 47. Rejected on decisive evidence here.
8. aptácas for aytájur. The zorist, which is well established, gives a more accurate sense.
9. Hupol kal before ruф入ol. The omitted words were probably inserted from $v$. 17. They occur in the important MSS. B and C.

 changes.
10. The difficult words ulô Bapaxtou are omitted in $k$ and in two evangelistaria or service books, viz. 6, 13 and in 59 first hand only, also by Eus. Jerome ad loc. says: ‘in Evangelio quo utuntur Nazareni Barachiæ filium Joiadm reperimus scriptum.'

Gh. XXIII. 1-36. A Prophetto Ode, denouncing the Pharibees and the Religous Hypocrigy of the Age. Each division is marked by its special beauty of poetical form.

1-7. Strengti and Weakness of tee Pharisees. They are the successors of Moses, $v .2$; but they say and do not, 3-7.

Only a part of this discourse appears in the other Synoptics; for this portion cp. Mark xii. 38-40; Luke xi. 43-46, xx. 46, 47.
 teachers. For sitting as the posture of a teacher cp. ch. v. 1 .
 to observe.'
 camel- or ass-driver, who makes up ( $\delta \in \sigma \mu \varepsilon \in \epsilon \in(\nu)$ burdens, not only heavy bat onwieldy and so difficult to carry, and then placing them on the animals' shoulders, stands by indifferent, raising no finger to lighten or even adjust the burden.

The three steps or degrees in the triplet answer to three points in the Pharisaic condemnation. They make hard rules, they impose them upon others, and themselves fail to observe them. Contrast with this the Saviour's invitation ch. xi. 30, $\delta$ svobs $\mu$ ov $\chi p \eta \sigma t b s$, nal


 correct force of $\bar{\delta} \sigma \sigma_{\mu e v e c u, ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ t h a t ~ o f ~ b i n d i n g ~ o n ~ t h e ~ s h o u l d e r ~}^{\text {a }}$ (Sohleusner), appears partly from the parallelism which requires the three acts, and partly by the thing meant-the procedure of the Pharisees.
6. Td , фu入akтipla. Literally, 'defences,' and in late Greek 'amulets ' or "charms.' The Hebrew name, tephillin, which is still
in usé, signifies 'prayers.' They were slips of parchment inscribed with four pertions of the Law (Ex. xii. 3-10, 11-17; Deat. vi. 4-9; xi. 13-21) enclosed in little cases or boxes made of calf-skin, and fastened by leather straps to the left arm and on the forehead, in accordance with a literal interpretation of Ex. xiii. 16 and Deut: vi. 8. To make the phylacteries, or rather the cases which contained them, broid and conspicuous was to assume a character of superior piety, for the phylacteries were symbols of devotion.

Jesus does not prohibit the practice of wearing phylacteries, but the ostentatious enlargement of them. It is thought by many that our Saviour Himself wore phylacteries.
 cloak: another instanice of ostentation; the blue threads in the fringe the colour of the sky-were a type of heavenly purity. Our Lord Himself wore the fringed tallith (see ch. ix. 20) ; the offence of the Pharisees consisted in enlarging the symbolical fringes.


6. गiv приток $\lambda \iota \sigma i a v$. The most honourable place at the triclinium. It was at this period the Jewish custom for men to reching at meals in Roman fashion on couches (triclinia), each containing three seats, and each seat hating its special dignity. See Becker's Gallus Exbursus ㅍ., Hor. Sat. II. 8.
 lated 'uppermost seats' (Luke xi. 48), and 'highest seats' (Liuke xx: 46). They were seats or 'stalls' placed in the higheat part of the synagogue in front of the ark containing the roll of the law, and opposite to the entrance. The Elders sat facing the people, a fact which
 in Bib. Educqtor, Vol. ir. pp. 263, 264. The poor had no seats in the synagogue. From James ii. 1 foll. we learn that the same evil distinction soon invaded the Christian Church: $\Sigma_{0}$ кdOov wide na入os, kal
 iii. 3.
7. rou's die ragrou's. The customary greetings. The article is disregarded in A.V.
jaßßl. Literally, my great [one], lord. This title, with which the great doctors of the law were saluted, was quite modern, not hiving been introduced before the time of Hillel. The true teaching on this point is found in the Talmud, 'Love the work but hate the title.'

## 8-11. The Contrabt of Chbistian Conduct.

 as Scribes of the Kingdom of Heaven must not be as the Jewish Scribes.
in eis diendol tore. How completely the Chureh accepted her Founder's words may be seen by the frequent uee of ádè $\lambda \phi$ ol in the
§ipistles, and the very rare use of obodoranos, though it appears from 1 Cor. *ii. 13 that $8 i \delta \dot{a} \sigma$ кalos was adopted as a title in thé Christian Church.

One result has been the levelling of all distinctions in Christ; another the sense of a common brotherhood, elowly spreading, not yet perfect in achigvement, gradually making slavery impossible, graduaily linking ations in a common sympathy.
10. ка日クүๆTi's. 'A guide,' then a dignified name for 'a teacher,' ped in this sense by Plutareh of one who did not oare to be called



 It is discarded as a title. In Soph. Greek Lex. it is said to be used for ar abbot or prior of a monastery in a Synaxarion (see note ch. xviii. 20).


## 11. Cp. ch. xx. 26, 27.

Seven woes denounced against the Scribes and Pharisees. 13-96; The leading words are imoкрtral-тuphoi- $\mu \omega \rho o t$.
 symbolic ' key of knowledge' given to the Scribe on adimission to the order. They use their keys to shut rather than to open the doors of the Kingdom.
15. repláyete, 'go about,' 'traverse.' The word is used of our Lord's 'circuits' in Galilee, ch. iv. 23; ix. 35.

троб̈ $\lambda$ גvtov. Literally, one who approaches, hence, 'a worshipper,' (cp. Heb. x. 1), 'a convert.' The word occurs in three other passages Aets ii. 11, vi. 5, xiii. 43. Elsewhere proselytes are catled ot
 classical author. It is used in the LXX. for 'one who comes,' i.e. a stranger (Hebr. ger), like the classical $\overline{\epsilon \pi} \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda u \tau$ os and Ërindus. Cp. Ex. xii.
 The passage shows the word would easily pass from the meaning of 'stranger' to that of one who conforms to the law-a convert. The Pharisee, St Panl, carried with him into his new faith the same zeal, with a higher motive. He describes (2 Cor. xi. 26) 'the perils by water, perils in the city, and perils in the wilderness,' which this eager 'compassing of land and sea' brought to him.

Judaisth has been classed among the non-missionary religions. This is true at the present day, and through most of its history. Indeed, Rabbinical aayings display jealotss of proselytes. On the other hand, John Hyreanus imposed Judaism on Edom at the point of the sword ( 1 Macc. v. 65, 66). The conversion is recorded of whole tribes in Arabla, and on the shores of the Caspian. Also, it appears frota the Acts that the number of proselytes in Asia Minor and in Greece wais considerable. And in later days Solomon Malco, a Portuguese Jew, was burnt to death under Charles V. on a charge of proselytizing.

Probably the proselytism in the text is connected with the charge of rapacity; the Pharisees seeking to convert wealthy Gentiles, over whom they obtained influence.

The decrees recorded by Tacitus and Suetonius against the introduction of Jewish rites point to the same spirit of proselytism: 'actum et de sacris 巴gyptiis Judaicisque pellendis,' Tacit. Ann. Ir. 85. The result was the deportation of 6000 'libertini generis' to Sardinia. 'Extimas cæremonias Egyptios Judaicosque ritus compescait (Tiberius)', Suet. Tib. 36.
 new converts to exaggerate the external points of the creed which they adopt, Gentile proselytes strained to the utmost the worst features of Pharisaism.
vidov yévros. 'Subject to the doom of Gehenna,' i.e. either (1) to the severest sentence known to the Jewish law-to be slain and then flung into the accursed valley of Hinnom ; or (2) worthy of being cast into the Gehenna of the after world--that division of Sheol (Hedes) into which the acoursed were thrown. But the two thoughts were so closely connected in the Jewish mind as scarcely to be separable. In neither view should the expression be Literally pressed. Oriental speech delights in strong expressions, and the absence of auperlatives in Hebrew necessitated the use of such phrases. Comp. 's son of death,' i.e. 'worthy of death,' or 'doomed to die.'

Observe the contrast between verses 14 and 15. The Pharisee suffers not those who are entering the kingdom to come in, to their salvation-whereas he spares no effort to bring in a single proselyte,
 elac $\lambda \theta$ eiv and $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda u \tau o \nu$ is probably not unintentional though it does not appear to have been noticed.
 oath is taken is in the accuasative or genitive with кata. ( $\boldsymbol{r}$ or кatd tivos.) vaós, the ' holy place,' not as in A.V. the temple.
 'Corban,' or 'devoted;' the use of that word made an oath binding, see ch. xv. 5. Tacitus (Hist. v. 8) says of the Temple at Jerusalem: 'illic immense opulentia templum.'
18. Ovoraotnple, 'altar of sacrifice.' This word is an instance of the care taken to excIude certain heathen associations from Jewish and Christian religious thought. $\beta \omega \mu$ dr is used once only in N.T.,
 is used of the altar of Jehovah except Judges vi. 25, where the altar of Baal is called $\theta u \sigma a \sigma \sigma t y p o v$. The altar ' Ed ' is called $\beta \omega \mu \delta$, this however being not a sacrificial altar but ' $a$ heap of witness.' The two

 povis. Elsewhere $\beta \omega \mu \mathrm{o} s$ is used of the 'high places' of paganism,
 observe the distinction; he uses $\beta \omega \mu$ 放 of the altar in the temple.
 rue and all manner of herbs,' (Luke xi. 42). Leal in paying tithes was one of the points of reform under the Maceabees.
ámoঠekatovิv. Unclassical, (1) 'to pay tithes,' here and Luke xyiii.

 Heb. vii. 5.

According to Lightfoot (Hor. Hebr. ad loc.) the tithes required by law were: (1) A fifth for the priests. (2) A tenth of the remainder for the Levites. (3) A further tenth of the remainder either to be eaten at Jerusalem or to be redeemed. Other views however are taken; see Smith's Bib. Dict. III. 1517. These payments would be often evaded, and to be able to say $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \kappa \kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\mu} \nu \tau a \quad 8 \sigma a \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a c$ implied an exceptional strictness.
 appearance, and used like anise as a sedative medicine and for cooking purposes.

то̀ кípıvov. See Isaiah xxviii. 25, 27, where the special method of beating out cummin seeds is named. 'It is used as a spice, both bruised to mix with bread, and also boiled in the various messes and stews which compose an Oriental banquet.' Tristram, Nat. Hist. of Bible.

тd $\beta$ apútepa tov̂ pópou. The distinction between great and small precepts of the law is found in the Talmud. Schöttgen gives many instances, p. 183. One saying is: 'Observance of the lebser precepts is rewarded on earth; observance of the greater precepts is rewarded in heaven.' The rival schools differed in their classification. Note, therefore, the Saviour's enumeration of the 'weightier precepts,'-кpists,


24. 8เที入


The sense of contrast and the humour of the illustration are brought out by the antithetic position of the words. In the first respect the illustration, ch. vii. 3-5, is somewhat similar; for the contrast of opposites $\mathrm{cp} . \mathrm{ch}$. xiii. 31 and xix. 24.
25. mapo is, 'a side dish on which viands are served.' The classical meaning is ' $a$ side dish' in the sense of the viands themselves. See Lob. Plryn. 176. The word was introduced into Latin: 'quam wulth magnaque paropside cenat.' Juv. Sat. inf. 142.

Zowety $\delta \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{y}$ (fow Eastern speech passes from the figurative to the literal. The outside of the cup and platter is the external behaviour and conduct of the Pharisee, the inside of the cup is his heart and real life.
 occurs also 1 Cor. vii. 5. It is opposed to $\epsilon \gamma \times \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon a$, Arist. Eth. Nic. vn. 4. 2. $\quad \epsilon \kappa$ is either ( 1 ) redundant, denoting that out of which the
vessel is filled，and helping out the meaning of the genitive foomp．the gradual introduction of de to express the Latin genitive，resalting in the French genitive with dp），or（2）denotes result，＇bre full ss the resplt



26．中aplagife tu申ić．The change to the singular number indi－ cates a personal and individual self－examination．
ru中 $\lambda$ ．Sohöttgen notes that sertain among the Pharisees vailed their faces in order that no glimpse of the wicked world or of evil men or of any other thing might tempt them to sin．Sometimes they even injured themselves by self－imposed blindness；these were called Pharismi percutientes vel illidentes．This would give point to the expression in the text and be another sign of that earnest humour that results from a profound sense of the discrepancy between things as thipy really are and as they seem to be．

27．tádots кekoviaudévols．In Luke the comparison is to＂graves that appear not，by walking over which men unconsciously defile themselves．To avoid this ceremonial defilement the Jews carefully whitewashed the graves or marked them with chalk on a fixed day every year－the fifteenth of Adar．The custom still exists in the East．One of the apiteful devices of the Bamaritans egainst the Jews was to remove the whitewash from sepalchres in order that the Jews might be contaminated by walking over them．
 loc．）quotes from the Jerusalem Gemara：＂They do not qdorn the sepulchres of the righteous，for their own sayings are their memorial．＇ Yet it appars，on the same authority（Lightfoot，Hor．Hebr．），that a portion of the Temple－offerings was devoted to the purpose of building the tombs of the prophets．Bo that the Jews with a show of rever－ ence disobeyed the noble presepts of their own traditions．

80．Hpela．The same form occurs Aets axvii． 37 and Gal．iv． 3
 the instances of the sing．$\eta \mu \eta v$（the usual form in N．T．）are rare and doubtful．See Veitoh，p． 195.

31 رaptupeite favrois．You call yourselves children，and indeed you are children of those who slew the prophets．You inherit their wiokedness in compassing the death of the Prophet of the Lord．See note ch．iii． 7.

32．kal nearly＝＇and so．＇See Dr Moulton＇s note，Winer，p．540， cp．Phil．iv． 9 ． 12 ．

 the continuity of the Christian with the Jewish Church．
 indirectly as James（Acts xii．2），and crucify，by means of the Roman power，as Symeon，second Bishop of Jerusalem（Eus．II．E．in．32）．

 as he was himself driven from Antioch in Pisidia, from Iconium, from Philippi, and from Thessalonica.
35. ExXuvró $\mu$ evov. For the form see ch. $\mathbf{x} 28$ crit. notes.
 retained (it is omitted in the Sinaitic MS.) a difficalty arises; for the Zacharias, whose death 'in the court of the house of the Lord' is recorded 2 Chron. xxiv. 20-22, was the son of Jehoiada. The words, however, do not occur in Luke xi. 51, and are possibly interpolated. Zechariah the prophet was a son of Barachias: but of his death no record is preserved. Another explanation has been offered. At the commencament of the Jewish War with Vespasian a Zacharias, son of Barnch, was slain in the Temple by two zealots (Jos. B. J. iv. 5. 4). Accordingly many commentators have thought that Jesus spoke prophetically of that event. The coincidence is remarkable, but the eorist eфovevaare is decisively against the explazation. The deed had already been accomplished.

The space from Abel to Zacharias, son of Jehoiada, covers the whole written history of the Jews; for the Jewish Canon, not being arranged in order of time, began with Genesis and closed with the second book of Chronicles.
kovifídurz. The present generation shares in the guilt of that murder.
$\mu e \tau a f i u ̀ ~ t o u ̂ ~ v a o u ̂ ~ k a l ~ t o u ̂ ~ \theta . ~ ' B e t w e e n ~ t h e ~ s a n c t u a r y ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ e l t a r . ' ~ ' ~$ Even the priests were not allowed at all times to tread that sacred part of the Temple Courts.

## 37-39. The Fate of Jerobalem.

 our Lord spoke these words in a different connection at an earlier period of His ministry. For the pathetio reiteration of the name, cp. ch. xyvii. 46.
'Iepovoradrj $\mu$. See note ch. ii. 3. The Aramaic form for Jerusalem appears here only in Matthew; it is the usuul form in Luke. The use of the termination $\cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ in this one passage by St Matthew indicates the exact reproduction of our Lord's words. Probably the very formAramaic, not Greek-employed by our Lord is retained. Cp. the ase of the Hebrew form $\Sigma$ aoḍ rather than इail e, Aots ix. 4 and Exvi. 14, for the same reason.
 ch yin. 35.
ú $\pi \mathbf{d}$ тd̀s $\pi \tau$ épuyas. Schöttgen ad loc. observes that converts to Judaism were said to come 'under the wings of the Shechinah.' That thought may be contained in the words of Christ. Many times by His prophets He called the children of Jerusalem to Himself-the
true Shechinah-through whom the latter glory of the house was greater than the former.
oík $\eta^{\theta} \theta \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$. Note the change to the plural.
38. $\dot{\mathbf{o}}$ oikos $\dot{\nu} \mu \omega \bar{v}$, i.e. Jerusalem, rather than the Temple. $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \downarrow$, 'yours,' no longer God's.
zppuos. Omitted in the Vatican Codex, but too strongly supported to be removed from the text.
39. үàp explains Epquos of v. 38. The Temple is desolate, for Christ, who is the Lord of the Temple, leaves it for ever.

Zoss àv eimque. Till, like the children in these Temple-courts, ye recognise Me as the Messiah. See ch. xxi. 15. The words of Jesus, and the place, and the anger of the Scribes, may have recalled to some the scene in which Jeremiah, on the same spot, denounced the $\sin$ of Israel, called them to repentance, and foretold the destruction of the Temple: 'then will I make this house like Shiloh'...' and all the people took him, saying, Thou shalt surely die,' Jer. xxyi. 1-8.

## CHAPTER XXIV.

 much improves the sense.

3. Tins, omitted before ouvre入clas ( NBCL ). The omission has the effect of bringing the rapouala into closer connection with the $\sigma$ upreגela tô̂ alôvos.
7. кal $\lambda o u \mu o l$, omitted after $\lambda_{\ell} \mu \sigma$. Probably an insertion from Luke, not in the oldest MSS.
36. After ouj $\rho \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \hat{\nu}$ Lachmann and Tischendorf add ai̛oè $\dot{\delta}$ viós. The reading is supported by \& BD, many cursives and Latin codices, but is probably an insertion from Mark.
41. $\mu \dot{\prime} \lambda \varphi$, for $\mu \dot{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$. The authority for the latter is weak. $\mu \nu \lambda \omega \nu$ is the commoner word, strictly = 'a place for a mill,' $\mu u ́ \lambda o s$ a 'mill' or a 'millstone.'
43. The unclassical סoopurprat, which however is read in B and several uncials, gives place to doopux $\hat{\eta} \nu \mathrm{pa}$ (Hdt. Plat. Xen.).
45. olketelas, for $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \in l a s$ (Lulke xii. 42) on good authority. The rare word oixereias could not have been inserted as an explanation, wheraas this may well have been the case with $\theta$ eparelas. $\mathbb{N}$ reads olkias.


## Ch. XXIV. 1-22. Prediction of the Fall of Jerosalem. Mark xiii. 1-end. Luke xxi. 5-36.

This chapter opens with the great discourse of Jesus, which is continued to the end of ch. xxy. That discourse contains (1) a prediction of the fall of Jerusalem, (2) a prediction of the end of the world, (3) Parables in relation to these predictions.

It is difficult to determine the limits of the several portions.
(1) Some of the earliest Fathers referred the whole prophecy to the end of the world. (2) Others held that the fall of Jerusalem was alone intended down to the end of v. 22. (Chrysostom, Theophylact, Euthymius.)

In an interesting monograph founded on this view the Rev. W. Sherlock has shown a parallelism between the two divisions:

[^2](3) Augustine, Jerome, and Beda, followed by Maldonatus, receive this view in a modified form, holding that while the two events were conceived by the Apostles as coincident in point of time, and while our Lord's words appeared to them to be describing a single great catastrophe, it is now possible in the light of the past history to detect the distinctive references to the first and the second event.
(4) Another arrangement of the prophecy is: (i) A general answer of the question to the end of $v .14$; (ii) a specific reference to the fall of Jerusalem, 15-28; (iii) in $v .29$ a resumption of the subject of (i).

1. mopeveтo. For the reading see critical notes. He was going on his way across the Valley of Kidron, when his disciples came to Him and stopped Him, and prayed Him to look at the buildings of the Temple where full in view it rose with its colonnades of dazzling white marble, surmounted with goiden roof and pinnacles, and founded on a substructure of huge stones. It was in the freshness of recent building, 'white from the mason's hand,' still indeed incomplete, but seeming by its very beauty and solidity to protest against the words of doom just spoken.

Josephus (B. J. v. 2) gives a full description of the Temple which is well worth reading in the original. He speaks of the brilliant effect of 'the golden plates of great weight which at the first rising of the suu reflected back a very fiery splendour, causing the spectator to turn away his eyes as he would have done at the sun's own rays. At a distance the whole Temple looked like a mount of snow fretted with golden pinnacles.'
rds olkoסouds roû Lepoũ. 'The varions parts of the Temple-building.' oikosoury, according to Phrynichus, non-Attic, either (1) 'a bailding' for the more usual and classical oikojóm $\quad$ ua, a form not found in N.T., or
(2) 'apt of building,' for which the classical and older forms olrodoufa (or oiko oped) and oiko $\delta \delta \mu \eta \sigma t s$ do not occor in the N. T., or (3)'edification.' This beantiful figure for the orderly and continuous growth of religioms life in individuals and in a society appears to be a purely Christian thought; it is a frequent one with St Paul, a $p a$ of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{n} s$

 not actually spring from the Temple, it gained force and frequency from the building, the stately growth of which must have been an ever prominent sight and thought with the existing generation of Jews;
 -which gave the appearance of one compact mass of rock,-and the exceeding beanty of the whole, suggested an inspiring figure for the progress and anity of the Church.
 ruin of the Temple at Jerusalem, the still magnificent remains of temples at Karnak and Luxor, Baalbec and Athens. The Temple was destroyed by fire, notwithstanding every effort made to save it by Titus. For a vivid description of this last awful scene in the history of the Temple, see Milman, History of the Jews, in. Bk. xvi.
3. ol $\mu$ a日pral. St Mark names the four, Peter and James and John and Andrew.
Tसीs rys wapourlas. 'Thy presence,' used with the same speoial meaning, 1 Thess. ii. 19. Jas. v. 7. 2 Pet. i. 16. 1 John ii. 28. The pregise word 'coming,' or 'advent,' which the Churoh has adopted in reference to the second 'presence' of Christ, has no exaet equivalent in this prophecy.

