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## PREFACE

These notes are designed for students who are reading Jonah as their first Hebrew text. Full explanations are given of all new forms. References are given regularly to the germane pages of Davidson's Introductory Hebrew Grammar, twentieth and later editions (DG), and to Wood and Lanchester's $A$ Hebrew Grammar (WL), these being the grammars most in use by elementary students. Other references are to Davidson's Hebrew Syntax (DS), S. R. Driver's Hebrew Tenses (DT), Gesenius-Kautzsch, Hebrew Grammar (GK: the references in this last case are by sections), and the Oxford Hebrew Lexicon by Brown,' Driver and Briggs (BDB).

For exegetical matters the student is recommended to study the International Critical Commentary (the volume which includes Haggai, Zechariah, Malachi, and Jonah), in which Jonah is edited by J. A. Bewer; and the commentary in the Expositor's Bible series by G. Adam Smith-namely, the second volume of The Book of the Twelve Prophets. The volume in the Century Bible series by R. F. Horton is also useful, as also is that by Perowne in the Cambridge Bible series.

N. H. S.

## CHAPTER I

Verse 1. זידי vav-consecutive (strong-vav) plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. apoc. impf. qal of ('to be', but more accurately 'to become'). Lit. 'and it came to be . . $\therefore$ ', whence the New Testament kal Ey'vecto. The form is found regularly at the beginning of a narrative book, e.g. Ruth, Joshua, Judges, etc., and is the normal way of beginning a story, e.g. 'Once upon a time . . $\therefore$ ' For apocopated forms of lamedh-he verbs, see DG 147, WL 144. Pronounce wăy-yĕ-hī (with the $-e$ very short indeed). The dagesh-forte of the strong-vav usually fails (as here) with yod-plus-sheva (DG 147f, WL 20), but it is better to pronounce as if it were there.

信, cstr. s. of $\dagger$ (son). One of a number of very common irregular nouns which must be learned, DG ${ }^{5} 53$, WL ${ }_{1} 8_{5} f$.

לאטר, inseparable prep. lamedh plus inf. cstr. qal of אמר (say), lit. 'to say', but usually translated 'saying',
 Cf. the English 'to wit'. One of the two cases where the vowel under the guttural is swallowed up in a long vowel under the preposition, DG 5 I (§ $14 c$ ), WL 44 (note). Another somewhat similar case is ליהוM, WL 45.

Verse 2. קום קום (rise up), 2 m. s. impt. qal of ayin-vav verb.

ללך, 2 m. s. impt. qal of (walk, go), whose forms are as if from יליך. This is one of six pe-vav verbs which
go like בi゙in，DG 222，WL 266．They are：＇And Adam knew his wife，and she bare a son．She sat ריצn at home，but he went out the house，went


אוקרא，weak－vav plus $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. impt．qal of（call， read aloud），lamedh－aleph verb．Ordinary vav before sheva becomes 7，DG 53，WL 44 （§ 2a）．Targum interprets＇prophesy＇．

עליה，prep．（upon，against）plus 3 f．s．suff．，since ＇city＇is feminine（DG 56 ，WL $5^{6}$ ）．Looks like a plural form，DG 70 ，WL $64 f$ ．

עלתה（go up），lamedh－he verb． The preceding כי can be translated either＇because （EVV）her wickedness has gone up ．．．＇，or＇that （indirect speech，Wellhausen，van Hoonacker）her wickedness．．$\therefore$ ．
，רעתם，＇their wickedness＇．Noun plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p}$ ． suff．Note the firm qamets under the resh．This is because the root is double－ayin，and the long vowel compensates for a guttural that cannot be doubled， DG 36，WL 22．The normal form is garden），from the feminine noun त⿱⿴⿰\zh25⿻コ一⿰⿷匚一亅日月，the root being ן．
 （prep．lamedh plus cstr．of plural， as a prep．for＇before＇，DG 69, WL 65 ．Here we find i s．suff．with the normal pathach lengthened to qamets at the end of a sentence in pause with the accent silluq，DG 40 ，WL 117 ．

Verse 3．ויקם strong－vay plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$