бuvrelelas toî alâvos. See ch. xiii. 39, 40.
 of false Messiahs shall be the first sign. St John bears witness to the fulfilment of this sign: 'Even now are there many antichrists, whereby we know that it is the last time.' 1 John ii. 18.
6. тodépous kal dixods mo入 $\hat{\mu} \mu \mathrm{ur}$. The seoond sign. Philo and Josephus describe the disturbed state of Judæa from this date to the siege of Jerusalem. Massacres of the Jews were perpetrated at Cæsarea, at Alexandria, in Babylonia and in Syria.-See Milman's History of the Jews, Bks. xii.-xv. Tacitus, characterising the same period, says 'opus adgredior opimum oasibus, atrox proliis, discors seditionibus, ipse etiam pace søvim.' Hist. r. 2.
dpâre $\mu \uparrow \hat{\eta}$ Oposiofe. 'ILook,' i.e. observe, 'be not afraid.' Not as in 4. V ., see that ye be not troubled.

The classical meaning of $\theta$ posir is 'to cry aloud,' hence 'to speak,' 'declare.' The later nse of $\theta \rho \underline{\text { ofig }}$ fat is connected either with the womanish shrieks of fear (mid voice), cp. Opeopat, or with the thought of terrifying with a shout (passive voice). The word oceura Mark ziii. 7, the parallel passage to this, and 2 Thess. ii. 2, where it is also used in relation to the rapousia, and probably in direct reference to this




סêt expresses divine necessity，conformity to God’s plan；op．ch． ¥xpi， 54.
 instanees of adl these calamities recorded by the contemporary his－ torians．
 a new order of things，a fresh roon，the raderyeveaia．

9．松 $\psi$ เv．Rare in the classies，the figurative sense is late in the noun but appears in the verb，Aristoph．Vespuc 1389 and elsewhere． In Phil．i． 17 the literal＇pressure＇of the chain is thought of： $6 \mathrm{x} / \psi \mathrm{\psi} \boldsymbol{v}$
 ferable to oxiyts，though the latter is the Attio accentuation．The tendeney of later Greek was to shorten the penultimate．See Winer， pp．56， 57 and Dr Moulton＇s note．

10．okavరaגıotifoovtal．Shall fall，fail in loyalty，be terapted to forsake the faith．
 ruption of Christian unity and love．

11．廿ewסoтрофท̂rat．At the siege of Jerusalem＇false prophets suborned by the Zealots lept the people in a state of feverish ex－ citement，as though the appointed Deliverer would still appear．＇Mil－ man＇s History of the Jews，i1．371．Cp． 1 John iv．1，2， 3.
 shall grow cold．＇The use by our Lord in this passage of a word which expressed the highest and most enduring（1 Cor，xiii．8，13）of Christian graces，and which was the bond of the future Christian society is in itself prophetic．dydan in this sense occurs here only．in the Syyoptic gospels（rò d dَám $\eta \boldsymbol{r}$ roû $\theta \epsilon \hat{v}$ ，Luke xi．42，is not an ex－ ception）．Yet from the fourth gospel we learn that this word or its Aramaie equivalent was pery freqnently on the Lord＇s lips．In the Epistles no word meets us more often，though the occurrence of ajdinn in the LXX．seems to imply that it was a vernacular word before it took its place in literature；its absence from classical Greek enabled it to enter Christian thought and literature unstained（ ${ }^{\boldsymbol{t}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ s has no place in the vogabulary of the N．T．）．To the Greek，however（though
 would recsil the parest and highest conceptions of Greek poets－the pure love of brother and sister－the devotion of a shild to her father－ duty to the living－respect for the dead．The drama of Antigone is
 （Soph．Ant．523）breathes the spirit of Christianity．As a Christian Ford $\alpha$ 人d $\alpha$ m meant the love of the Christian brotherhood to one another and to God，and the outward symbols of that love in the Eucharist（ $\mathrm{a} \gamma \mathrm{a} \pi \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ тotêv＂to celebrate the＂love－feast＂＂）in＇charity＇
or 'alms' (see note on $\delta$ oxacooúp $\eta$, ch. vi. 1) in the salutation or holy kiss (see Sophocles' Lex., sub voc.).
 and $\dot{u} \pi o \mu ⿰ \nu \eta$ like $d \gamma d \pi \eta$ grows with the growth of the Church. As classical words they conveyed noble thoughts of constancy in danger,
 то́deдоу, Dem. Phil. i. 3. See also Polyb. 1v. 51. 1. Josephus uses $\dot{v} \pi \boldsymbol{\mu} 0 \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ of the heroic endurance of the Maccabees. There, as in the N.T., it is closely and neeessarily connected with immortality, it
 ràs $\psi u$ xàs $\dot{j} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, 'by your constancy ye shall win your souls,' i.e. your higher lives, Luke xxi. 19. The noun occurs in Luke alone of the Gospels, in John neither verb nor noun; there the thought of dijan $\eta$ is predominant. In the Epistle to the Hebrews, in the Epistle of St
 frequent; in the Epistles of St Parl, ínouovì takes its place in the


 Rom. .v. 4.
 nâs must be regarded as a modernism. See Geldart's Modern Greek, p. 184, 187. Possibly the similarity in sound to Hebr. Col may have had an influence.
in oikoupevn ( $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ ). 'The inhabited earth' originally the Helienic portion of the world, (Dem. and Elsch.), later the Roman Empire,
 in Hebr. ii. $\bar{\sigma}$, of the future age-the world of Christianity: ai $\gamma \mathbf{a} \rho$
 $\mu \epsilon \downarrow \iota \dot{\phi} s$, not in N.T., is frequent in later ecelesiastical use.
15. B8eגvүна. Hellenistic from $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\prime} г \sigma о \mu \alpha$, 'feel disgust for,' 'detest,' Aristoph. Ach. 586 and elsewhere in Comedy. The noun is

 Өvalaбripioy, 1 Mace. i. 54, referring to the Statue of Jupiter Olympins.
 late,' 'the act of eacrilege, which is a sign and a cause of desolation.' What special act of sacrilege is referred to camot be determined for certain. The expression may refer (1) to the besieging army; cp. the parallel passage in Luke, 'When ye shall see Jerusalem compassed with armies.' Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr., translates Dan. ix. 27 in this sense: ' Until the wing (or army) of abominations shall make desolate.' (2) The Roman eagles; the A.V. margin, Dan. ix. 27, reads: 'Upon the battlements shall be the idols of the desolator.' (3) The excesses of the Zealots. See Josephus, B. J. iv. 6. 3, 'They (the Zealots) caused the fulfilment of the prophecies against their own country; for there was a certain ancient saying that the city would be
taken at that time．．．．．for sedition would arise，and their own hands would polliute the Temple of God．＇

 insertion of the Evangelist，and not part of our Lord＇s discourse．

16．中ruyétwrav éml tà Spy．Many Christians，warned by this pre－ diction（according to Eusebius，H．E．miI．5，＇by a certain oracle＇）， took refuge at Pella in Peræa during the siege of Jorusalem．The mountains would be the natural place of refage：cp．Thue．viri．41，tiy
 c．24，кal $\delta t i \phi \cup \gamma o y$＇és тà $\delta_{\rho} \rho \in a$ ．

17．$\mu$ кота ка́ть к．т．$\lambda$ ．i．e．either（1）pass from the roof to the entrance，and thence to the street，without entering any apartments， or（2）escape along the flat roofs from house to house．




18．åpau đò í ídícov av่тov̂．Tò luátcov，the onter garment，which the field labourer wonld throw off while at work，wearing the tunio only．Cp．＇Nudus ara，sere nudus．＇Georg．i． 299.
20．Xeヶんڤ̂vos．When swollen streams，bitter cold and long nights would increase the misery and danger of the fagitives．
raßßáry．When religious scruples might delay the flight．The extent of a Sabbath day＇s journey was 2000 cabits．Here，however， the question meets us，how far Jewish observances would affect the Cbristians．Probably the early Christians observed both the Sabbath and the Lord＇s day．But in any case many impediments would arise against flight on the Sabbath day．St Matthew alone records these words of warning．
 many miseries during the siege，that had it enjoyed as much happi－ ness from its first foundation，it would certainly have been the envy of the world．＇Josephas，B．J．virt．6． 5.

No words can describe the unequalled horrors of this siege．It was the Passover season，and Jews from all parts were crowded within the walls．Three factions，at desperate feud with each other，were posted on the heights of Sion and on the Temple Mount．These only united to fling themselves at intervals upon the Roman entrenchments，and then ressmed their hate．The Temple－courts swam with the blood of civil discord，which was literally mingled with the blood of the sacri－ fices．Jewish prisoners were crucified by hundreds in view of their friends，while within the city the wretched inhabitants were reduced by famine to the most loathsome of food and to deeds of unspeakable cruelty．Jerusalem was taken on the 10th August，A．d． $70.1,100,000$ Jews perished in the siege， 100,000 were sold into slavery．With the
fall of Jerusalern, Israel ceased to exist as a nation. It was traly the end of an ceon.
outs' oi $\mu \mathrm{d}$ yévian. Note the triple negative. The regular construction wauld be oùdé $\mu \dot{\eta} \gamma^{\ell} \nu \eta r a L$, où being redundant. The form of the sentence is not strictiy logical, but $\theta \lambda i \psi u s \mu e \gamma a d \eta$ is excluded from the predioation of of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ रो $\neq \eta$ rac. When the last great tribulation does eome it will prove to be unparalleled.
 ened.' The event still future, is by the divine prescience looked upon lis past. ko $\lambda_{0} \beta 6 \omega$, lit. ' to cut off,' 'matilate' (Aristotile and Polyb.), here 'to abridge.'

Several circumstances concurred to shorten the duration of the siege, such as the seanty supply of provisions, the crowded state of the city, the internal dissensions, and the abandonment of important defences. So strong did the place seem to Titus that he exclaimed, 'We have certainly had God on our side in this war; and it was God alone who ejected the Jews from these fortifications.' Josephus vi. 9.1.
 the verb, so that ojx $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \theta \theta=\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \tau 0$ : when ou is joined to $\pi \tilde{\alpha} s$ the
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta a \sigma / \lambda \epsilon[a \nu$, ch. vii. 12.

## 23-31. Tem Second Comina of Christ. <br> Mark xiii. 21-27; Luke xxi. 24-28.

23. Tóte. According to Chrysostom, Jerome and others who tuake the division at $v .22$ tore marks a transition, and the description which follows is applicable to the end of the world not to the fall of Jerusalem.
24. む̈ote $\pi \lambda a v \eta ̂ \sigma a l . ~ \mathscr{J} \sigma \epsilon$ indicates here not only a possible result-the usual clessical form of $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ with infinitive--but intention, for which use of $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ gee Goodwin's Greek Moods and Tenses, § 98. 2. Translate 'with the view of deceiving if possible ( $\epsilon l$ duvatop), i.e. by every possible means, even the elect.' The A.V. is misleading here, (1) by so connecting $\epsilon i$ ivazady as to infer the impossibility of $\pi \lambda a p \hat{\eta} \sigma a c$; (2) by tranalating $\pi \lambda a p \hat{\eta} \sigma a c$ as a future.
rov̂s exhektoús. Cp. Rom viii. 33 and Tit. i. 1, ék
 from the O.T. to the N.T., from Israel according to the flesh to the true spiritual Israel. The ehurch is heir to the tities as well as to the promises of the old dispensation. Eклentol and $\epsilon_{\kappa} \lambda \mathrm{arod}$ imply election, ahoice, appointment to a special work or office, as of Jesus to the Messiahship, 1 Pet. ii. 4-6; of Isara and Jacob to the fathership of the faithful, Rom. ix. 11, of Panl to the office of evange-

 vation and irreversible decree, to say the least, do not necessarily enter into the wotd. Bp. Lightfoot observes in his note on Col. iii. 12,
 and inner circle (Matt. xxii. 14), but that in St Paul there is no such distinction. The same persons are 'called' to Christ and 'chosen out' of the world.
25. LSov mpotpqika ưnî. These words solémnly call attention to the warning-the disciples as the Church; the $\bar{e} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \kappa$ col, must take heed, for the signs are calculated and intended to deceive even them.
26. tv ти̂ ipripq. Cp. Јовери. B. J. i. 13. 4.
${ }^{6} t$ tois rapeiors. Here probably 'the lecture rooms' of the synagogue, so that the meaning of the verse would be, 'whether the false Christ come like John the Baptist in the desert, or like a great Rabbi in the schools of the synagogue, be not deceived.'
27. 中alvetal, 'appeareth,' not 'shineth,' A.V. The flash is instantly visible in the opposite quarter of the heaven. Like lightning all-pervading, swift, sudden and of dazzling brightness, shall be the coming of the Son of man.
 wherever the Lord comes, by a subtle sense like that by which the vulture is cognisant of his distant prey.
Another interpretation fixes upon the idea of corruption in the body, and reads the sense thus: 'where the corrupt body of sin lies, wherever there is the corruption of moral death and decay, there the vultures of judgment will gather upon the carrion.'
 quent with the Hebrew prophets; it implies (1) the perplexity and confusion of a sudden revolution, a great change; the very sourrees of light become darkness. Cp. Isaiah xiii. 10, 'For the stars of héaven and the constellations thereof shall not give their light: the sun shall be darkened in his going forth, and the moon shall not cause her light to shine; ${ }^{*}$ and (2) the darkness of distress as Ezek. xzxii. 7, 8, 'All the bright lights of heaven will I make dark over thee, and set darkness upon thy land, saith the Lord God.' Cp. also Joel ii. 28-32 quoted $\Delta$ cts ii. 19, 20.
 vain to conjecture, but when it appears its import will be instantly recognised by the faithful.
emi $\tau . v . \quad$. $n$ the clouds, not, as in A. $\nabla .$, in the clouds.
 tive to the Jews, who were called together in the camp by silver trampets (Numb. x. 2 foll.). Moreover, the great festivals, the commencement of the year, and other celebrations were annbuticed by trumpets. There will be once again a marshalling of the host of Jehovah, of God's Churth.

 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ entov

# 32-35. The Parable of the Fig Treg. <br> Mark xiii. 28-31; Luke xxi. 29-33. 

 fig-tree its parable, the lesson that the fig-tree teaches. The parable relates to the siege of Jerusalem and the ruin of the Jewish nationality, illustrating $v v .4-22$.

It was spring time, and the fig-tree was putting forth its leaf-buds; no more certainly does that natural sign foretell the coming harvest than the signs of Christ shall foretell the fall of the Holy Oity. The sequence of historical events is as certain as the sequence of natural events. And the first, at least to some extent, is within the range of the same human intelligence that discerns the promise of summer. Thus Jesus rebuled the Pharisees for not discerning the signs of the times as they discerned the face of the sky.

The facts of botany throw fresh light on our Lord's illustration. The season of spring is described by botanists as one of the greatest stir and vital activity throughout the plant organism, a general but secret internal movement preceding the outburst of vegetation. A true figure of political movement. See Thome's Struct. and Phys. Botany (translation), pp. 196-208.
 becomes tender,' i.e. ready to sprout.
yıvórкeтe, 'ye recognise;' as also in the following verse.
tyyus ro 0'pos, 'that harvest time is nigh,' i.e. the corn-harvest, not the fig-harvest (Meyer). This is a probable rendering, becanse the sprouting of the fig-tree would coincide with the barley harvest, rather than with the summer; it gives force to our Lord's words, when it is remembered that the barley harvest was actually nigh; the omer, or first sheaf, being offered on the day following the Passover. Again, the siege of Jerusalem, prefigured by this 'parable,' took place at the time of harvest (see note, v. 21).
33. 'fit kypús zottv. The harvest-time of God-the end of this con or period at the fall of Jerusalem.

36-End of Geap. XXV. Parablms ant Teagitnas concerning the Slecond Advent.

36-b1. Tie Coming of Christ; the Need of Watchfolness.
More briefly reported in Mark xiii. 32-37; Luke xxi. 34-36.
 turns from the type-the fall of Jerusalem-to the antitype-the Day of Jadgment, and continues on this subject to the end of the following chapter.
 in history-the days before the flood-the eve of the destruction of

Sodom and Gomorrah-so before the parousia of Chuist the world will be given op to enjoyment ( $\tau$ párovics кal $\pi$ (poy hopes in the present, and plan for the continuance of the existing

 are the perils of the religious life-the cares ( $\mu \hat{e \rho \mu \mu \nu a})$ ), riches ( $\pi \lambda о \hat{u} \tau o s)$, pleasures ( $\grave{\eta} \delta o n a l$ ), that choke the good seed (Lake viii. 14).

For трáyoures кai alyoures, implying laxarious living, op. ch. xi. 19, efolin sal ripov and see v. 49 of this chap. and Luke xii 45. Op.
 yoytes rather than éflovees adde foree to the piature of a world plunged in animal delights. tpóyeay is said to be formed from the sound; Eustath. Od. vi. 60, cp. 'Feeding like horses when you hear them feed,' (Tennyson, GEnid). It is used in Homer of mules and of mice, then in Hdt. and vernacular speech of men 'to eat vegetables or fruit,' ( $\mathrm{cp} . \tau \rho \omega \mathrm{d} \lambda \iota a, \tau \rho \omega \kappa \tau \dot{\alpha}$, ) and not till quite late in a general sense. With the exception of this passage $\tau \rho$ wiytu occurs in the foarth Gospel only. This ase of $\tau \rho \dot{d} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \bar{y}$ to the exclusion of $\begin{aligned} & \text { eftict } \\ & \end{aligned}$ is one of the interesting specialiams in St John's Gospel; in ch. xiii. $18, \dot{\delta} \tau \rho \omega^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu$ is substituted for $\dot{d} \varepsilon \sigma \theta l \omega \nu$ of the LXXX., Ps. xii. 9, and the completely settled use of the word is shown by its ocourrence in
 generally the use of $\chi$ optd5cuv.

40, 41. Instances like these serve to bring ont the reflection that the world's work will be going on then as now; there is also the thought of a real separation in this life beneath an external sameness.
40. mapalap $\beta$ ávetat, 'is taken or withdrawn.' For this present for future of certainty see ch. xxvii. 63.
41. Sv́o di入i̛oovar iv $\tau \hat{\psi} \mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \mu$. In southern Palestine, where there are no mill-streams, hand-mills are to be seen and heard in every village. "Two women sit at the mill facing each other; both having hold of the handle by which the upper is turned round on the nether mill-stone.' Land and Book, p. 526.

43-45. Tef Lord oomete as a Thief in the Night.
Luke xii. 39, 40.

 $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \quad \rho \in e ̂ r e ~ a n d ~ \gamma i v e \sigma \theta e$, or (2) indicative, ' ye recognise ${ }^{\text {' while }}$ I speak.
olkobeonótys. A late word (Plut. Epictet.) for the classical olklas
 in astrology: otkos is the 'house' of the ruling planet. 'Goodman' (A.V.) is probably a corruption for gummann or guma A.S., a man (Bible Word Book).
rolq фuiak̂̂- See ch. xiv. 25.



45-51. The Stewards of God.
Luke xii. 41-48, where this parable is joined on to the preceding one by a question of St Peter, 'Lord, speakest thou this parable unto us, or even to all?' Mark xiii. 37 has 'what I say unto you I say unto all, Watch.' Here, and throughout the discourse, the disciples are specially addressed.
oiketelas, the correct reading, according to the best criticism, is
 movecs, but also the fovi and $\tau \in x v a$, here however it means the household of slaves, Lat. familia.

The imagery is drawn from a large estate (latifundium) or household, over which an honest and intelligent slave would be appointed as steward (alkovbmos, Lat. vilicus or aispensator), part of his duty being to give the daily allowance ( $\tau \rho \circ \phi \eta^{\prime} \nu$, or $\sigma t \tau о \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota o y$, Lake. Lat. diarium, Hor. Ep. 1. 14. 41) to the slaves.

From this short parable springs the conception of the stewardship of the Christian ministry expanded in the Epistles and indelibly fixed



 $\mu o u$ moukihns $\chi$ áputos $\theta$ eov. And from the Latin Version of this and parallel passages such expressions as 'the present dispensation,' 'the Christian dispensation,' are derived. It is deeply interesting to trace in a few and simple words of Christ the genesis of such great and fruitful thoughts which are the very life of the Church and of society.

 also 'Multos honesti ordinis aut ad bestias condemnavit, aut serra dissecuit.' Sueton. Calig. 17, quoted by Wetstein, who gives other instances.
 tions of the Gentile Evangelist to his readers are always interesting. Hypocrisy was especially a Jewish sin. St Luke adds our Lord's words on the degrees of punishment, varying with the degrees of responsibility.

## CHAPTER XXV.


2. The order $\mu$ cpal... $\phi \rho \dot{b} \mu \mu$ or decisive evidence. The striking and unespected fact was that there were foolish virgins in the group.
6. Epxeral, omitted after id vouplos according to all the important codices greatly enhances the vividness of the narrative.
 with BCD and several late ancials. See Winer, p. 632, and Dr Moulton's note 3. This is the first appeal to Codex A.
 Epxetal. But all the ancient testimony is against the insertion.

31. äyюot, omitted before ä $\gamma \gamma^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda o c ~(N B D L ~ a n d ~ o t h e r s) . ~ A ~ h e a d s ~}$ the evidence for the retention of äyoo.
41. кarnparévol Without the article (NBL) against AD and many other uncials and fathers. The participle alone gives a reason, or indicates a state or condition, 'under your curse;' with the article it denotes a class.

## 1-13. The Parable of the Ten Virgins. <br> In St Matthew only.

1. т $\delta \boldsymbol{\tau t}$. In the Last Day-the time just spoken of.
ónotworioctai 'shall be like,' not, 'shall be compared (by me).' The condition of the Church at the End of the World shall be like the condition of the ten virgins described in the parable.

This parable is another warning for the disciples of Christ 'to watch.' Like the rest of the discourse it is primarily addressed to the Apostles, and after them to the pastors of the Church, who are posted as sentinels for the coming of Ohrist; lastly, to all Christians. Whatever interpretation may be put on the lesser incidents they must be subordinated to the lesson of the parable-vigilance, and the reason for vigilance--the certainty of the event, and the ancertainty as to the time of its occurrence.
altives. The more frequent use of dot is in the N.T. may be regarded as a tendeney to modern idiom: for in Romaic the relative ós is rarely used, but ofocs frequently occurs in the nominative, both singular and plural (Corfe's Modern Greek Grammar, p. 67). But in most cases where Sotcs occurs in N. T. the elassical usage is observed. Here aitues denotes the kind or clase of persons to whom the similitude relates, giving a reason for the analogy. Cp. Æssch. Prom. V. 37, 38,
 $\gamma$ tpas; 'one who has betrayed;' see Paley's note. For the distinction between ös and $\delta$ otis see Winer, pp. 209, 210; and Elicott on Gal. iv. 24.

גaumd8as. 'Torches,' the only meaning which the word bears in Greek literature early or late. Lat. lampas sometimes signifies a ' lamp,' as Juv. ini. 285 ' вenea lampas.'
cls indávтŋのuv к.т. $\lambda$. The usual Jewish custom was for the 'friends of the bridegroom' to conduct the bride to her husband's home; and
when the procession arrived, the bridegroom went forth to lead the bride across the threshold (Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr. ad loc., and Dr Ginsburg in Kitto's Gycl. of Bib. Lit.). The imagery of the parable, however, implies that the bridegroom himself went to fetch his bride perhaps from a great distance, while a group of maidens await his return ready to welcome him in Oriental fashion with lamps and flambeaux.

2. фpóvцpol. Used of prudence or practical intelligence, a characteristic of the steward, ch. xxiv. 45, and Luke xvi. 8.
3. ai $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho \mu \omega \rho a l$ к.т. $\lambda$. All watch for their Lord, but some only- the wise'-with true intensity and with due provision for the watch. The foolish virgins have sufficient oil if the Lord come quickly; not sufficient for long and patient expectation. It is a rebuke to shallow religion that dies away when the excitement passes.

The oil seems to mean generally the spiritual life or preparedness for the Lord's coming.
B. Tov̂ vupфiov. The thought of Christ as the Bridegroom of the Church is hardiy appropriste here, for in the parable the maidens, and not the bride, are the expectant Church. The thought of the 'children of the bridechamber,' oh. ix. 15, is a nearer parallel.
evźorafav $\pi$ âoat к.т. $\lambda$. 'Nodded from drowsiness, and fell asleep.' The two stages of sleep are noted in Plato, Apol. Socr., p. 31, $\dot{v} \mu \in \hat{i} \delta \delta^{\prime}$ focus

 of Christ's coming; it is not to be interpreted of anwatchfulness, it is not a guilty or imprudent sleep, as in the parable of the thief coming by night (ch. xxiv. 43).
6. kpavyì yéyovev. 'A cry is raised'. fit sonus (Verg.). The tense gives vividness.

7. Ekóquךrav. 'Trimmed,' by addition of oil, and by clearing the fibres with a needle.
8. $\sigma$ Bévrwtal. 'Are going out,' not 'are gone out,' A. V. A picture in the newly discovered Coder Rossanensis (sixth cent.) gives this point accurately. Three of the foolish virgins hold torches nearly extinguished, but still burning. This parable is a favourite sabject in the catsoombs.
 still to be made in whioh there would be need of burning lamps. The wise cannot impart their oil:--an incident necessary to the leading idea of the parable;-nothing can make up for unreadiness at the last moment. This point has bean adduced as an argument against works of supererogation.
pर्भाँoft ouk ápoíry. 'Lest haply it suffice not." There is an ellipse of a refusal or of a word signifying fear. . The reading of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ dip. need
not alter the construction, ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ being merely a strengthaned negative; but by some $\mu \boldsymbol{j}^{\prime} \pi \mathrm{ote}$ is taken by itself, 'no, in no wise.'
10. As rovs yápovs. To the marringe feast, as oh. xxii. 2. The happiness of the blest is often deseribed by the image of a great supper, cp. ch. xxvi. 29.
11. Kíple кúple. Cp. ob. vii. 22, 23.
13. yppyopeite oiv. Our Lord's explanation of the parable, shewing the true purport of it.

14-30. The Parable of teg Talents, in this Gospel only.
The parable of the Pounds, Luke xix. 12-27, is similar, but there are important points of distinction; (1) in regard to the occasions on whioh the two parables are given; (2) in the special incidents of each.

The lesson is still partly of watchfulness, it is still in the first instance for the apostles. And mainly always for those who bear office in the Church. But fresh thoughts enter into this parable: (1) There is work to be done in the time of waiting; the watching must not be idle or unemployed; (2) Even the least talented is responsible.
 'A man taking a far journey, who left his house and gave authority (rather, his suthority) to his servants, and to every man his work.' Christ in his absence gives to each a portion of his own authority and of his own work on earth.

A great desl of the commerce of antiquity was managed by slaves, who were thus ofter entrusted with responsible functions (cp. ch. xxiv. 45). In this case they are expected to use their Master's money in trade or in cultivation of the soil, and to make as large an increase as possible.
 (Luke aix.), each subject receives one pound. Here the trath is indicated that there is variety in the services wrought for God in respect of dignity and of difficulty. More will be required of the influential and enlightened than of the ignorant and poor. 'Nemo urgetur ultra quam potest ' (Biengel).

rálavra. See ch. xviii. 24. It is from this parable that the word 'talents' has passed into modern languages in the sense of 'abilities,' or 'mental gifts,' though it seems properly to mean 'opportunities' or 'spheres of duty.'
16. тropevels...elpyárato. The ideas of trade and travelling were very nearly connected in ancient times, as the Greek words for traffic
 between venio, veneo and vendito, ventito. See James iv. $13,{ }^{*} A \gamma \varepsilon v \hat{v} v$

 $\pi$ ropev $\theta \in l$ h here with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \in \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu v .18$.
elpyórato tv aúroís. 'Traded with them.' Made money (xpriuara) by them. A technical use of the word. ob. Demosth., Contr. Dionys.,


19. $\mu$ erd rodiv xpoivov. Another hint that the second coming of Christ would be long deferred.
ovval $\rho \in \mathrm{l}$ 入oyov. 'Reokoneth with them,' in order to have his stipulated share of the profits. $\sigma v y a i \rho$. $\lambda \delta \gamma$. Not a classical expression; it appears in this Gospel only, and may have been a business phrase familiar to Matthew the publican.
 $\epsilon \pi i \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{v}$, over or upon, without the closer connection indicated by $\epsilon \pi i$ with the dative.
 of happiness which thy lord enjoys, and which shall be the reward of thy zeal; or (2) the joyous feast; as in the last parable; cp. also Esther ix. 18, 19. (See especially the LXX. version.)

єiтev к.т. $\lambda$. This slave anticipates his lord's condemnation; 'qui s'excuse s'accuse.'


 another's threshing-floor where thou hast not winnowed' (Meyer); so, 'exacting interest where thou hast invested no money.' The accusation was false, but the Lord takes his slave at his word, 'thou oughtest therefore,' for that very reason.
owviyctv is used of the Israelites gathering straw in Egypt; aürol

 quoted by Wetstein). This verb and its compounds are Ionic, and do not belong to the Attic dialect. Lob. Phryn., p. 218.
 an interrogation ex concesso. The Lord does not admit the truth of this description, but judges the slave from his own standpoint. Even a low conception of the divine natare brings some responsibility, and has some promise of reward. This view brings this picture into agreement with the other descriptions of the last judgment.
27. Td ápyúpóv rov. It was not thine own.
rois rparellrals. To the bankers, who set up tables or counters ( $\tau$ párecjac) for the purpose of lending or exchanging money. In the cities of eastern Russia Jewish bankers ( $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon$ gival) are still to be seen seated at their tables in the market-place. Such bankers' tables in the dropd were places of resort. Socrates asks his judges not to be




Fivv tokc. Tokos, lit. 'offspring,' then the offspring of money 'interest,' or usury. Aristotle playing upon the word argues against usury as being a birth contrary to nature ( $\pi$ a $\rho \dot{d} \phi j^{\prime} \sigma \omega \nu$ ), Arist. Pol. I. 10. 5. Shakespeare has the same thought when he calls 'interest' ' the breed of barren metal,' and Bacon who terms it 'the bastard use of money.' 'The high rates of interest in the ancient world and the close connection between debt and slavery naturaily brought usury into odium. The Jew was forbidden to lend money upon usury to his brother (Deut. xxiii. 20); in later times, however, the practice of usury was reduced to a system and carried on without restriction of race. See Bib. Dict., Artiales 'Loan ' and 'Usury.'

This was the very least the slave could have done: to make money in this way required no personal exertion.
29. The thought conveyed by this verse is true, even in worldly matters: talents not used pass away from their possessor: and the strenuous worker seems to gather to himself what is lost by the idle. Demosthenes says (Phil. 1. 5) 'the possessions of the negligent belong of right to those who will endure toil and danger.'

## 31-46. Thr Day of Judgment.

32. $\pi \mathrm{i}^{2} \mathrm{va}$ тd ${ }^{2}(0 \mathrm{vq}$. Either (1) all the nations of the world, including the Jews; or (2) all the Gentiles. The almost invariable use of $\tau \dot{d} t \theta \nu \eta$ to signify the Gentiles; the unconsciousness of service to Ohrist shewn by just and unjust alike; the simplicity of the standard proposed by the Judge, favour the second interpretation. On the other hand the special warning to the Apostles, and to the Jewish race, in the previous parts of the discourse render it probable that Jews and Christians are not exoluded from this picture of the judgment. The unconsciousness of the judged may be referred not to ignorance of Christ, bat to unconsciousness that in relieving the distressed they were actually relieving Christ. The simplicity of the standard may be intended to include what is called 'natural' religion, as well as revealed religion. The nations are judged by a standard of justice which all recognise. (Read Rom. i. 18-20, ii. 9-16.)
 flock, thus saith the Lord God; Behold, I judge between cattle and cattle, between the rams and the he goats.' 'The sheep and goats are always seen together under the same shepherd and in company; yet they never trespass on the domain of each other... When folded together at night they may always be seen gathered in distinct groups; and so, round the wells they appear instinetively to claseify themselves apart, as they wait for the troughs to be filled.'-Tristram.

34-46. These verses are constructed according to the rules of Hebrew poetry: they fall into two divisions, the first extends from v. $34-40$, the second from $v .41-46$.

Each division consists of a triplet or stanza of three lines containing the sentence of the Judge ( $v .34$ answering to $v .41$ ), followed by a stanza of six lines, which in the form of a climax state the reason of
the sentence ( $v v .35,36$ answering to 42,43 ), then the response of those who receive the sentence (vo. 37-39 answering to v. 44), then the reply of the Judge ( $v .40$ answering to 44), lastly the concluding couplet describing the passage to their doom of just and unjust.

The contrast between the sentences is impressively shown in the corresponding verses:


The form of Hebrew poetry emphasizes differences in the corresponding lines.
Note first here the absence in ( $\beta$ ) of the subject to $\begin{gathered}\text { ente } \\ \text { (Bengel says of }\end{gathered}$ ó Baodetes, 'appellatio majestatis plena solisque piis lata') and secondly the absence of the qualifying genitive aurov. That the omission of the subject is not unintentional appears to be proved by the repeated. omission in $v v .40$ and 45. The meaning of these two points of difference seems to be that at this dread moment the connection is severed between God and those whom He had sought in vain. He is now no King to them, no longer their God.





Observe here that the righteous are said to be blessed of the Father, but the unrighteous are not cursed of the Father.

Then note the righteous as Sons of the Father inherit of right the Kingdom that has been prepared for them, whereas the disinherited children pass into the fire of the ages prepared not for them but for the devil and his angels.
In the parallel passages that follow the respective sentences contrast the brief agitated questions of the doomed with the words of the righteous lingering over the particulars of their unconsoious service to Christ. Rather their words do not breath service ( $\delta\llcorner\eta$ кov $n \sigma a \mu \in \nu, v .44$ )
 passage Jebb, Sacred Lit., pp. 363-367.

35, 36. There is a climax in this enumeration. The first three are recognised duties, the last three are voluntary acts of self-forgetting love. Common humanity would move a man to relieve his bitterest foe when perishing by hanger or by thirst (see Rom. xii. 20). Oriental custom required at least a bare hospitality. But to clothe the naked implies a liberal and loving spirit, to visit the sick is an act of spontaneous self-sacrifice, to go to the wretched outcasts in prison was perhaps an unheard of act of charity in those days; it was to enter places horrible and foul beyond description; Sallust, speaking of the Tallianum (the state prison at Rome), says: 'incultu, tenebris, odore feeds atque terribilis ejus facies est.'

40．19＇Soov．＇So far as，＇Eal denotes the point to which the action extends．
fuol emonjoare．This unconscions personal service of Christ may be contrasted with the conscious but unreal knowledge of Christ assumed by false prophets；see Luke xiii： 26.

Christ identifies Himself with his Church，as in his words to Savl， $\pi i \mu e \delta_{i} \omega_{x} \epsilon s$ ；（Acts ix．4）．
44．rot．The position of the personal pronouns throughont is emphatic．

45．＇的＇örov к．т．入．Men will be judged not only for evil done，but for good left undone．In this view sins are regarded as debts（ó $\dot{\phi} \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta}-$ ната）unpaid．

46．ovirol．Those on the left are unnamed here and throughout the description，but the parallel $\delta i$ кavo infuses a meaning into ovito Compare with this the unnamed rioh man in the parable of Lazarus， Luke xvi．19－31．

In this important passage alow ios is translated in A．V．everlasting （punishment）and（life）eternal；in each case the adjective in the text follows the noun，though in A．V．it precedes one noun and follows the other．aicuplos $=$ of or belonging to（1）an ceon or period， （a）past，（b）present，（c）future，or（2）to a succession of aidns or periods．In alcis the idea of time is subordinate．It is the period required for the accomplishment of a specifio result．$\tau \dot{a} \tau \in \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\omega} v$ aldwivp（1 Cor．x．11）are the results of the mons since the world began． A man＇s life is an alsuv not because it endures a cartain number of years，but because it is complete in itself－with the life the life＇s work ends．It does not，therefore，in itself＝＇unending，＇but＇lasting through the required epoeh．＇But life eternal，which is＇to know the true God and Jesus Christ＇（John xvii．3），can ouly be conceived of as anending and infinite；op．＇Art thou not from everlasting， 0 Lord my God， mine Holy One ？we shall not die＇（Hab．i．1．2）．

кódarts（der．from a root meaning to lop，prune，\＆o．）is＇correction，＇ punishment that checks and reforms，not vengeance（ $\tau \mu \omega \rho / a$ ）．The two are distinguished，Arist．Rhet．․ 10．17．The rare occurrence of $\kappa \dot{b} \lambda a \sigma \iota s$ draws attention to its use here．The only other passage where it is found in N．＇T．is 1 John iv．18，where the Apostle speaks of＇per－ fect love＇（ $\dot{\eta} \tau \in \lambda \in\left(a a^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \pi \eta\right.$ ）giving confidence in the day of judgment

 even now，and so separates from good while it lasts．＇In a profound sense that passage is cognate to this．Cp．also the ase of codd $\xi_{\varepsilon \sigma \theta a t, ~}^{\text {，}}$

 aùroús，where the notion of restraint and reform is evident．Two passages of Aristotle＇s Ethics which exhibit the use of кó入a





The rebuke of the king is the beginning of the no $\lambda a \sigma t s$.

## CHAPTER XXVI.

3. Kal oi $ү р а \mu \mu a \tau \in \hat{s}$, omitted with all the best MSS. Insertion from Mark and Luke.
4. то入vтlцov for Baputinov, which has the support of B, but the evidence for mo入ut. is very strong.
5. The weight of evidence is against $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \mu \dot{\text { úpov after roûto. }}$
6. áprov for $\tau \delta \nu$ áprov on very strong evidence, though the article is found in A and several other uncials. The evidence is more evenly divided between rovíptov and $\tau \delta$ morip $\rho \circ$ (v. 27). The former has the support, among others, of N and $B$.
7. For edidou...кal the true reading is $\delta 0$.
8. Tischendorf omits кaun̄s with NBLZ, but it has the testimony of ACD and other uncials.
9. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \boldsymbol{\lambda} \theta \dot{\omega}$ for $\pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \theta \omega \nu$. Here $B$ is opposed ta all the other important uncials.

 the other important uncials, in which it precedes zapakané $\sigma a t$. The omission of $\geqslant$ before $\delta \dot{\sigma} \delta \in r a$ gives the classical idiom. Here AC and a large majority of MSS. retain $\%$ against NBDL.
 ancient authority favours the change.
10. The textus receptus adds каl of mpeб $\beta$ úrepor with AO, and the preponderance of later authority, against NBDL, some Versions and Fathers.

 deleted on the authority of the oldest but not the majority of MSS. and Versions. Among those which support the textus receptus are $\Delta$ and E .
 $A^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{CD}$ and a mass of later MSS.
 ponderating authority. The second word is scarcely supported.

1-5. Weqnesday, Nisan 12. The Approach of the Pabsover. Jebus again Foretelle His Deate. The Sanbedin mezt.

## Mark xiv. 1, 2; Luke xxii. 1, 2.

Op. John xi. 55-57, where we read that 'the chief priests and Pharisees had given a commandment, that, if any man knew where he were, he should shew it, that they might take him.'

That Jesus should be able for so many days to 'speak openly in the Temple,' and shew Himself to the people without fear of capture is a proof of the deep hold He had taken on the enthusiasm and affection of His fellow-countrymen. The words of St John (quoted above) imply a combination of the priestly and aristocratic party-the Sadduceeswith the democratio Pharisees, against the despised Galilæan, and yet it requires treachery of the deepest dye and a deed of darkness to secure Him.
2. $\mu$ erd $\delta$ vio ripepas. According to the Jewish reckoning, any length of time including part of two days.
Td̀ mároxa. (1) The word is interesting in its (a) Hebrew, (b) Greek, and (c) English form. (a) The Hebrew pesach is from a root meaning, 'to leap over,' and, figuratively, to 'save,' 'shew mercy.' (b) The Greek $\pi \dot{d} \sigma \chi a$ represents the Aramaic or later Hebrew form of the same word, but the affinity in sound and letters to the Greek word ráa $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{e c p}$, 'to suffer,' led to a connection in thought between the Passover and the Passion of our Lord: indeed, some of the early Christian witers state the connection as if it were the true etymology. (c) Tyndale has the merit of introducing into English the word 'passover,' which keeps up the play on the words in the original Hebrew (Exod. xii. 11 and 13). Before 'Tyndale the word 'paske' (for $\pi \operatorname{di} \sigma \times a$ ) was transferred from the Vulgate, with an explanation: 'For it is paske, that is, the passyng of the Lord' (Wyclif).
the feast of the passover commemorated the deliverance of Israel from the Egyptian bondage. The ordinances of the first Passover are narrated Exod. xii. 1-14, but some of those were modified in later times. It was no longer necessary to choose the lamb on the 10th of Nisan. The blood was sprinkled on the altar, not on the door-post, those who partook of the paschal meal no longer 'stood with loins girded, with shoes on their feet, with staff in hand,' but reclined on couches, as at an ordinary meal; it was no longer unlawful to leave the house before morning (Exod. xii. 22). The regular celebration of the Passover was part of the religious revival after the return from Captivity. During the kingly period only three celebrations of the Passover are recorded; in the reigns of Solomon, of Hezekiah and of Josiah. For the relation of the Last Supper to the Passover and for further notes on the paschal observance, see below.

The date of this Passover was probably April 3 (old style), A.d. 33 (Mr J. W. Bosanquet in Trans. Soc. Bib. Arch., Vol. Iv. 2). See note, ch. ii. 1.

тapa8(8otal, either (1) the present for the future, denoting greater certainty, or (2) the full relative present 'is in the act of being betrayed;' the treacherous scheme of Judas is already afoot.
3. of doxitpefe к.т.入. i.e. the Sanhedrin, the supreme council, legislative and administrative, of the Jewish people. Sanhedrin is striotily a plural form, the old poetical plural termination, -in having become the ordinary form in later Hebrew in place of -im. But from similarity of sound Sanhedrin came to represent ovpdofoy rather than vúve $\delta \rho o t$, and is used as a singular noun of multitude.
A. The history of the Sanhedrin. Many learned Rabbis endeavoured to trace the origin of the Sanhedrin to the council of 70 elders whom Moses, by the advice of Jethro, appointed to assist him. But it is improbable that this council existed before the Macedonian conquest. (1) The name is Greek, not Hebrew. (2) It finds its equivalent among the political institutions of Macedonia; finally, (3) no allusion to the Sanhedrin is to be found in the Historical Books or in the Prophets. Cp. Livy, zur. 32, Pronontiatum, quod ad statam Macedonim pertinebat, Senatores quos synedros vocant, legendos esse, quorum consilio res pablica administraretur.
B. Constitution. The President or Nasi (prince) was generally, though not always, the high priest; next in authority was the ricepresident or $A b$ Beth Dinn (father of the house of judgment); the third in rank was the Chacham (sage or interpreter). The members were 71 in number, and consisted (1) of the chief priests, see note ch. xxi. 15; (2) the scribes or lawyers; (3) the elders of the people or hends of families, who were the representatives of the laity.
C. Authority and functions. The Sanhedrin formed the highest court of the Jewish commonwealth. It originally possessed the power of life and death, but this power no longer belonged to it; John xviii. 31, 'It is not lawful for us to put any man to death,' a statement which agrees with a tradition in the Talmud, 'forty years before the temple was destroyed judgment in capital causes was taken away from Israel.'

All questions of the Jewish law, and such as concerned the ecclesiastical polity, religious life of the nation and diseipline of the priests fell under the jurisdiction of the Sanhedrin.

This authority extended to settlements of Jews in foreign countries; e.g. it is exercised in Damascus. Acts ix. 1, 2.
D. Place of meeting. In the present instance the Sanhedrin met at the high priest's house; from ch. xxyii. 6 we may conjecture that the Temple was sometimes the place of meeting, but their usual house of assembly at this particular epoeh was called the 'Halls of Purchase,' on the east of the Temple Mount (Dr Ginsburg in Kitto's Encyc. Bib. Lit. and Lightfoot's Hor. Hebr.).
toû $\lambda$ eqopervov к.т. $\lambda$. Joseph Caiaphas, the son-in-law of Annas, was appointed high priest by the Procurator Valerius Gratua A.d. 26, and was deposed A.D. 38. The high priesthood had long ceased to be
held for life and to descend from father to son; appointraente were made at the caprice of the Roman government. Annas who had been high priest was still regarded as such by popular opinion, which did not recognise his deposition; cp. Luke iii. 2, where the correct reading is
 Käádoas.
 argument (xxii. 46); (2) to discredit Him with the Roman government (xxii. 22); or (3) to take Him by force.
5. $k v \tau_{n}^{\hat{0}}$ Eoptin. During the feast, including the Passover and the seven days of unleavened bread.
 when the people, numbering hundreds of thousands, filled the city and encamped in tents outside the walls like a vast army. At a Passover, less than 30 years before, the people, partly to avenge the death of two Rabbis, rose against Archelaus, and were cruelly repressed with a slaughter of 3000 men (Joseph. Ant. xyir. 9. 3); see also xvir. 10. 2, where a similar rising against Sabinus, during the feast of Pentecost, is described.

## 6-13. The Fieabt in the houbr of Simon the Lepper, Mark xiv. 3-5; John xii. 1-8.

St John's narrative places this incident on the evening of the Sab-bath-the last Sabbath spent by Jesus on earth-before the triumphal entry. St Matthew has here disregarded the strictly chronological order. A comparison with St Mark will shew how acourately the words of Jesus are remembered, the rest of the incident is told in somewhat different language.

Compare a similar act of devotion on the part of a 'woman that was. a sinuer' (Luke vii. 36-39).
6. rov̂ $\lambda e \pi \rho o u ̂$. i.e. he had been a leper. St John in the parallel passage, says 'they made him a supper, and Martha served; but Lazarus was one of them that sat at the table with him.' Nothing further is known of Simon. He was evidently a disciple of Jesus and probably a near friend of Lazarus and his sisters.

 'alabaster box' was ' b flask of fragrant oil;' the special kind of ointment named by the Evangelists-nard or apikenard-was extracted from the blossoms of the Indian and Arabian nard-grass (Beoker's Gallus).

These alabastra or ungnent-flasks were usually made of the Oriental or onyx alabaster, with long narrow necks, which let the oil escape drop by drop, and could easily be broken (Mark xiv. 3). But the shape and material varied. Herodotus (imi. 20) mentions a $\mu$ úpou ¿ג入dißaorpoythe precise expression in the text-sent among other royal gifts of gold and purple by Cambyses to the king of \#\#thiopia.

The costliness of Mary's offering may be judged from this. The other Evangelists name three hundred pence or denarii as the price (St Mark says, ' more than three hundred pence'). Now a denarius was a day's wages for a labourer (see ch. xx. 2); equivalent, therefore, to two shillings at least of English money; hence, relatively to English ideas, Mary's offering would amount to $£ 30$. It was probably the whole of her wealth.
8. ग̇үaváктךгav. 'There were some that had indignation' (Mark); 'Then said one of his disciples, Judas Iscariot' (John).
 $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda$. is opposed to $\dot{\eta} \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \eta \sigma$ cs.
10. yvous $\delta$ d $\delta$ 'Iqनov̂s. The murmurings had been whispered at first. St Mark says, 'had indignation within themselves, and said, \&c.'

צpyov кa入ov. A noble and beautiful work, denoting a delicate and refined sense of the fitness of things, which was lacking to the bluater perception of the rest.

The Lord passes a higher commendation on this than on any other act recorded in the N.T.; it implied a faith that enabled Mary to see, as no one else then did, the truth of the Kingdom. She saw that Jesus was still a King, though destined to die. The same thoughtthe certainty of the death of Jesus-that estranged Judas made her devotion more intense.
12. $\pi \rho \dot{d} s \tau_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{k} . \tau \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. For this use of perfumes cp .2 Chron. xvi. 14, 'They laid him (Asa) in the bed which was filled with sweet odours and divers kinds of spices prepared by the apothecaries' art.'
 or consecutive clause. So either (1) 'to be a record or memorial of her '-something by which she will be remembered. Cp. Hdt. II. 135,
 sense, ' for her memorial offering,' a meaning which $\mu \nu \eta \mu \sigma \sigma u p o \nu$ bears in the only other passage where (with the exception of the parallel Mark xiv. 9) the word cecurs in N.T., Acts x. 4, al mporeuxal rov kai
 LXX. $\mu \nu \eta \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \nu_{0} \nu$ is used of the portion of the minchah, or flour-offering,


 where, though the word $\mu \nu \eta \mu \sigma \sigma u y o \nu$ does not occur, $\sigma \sigma \mu \eta$ suggests the odour of sacrificial incense. See Levit. zxiv. 7. 'Thou shalt put pure frankincense upon each row that it may be opon the bread for a memorial ( $\alpha^{2} \alpha^{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \nu$, LXX.), even an offering by fire unto the Lord;'
 $\theta \in \hat{\mu}$.

> 14-16. The Treachery of Jydas. Mark xiv. 10,11 ; Luke xxii. 3-6.

St Mark, like St Matthew, connects the treahery of Judas with the scene in Simon's house. His worldly hopes fell altogether at the thought of 'burial.' It is a striking juxtaposition: as Mary's is the highest deed of loving and clear-sighted faith, Judas' is the darkest act of treacherous and misguided hate.

The motive that impelled Judas was probably not so much avarice as disappointed worldly ambition. Jesus said of him that he was a 'devil' (diabolus or Satan), the term that was on a special occasion applied to St Peter, and for the same reason. Peter for a moment allowed the thought of the earthly kingdom to prevail; with. Judas it was the predominant idea which gained a stronger and stronger hold on his mind until it forced out whatever element of good he once possessed. 'When the manifestation of Christ ceased to be attractive it became repulsive; and more so every day' (Neander, Life of Christ, Bohn's trans., p. 424).
15. кáy.. Here the form of the sentence is probably an example of colloquial simplicity, but the use of kal where in classical Greek the sentences would be joined by a consecutive (ẅ̈rve) or final (iva, $\left.a_{0} \pi \omega \mathrm{~s}\right)$ particle, is a mark of Hebrew influence. Such sentences are connected by coordinate particles, and the relation between them is left to inference from the context.
 pieces of silver.' For this use of $\ell \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$, ср. $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ajroîs $\tau a \dot{u} \tau \eta \nu$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ d $\mu a \rho \tau i a \nu$, Acts vii. 60, and $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$, which, like its equivalent 'shekel,' originally meant 'a weight.'
трıáxovta dpyúpua. 'Thirty silver shekels.' St Matthew alone names the sum, whieh $=120$ denarii. The shekel is sometimes reckoned at three shillings, but for the real equivalent in English money see note on $v$. 7. Thirty shekels was the price of a slave (Ex. xxi. 32); a fact which gives force to our Lord's words, ch. $x \times$. 28 , and to the passage there cited from Phil. ii. 7, 8.
18. єủka piav. See Lob. Phryn. 126. eủka pia is admitted as a
 кол $\dot{\eta}$ are an instance of the reverse. Cp. Cic. de offic. I. 40, 'Tempus actionis opportunum Grace evкaцta, Latine appellatur occasio.'

> 17-19. Preparations for tee Last Scpper. Mark xiv. $12-16 ;$ Luke $x$ xii. $7-13$.

Nisan 18-from the sunset of Wednesday to the sunset of Thursday -Jesus seems to have passed in retirement; no events are recorded.
 menced after sunset on the 13th; it was also called the preparation ( $\pi$ арабкеи ${ }^{\prime}$ ) of the passover. The feast of unleavened bread followed
the passover, and lasted seven days, from the 15th to the 21st of Nisan. Hence the two feasts are sometimes inoluded in the term 'passover,' sometimes in that of 'unleavened bread.' On the evening of 13th of Nisan every head of the family carefully searched for and collected by the light of a candle all the leaven, which was kept and destroyed before midday on the 14th. The offering of the lamb took place on the 14th at the evening aacrifice, which on this day commenced at 1.30; or if the preparation fell on a Friday, at 12.30. The paschal meal was celebrated after sunset on the 14th, i.e. strictly on the 15th of Nisan.

The events of the Passover are full of difficulty for the harmonist. It is however almost certain that the 'Last Supper' was not the paschal mesel, but was partaken of on the 14th, that is after sunset on the 13th of Nisan. It is quite certain, from John xviii. 28, that Jesus was arucified on the preparation, and although the synoptic narratives seem at first sight to disagree with this, it is probably only the want of a complete knowledge of the facts that creates the apparent discrepancy.
The order of events in the 'Passion' was as follows: when the 14th commenced, at sunset, Jesus sent two disciples to prepare the feast for that evening, instead of for the following evening. A sign of hastening on the meal may be detected in the words $\dot{o}$ кcupos pov eypuis Éctıv, v. 18, cp. Luke xxii. 15, 'with deaire I have desired to eat this passover with you before I suffer.' The supper succeeds, which bears a paschal character, and follows the paschal ceremonial. Early in the morning of the 14th of Nisan the irregular sitting of the Sanhedrin took place. Then followed the formal sitting of the Sanhedrin, and the trial before Pilate, the 'remission' to Herod, and, finally, the Crucifixion. This view meets the typioal requirements of our Lord's death completely. During the very hours when our Great High Priest was offering Himself as a sacrifice for our sins upon the cross, the Jewish people were engaged in slaying thousands of lambs in view of the paschal feast about to commence.
18. Tpòs tòv סeiva. 'To a certain man' (one who is known, but not named), with whom the arrangements had been previously made. He was doubtiess a follower of Jesus. It was usual for the inhabitants of Jerusalem to lend guestchambers to the strangers who came to the feast, and no other payment was accepted save the skin of the paschal lamb.

## 20-30. The Last Supper.

Mark xiv. 17-26; Luke xxii. 14-38, where the dispute as to who should be the greatest is recorded, and the warning to Peter related as happening before Jesus departed for the Mount of Olives. St John omits the institution of the Eucharist, but relates the washing of the disciples' feet by our Lord, and has preserved the disconrees of Jesus, chs. xiii.-xvii. end. 1 Cor. xi. 23-26; where the institation of the Eacharist is narrated nearly in St Lake's words.
20. divкк⿺то к.т. $\lambda$. Reclined with the Twelve, ávaкeiotal in this sense is late for the classical кarakeí $\theta a$. This posture had not only become customary at ordinary meals, but was especially enjoined in the passover ritual. The Paschal ceremonial, so far as it bears on the Gospel narrative, may be described as follows:
(a) The meal began with a cup of red wine mixed with water: this is the first cup mentioned, Luke xxii. 17. After this the gueste washed their hands. Here probably must be placed the washing of the disciples' feet, John xiii.
(b) The bitter herbs, symbolic of the bitter bondage in Egypt, were then brought in together with unleavened cakes, and a sauce called charoseth, made of fruits and vinegar, into which the unleavened bread and bitter herbs were dipped. This explains 'He it is, to whom I shall give a sop,' John xiii. 26.
(c) The second cup was then mixed and blessed like the first. The father then explained the meaning of the rite (Exod. xiii. 8). This was the haggadah or 'shewing forth,' a term transferred by St Paul to the Christian meaning of the rite ( 1 Cor. xi. 26). The first part of the 'hallel' (Psalms cxiii. and oxiv.) was then chanted by the company.
(d) After this the paschal lamb was placed before the guests. This is called in a special sense "the supper." But at the Last Supper there was no paschal lamb. There was no need now of the typical lamb without blemish, for the antitype was there. Christ Himself was our Passover "sacrificed for us" (1 Cor. v. 7). He was there being slain for us-His body was being given, His blood being shed. At this point, when according to the ordinary ritual the company partook of the paschal lamb, Jesus 'took bread and blessed it, and gave it to his disciples' (v. 26).
(e) The third cup, or 'cup of blessing,' so called because a special blessing was pronounced upon it, followed: 'after supper he took the cup' (Luke). 'He took the cup when he had supped' (Paul). This is the 'cup' named in $v .27$.
(f) After a fourth cup the company chanted (see $v .30$ ) the second part of the 'hallel' (Psalms cxv.-cxviii.). (Lightfoot Hor. Hebr., Dr Ginsburg in Kitto's Encycl., Dr Edersheim Temple Services.)
22. $\lambda$ vтои́ $\mu є$ мol $\sigma$ фó 8 pa. St Johm (xiii. 22) has the graphic words
 this moment of intense and painful emotion which Leonardo da Vinci has interpreted by his immortal picture, so true to the spirit of this soene, so unlike the external reality of it.
 $\beta \alpha \psi \omega \tau \delta \psi \omega \mu \operatorname{lov}$ каl $\delta \omega \sigma \omega$ anit $\psi$; here we have the words of the disoiple who heard the reply of Jesus, which was probably whispered and not heard by the rest.
 above, v. 20 (b).
 Schools, used here with awful depth of certainty. The omisgion of ay makes the expression more emphatic. The condition is unfulfilled, but assuredly it would have been well if it had been fulfilled. In later Greek the tendency to this omission grows: op. $\epsilon l \mu \bar{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$
 always omitted in such osses. The same construction occurs in Latin. 'Antoni gladios potnit contemnere ai sic |omnia dixisset,' Juv. Sat. x. 123. 'Me truncus illapsus cerebro | sustulerat nisi Faunus ictum | dextra levasset,' Hor. Od. п. 17. 27 (Winer, p. 382 ; Goodwin, pp. 96. 97).
 with érevp $\dot{\theta} \theta$ as to form with it a single verbal notion and to remain uninfluenced by $\epsilon l$. Cp. $\varepsilon l$ к $a i$ ov่ $\delta \omega^{\prime} \sigma \varepsilon t$, Luke xi. 8 , where ou' $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon c={ }^{+}$will
 $\rho d \sigma \theta \omega$. Soph. Aj. 1131, el tovs $\theta$ andovias oúk ệ̂s $\theta$ derecv. Plat. Apol.
 foll.; Goodwin, p. 88.)
25. इive ciras. This is a formula of assent both in Hebrew and Greek, and is still used in Palestine in that sense. These words seem also to have been spoken in a low voice inaudible to the rest.

The special mention of Judas is omitted by St Mark and St Luke.
26. тovit' lotıv к.т. $\mathrm{\lambda}$. Accurately, 'this is the body of me;' St
 didoseyop); St Paul, 'which is in the act of being broken for you' (ro
 sacrifice had begun, the body of Christ was alraady being offered. The expression may be paraphrased: 'This-the bread-and not the paschal lamb, represents-is to the faithful-the body of Me, who am even now being offered a sacrifice for you.' Without entering on the great controversy of which these four words have been the centre, we may note that; ( 1 ) the thought is not presented now for the first time to the disciples. It was the 'hard saying' which had tarned many from Christ, see John vi. 51-57, 66. (2) The special form of the controversy is due to a medieval philosophy which has passed away leaving 'the dispute of the sacraments' as a legacy. St Luke and St Paul have the addition, 'this do in remembrance of me'-now, as a memorial of Me , not of the Passover deliverance.
27. morvipuov. See note v. 20 (e).
28. тоvิто үáp к.т.ג. The blood of the sacrifice was the seal and assurance of the old covenant, so wine, which is the blood of Christ once shed, is the seal of the new covenant.
The thought of shedding of blood would certainly connect itself with the ratification of a covenant in the minds of the apostles. From a covenant ratified by the victim's blood (Gen. xv. 18) began the divine and glorious history of the Jewish race. By sprinkling of blood the covenant was confirmed in the wilderness: see Ex. xxiv. 8, where
the very expression occurs tò alua rîs $\delta$ iafìnns (cp. 1 Pet. i. 2, pap-
 Jer. xxxi. 33) confirmed by the victim's blood is destined to be the starting point of a still more divine and glorious history. The Mediator of the New Covenant is ratifying it with the Prinees of the Now Israel.
katvins. See critical notes and ch. ix. 17.
Staifinct means either (1) a 'covenant,' 'contract,' or (2) 'a will.' The first is the preferable sense here, as in most passages where the word occurs in N.T. the new covenant is contrasted with 'the covenant which God made with our fathers,' Acts iii. 25. For this reason it is to be regretted that the title 'new testament' rather than 'new covenant' has been adopted. The effect has been partily to obscure the continnity of the earlier and later dispensations.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \operatorname{mo} \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$, i.e. 'to save many:' this force of repl comes from the thought of encircling a thing or person, or fighting round him for the


$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{\nu} . \quad$ See note ch. xx. 23.
ExXuviénev. Now being shed. The sacrifice has already begun.

 ă $\phi \in \sigma$ s-a passage which bears closely upon this. For the expression
 ness.' The figure in ápeats is either (1) that of forgiving a debt, the word being frequently used of the year of release: zar
 or (2) from 'letting go' the sacrificial dove or scape-goat to symbolise the putting away of sins.
29. ötav aưto $\pi l y \omega$ к.т. $\lambda$. The reference is to the feast, which is a symbol of the glorified life, cp. Luke xxii. 30. The new wine.signifies the new higher existence (ch. ix. 17), which Christ would share with his Saints. The expression may also symbolize the Christian as distinguished from the Jewish dispensation, and be referred specially to the celebration of the Eucharist, in which Christ joins with the faithful in the feast of the Kingdom of God on earth.
30. in $\mu v \eta_{j}$ arres. 'Having chanted' the second part of the hallel. See note on $v .20(f)$.

## 31-35. All seally be offended.

Mark xiv. 27-31; Luke xxii. 31-34. Cp. John xiii. 36- 38 and xvi. 32.
81. үєरpamtat. See note oh. ii. 5.

тала $\xi_{\omega}$ к.т.入. Zech. xiii. 7. The words do not literally follow the Hebrew. Both Hebrew and LXX. have imperative for future. The difference in form is as slight in Hebrew as in Greek ( $\pi a r d \xi \omega$, $\left.\pi \dot{a} \tau a \xi \frac{\xi_{0}}{}\right)$. The context describes the purification of Jerusalem in
the last days-'in that day there shall be a fountain opened to the house of David and to the inhabitants of Jerusalem'-the discomfiture of the false prophets, and the victory of Jehorah on the Mount of Olives.
It may be fitly remembered that the Valley of Jehoshaphat (in N.T. the Valley of Kedron) according to the most probable view derived its name-the Valley of the Judgment of Jehovah-not from the king of Judah, but from the vision of Joel (iii. 2 and 9-17), of which the prophecy of Zechariah is the repetition in a later age. If so, there is deep significance in the words recurring to the mind of Christ, as He trod the very field of Jehovah's destined victory. The prophecy carried on from age to age rested here in its fulfilment. Nor is it irreverent to believe that the thought of this vision brought consolation to the homan heart of Jesus as he passed to his supreme selfsurrender with the knowledge that He would be left alone, deserted even by his chosen followers.
32. The expression, $\pi \rho \circ \alpha{ }_{j} \xi \omega$, lit., 'I will lead you as a shepherd,' falls in with the thought of the quotation.
34. mplv $\mathbf{d} \lambda$ <ктора к. $\tau . \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. 'This day, even in this night, before the cock crow twice, thou shalt deny me thrice' (Mark). A curious difficulty has been raised here from the fact that it was onlawful for Jews to keep fowls in the Holy City. Such rales, however, could not be applied to the Romans.
35. käy $\delta \in \mathfrak{n} \mu \epsilon$ к.т. $\lambda$. Accurately, 'Even if I shall be obliged to die with thee.' oiv denotes the closest possible union. Contrast oiv
 by the side of ( $\sigma v y$ ) Christ could not even watch in his company ( $\mu e \tau^{\alpha}$ ).

> 36-46. The Agony in the Garden of Gethsemane.
> Mark xiv. 32-42; Luke xxii. 39-46; John xviii. 1.

In St Luke's account verses 43, 44 are peculiar to his Gospel. The use of $\dot{a} \gamma \omega v i a(a ̈ \pi a \xi \lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in N.T.) by the same Evangelist has given the title to this passage.

St Luke also relates that 'there appeared an angel unto him from heaven, strengthening him.' There is, however, some reason for doubting the genuineness of these verses.
36. Гetorpavel =' the oil press;' $\pi \epsilon \rho a \nu$ тô̂ $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \mu d \rho \rho o v ~ T \hat{v}$ K $\epsilon \delta \rho \omega \nu$
 answering to $\kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \mathrm{os}$.
37. тdv Пérpov к.т.入. See ch. xvii. 1 and Mark v. 37. The Evangelist, St John, was thas a witness of this scene; hence, as we should expect, his narrative of the arrest of Jesus is very full of particulars.
d $\delta \eta \mu$ oveiv. This word is found in the parallel passage, Mark xiv. 33 and in Phil. ii. 26, not elsewhere in N.T. Buttmann, Lex. p. 29 foll. connects it with $\alpha \delta \eta \mu o s$, as if the train of thought were,-absence from home-perplexity-distress, It is better however to recur to
the older derivation connecting it with $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta, \dot{\alpha} \delta \tilde{\eta} \sigma a c$ (see Bp . Lightfoot, on Phil. ii. 26), where the idea of the word would be either (1) 'satiety,' so painful weariness of life and life's work; cp. the use of the rare

 dislike to go on with it. Or (2) from the sense of physical derangement transferred to mental pain, 'distress,' 'agony of mind,' which agrees very well with the instance quoted by Buttmann of a woman
 p. 402. The old lexicons give as synonyms, $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu t a \hat{\nu}, \dot{a} \lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \nu, \dot{a} \pi o \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu}$,

 which Jesus ascribes to Himself a human $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ in this particular sense-the seat of the feelings and emotions.
 human sympathy.
$\mu_{\text {er' }}$ Époû. Only in Matthew.
 shadow for the retirement of Jesus.

- Пátєp $\mu$ ou. St Mark has the Aramaic Abba as well as $\pi a ́ r \epsilon \rho$.

тò moтíplov toûto. See note, ch: xx. 22. Were these words overheard by the sons of Zebedee? Christ was probably praying aloud, according to the usual custom. If so, the thought of their ambition and of their Master's answer would surely recur to them (eh. xx. 20-23).
o'x ws eyw benc. In the 'Agony,' as in the Temptation, the Son submits Himself to his Father's will.
40. ousk loxioate; Hod you not the $/ \sigma x^{\prime \prime}$-the physical strength to watch? This was an instance of failing to serve God with their
 nym of סívauaı, seems always to retain some sense of physical power,

 enough to dig.'

Note that the verb is in the plural. As Peter took the lead in the promise of devotion, Jesus by naming him singles him out for rebuke. St Mark has 'Simon (the name of the old life), sleepest thou? Couldest not thou watch one hour?'
 gled with the rebuke is characteristic of the gentleness of Jesus.
44. Tòv aưtdv $\lambda$ 人 yov elanuvv. This repetition of earnestness must be distinguished from the vain repetitions of ch. vi. 7.
 explained either (1) by regarding the first words as intended for a rebuke, or else'(2) at that very moment Judas appeared, and the time for action had come. The short, quick sentences, especially as
reported by St Mark; favour the second suggestion. The words $\dot{o}$ vids



## 47-b6. The Arrest of Jesde.

St Mark xiv. 43-50; St Luke xxii. 47-53; St John xviii. 3-11.
 $a$ (strictly, the) band (of men) and officers from the chief priests and Pharisees (xviii. 3). The band of men here=the maniple of Roman soldiers, placed at the service of the Sanhedrin by the Procurator. The same word is used Acte x. 1, xxi. 32, axvii. 1. St Luke names the 'aaptains of the Temple' (xxii. 52). Hence the body, guided by Judas, consisted of (1) a maniple (areîpa, see note ch. xxvii. 27) of Roman soldiers; (2) a detachment of the Levitical temple-guard (Luke); (3) certain members of the Sanhadrin and Pharisees.
 also Polybius, Lucian, and other late authors. St John has $\mu \epsilon \mathrm{rd} \phi$ a- $^{\text {- }}$ $\nu \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{kal} \lambda a \mu \pi d \delta \omega \nu \mathrm{kal} \delta \pi \lambda \omega \nu$, xviii. 3.
49. Xaipe, paßßl. The joyous Greek salutation 'be glad,' and the Jewish term of respect 'my master.'

катєф(גךбєv aüтбv, 'kissed him with fervour, or repeatedly;' cp.


80. 'Eraipc. See ch. xx. 13. In relation to the ward papßl (v. 49) the meaning of eraipe would be: 'thou, my disciple.'
$\mathbf{t}^{\prime}$ ' $\%$. The sentence is best explained by an ellipse of molinoop or some equivalent word, 'Do that for which thou art come.' is is never used for ris in the N.T. unless this be an instance. St Luke preserves


 els $\tau$ thp ${ }^{2} \sigma \boldsymbol{w}$ (Acts iv. 3).
 who does not mention the kiss of Judas, sets the self-surrender of Jesus in a olear light: 'I have told you that I am he: if therefore ye seek me, let these go their way.'
51. TIs ти̂y $\mu$ erì 'I $\eta$ oov̀. This was St Peter, named by St John, but not by the earlier Evangelists, probably from motives of prudence.
triv $\mu$ áxacav. Probably a short sword or dirk, worn in the belt.
tòv Soûdov. The servant, or rather slave. St John gives his name, Malchus. St Luke alone records the cure of Malchus.

Tò witlov. L'óaptoy (Mark). Lobeck, on Phryn. p. 211, remarks the tendency in common speech to express parts of the body by diminu-


62-54. These verses are peodiar to Matthew; each Frangelist has recorded sayings unnoticed by the others. It is easy to understand that in these exciting moments each bystander should perceive a part only of what was said or done.
62. mívies $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ к.т.ג. To this reason for non-resistance Christ added another, 'The aup which my Father has given me shall I not drink it?' (John.)
 some foroe in $\lambda$ apbutes, 'take' the sword, handle it of their own plea-
 фapeí, Rom. xiii. 4, where фopeip the legitimate wearing of the sword may be contrasted with $\lambda a \beta \in \hat{e} v$. The truth of this saying was ex. emplified by the slaughter of nearly a million and a half of Jews, who 'took the sword' against Rome a.d. 67-70.
Iv paxalpg. For instrumental ev see note, ch. iii. 11.
53. 8okeis ätu oi 8úvapal...kal mapaatível. The form of the sentence is Aramaie, the real subject of the whole sentence being j $\pi$ arinp: a regular Greek construction would express the thought of тapaka $\lambda \in \sigma a l$ by a participle or by a conditional clause. But though the form is irregular it throws in to emphasis the certainty that the prayer would be granted. 'Can I not summon my Father to my aid as an ally in my extremity, and swiftly He will draw up by my side twelve legions of angels against the single maniple of the Roman guard.' mapaxa入eî and rapıotaval are both military terms: cp. Hdt. WII.
 'Sammoning me to be your ally.' For rapıatávac ep. Polyb. im. 72. 9 ,

 déxoyтas rapa $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. For the omission of $\dot{\eta}$ after $\pi \lambda \in l \omega$, the nsual

 Georg. rv. 207. For the neuter pl. $\pi \lambda e l \omega$ (instead of $\pi \lambda e \hat{c}$ independent of the construction, see Lob. Phryn, p. 410, where several instances are given of constructive laxity in the case of numerals, e.g.
 Bytes, Joseph. Ant. xvin. 1. 871. But none of the instances there given precisely meet this case.
 authority and kingly majesty of Jesus should be suggested at a moment when every hope seemed to have perished.
$\lambda_{\text {eyeêvas. One of the few Latin words in this gospel, perhaps used }}$ with a special reason, as in the case of ки̂vcor (ch. Ixii. 17). Here probably the intention was to preserve the very term used by Jesus. The word might be suggested by the sight of the maniple ( $\sigma \pi \in \hat{\imath} p a$ ) of the Roman soldiers; see note above.
55. $\lambda_{\text {Jotriv, }}$ 'a robber,' not 'thief,' as A.V. Cp. St John x. 1, where the two words are distinguished. See note, ch. $x$ xi. 13.

According to St Luke these words were addressed to the chief priests, and captains of the temple, and elders,' where it appears that some members of the Sanhedrin had in their evil zeal joined in the capture. The same Evangelist adds, 'this is your hour, and the power of darkness' (xxii. 53).
 Christ, and not a reflection by the Evangelist (cp. Mark xiv. 49); if so, they were, for most of the disciples, their Master's last words.

For the tense of $\begin{aligned} \text { fegopev see notes, ch. } & \text { i. 22, xxi. } 4 .\end{aligned}$
т $\delta \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$, elosely connected with the preceding words. If this was the fulfilment of prophecy, their interpretation was indeed mistaken. It was the death-blow to temporal hopes.

т'́тє...eфvyov. Note the beauty and nervous strength of this short clause. Each word has its special force and its true position. Eфvyop 'fled,' as though by the capture of the leader the whole enterprise had failed. 'Quantm in periculis fuge proximorum!' (Gicero.)

67-68. Jesue is brovght before Caitapias. The first and informal Meeting of the Sanhedin.
St Mark xiv. 53-65; St Luke xxij. 54 and 63-65.
St Luke reports this first irregular trial with less detail than the other synoptists, but gives the account of the second formal sitting at greater length.

It is not clear whether the private examination, related by St John xviii. I9-28, was conducted by Annas or Caiaphas. Jesus was first taken to the house of Annas, whose great influence (he was still high priest in the eyes of the people) would make it neoessary to have his sanction for the subsequent measures. Possibly 'the high priest' (John xviii. 19) was Caiaphas, but the expression 'therefore Annas sent him bound unto Caiaphes' ( $v .24$ ) makes this improbable.
The subjoined order of events is certainly not free from difficulties, but is the most probable solution of the question:
(1) From the garden Gethsemane Jesus was taken to Annas; thence, after brief questioning (St John xviii. 19-23),
(2) To Caiaphas, in another part of the Sacerdotal palace, where some members of the Sanhedrin had hastily met, and the first irregolar trial of Jesus took place at night; Matt. xxvi. 57-68; Mark xiv. 52-65; Luke xxii. 54 and 63-65.
(3) Early in the morning a second and formal trial was held by the Sanhedrin. This is related by St Luke ch. xxii. 66-71; and is mentioned by St Matthew ch. exvii. 1 ; and in St Mark xv. 1.
(4) The trial before Pontius Pilate, consisting of two parts: (a) a preliminary examination (for which there is a technical legal phrase in St Luke xxiii. 14); (b) a final trial and sentence to death.
（5）The remission to Herod，recorded by St Luke only，xxiii．7－11； between the two Roman trials，（a）and（b）．
The question is sometimes asked，Was the trial of Jesus fair and legal according to the rules of Jewìsh law？The answer must be that the proceedings against Jesus violated both（1）the spirit，and（2）the express rales of Hebrew jurisdiction，the general tendency of which was to extreme alemency．
（1）The Talmud states：＇The Sanhedrin is to save，not to destroy life．＇No man could be condemned in his absence，or without a majority of two to one；the penalty for proauring false witnesses was death；the condemned was not to be exeouted on the day of his trial． This clemency was violated in the triel of Jesus Christ．
（2）Bat even the ordinary legal rules were disregarded in the fol－ lowing particulars：（a）The examination by Aunas without witnesses． （ $b$ ）The trial by night．（c）The sentence on the first day of trial． （d）The trial of a capital charge on the day before the Sabbath．（e） The suborning of witnesses．（f）The direct interrogation by the Bigh Priest．

57．denifayov．aं $\pi d \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{f}$ is ased technically of carrying off to prison． Cp．Acts xii．19，éxé $\lambda \epsilon \cup \sigma \epsilon \nu$ aंबax $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a$, ＇to be led off to execution．＇
ouvixitnoav．St Mark describes the members of the Sanhedrin entering with Jesus（ $\sigma v p \in \rho \chi$ оутat aür $\varphi$ ）to this pre－arranged irregular meeting．

69．दुทंтouv к．т．入．See above（1）：to seek witnesses at all was against
 timued search．

61．Sóvapat кara入īarat к．т．$\lambda$ ．The actual words of Jesus spoken （John ii．19）in the first year of his ministry were，גứare ròy vaìp
 （note that ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \in \rho \bar{\omega}$ is appropriate to raising from the dead，and is very different from olkō$\delta \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$ ）．The attempt was to convict Jesus of blasphemy in asserting a superhuman power．

63．缚оркifa．Here only in N．T．Used in classioal authors in the sense of＇to administer an oath，＇especially the military oath（sacra－ mentam）．Possibly the word may be used here in reference to the charge against Jesus，סacuóvto ĚXet．
ó vids tov̂ $\theta$ eoû．The Jews might have recognised Jesus as the Messiah，but not as the Son of God．

64．नv̀ eimas．See note，v． 25.
dл’ đ̈pть öчєб日e к．т．入．Cp．Dan．vii．13；ch．xvi．27，xxiv．30， xxy． 31 ．
ėmi $\tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \in \phi . \quad$ See ch．xxiv． 30.
65． $\mathbf{8 1} \varphi \rho \rho \eta 5 \mathrm{E} \%$ ．This act was enjoined by the Rabbinical rales．When the charge of blasphemy was proved＇the judges standing on their feet
rend their garments，and do not sew them up again．＇ra＇f $\mu$ airca in the plural，because according to Rebbinical directions all the under－ garments were to be rent，＇even if there were ten of them．＇

66．＂uroxas к．т．$\lambda$ ．i．e．＇has incurred the penalty of death．＇The Sanhedrin do not pass sentence，bat merely re－affirm thair foregone conclusion，and endeavour to have sentence passed and judgment executed by the Procurator．For troxos see note，ah．v． 22.

67．кo入adetetv，＇to strike with clenched fist，＇from кбגaфos，late for
 ко入афц丂о́мєрои บ்тодєуєітє， 1 Pet．ii．20．See also 1 Cor．iv．11； 2 Cor． xii． 7.
parthetv，from panis，＇a rod，＇＇to strike with cudgels＇（Hdt．Xen． Dem．Polyb．al．），later，to strike with the flat of the hand．
 ch．xxviii． 17.

68．тpodifevar rijiv．Observe the coarse popular idea of pro－ phecy breaking ont，according to which prophecy is a meaningless exhibition of miraculous power．A similar vein of thought shews itself in the second temptation（oh．iv．6）．

## 69－75．The Dinital of Piter．

St Mark xiv．66－72；Luke xxii．54－62；John xviii．15－18，and 25－27．
The accounts differ slightly，and exactly in such a way as the evidence of honest witnesses might be expected to differ in describing the minor details（which at the time would appear animportant）in a scene full of stir and momentous incidents．Disarepanaies of this kind form the strongest argument for the independence of the different gospels．St Lake mentions that＇the Lord turned and looked upon Peter．＇St John atates that the third question was put by a kinsman of Malchus．

69．Iv Tin avi쉬．In the court．In Oriental houses the street door opens into an entrance hall or passage：this is the＇porch＇（mu入iwa） of $v .71$ ；beyond this is a central court（av̀ $\lambda \dot{\eta}$ ）open to the aky and surrounded by pillars，The reception rooms are usually on the ground floor，and are built round the central court．Probably the hall or room in which Jesus was being tried opened upon the court． Thus Jesus was able to look apon Peter．
 tise），gossip．＇The same notion of contempt underlies the word，John
 as in A．V．，not definitely＇a dialect＇（Schleusner）．In the LXX．it is used generally for＇word＇or＇speech．＇
 dialect．The Galimans were unable to pronounce the gatturals dis－
tinctly, and they lisped, pronounaing sh like th. Perhaps Peter said, 'I know not the $i t h$,' instead of, 'I know not the ish' (man).
74. кara@quartfetr. See critical notes supra. Cp. Rev. xxii. B, where кат $\dot{A} \theta \in \mu$ is restored for катavá $\theta \in \mu a$. No other instance is cited either of noun or verb. They appear to be used as synonymons with draitena, 'an accursed thing,' and avaAcparisecy, 'to devote to destruction', 'to curse.' Two explanations may be given: (1) the meanings of diva and karai in composition ${ }^{\text {so }}$ often coincide that an interchange of the two prepositions in noun- or verb-forms is quite explicable; (2) the
 fallem by usage to $\kappa \alpha \tau d \theta_{\epsilon} \mu a$, к $\alpha \tau \alpha \theta \epsilon \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \omega$, the Greek language shrinking from the union of kard and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}$ in composition, of which the instances are extremely rare.
75. Uchavgev, of loud and bitter wailing, in distinction from סakpócup, 'to weep silently.' The latter verb is found onve only in N.T., John


## CHAPTER XXVII.

2. NBL, several Versions and Fathers omit Movitu before $\Pi_{c} \lambda d_{\tau} \tau$. The majority of MSS. give both names. Josephus generally has Mi-入ẫos ouly.
3. Squ has far higher authority than $\delta \psi$ el. The Ionic termination of 2 nd person in $-\eta$ was the usual form in late Attic, but the older termination in - $\epsilon$ t was retained in three verbs- $\beta$ obinet-otel- $\delta \psi \psi_{\text {es }}$ even after $-\eta$ became common in other instances, hence the variation in the MSS.
 many uncials. The variation and evenly-balanced evidence is another proof of the close relationship between els and $t p$. If $\epsilon / s \tau$. v. be the true reading a very carly copyist finds ${ }^{2} y \tau$. $v$. to be more intelligible or more nataral.
4. Origen notes that in some Codices 'I $\eta$ ooûr was read before $\lambda_{\varepsilon \gamma \delta \mu \varepsilon \nu \nu}$ in this verse and before Bapaßßầ in the next. There is, however, no good evidence for the insertion, and (as Tregelles remarks) vv. 20 and 26 are fatal to it. Possibly, according to the same critic, the reading arose from the repetition in an uncial of the last letters of ininy, which would appear as IN and so resemble the ordinary contraction for 'Inбoûr.

5. oivov for $8 \xi$ os, with the more ancient MSS. A and many uncials have the reading of the textus receptus.

 t $\beta$ a $\lambda$ ov $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o p$. The omission is made on quite decisive evidence, the authorities being headed by NABDL.
6. After this verse the important MSS. $\approx$ BCL insert these words:
 atua. Cp. John xix. 34. Evidence from the Fathers points to the very early existence of this important reading, which states that the Saviour was pierced while still living. The words are, however, rightly rejected by the best textual oriticism. See Tischendorf and Meyer ad loc., and Scrivener (Introduction, \&c. p. 472, 480), who well points out the danger of following without discrimination the reading of even the oldest and most anthoritative MSS.
 and many other uncials.
7. 'I $\omega \sigma \mathfrak{\eta} \phi$ for' $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \hat{\eta}$ : the first is supported by $\mathfrak{N} * D L$, Versions and Origen, and the second by ABC, many other uncials and Fathers.
8. ruktós, almost certainly a gloss, with very small support.

Cg. XXVII. 1. The Second and formal Meetina of the Sanhedrin.
St Mark xv. 1; St Luke xxii. 66-71; not mentioned by St John.

## 2. The Delivery to Pontius Pilate.

St Mark xp. 1; St Luke xxiii. 1; St John xviii. 28: 'then led they Jesus from Caiaphas unto the hall of Judgment (or Pratorium), and it was early.'
2. Пuharu. Pontius Pilatus was the governor, or more accurately, the Procurator of Judma, which after the bamishment of Archelaus (see ch. ii. 22) had been placed under the direct government of Rome, and attached as a dependency to Syria. Pilate filled this ofice during the last ten years of the reign of Tiberius, to whom as Procurator in an imperial province he was directly responsible. In the year A.D. 35 or 36 , he was sent to Rome on a charge of cruelty to the Samaritans. The death of Tiberius probably deferred his trial, and according to Eusebius, 'wearied with his misfortunes,' he put himself to death. In character Pilate appears to have been impolitic, cruel and weak. On three signal occasions he had trampled on the religions feelings of the Jews, and repressed their resistance with merciless severity. A further instance of cruelty, combined with profanation, is alluded to, St Luke xiii. 1: 'the Galilæans, whose blood Pilate had mingled with their sacrifices.' The name Pontius connects Pilate with the gens of the Pontii, to which the great Samnite General, C. Pontius Telesinus, belonged. The cognomen Pilatus probably signifies 'armed with a pilum' (javelin). Tacitus mentions Pontins Pilate in a well-known passage (Ann. xp. 44), 'Auctor nominis ejus Christus Tiberio imperitante per procuratorem Pontium Pilatum supplicio affectus erat.' 'Christus, from whom the Christians are called, suffered death in the reign of Tiberius, under
the procurator P. Pilate.' Many traditions have gathered round the name of Pontius Pilate. According to one, he was banished to Vienne in the south of France; according to another, he ended a restless life by plunging into a deep and gloomy lake on Mount Pilatus, near Lucerne. The shallow pool, often dry in the summer months, sufficiently disproves this story. The usual residence of the Roman Procurator in Judea was Cæbazea Stratonis (bee map).

The wish of the Sambedrin in delivering Jesus to Pilate was to have their sentence confirmed without enquiry, see ch. xxvi. 66.
 imperial province was exitpotos (procurator), or 'high steward." In
 18, and 1 Peter ii. 14. In the singular $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ is applied in the N.T. to the Procurators of Judæa, as here and elsewhere to Pilate, in Acts xxiii. 24 and elsewhere to Felix. In Luke iii. 1, ทircuovia means the
 Luke ii. 2. In the Acts St Luke distinguishes with great historical accuracy the various titles of the provincial governors, See note, eh. x. 18.

3-10. The remorse of Jodas. He rettong the binver Shefels. Tee dbe made of term. Peeuliar to St Matthew.
 Judas had not expected this result of his treachery. He had hoped that Jesus would by a mighty manifestation of His divine power usher in at once the Kingdom whose coming was too long delayed, The whole tenour of the narrative, however, contradicts such an inference.
$\mu e r a \mu e \lambda \eta \theta$ els implies no change of haart or life, but merely remorse or regret that a wiser course had not been followed. Op. cal $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \mu$ e-


 xi. 29. See note, ch. xxi. 29, 30.
4. dêov, 'innocent,' here and v. 24 only in N.T., der. from $\theta \omega \bar{\eta}$
 In the classics it is used (1) absolutely, $\dot{a} \theta \bar{\varphi} 0 y$ eây, 'free from penalty,' or (2) with a genitive, 这 $\theta \hat{\varphi} o s ~ \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$, Aristoph. Nub. 1413. In the LXX., after the Hebrew idiom, it is constructed with d $\pi \dot{c}$ as in $v .24$. The expression a $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mu} \alpha \dot{d} \theta \hat{\psi} o \nu$ oceurs Ps. viii. 21, and is frequent in
 xxv. 6.
 $\theta \epsilon$ ds $\delta \psi \epsilon \mathrm{rac}$, Soph. Phil. 839, 'This shall be the care of heaven., Bengel's comment is: 'Impii in facto consortes post factum deserunt.' For the form $\delta \psi y$ see critical notes.
B. Als todv vaóv. 'Into the holy place, which only the priests could enter.
datendy thithitis 4 diferent account of the end of Judas is given Aetf i. 18, either by St Poter, or by St Luke in a parenthetical insertion. It is there stated (1) that Judas, not the Priests, bought the field; (2) that 'falling headlong he burst asunder in the midst, and all his bowels gushed out;' (3) that the tield was oalled Aceldama for that reason, not for the reason stated in this passage. The two accounts are not ectually inconsistent, but the key to their ooncordance is lost. No entirely satisfactory solution of the discrepancy has been given.
6. €ls $\tau d v$ корßavây. For the prohibition cp. Deut. xxiii. 18.
7. Tdy dypòy к.т. $\lambda$. Tradition places Aceldama (Acts i. 19) in the valley of Hinnom, south of Jerusalem. The Athenians also had their кepaucubs, the Potters' Quarter, in the most beartiful subarb of their city, where the illustrious dead were buried.

тois gevors. i.e. for the Jews of the dispersion, Hellenists and proselytes. It is a note of the exclusiveness of those Jews whose home was still the Holy Land, that a plot of ground should be set apart for the burial of all who were not par eacellence Hebrews. See Pbil. iii. 5.

At the time of the Passover, when hundreds of thonsands were crowded in a confined space, the question of burying strangers was doubtless urgent.
 in. 9. 21, and frequently.
9. To $\dot{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \hat{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}$ к.т. $\lambda$. The citation is from Zech. xi. 12, but neither the Hebrew nor the LXX. version is followed exactly. The Hebrew literally translated is: "And Jehovah said to me, "Cast it into the treasury;' a goodly price that I was prised at by them: And I took the thirty pieces of silver, and cast them into the treasury in the house of Jehovah." Zechariah, under the image of a shepherd, refuses any longer to lead the disobedient and divided flock, and asks for the price of his hire, which he then casts into the treasury. The discrepancy is probably due to the citation being made from memory. The ascription of the words to Jeremiah instead of to Zechariah may be assigned (1) to the same ceuse, or (2) explained, with Lightfoot (Hor. Hebr. ad loc.), by supposing thet Jeremiah, who beging the Book of the Prophets bacording to one tradition, is intended to indicate the whole of that division of the Scriptures (see note ch, xvi. 14). Two other conjectures have been made: (3) That chs. ix., x. and xi, of Zechariah in the present Cenon are the work of Jeremiah. (4) That in the original text the words $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ rô $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta$ rou stood alone and the name was added by an early eopyist. The fact that St Matthew not unfrequently quotes in this manner without naming the book from which the citation is made is in favour of the conjecture. See chs, i. 22, ii. 5, xiii. 35, and xxi. 4 (Horne's Introd., P. 2. ch. 9, 8 1.)

## 

St Mark xy. 2-15; St Luke xxiii. 2-5 and 13-24; St John xviii. 29-xix. 16.

St Luke states the threefold charge most clearly: "We found this [fellow] (1) perverting the gation; (2) and forbidding to give tribute to Casar; (3) saying that he himself is Christ a King.'
Pilate, true to the Roman sense of justice, refused merely to confirm the sentence of the Sanhedrin. ' He asked, what aceusation bring ye against this man f' (John xviii. 29), being determined to try the case. This accusation amounted to a charge of treason-the greatest crime known to Roman law. Of the three points of accusstion, (2) was utterly false; (1) and (3) though in a sense true, were not true in the sense intended. The answer or defence of Jesus is that He is a King, but that His 'kingdom is not of this world,' therefore (it is inferred) the 'perversion of the people' was not a rebellion that threatened the Boman government; see note $v$. 11. The defence was complete, as Pilate admits: 'I find no fault in him.'
11. $\Sigma \sqrt{ } \in \operatorname{ll} \dot{\delta}$ Baurdevs $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$; The answer of Jesus to this question, and His explanation to Pilate of the Kingdom of God are given at length, John xviii. 33-37; observe especially that the servants of the kingdom would fight, if they fought at all, not against Rome but against Israel who had rejected the Messiah: 'If my Kingdom were of this world, then would my servants fight that I should not be delivered to the Jews.'
Siv $\lambda$ ' $ү$ ess. See note, ch. xxvi. 25.
12. airekpivaro. 1 aor. mid. for the more usual 1 aor. passive. Of this form four instances occur in the Synoptic gospels, three in the parallel accounts of the Passion, the fourth Luke iii. 16.
14. Note the emphatio position of Nlav. Reserve daring his trisl was the last thing that Pilate's experience had led him to expect from a Jew.
15. dimo入úety к.т.入. The origin of this custom is quite anknown; St Mark says, 'as he had ever done unto them,' as if the custom originated with Pilate; St Luke has, 'of necessity he must release;' St John, 'Ye have a castom.'

No trace of this castom is found in the Talmad. But the release of prisoners was usual at certain festivals at Rome, and at Athens during the Panathenaic festival prisoners enjoyed temporary liberty. It is not, therefore, improbable that Herod the Great, who certainly familiarised the Jews with other usages of Greece and Rome, introduced this oustom, and that the Roman governor, finding the custom established and gratifying to the Jews, in accordance with Roman practioe (see Introd. p. 22 (3)) retained the observance of it.
16. Bapa $\beta \beta \hat{\alpha} y=$ 'Bon of a father,' or perhaps 'Son of a Rabbi.' The reading, I I $\quad$ ouiv Bapaßßà, which appears in some copies, is rightly rejected by the best editors; see oritical notes. As Alford remarks,
v. 20 is fatal to the insertion. St Mark and St Luke add that Barabbas had committed murder in the insurrection.
 named, $v .15$, an appeal was made to the people, not to the Sanhedrin. Pilate was sitting on the tribunal to ascertain the popular decision; at this point he was interrupted by his wife's messengers, and while he was engaged with them, the chief priests employed themselves in persuading the people to demand Barabbas rather than Christ.
 platiorm in the Basilica or court where the judges sat; here a portable tribunal, from which the sentence was pronounced; it was placed on a tesselated pavement called Gabbatha (John xix. 13).

ท่ $\gamma$ vvì aủrov̂. Claudia Procula or Procla: traditions state that she was a proselyte of the gate, which is by no means unlikely, as many of the Jewish proselytes were women. By an imperial regalation provincial governors had been prohibited from taking their wives with them. But the rale gradually fell into disuse, and an attempt made in the Senate (a.D. 21) to revive it completely failed. 'Severus Cæcina censnit ne quem magistratum cui provincia obvanisset uxor comitaretur...paucorum hmc assensu audita plures obturbabant, neque relatum de negotio neque Cxeinam dignum tanta rei censorem.' Tac. Ann. ini. 33, 34. The dream of Pilate's wife is recorded by St Matthew only.
 pain, but 'experienced many sensations,' i.e. 'felt much.'
20. Tra alrijowvral k. т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. St Peter brings out the full meaning of this choice: 'ye denied the Holy One and the Just, and desired a murderer to be granted into yon; and killed the Prince of life" (Aets iii. 14, 15). They saved the murderer, and slew the Saviour.
 (see $v .17$ ). His wife's message had made Pilate anxious to acquit Jesus. Bat the very form of the question implied condemnation. Jesus was elassed with Barabbas in the category of condemned prisoners.
 tion $\pi \iota \tau \iota \nu$ (instead of the usceul $\tau \iota \tau \nu L)$ here only for cartain. In the parallel passage, Mark xv. 12, the reading of Lachm. and Treg. (öy $\lambda$ er $\epsilon$ ce om.) gives another instance, and the reading of Tisoh, is not inconsistent with this construction. The coincidence would imply an exact reproduction of Pilate's words, (the trial would be conducted in Greek), and the correctness of structure in this single instance seems to indioate the higher culture of an educated Roman.
 show of legality or jastice: the traditional clemency is quite forgotten; the fanatical crowd, pressing round the doors of the Pratorium, which they cannot enter, join with excited gesticulation in one loud and furious ery for the blood of Jesus.

It is a forecast of the brutal popular cry 'Chrietisnos ad leones,' which in that or more subtle forms doomed many martyrs in all ages.

This is often quoted as an instance of the fickleness of popular favour, and a contrast is drawn between the shouts of 'hosanna' a few days before and the cries of aravpotirice now. But when the Jews present at the feast were numbered by hundreds of thousands, it is not necessary to think that the same crowde who hailed Jesue as the Messiah were now demanding his death.
24. T8u'v 8 k к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. St Lake relates a further attampt on Pilate's part to release Jesus, 'I will chastise Him and let Him go' (Luke xxiii, 22). Will not the cruel torture of a Roman scourging melt their hearts?

St John, at still greater length, narrates the struggle in Pilate's mind between his sanse of justice and his respect for Jesus on the one hand, and on the other his double fear of the Jewe and of Cwsar. (1) He tried to stir their compassion by shewing Jesus to them crowned with thorns and mangled with the scourging; (2) hearing that Jesus called Himself the "Son of God,' he 'was the more afraid;' (3) at length he even 'sought to release Him,' but the chief priests conquered his scruples by a threat that moved his fears, 'If thon let this man go thou art not Cassr's friend.' This was the charge of treason which Tacitus says (Ann. in. 39) was 'omsinm accusationum complementum.' The vision of the implacable Tiberius in the background clenched the argument for Pilate. It is the corse of despotism that it makes fear stronger than justice.

Naßay $\boldsymbol{\delta \delta \omega o p}_{\text {к. } \tau . \lambda \text {. Recorded by St Matthew only. In so doing }}$ Pilate followed a Jewish custom which all would understand. Deut. xzi. 6; Ps. xzvi, 6.
tupes öqecte. See note v. 4.
25. тd aipa aúrov̂ к.т.入. Also peculiar to Matthew. St Peter finde as the sole excuse for his fellow countrymen, 'I wot that through ignoranoe ye did it, as did also your rulers' (Acts iii. 17). The prayer of Jeaus on the cross for his murderers was meant for these as well as for the Roman soldiers.
26. \$payenhíras. Here and Mark xy. 15, from Latin 'ftagello.' Scourging nsually preceded crucifixion. It was in itself a cruel and barbarous torture, under which the victim often perished.

27-30. Jeaje is mocied by the Roman Soldiers.
Mark xv. 16-19. John xis. 1-3.
St Luke, who records the mockery of Herod's soldiers, makes no mention of these insults on the part of the Roman guard.
27. of वтрatiôtau toù j̀yधuóvos. The Procurator's body-guard as opposed to $\delta \lambda \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon i p a \nu$.

тd $\pi$ paccúpiov meant originally (1) the general's tent; (2) it was then ased for the residence of the governor or prince, cp. Acts xxiii. 85; (3) then for an official Roman villa or country house; (4) barracks especially for the Pretorian guard; (5) the Premtorian guard itself (Phil. i. 13). The second meaning (2) is to be preferred here.
 plained by a passage of Josephus, B. J. v. 6. 8, where it is stated that during the great festivals a 'maniple' ( $\sigma \pi \in \hat{c} \rho a$ or $\tau d \gamma \mu a$, see Schweighänser's Lex. Polyb. sub voc. rd́y $\mu$ a) was kept under arms to quell any disturbance that might arise. It was this body that was sent to arrest Jesus $\lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$ т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{p} a \nu$, John xviii. 3. Cp. Acts xxi. 31, where

 part of the Roman legion, and the third part of a cohort, consisting therefore nominally of 200 men . Cp. Theophylact (quoted by Wet-
 agrees with the number of the escort sent to conduct Paul to Cæsarea, Acts xxiii. 23. There seems to be no good reason for translating



The word itself, $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho a$, anything twisted round like a ball of thread, is a translation of 'manipulus' (a wisp of hay).
28. X $\lambda$ ацú8́a коккirqv. A soldier's scaxf, Lat. chlamys: it was generally worn by superior officers, but its use was not confined to them. This may have been a worn-out scarf belonging to Pilate; it is different from é $\theta \hat{\eta} \tau a \quad \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \alpha$, (Luke xxiii. 11), which Herod's soldiers pat on Jesus. Scarlet was the proper colour for the military ohlamys; ep. 'coceum imperatoriis dicatum paludamentis.' Plin. H. N. xxi. 10. (See Dict. of $A n t$. ) St Mark has the less defi-
 by Latin writers to denote any bright colour.
 of thorn was used. The soldiers, as Bp. Ellicott remarks, would take what first came to hand, utterly careless whether it was likely to inflict pain or no.


## 31, 32. Jesus is led to Cryctimion.

$$
\text { Mark xv. 20, 21; Luke xxiii. 26-32; John xix. 16, } 17 .
$$

St Luke has several particulars of what happened on the way to Golgotha, omitted in the other Gospels. The great company of people and of women who followed Hira; the touching address of Jesus to the women; the last warning of the coming sorrows; the leading of two malefactors with Him.
31. diríyayov. See note oh. xxyi. 57. St Mark has $\phi \in \rho o v \sigma \nu^{\prime}$, possibly implying that Jesus through physical weakness needed support on the way to the Cross.
32. Avepwiov Kupquaiov. (1) 'coming out of the country' (Mark and Luke), (2) the father of Alexander and Rufus (Mark).
(1) This has been thought to imply that Simon was returning from work, and hence that it cannot have been the actual day of the Feast. Simon was probably coming into the city for the Paschal sacrifice, the hour for which was close at hand. (2) Rufus is probably the Christian named Rom. xvi. 13, who would be known to St Mark'g readers. May not Simon have been one of those 'Men of Cyrenf' who preached the word to Greeks when others preached to the Jews only? (Acts xi. 20.) The inference that he was already an adherent of Christ is quite uncertain.

For an account of the foundation of Cyrene see Hdt. In. 158 foll. For the origin of the Jewish colony there see Joseph. c. Apion. II. 4:


 points to its position as metropolis of the district. The Cyrenians had a synagogue in Jerusalem (Acts vi. 9), of which Simon was probably a member. Lucius of Cyrene is named among the 'prophets and teachers' at Antioch (Acts xiii. I) who bidden by the Holy Ghost separated Barnabas and Saul for the work, and laid their hands on them and sent them away. This Lucius, according to tradition, was first bishop of Cyrene. The district was however connected politically with Crate, together with which it formed a Roman Provirce-this arrangement would probably, as in other cases, determine the ecelesiastical jurisdiction.
riyrapeucav. See note oh. v. 41, where the same word is used, and the custom referred to of which this is an instance. If, as was probable, Simon became a Christian, it would be his pride to have been 'pressed into the service' of the Great King.

## 33-50. Ter Crucifixion and Death of Jests.

Mark xv. 22-37; Luke xxiii. 33-46; John xix. 18-30.
St Mark's account differs little from St Matthew's. St Luke names the mockery of the soldiers and the words of the robbers to one another and to Jesus. Three of the sayings on the oross are related by St Luke only: 'Father, forgive them; for they know not what they do;' -'Verily, I say unto thee, To day shalt thou be with me in paradise;' --'Father, into thy hands I commend my spirit.' Among other particulars recorded by St John alone are the attempt to alter the super-scription-the commendation of His mother to John-the breaking of the malefactors' legs-the piercing of Jesus-three sayings from the cross: 'Woman, behold thy son!' and to the disciple, 'Behold thy mother!'-'I thirst'-'It is finished.' St Matthew and St Mark alone record the cry of loneliness: 'Eli, Eli, lama sabaohthani?'
33. els towov к. т.入. The site of Golgotha is not known for certain, but see notes to Plan of Jerusalem; it was outside the walls, but 'nigh to the city' (John xix. 20), probably near the public road where
people passed by ( $v .39$ ), it contained a garden (John xix. 41). The name, which = 'place of a sknll,' is generally thought to be derived from the shape and appearance of the hillock or mound on which the crosses were reared. This, however, is uncertain. Pictures often mislead by representing the crucifixion as taking place on a Iofty hill at a considerable distance from the city.

The English 'Calvary' comes from the Vulgate transiation of Luke xxiii. 33, 'Et postquam venerunt in locum qui vocatur Calvarim.' Caivaria $=$ ' B bare skull.'
34. otvov к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. 'Wine mingled with myrrh' (Mark). This was the 'sour wine,' or posca, ordinarily drank by the Roman soldiers. 'Vinum atque acetum milites nostros solere accipere: uno die vinum, alio die acetum' (Ulpian, quoted by Wetstein). The potion was a stupefying draught given to criminals to deaden the sense of pain. 'Some of the wealithy ladies of Jerusalem charged themselves with this office of mercy' (Lightfoot, ad loc.). Jesus refuses this alleviation of his sufferinge.
35. oraupcioavres. From the fact of the titulus or insaription being piaced over the Saviour's head, it is inferred that the cross on which He suffered was such as is usually shewn in pictures, the crucx immissa ( $\dagger$ ) or Latin eross as distinguished from the crux commissa ( $T$ ) or the crux decussata ( x ), the form of cross on which St Andrew is said to have suffered. The height was from 9 to 12 feet; at a short distance from the ground a projecting rest supported the sufferer's feet, which, as well as the hands, were nailed to the cross.

Aecording to St Mark (xy. 2ä) the Crucifixion took place at the third hour-nine o'clock. St John (xix. 14) says it was about the sixth hour when Pilate delivered Jesus to be orucified.

This diserepancy has received no entirely satisfactory solution. It has however been suggested that St John, writing at a later period and in a different part of the world, may have followed a different mode of reckoning time. How easily such difficulties may arise can be seen by the curious fact that noon, which means the ninth hour (noma hora) or three o'dlock, is now used for twelve o'clock. The explanation would be dificult to those who did not know the historical facts.
Suqpeploavтокт.入. St John describes the division more accurately; they divided His ifátca, or outer garments, but cast lots for the seamless $\chi u \tau \omega y$, or tunic. The latter is said to have been a dress peculisr to Galilman peasants.

The Greek of the quotation from Ps. xxii. 18 (see below) does not convey the same distinction.
36. tripour autov, fearing lest a rescue should be attempted by the friends of tesus.
37. Triv altiav k. $\tau . \lambda$. It was the Roman custom to place on the cross over the criminal's head, 8 titulus, or placard, stating the crime for which he suffered. St John records Pilate's refusal to alter the inscription, and mentions that the title was written in Hebrew and Greek and Latin.
\＆Bacılè̀s râv＇Iowsalwv．See note ch．ii． 2.
The inscription is given with slight variations by the forr Evangelists．

 xix．19）．This variation points to the independence of the different Gospels，and also indicates that a real though not a verbal accuracy should be looked for in the records of the Evangelists．
38．Sivo $\lambda_{\text {ротаl，＇Two robbers＇；in all probability partners in the }}$ crime of Barabbas．The mountain robbers，or banditti，were always ready to take part in such desperate risings against the Roman power． In the eyes of the Jews they would be patriots．

Josephns tells of one leader of robbers who burnt the palaces in Jericho（B．J．Ir．6），and of another who for twenty years had wasted the country with fire and sword．

Note the absence of aủroû after $\delta \in \xi(\omega ̂ y ~ a n d ~ e v ่ ~ u r v i ́ u c v$ ．See notes， ch．xif． 31 （1）．

39．See Ps．xxii．7．This was not a Psalm of David，but was pro－ bably＇composed by one of the exiles during the Babylonish Captivity．．． who would cling to the thought that he suffered not only as an indi－ vidual，bat as one of the chosen of God．But it has more than an individual reference．It looks forward to Clirist．＇Dean Perowne on Ps，exii．

40．$\delta$ ката入íwv к．т．入．This is the mockery of the Jewish populace， who have oaught up the charges brought against Jesus before the Sanhedrin．The taunts of the soldiers are named by St Like alone： ＇If thou be the King of the Jews，save thyself＇（xxiii．37）．

41．of dexuepis к．т．入．Members of the Sanhedrin，the＇rulers＇of Luke xxiii． 35 ．

42．ädous the＇hosannas＇in the Temple which had enraged the chief priests；see note，ch．xxi．9．They also connect themselves with the name of Jesus （ $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ ）．
 see John i．49，xii． 13.

 unconsciously apply to the true Messiah the very words of a Messianio psalm．
el $\theta$ ence aúrov．A late constraction frequent in LXX．Cp．the quo－

 see Bp．Kightfoot ad loc．

44．Td 8 ＇avid к．т．入．They would naturally catch at the thought that the deliverer failed to give deliverance．St Luke alone relates that ＇one of the malefactors which were hanged railed on him．．．the other answering rebuked him．＇It is by no means impossible that the penitent robber may have seen and heard Jesus in Galilee．
45. and 82 ekrns к.т. $\lambda$. From 12 to 3 o'clock in the afternoon, the hours of the Paschal sacrifice.
 time of the Pascbal full moon, but a miraculous darkness symbolic of that solemn hour, and veiling the agonies of the Son of Man, when human soul and body alike were enduring the extremity of anguish and suffering for sin
 Aramaic form and occurs in the Chaldee paraphrase for the Hebrew 'azabtani. Such quotations of the Aramaic are very valuable and interesting as evidence of the language most familiar to Jesus, and also of the reverent accuracy of the Evangelists.

The repetition, $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu$ ou, $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu o v$, gives a deeply pathetic force; cp. ch. xxiii. 37. It is an expression of utter loneliness and desolation, the depth of which it is not for man to fathom. Yet, 'it is going beyond Scriptare to say that a sense of God's wrath extorted that cry. For to the last breath He was the well-beloved of the Father, and the repeated 'My God! My God!' is a witness even then to His confidence in His Father's Love' (Dean Perowne. Ps. xxii. 1).

Just as we are permitted to know that a particular passage of Zechariah was passing through the Saviour's mind as He crossed the valley of Kedron, so now we learn that Jesus, who in his haman agony on the Cross had watched the various incidents that brought the words of that particular Psalm to his sonl, found no words more fit to express the sense of awful desolation in that dark hour than the cry of the unknown psalmist-a captive perhaps by the waters of Babylon-in whose breast was such deep sorrow that it was like the sorrow of the Son of Man.
$\theta$ el. Noticeable as perhaps the only instance of this-the regular form of the vocative of $\theta$ cós.
ivarl; Flliptical for tiva $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \ell \nu \eta \tau a<$; 'in order that what may happen?' So 'to what end?' precisely synonymous with eis $7 l$ (Mark xv. 34).

 $\boldsymbol{\pi a \tau \eta 力 \rho} \mu \in \tau^{\prime} \dot{e} \mu \mathrm{a} \hat{v} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l \nu$. Now even the sense of the Father's presence was lost.

This was probably the fourth word from the cross; the fifth 'I thirst' (John); the sixth 'It is finished' (John); the seventh 'Father, into thy hands I commend my spirit' (Luke). It is thought by some that after these words the darkness, which had lasted to the ninth hoar, rolled away; others think that it lasted till the death of Jesus.

The thought of the Saviour's loneliness upon the cross has perhaps never been more feelingly expressed than in the smaller of Vandyke's two pictures of 'Christ on the Cross' in the Museum at Antwerp-the single figure dimly seen with none beside Him, or near, and a background of impenetrable darkness.
47. "Hגlav к.т. $\lambda$. This was probably spoken in pure mockery, not in a real belief that Jesus expected the personal reappearance of Elijah.

Wetstein notes that there were tales current among the Jews of the intervention of Elijah to rescue persons from the imminent peril of death.
 reed, or byssop stall (John), and the sponge, were kept in readiness to quench the sufferers' thirst.
49. ades ticcurv. We must understand this to mean either (1) leave him, do not assist him; or (2) leave it, do not give the draught to him; or (3) ades coalesces with thie verb following as in modern Greek, and $=$ 'let ns see.' For the construction cp. ch. vii. 4 and Luke vi. 42.
 offered the wine to the Saviour. There adere may mean, 'let mealone.'
50. Kpoikas $\phi \omega v \hat{n} \mu r \gamma^{2} \lambda \eta$. Perhaps an inarticulate ory is meant, or perhaps the sixth word from the crose, $\pi \epsilon \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau a l$. John xix. 30.


 $\mu \circ u$ (xxiii. 46).

61-56. Events that foilowed the Crucifixion. (1) The Veil of the Temple rent; (2) the Earthquaki; (3) the Salnts arise; (4) the Centubion at tee Cross; (5) the Watchina of the Women.

Of these, (2) and (3) are peculiar to St Matthew.
Mark xv. 38-41; Luke xxiii. 45, 47-49, where the grief of the spectators is an additional fact. St John omits these incidents, but records the breaking of the malefaetors' legs and the piercing of Jesus' side.
b1. то кататєтабца к.т.入. The veil meant is that which separated the holy of holies from the holy place. The rending of the veil signifies that henceforth there is free access for man to God the Father through Jesus Christ. Cp. 'Having therefore, brethron, boldness to enter into the holiest by the blood of Jesus, by a new and living way, which he hath consecrated for us, through the veil, that is to say, his flesh' (Heb. x. 19, 20). The incident would he observed and made known to the Church by the priests, of whom afterwards 'a great company were obedient unto the faith' (Acts vi. 7).
 Polybius, Lucian, and other late authors. St Luke has the more

 figuratively of death; ch. xxviii. 13, of literal sleep. The figure is
 Cp. the beautiful lines of Mosehus, Id. int 109-111:
and Verg. Rn. vit. 277, 'et consangaineas leti gopor.' With Christianity it became the usaal word to express the sleep of death, see 1 Cor. xv. 6, 18; hence кotuฤripioy (cemetery), the resting-place of the dead.
 Joseph's near Golgotha.

Eyєpotr, late in this sense.
64. Ekatbvtapxos. The centarion in command of the guard of four soldiers who watohed the execution. It is interesting to think that this officer would in all probability generally be quartered in the gar. rison town of Cæsarea, where the centurion Cornelius (Acts x. 1) was also stationed.

As the Roman centurions were not chosen so much for impetuous courage as for judgment, firmness and presence of mind, there were doubtless many noble and thoughtful characters among them; cp. (especially the last phrase): Boû̃oyrat $\overline{\delta e}$ elvar roùs raktapxous (centurions)
 $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda_{0}$ taîs $\psi v x a i s s ~ к . т . \lambda . ~ P o l y b . ~ v . ~ 24.9 . ~$
 8ixatos $\hat{\eta}^{\prime} y-a$ translation of St Matthew's phrase for Gentile readers.
\& casovovotal. The beginning of the ministry of women-the femsle diaconate-in the Christian Church. The loving tendance of these women is a relief to the dark picture of the 'affictions of Christ,' a relief recognised and feelingly expressed by all the great medimval painters.
56. St Mark (xv. 40) specifies the group as 'Mary Magdalene, and Mary the mother of James the less (tather, the little) and of Joses, and Salome.'
 Matthew. She was probably named from Magdala (Mejdel) on the Lake of Gennesaret; see map. She had been a victim of demoniacal possession, but was cured by Jestrs (Luke viii. 2), and then joined the company of faithful women who followed Him with the Twelve. Mary Magdalene is named by St John as standing by the cross of Jesus, together with 'his mother, and his mother's sister, Mary the wife of Cleophas' (xix. 25). With these she watehed the entombment of the Lord, and, after the Sabbath rest, early in the morning she was present at the sepulchre with sweet spices to anoint Him.

The great Italian painters have identified Mary Magdalene either with the 'woman that was a sinner' who anointed Jesus in the hocse of Simon the Pharisee (Luke vii. 36-50), or with Mary the sister of Lazarus. But neither identification can be sustained on critical grounds.

Mapla k.t. $\lambda$. Perbaps the same Mary who was the wife of Cleophas, Clopas, or Alphæus (different forms of one name), mentioned John xix. 25. If so, according to one interpretation of the passage in John, the sister of the Blessed Virgin.


The record of the names of these women and the special note of their presence seems intended to be an express teatimony to their high courage and devotion, which kept them on the scene of danger when the disciples had fled. The deed of them contrasts with the words of Peter and of all the Apostles (ch. xxvi. 35).

## 87-66. The Entombment.

Mark xv. 42-47; Luke xxiii. 50-56; John xix. 88-42.
Vv. 62-66 are peculiar to St Matthew. St Mark notes the wonder of Pilate that Jesus was already dead, and the evidence of the centurion to the fact. St John mentions the co-operation of Nicodemnglike Joseph, a member of the Sanhedrin, who 'consented not to the deed of them;' who brought ' $a$ mixture of myrrh and aloes about a hundred pound weight.'
57. Arimathos is generally identified with Ramathaim-zophim, on Mount Ephraim, the birth-place of Samuel ( 1 Sam. i. 1), the site of which is undetermined. Many authorities place it much nearer to Jerusalem than the position indicated in the map.
'Iarnid. From the other two Synoptic Gospels we learn that he was 'an honourable (Mark) counsellor (Mark and Luke),' i.e. a member of the Sanhedrin. Like Nicodemus, he was a secret disciple of Jesus, and must undoubtedly have absented himself from the meetings of the Sanhedrin when Jesus was condemned. He 'had not consented to the counsel and deed of them' (Luke).

An ancient but groundless legend has connected Joseph of Arimathæa with Glastonbury, where, it is said, he built of osier-twigs the first Christian Churah in England. It is with this legend that the 'Quest of the San Grail' is connected.
 rion that Jesus was dead. Usasilly those who snffered oracifixion lingered for days upon the cross. By Roman law the corpse of a cracified person was not buried except by express permission of the Emperor. A concession was made in favonr of the Jews, whose law did not suffer a man to hang all night upon a tree. Deat. zxi. 23. (See Jahn, Bib. Ant. 296.) 'The readiness of Pilate to grant Joseph's request is quite in accordance with his anxiety to release Jesus and his displeasure against the Jews. If Joseph had not made this request, the body of Jesus would have been placed in one of the common burying-places appointed by the Conneil' (Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr. ad loc.).
59. Evtu入icorety, an Aristophanic word, meaning, 'to wrap or envelope closely,' so to swathe the dead body with bandages. Cp. Acte v. 6, where $\sigma v \sigma \tau$ endec is used in a similar sense, and John xi. 44,
 $\pi \in р є \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \tau \rho$.
 (Hdt. II. 86, note 6), was in itself a general term, meaning any stuff of a very fine texture; Josephus even speaks of a covjoin of goats' hair (Ant. III. 5. 4). Here, however, ow $\begin{gathered}\text { isy } \\ \text { is certainly the } \beta v a \sigma(p \eta\end{gathered}$ $\sigma \tau \nu \delta \omega \nu$, in strips ( $\tau \epsilon \lambda a \mu \omega \hat{\omega} \tau)$ of which the mummy was wrapped (Hdt. II. 86); and that the mummay oloths are of linen has been proved by microscopic examination. The derivation of owoisy is uncertain, possibly from "Ivoos, or Egyptian shevit or Hebr. sadain.
 been used. St John mentions that the tomb was 'in a garden in the place where he was crucified' (xix. 41). It was probably hewn out of the face of the rock near the ground (John xx. 11), and the body of Jesus would lie borizontally in it.

тforkv入loas к.т.ג., assisted by Nicodemus. This stone was technically called golal.

This was the first instance and a signal one of the power of the Cross of Christ to inspire enthusiasm and courage at the darkest hour. Up to this time Joseph had been a secret disciple, now he braves everything for the dead Christ.
61. $\dagger \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{d} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ Mapia. The mother of James the less (or little, $\delta \mu$ $\kappa \rho \delta$ s) and Joses (Mark xp. 47).
tov̂ tádov. St Matthew is the only writer in the N.T. who uses the word rdoos (Rom. iii. 13 is a quotation): rádos is strictly, the place where the dead is 'laid or put away with care.' See Curtius, Etym. 502. The Jews preferred to call the tomb ' $a$ memorial' ( $\mu \sim \eta \mu \in i=y$ ).
 preparation ( $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \in \cup \eta$ ) was over, the Sabbath and the Paschal feast had commenoed. This explanation of the somewhat unusual phrase accords with the view already taken of the Last Supper and the Passover.

While Christ's enemies were busy this Sabbath day, his friends rested according to the commandment (Luke xxiii. 6 ).
 action just past.
$\pi \lambda{ }^{2} v o s . . . \pi \lambda{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta$, , deceiver'...‘deceit.' The relation between the two words is lost in A.V.

Merd tpeîs к.т.入. For this present cp. ch. xxiv. 41, xxvi. 2.
It appeara from this shat the priests and Pharisees understood the true import of Christ's words, 'Destroy this temple, and after three days I will raise it up,' which they wilfully misinterpreted to the people.
64. $\tau \bar{\omega} \lambda a \bar{\varphi}$. As frequently in N.T. in a special sense, the people of Israel, the Jews.
'Hytply. 'He rose.'
(65. "Xcete кoverwofiay. The meaning is either (1) that Pilate re(fuses the request; 'Ye have a watch of your own'-(a) the Leviticad
temple guard, or more probably (b) a small body of soldiers whom Pilate may have already placed at their disposal-or (2) he grants it cortly and angrily, 'Take a watch; begone.'

The latter view is generally adopted now; but it involves a meaning of Execu ('to take') of which no clear example appars either in classical or Hellenistic Greek. See, however, Alford on 1 Tim . ii 13, who argues for such a meaning in that passage: imororwour tye iycuubjycur $\lambda \delta \gamma \omega v$, 'have (take) an ensample of (the) healthy words,' \&c. It should also be mentioned that in modern Greek EXecy and $\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\beta}{ }^{\prime}$ are so nearly connected in meaning that the defective parts of $\varepsilon_{\chi \in t \nu}$ (aor. and 2nd future) are supplied from $\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a} \nu \omega$. Still the argument in favour of retaining the ordinary meaning of $\boldsymbol{t}_{\chi \in l}$ in this passage is strong, and the objection that we have no record of a body of Roman soldiers being placed occasionally under the orders of the Sanhedrin need not have great weight. In this oase Pilate may well have held it to be a measure on the side of order.

It seems quite clear from ch. xxviii. 14 that the guard was of Roman soldiers.
 patience on the part of Pilate.

кourcwolav appears to have meant a guard of 60 men. See quotation from Theophylact, note on $v .27$ of this chapter.
 'secure for yourselves.' A providential point, for if the Roman soläiers had secured the sepulchre the Jews might still have affirmed that deceit had been practised.
dig dad lyetv is a Polybian word which does not seem to have been used
 The verb does not occur elsewhere in N.T.
66. नфpayifavres. 'The sealing was by means of a cord or string passing eoross the stone at the mouth of the sepulchre and fastened at either end to the rock by sealing clay' (Alford). Cp. Dan. vi. 17:


oфpaylfॄty is used in various figurative senses, all more or less nearly connected with this literal signification. See John iii. 33 and 2 Cor. i. 2, 'certify.' Wph. i. 13, iv. 30, 'assure.' Rom. xy. 28, 'secure,' 'authenticate.' Rev. x. 4, xxii. 10, 'conceal.' In Ecclesiastical Greek it is used of making the sign of the Cross in baptism and other rites.

## OHAPTER XXVIII.

 Origen. The words are found in the later authorities, ACE and other uncials, some versions and Fathers.
 тoîs $\mu a \theta$ qraîs aùrou. The clanse is omitted in $\mathrm{K} B \mathrm{BD}$, many versions and Fathers; appears in $\mathbf{A C}$ and some versions. The leading editors reject the words.
20. The evidence against the final d $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{p}$ is very strong: NABD, many versions and Fathers.

## Cif. XXVIII. 1-8. Ter Resurpection.

Mark xvi. 1-8; Luke xxiv. 1-12; John xx. 1-18.
The discrepancies are slight, and may be accounted for by the agitation of the witnesses of this momentous scene. To the women named in this Gospel St Mark adds Salome; St Euke, Joanna and other women; St John names Mary Magdalene only. St Luke and St John mention the visit of Peter to the sepulohre, St John adding 'that other disciple.' 'This Evangelist also records the appearance of Jesus to Mary Magdalene in the garden.

The order of events was probably this: First, Mary Magdalene and the othar Mary, having come early to the tomb, were addressed by the Angel and saw the empty sepulchre; they hasten to inform Peter and the other disciples; Peter and John visit the tomb and depart; Mary Magdalene, left alone, beholds her Lord, whom at first she does not recognise; soon afterwards the Lord appears a second time to Mary Magdalene, now in the company of other women.

1. $\delta \psi$ denotes a longer interval after sunset than $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho a$. odißßara. Plaral in both senses, 'sabbath' and 'week.'
 other passage where the word occurs, the cognate form extiquigretr is olassical, and occurs four times in the LXX. version of Job: $\eta$ oux
 enc申írketp is used not of the natural day break, but of the commencemeat of the sabbath after sunset on the raparkevj. Here, as we see from the parallel passages (Luke xxiv. 1, bpopou Bate $\omega \mathrm{s}$; Mark xvi. 2,
 means the early dawn.

Ocwp $\bar{\sigma}$ au тdv rd́dov. Both St Mark and St Luke mention that they brought spices and ointments.



3. ©i§ía. Here only in N.T., not ' oountenance,' but ' appearance,' 'species sub oculos cadens,' not the thing itself but the thing as beholden, 'isea toí $\pi p o \sigma w^{\prime} \pi o u$, 'the look of the countenance.' (Trench, N.T. Syn. 2nd series, p. 93.)


 the alarm of the soldiers is implied.
rdv loraupounévov. 'Who hath been crucified,' not 'which was orucified,' A.V.
6. ク’yepe $\eta$. As in ch. xxvii. 64, He rose. So also in next verse. ka@ús. Non-Attic for classical kafá. See Lob. Phryn. p. 426.
Ebere $\mathrm{k} . \tau . \mathrm{\lambda}$. In order that they might be convinced of the fact.
It is hardly possible for as even to conceive the overwhelming joy that the convietion of this truth must have brought to these holy women, whose recollection of the divine words and looks and loveinspiring sweetness of character would be quickened by the painful watching and the passionate sorrow for their seeming loss.
7. cimate к.т. $\lambda$. 'And Peter' (Mark). Peter, more than the rest, would be longing for the Lord's return to win forgiveness.

## 9, 10. The Appearance of Jesds to Mary Magdalene and tee oterer Mary.

## Recorded by St Matthew only.

Jesus had already appeared to Mary Magdalene alone. We must suppose that ahe was now joined by the other Mary, and perhaps by Salome, Joanna, and others; and while these were going to annonnce the great news to the rest of the disciples [Peter and John already knew] the Lord Jesus met them.

The following is a list of the different recorded appearances of Jesus during the forty days:- (1) To Mary Magdalene aione (John xx. 14 foll.; Mark xxi. 9). (2) To Mary Magdalene, the other Mary, and perhaps other women (Matthew xxviii. 9, 10). (3) To Peter (Luke xxiv. 34; 1 Cor. xp. 5). (4) To Cleophas and another on the way to Emmaus (Luke xxiv. 13-35). (5) To the apostles, in the absence of Thomas, at Jerusalem (Mark xvi. 14 ; Luke xxiv. 36; John xx. 19). (6) To the eleven apostles at Jerusalem (John xx. 26). (7) To seven disciples at the Sea of Tiberias (John xxi. 1-24). (8) To the eleven on the highland of Galilee (Matthew xxviii. 16). (9) To five handred brethren at once-possibly the same appearance as 8 ( 1 Cor. m. 6). (10) To James, the Lord's brother (1 Cor. xy. 7). (11) To the eleven in the neighbourhood of the Holy City (Mark xyi. 19, 20; Luke xiv. 50; Acts i. 3-12; 1 Cor, xv. 7).

Xalpere. The Greek salutation, both on meeting and on parting.


- East in obeisance to a sovereign prince.

In the interesting olay cylinder of Cyrus he says of the subject
kings: 'they brought me their full tribute and kissed my feet.' (Canon Rewlinson, Cont. Rev. Jan. 1880).
 in order that they may go.
dide入 $\phi$ ois $\mu$ ov. The disciples; 'He named them brethren, as being Himself a man and their kinsman aacording to man's nature' (Euthymins quoted by Ellicott, Life of our Lord); comp. Heb. ii. 11,

 directly applied by Christ to his disciples, nntil after the Resurrection (cp. John xx. 17). He had clearly manifested the power of the Godhead, and there was special need of reminding his disciples that He was still man, and that they were brethren.

11-15. The Roman Guards are bribed. This important testimony is given by St Matthew only.
11. Twès $T$ ̂̂s kougtwolias. An expression that implies more than the traditional number of four guards. The full complement of a кovot $\omega \delta l a$ appears to have been 60 men . See note, ch. xxvii. 65.
12. depúpla iкaví. Many pieces of silver, a largesse.


 1 Cor. vi. 1, 'at the bar of,' and the common phrases $\epsilon \pi i$ ठккaqт $\hat{\omega}$, סıкaбт $\eta \rho i \omega y$. These expressions are closely connected with the physical notion of $\epsilon \pi l$, 'upon.' A matter may be said to rest upon witnesses or jadges, i.e. depend upon their evidence or decision. This use explains the expression in the text, which means either, (1) 'If the matter should be heard in the Prourator's Court'-come before him officialiy. (2) Or perhaps in a more general sense; 'If rumours of it should come before him'--if he shonld hear of it.

тєібоцєу. 'Will persuade' (by bribes). Cp. Eur. Medea 964, $\mu$ '


$\alpha^{\prime} \mu \in \rho[\mu$ pous. At Rome, in Cicero's time, judicial bribery was so organized that contracts were taken to secure acquittal by this means. And the whole process of bribery had a special vocabulary, in which this very word $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{f} \rho \mu \nu o s$ appears to have had a place, Curio meeting Verres and assuring him that he has won his acquittal by bribery: 'hane jubet sine cura esse: renuntio inquit tibi te hodiernis comitiis esse absolutum.' d $\mu \epsilon \not \rho \mu \mu \nu o s$ here and 1 Cor. vii. 32 only in N.T.
 cially needful to narrate the true facts. An a orist qualified by an adverb of present time has the force of a perfect definite. The note of time
 events described were still of comparatively recent memory.

## 16, 17. Jesub appearb to the Eibien in Galilee. <br> Peculiar to St Matthew.

16. To öpos. The mountain. Perhape the highland behind Tell Hum or Capernaum (see map), the scene of their earliest intercourse with Christ, and the very spot where the New Law was first proclaimed. There the brethren, possibly five handred in number [see vv. 9, 10 (8) ( 9 )], besides the Eleven, awaited the coming of the Great Shepherd (v.7). As the sacred form appeared on the familiar mountain side they threw themselves on the ground, doing homage to their Lord and God. But some doubted still. Then He drew more near and spake. And as the words sounded in their ears, we may believe they 'knew his voice' and dismissed their doubts.
17. тробєкivñav. See note, ch. xx. 20. It is characteristic of St Matthew's Gospel that this word, which indicates the homage and prostration before a king, should occur twelve times, whereas it is found twice only in each of the other Synoptics.
ol $8 \xi$. Probably not some of the Apostles, but some of the five hundred who had not previously seen the Lord.

For ol $\delta \dot{E}$ when ol $\mu \dot{c} \nu$ is omitted in the first clause see note, ch. xxvi.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \sigma \omega ̈ \tau \rho \omega \nu$ (Winer, p. 131, and Riddell on Plato, Apol. Soc., p. 18, note 3, and Dig. 241).
t8Cotarav. The same word is used of St Peter's doubt, ch. ziv. 31, and in these passages only in N.T.; there too the doubt is followed by adoration, v. 33.

## 18-20. The Labt Chargi to the Apobtles.

18. $\pi \rho o \sigma e \lambda \theta \omega \nu$ idi $\lambda \eta \sigma e v$. Came up to them, near to them, and spake.
'ES6On, 'was given,'-the aorist of an eternal fact, so undefined and independent of time-notion, cp. ch. iii. 17 and xi. 27, and Phil. ii. 8-10. These words, in which the infallible King Himself announces His eternal possession of the Kingdom, St Matthew, who is essentially the historian of the Kingdom, alone records.
 Ikavoús, and see ch. xiii. 52, xxvii. 57, where the same word is used. סı́áбxorves, $v .20,=$ 'instructing.' 'Make disciples of all the Gentiles $\pi d \nu \tau a \tau d \dot{\varepsilon} \theta v \eta)$ by baptism and by instruction in all my commands to

cls rò övoua. 'Into the name.' Jewish proselytes were baptized into the name of the Father; Jesus adds the name of the Son and of the Holy Ghost. In the instances of baptism recorded in the Acts, ii. 38, viii. 16, x. 48, xix. 5, the name of Jesus Christ (or the Lord Jesus) alone occurs in the baptismal formula, but the promise of the Holy Ghost is given (ii. 38), or the gift of the Holy Ghost follows the rite (viii. 17, xix. 6), or precedes it (x. 44, 47).
 during the forty days how He could be present with them and yet be anseen by them. They could then the more easily believe this promise.
máras rds juppas. 'All the days,' not at intervals during the days
 each and all the days between now and the completion of the Alon.
© $\omega$ s tins к.т. $\lambda$. See note ch. xiii. 39. The last words of St Matthew's Gospel tall solemnly on the ear, the sense of the continual presence of Christ is not broken even by an account of the Ascension. No true scbject can doubt that the King is entaroned in Heaven.

## INDEX TO NOTES.

## I. ENGLISH.

Abomination of desolation, 268
Accentuation, 138, 267
Aoeldama, 302
※on, end of the, 192
Agony in the Garden, 292
Ahimelech, 177
Almsgiving, 126
Analyisis of Gospel, xxii.
Andrew, call of, 109; name, 161
Anise, 261
Annas, 296
Aorist, use of in N. T., 175; see also 119, 130, $134,143,150,227$, 257, 319

- imperative, 99
- late form of, 114, 118

Apostles, meaning of word, 160;
mission of, 180 ; list of, 160
Appearances of our Lord after the Hestarrection, 817
Arimathea, 313
Arrest of Jesus, 294
Article, the definite, 105, 150, 151, 230, 306
Asaph the Seer, 191
Ass, 240
Augment, 142
Banks, 133, 278
Banquet, kingdom of heaven compared to a, 147
Baptism, of John, 97; meaning of, 97; our Lord's, 102; form of enjoined by Christ, 319

Bar, meaning of, 210
Barabbas, 303
Bartholomew, meaning of name, 161; identified with Nathanael, 161
Baskets, different words for, 200, 207
Beelzebub, meaning of, 166
Bethany, 240, 242, 244
Bethlehem, 86, 88, 92
Bethphagé, 240
Bethsaida, 173; question of two places called, 199
Betrayal of Jesus, 287. See Arrest of Jesus
Binding and loosing, meaning of, 212, 223
Birds, 134, 135, 164, 167
Blasphemy, 297
Blindness, cause of prevalence in the East, 157; oure of, 157, 239
Brethren of the Lord, theories con. cerning, 184, 194
Bushel, 118
Cosarea Philippi, 209, 210
Caiaphas, 284, 296
Calvary, 307
Camel's hair, 97
Canaanite woman, the, 205
Cananite, Simon the, 161 ; meaning of word, 161
Candle. See Lamp
Capernaum, 108, 173

Centurion in Herod's army, 146;
Roman, 312
Charoseth, 289
Chief Priests, 244, 284
Chorazin, 173
Christ, meaning of, 82
Church of the future, 164; Christian, 211; meaning of word, 211; Jewish, 223
Cleansing of the Temple, 243
Clothes, 124, 241, 269; rending of, 297
Commandments, the, in regard to the New Law, 119; enumerated, 230; the greatest, 255
Comparative degree, 220
Corban, 121, 203
Corn, plucking ears of, 177
Cowardice, 150
Cross, 168; different kinds of, 308
Crown of thorns, 306
Cummin, 261
Cup, meaning of, 237
Dative case, 197
David and the shewbread, 177
Devid, son of, 205, 239, 256
Decapolis, 111
Demoniacs, 150, 157
Denarius, 225, 234, 253
Destruction, miracles of, 151, 244
Devil, meaning of name, 105
Devils, Greek word for, 111, 151
Disciples of John, 154
Discourses peculiar to Matthew, xxi.

Divorce, 83, 122, 226, 227
Dogs, 206
Drachma, 219
Drowning, 221
Egypt, 91
Elijah, 216, 217
Epilepsy, 218
Eternal, 281
Eucharist, 290
Evenings, between the, 199
Evil eye, 139, 236
Fasting, 105, 132, 154

Fig-tree, cursing of, 244; early hind of, 245; parable of, 272
Final infinitive, 104, 167
Final sentences, 84
Five thousand fed, 199
Flowers, 135
Forgiveness, 224
Four thousand fed, 207
Fragments, proper meaning of, 200
Friend, meaning of, as an address, 236, 294
Trature tense, 126, 224
Gadara, 150
Gadileans, dialect of, 298
Galilee, ministry in, 107; meaning and history of, 107
Garment, hem or fringe of the, 202, 258
Gehenna, 120, 211, 260
Genitive case, 120, 177

- of infinitive, 91

Gennesaret, Lake of, 108, 185; land of, 201
Gerasa, 150
Gergesa, 150
Gesture and looks of Jesus, 231, 243
Gethsemane, 292
Goats, 279
Golgotha, 307
Gospel, meaning of word, 80
Greek names among the apostles, 161
Guards at the Cross, 312; at the Sepulchre, 318

Habba as a Messianic title, 83, 242
Hebraisms, 168, 169, 174, 177, 230, 247, 249
Hebrew original of St Matthew's Gospel, xviii.
Hell, two Greek words for, 211, and see Gehenna
Herod the Great, 86, 239; Antipas, 196, 226; Axchelaus, 239 ; Philip, 196
Herodian family, xxxii.
Herodians, 252
Herodias, 196, 226

High-priesthood, no longer hereditary, 284
Hinnom, valley of, 120
History, external during Christ's ministry on earth, xxvii.
Holy Ghost promised to the Apostles, 165; sin against the, 181
Hosanna, meaning of word, 241
Hosea quoted, 93, 154,-178
Immortality, proof of, 254
Imperative (Hebrew), 230; aor, and pres., 230
Imperfect tense, 102, 143, 145, 156, 197, 200
Infinitive, final, 104, 118
Innocents, massacre of, 93
Inscription, or title on the Cross, 308
Irenæus' testimony concerning St Matthew, xviii.
Isaiah quoted, 84, 85, 95, 108, 179, 187, 204, 241, 243
Iscariot, meaning of, 162
Ish, 299
Itacism, 151
Jairus, daughter of, 156
James, different persons called, 161
Jeremiah quoted, 92
Jericho, 239
Jerusalem, fall of as a type of the end of the world, 215,265 ; population during passover, 242; Jesus weeps over, 263; Aramaic form for used once by St Matthew, 263 ; horrors of siege, 269
Jesur, meaning of name, 84 ; date of birth, 86 ; Baptism, 101; Temptation, 104; Crucifixion, 308; Resurrection, 316
John the son of Zebedee, call, 109; one of the three present at the raising of Jairus' daughter, 156; at Transfigaration, 216; at Agony in the garden, 292
Johu the Baptist, preaching, 95; imprisonment, 170; death, 198
Jonah, the sign of the prophet, 183, 209

Jordan, fords of the, 102
Joseph, husband of the Virgin Mary, both genealogies shew descent of, 80,82 ; son of Heli, and probably first cousin to Mary, 82
Joseph of Arimathea, 813
Jot, 119
Judas Iacariot, probably a nonGalilman, 162; betrays Jesus, 294 ; remorse of, 301 ; end of, 214, 302
Judas or Jude, three persons named, 161
Jude the apostle, also called Lebbxus and Thaddæus, 161
Judgment on others, 138
Judgment, day of, 279
Kedron, valley of, pinnacle over. looking, 105; Jesus crosses in triumph, 242; and on His way to Gethsemane, 292
Keys, significance of, 212, 259
Kingdom of God, or of heaven, meaning of, 96 ; relation of, to sermon on the Mount, 112; compared to a banquet, 147; keys of, 212; rank in, 238

Lamp, 118
Last Supper, 288
Leaven, 190, 209
Lebbanas, 161
Lepar and leprosy, 145, 163
Levi. See Matthew
Life, different senses of word, 168, 214
Lilies, 135
Little ones, meaning of, 169, 221
Locnsts, 97
Lord's prayer, 128-132
Love or agape, 267
Lunatic, 111; cure of, 218
Machærus, scene of John the Baptist's imprisonment, 107; and death, 197
Magdala, or Mejdel, 207
Magdalene. See Mary
Magi, 87

Malachi quoted, 171, 217
Malchus, his ear out off by St Peter, 294
Mammon, 134
Marringe, 226; customs of, 82,154, 226, 252, 275
Marriage-feast, parable of, 251
Mary Magdalene, account of, 312; 2t the Cross, 312; at the resurrection, 316
Mary the Virgin, genealogy of, 82; her betrothal, 83 ; subject of prophecy, 85
Mary, aister of Lazarus, anoints Christ, 285
Mary, mother of James and Joses, 312
Matthew, life of, xi.; oall, 153; change of name, xi.; service under Herod Antipas, xii; ; preaches to the Jews in Palestine, xiv.; and in other countries, xiv.; oharater, riv.; death, xiy.
Matthew, Gospel mocording to; origin, authormhip, and date, xv.; special reference to Jews, xvi.; atyle, xvi.; Hebrew original, zvii.; analysis of, xxii.
Messiah, meaning and origin of title, 82 ; false, 266
Metayer system, 248
Micah, quoted, 89
Middle voice, 135
Mills in Palestina, 873
Millstone, 221
Miracles peouliar to Matthew, xxi.

- names for, 245

Money, different kinds and value of, 121, 169, 225, 284, 253, 286, 287
Money-changers, 243
Moses, 216
Mount of Transfiguration, 216
Mustard seed, 190
Nathanael identified with Bartholomew, 161
Nativity, date of, 85
Nazarene, meaning of expression, 94, 95
Nazareth, 85, 93, 94, 108

New Testament, 291
Nicodemus, a secret disciple of Jesus, 313; assiste at the burial, 318

Oaths, 123
Optative mood, 179
Oven, description of, 136
Painters and pictures, illustrations from, 102, 198, 218, 310, 312
Panear, 210
Pantanus, testimony as to St
Papise, Matthew, xviii
Parables, peculiar to Matthew, xxi.;
meaning of word, 186 ; reabon for
teaching by, 186, 187, 191
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Paralyais, } \\ \text { Paralytic, }\end{array}\right\}$ 146, 152
Parousia, 266
Participles, 83, 208
Passion foretold, 212, 218, 286
Passover, account of ; meaning of word, 283; acoount of ritual, 289
Pearls, 139
Perea, 226
Perfect tense, 116, 152, 193, 241
Periblem, 136
Persecution, 116, 165
Peter, confession, 210; one of the Three at raising of Jairus' danghter, 156; at Transfiguration, 216;
at Garden of Gethsemane, 292;
denial of Christ by, 298
Pharisees, 98, 153; coalition with Badducees, 208
Phylacteries, 257
Pilate, procurator of Judma; higtory; eharacter; name; traditioni concerning, 300; trial of Jesus before, 303; attempts to save Jesus, 805 ; gives the body of Jesus to Joseph, 313
Pilate's wife, 804
Plant life, 190, 272
Plural, 135, 143, 198
Poetical element in N. T., Ixxy.
Prøttorium, 306
Prayer, 127; the Lord's, 138
Present tense, 89, 134, 152, 273, 314

Prisons, 280
Procurator, 300
Prophecies, how fulfilled, 84
Psalms quoted, 106, 115, 310
Publicans, 125, 153, 223, 247
Punatuation, 169
Parple robe. See Scarlet robe
Rabbi, 258
Rabbinical aayings, 122, 203, 212, 218, 258, 261
Raca, 120
Ransom, meaning and derivation, 239
Release of prisoners at Passover, 303
Restoration of all things, 217, 232
Resurrection, proof of, 254; our Lord's, 316 ; foretold, 213, 218, 236
Riches, 231
Robbers or bandits, 243, 309
Rome, Archelaus and Antipas resided at, 196
Rooms, uppermost, 258
Rulers of synagogue, 110, 229
Sabbath, observance of the, 177; flight on the, 269
Sabbath day's journey, 269
Badducees, account of, 98; tempt Jesus, 254
Salome, mother of Zebedee's children, 237, 313
Salome, daughter of Herodias, 196
Salt, 117
Samaritans, 162
Sanhedrin or Sanhedrim, 89, 284; first meeting at our Lord's trial, 296 ; second meeting, 300
Satan, meaning of name, 105
Scarlet robe, 306
Scourging, 305
Scribes, 143; of the Kingdom of heaven, 193
Seine or drag net, 193
Sermon on the Mount, 112-143
Shekel, 219, 301
Shewbread, 177
Simon of Gyrene, 307

Simon Peter. Sce Peter
Simon the leper, 285
Sins, forgiveness of, 152
Sitting, the position of a teacher,
113, 257 ; on the right hand, 256
Slapes and slavery, 100, 133, 147, 238, 287
Sleep of Jesus, 150
Son of man, 149
Sower, parable of, 186
Speira, 306
Spikenard, 285
Star, in the east, 87; appearance of the Messiah conneeted with, 88
Subjunctive mood, sequence of on historical tenses, 178, 201; relation of with fature, 188, 224
Supper, the last, 287; order and incidents of, 288
Swine, destruction of, 151
Synagogue, account of, 110; allusion to, 166
Syrophenician. See Canaanite
Tabor, 216
Talents, value of, 224 ; parable of, 277
Tallith, fringe of, 202, 258
Tares, meaning of parable of, 189
Tax-gatherers. See Publicans
Temple, cleansing of the, 243; destruction of, foretold, 264; veil of, rent in twain, 311
Temptation, different accounts of, 103; how to be viewed, 104
Tetrarch, meaning of, 196
Thaddseus, 161
Thief. See Robber
Thirty pieces of silver. See Shekel
Tiberias, 199
Time, divisions of, 199, 200, 235; reckoning of, 216
Title on the Cross, 308
Tombs at Gergesa, 150
Trade, 277
Traditional sayings of Christ, 136, 164
Traditions, 203
Transfiguration, 216
Transitional particles, 90

Treasury. See Corban
Trial of Jesus Christ, order of the, 296
Tribute money or Temple tax, 219; homan, 253
Triclinia, 258
Trumpet, 127
Tunic, 124

Vespasian, 90
Vinegar, 308
Vineyard, parable of labourers in the, 233
Virgin, the. See Mary
Virgins, parable of the ten, 275
Voice from heaven, 102

Watch at the Sepulchre, 315
Watches, division of night into, 200
Wilderness of Judma, 95, 104
Wine; 155
Words from the Cross, 307, 310

Yoke, meaning of, 176

Zealot, Zealots, 98; Simon, the, 161 ; excesses of at siege of Je rusalem, 268
Zebedee, sons of, 237
Zechariah, the prophet, 241, 291
302; the priest, 263

## II．GREEK．

á ${ }^{\alpha} \alpha \pi a ̂ \nu, 125$
áүámभ，103， 267
aүantrós， 103
àrүapeúcı，124， 307
äүафоs， 155
ả $\delta \in \lambda \phi 6 s, 258,318$
á $\delta \eta \mu 0 \downarrow \epsilon \hat{i v}, 292$
\＃̈́d $\bar{\eta}$ s， 211
ajọos， 301
aitèy， 140
aláv， 192
alóvios， 281
äкаข 0 a， 141
disépatos， 164
$\dot{a} x \rho_{1} \beta_{0} \hat{v}, 90$
a入 $\alpha \beta a \sigma \tau \rho o \nu, 285$
älas， 117
àueîs， 109
$\dot{d} \lambda \omega \nu, 101$
à $\mu \in \rho(\mu \nu 0 \varsigma, 318$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu, 119$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi(\beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho о \nu, 109$
a．j omitted， 290
むัขaцpeîv， 93
ảváota．бLs， 254
àvaro入h， 88
àvax
à $\downarrow \tau \dot{\sim} \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu a, 214$
àvาl，220， 239


«пта乡 Хєүб $\mu \in \nu a, 129,167,179,238$

はंसó， $98,138,141,201$
גтобєкатоиิ้， 261
а́токата́бтабья， 217
aтокрlveб园，102，174， 303
ато́бтолоs， 160
ápros，129，136，177， 199
aip $\chi \iota \in \rho \in \hat{\varsigma} \varsigma, 244,284$

aù入ท
aù $\lambda$ rral， 156
aưтós，100，110， 115
ádavi ¢ew， 152
áqєs，138， 311
äфешts，130， 291
axupov， 101
Bantljear， 97
Bagapi\}ew, 146, 200

pá $\sigma a y o s, 110$
$\beta a \sigma(\lambda ı \sigma \sigma a, 183$
今aтtодоүєiv， 128
$\beta \delta \in \lambda v \gamma \mu a, 268$
$\beta \in \beta \eta \lambda 00 \mathrm{v}, 178$
В $\epsilon \in \lambda$ ऽє $\beta$ ой $\lambda, 166$
$\beta$ ท̂ua， 304
$\beta$ 人áť
$\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu \varepsilon i \nu, 153$
$\beta \lambda а \sigma \phi \eta \mu i ́ a, 153$
$\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 138$
$\beta \rho \in \chi \in \omega, 125$
$\beta_{\rho} \omega \sigma t s, 133$
$\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \mu a, 120,260$
$\gamma \in p \in \sigma!a, 198$
үоүरúちew， 235
रоүүuбرós， 235
סаєди́vıov， 157

328
баіныу， 151
$\delta \epsilon i, 267$
iet $\gamma \mu a r l \sigma a t, 83$
סeし入ót， 150
$\delta \in \rho \in \omega, 248$
$\delta \in \sigma \mu \in \nu \in \iota, 257$
©єî̃ $\epsilon, 109$
סұpápiov，225， 234
8cá，89， 203
ס́sáßolos， 105
8са日グкך， 291
бєакоуеі̀， 107
dıaкрlveの日at， 245
diduoca， 255
$\delta i \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha, 219$

8ikcuos， 83
бккаиобі́рท，119，126， 136
$\delta i \chi$ áacuc， 167
סокós， 138
סô̂̀los，224， 238
Cà with fat．india． 224
cl，followed by ou， 290
clóa， 316
© $\delta \in \mu_{n}^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon, 155$
elp ${ }_{\eta} \nu \eta, 116,164$
cls，99，123，183， 236
cts， 244
$\epsilon \kappa, 138,262$
éxaто́yтapдоs，146， 312
éxßä入入есу，159，180， 182

éк入ектоL， 270


（17eos，154， 178
$\ell \mu \beta \rho\llcorner\mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \mu, 157$
$t \nu, 99,123,139,183$

tyoxos， 120
évrpé $\pi \in \iota \nu, 248$
©ขrvג $\sigma \sigma \sigma \omega, 313$
ékerá̧єıv， 90
е $\xi \circ \mu 0 \lambda о \gamma \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma 0 \mathrm{a}, 174$

$\epsilon \pi l, 81,136,166,176,189,201$ ，
$218,278,281,318$
$\epsilon \pi \stackrel{\beta}{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon c \pm, 294$
$\epsilon \pi \leftarrow \kappa a \lambda e i ̄ \nu, 166$

INDEX．
є̇лı0 $\sigma \sigma t 05,129$
е̇тitpotos，235， 301
етльфс́океџ， 816

єреنَ $\gamma \in \sigma \theta a c, 191$
є́parâ 1,140
غ́raipos，236， 294

cúay
єu่боке2े， 103

EXear，197，248， 314
$\varsigma_{\eta \lambda \omega \tau \eta \prime я, ~}^{161}$
そうávia， 189
Sưós， 176

ふおり， 168

$\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa l a, 135$
0ct， 310
$\theta \in \lambda \omega, 154,309$
Өךгаuро́s， 91
$\theta \lambda i \psi c s, 138,269$
Өuनtaбті่рเov，1．21， 260
idov， 151
＇Іеробо́лица， 89
＇Tєроибк $\lambda_{\eta}{ }^{2} \mu, 263$
$\lambda_{\lambda \epsilon \omega}, 213$
lцárıov， 241
世
Zuarl； 310
loxúecy，154， 293
ใผิтa， 119
каOapós， 116

$\kappa a \theta \eta \gamma \eta T \gamma_{j}, 259$
кälyecy， 113
кa $\theta_{0 \hat{}, 256}$
ral，88， 287
кal $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho, 206$
ratws，155， 193
како入оүє $\overline{\mathrm{c}}, 203$
ка入еī̀， 154
калеī $\theta a c, 116$
Eavavaîos， 161

ката́，80，157， 294
катаӨєцаті广єа， 299
катакирєєv́eı， 238
$\kappa а т а \pi \epsilon т а \sigma \mu a, 311$

катабкпрой， 190
кароla， 255
$\kappa \alpha \dot{\mu \phi} \phi, 138$
каи́ซ $\omega v, 235$
кepala， 119
кйvбos， 253
$\kappa \lambda a l e \iota v, 299$
$\kappa \lambda$ 人ßауоя， 136

коч $\mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota, 156,311$
косүoü $\boldsymbol{\mu}, 204$
ко́入абкs， 281
кодаф

колá̧cı， 201
котьӑу， 176
ко́б $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ о，117， 214
коvotwoila， 314
ко́фıроs， 200
кра́ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu, 202,258$
крі $\mu a, 138$
$\lambda a \lambda<\dot{\alpha}_{3} 298$
入aós， 314
$\lambda \in \gamma \epsilon \omega^{\prime \prime}, 295$
入үро́s， 247
入ךотท่s，295， 309
入ккиан， 250
$\lambda о \gamma o s$, distinguished from $\rho \bar{\eta} \mu, 182$
入úкоs， 141
入útроу， 238
д́́үot， 87
$\mu a \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\text { ひैє }} \boldsymbol{\nu}, 319$
$\mu а \mu ш р а я, 134$

на́дачра， 295
$\mu \varepsilon р и ш а д, 134$
$\mu \in \tau$ á，89，216， 292
метalpet， 194
$\mu \varepsilon т а \mu е \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon l_{s}, 301$
$\mu е т а \mu а р ф о ө ̈ \sigma \theta а і, ~ 216$
нетаноеї， 96
рета́роца， 247
मर्भ，83，180， 225

ни Пцо́биуоу，121， 286
$\mu о у о ́ \phi \theta a \lambda \mu о$ ， 222

－е́фє $\lambda к и \sigma т т є о ́ \nu, 79$
paós， 260,263
pєкро́s， 149
$\nu$ ข́os， 155
yuorá乌etv， 276
$\xi \xi^{\prime} \lambda o v, 294$
оКкобєато́т 7 ， 273
olкoдодац， 265
otvas， 308
önos， 84
6 uos， 240
$6 \rho 7 \gamma^{\prime}, 98$
броя，то́， 113
ốs $\mu$ ̇े ．．．ôs $\delta \dot{\prime}, 186,277$
ธั $\sigma \tau \tau$ ， 275
ov＇$\mu \hat{\eta}, 169$
ov่．．．ाâs， 270
ó $\phi \in i \lambda \eta \mu a, 130$
б申ıs， 164
$6 \psi n, 299,301$
d\＆la， 199
тайs，146， 179

тара．во入 ${ }^{\prime}, 186,191$
тарабьбо́yац， 107
тарака入еít， 295
таралареїr， 84
тарсілть $\mu \alpha, 132$
тарıотával， 295
тароvala， 266
$\pi \alpha \sigma \chi a, 283$
$\pi \dot{d} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota, 213,218,283,304$
тet $\theta \epsilon \tau, 318$
т $\epsilon \omega \hat{\eta} \nu, 244$
тivak， 198
$\pi l \sigma t / s, 209$
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[^0]:    Welingquon College, December 21, 1880.

[^1]:    * Verse ir onitted on the best MS. authority.

[^2]:    TRE YALL OP JBRUSALBN (pv. 5-2Z). THR gECOND ADFRFT (vu. 23-81).

    1. False Christs and false prophets (vv. 5, 1 False Curists and false prophets (w. 23,
    11).
    2. F'ersecution and apostasy (vv. 9, 10, 12).
    3. Wars, famine, pestilence ( $v v .6,7$ ).
    4. Great tribulation (v, 21).
    5. The abomination of desolation ( 4 15).
    6. The escape of the Christians (va. 16-18).

    ## 24).

    2 Dangers even to the elect ( 0.24 ).
    8. Distress of nations ( t .29 ).
    4. The sun and moon darkened (v. 29),
    5. The sign of the Son of man ( $v .30$ ).

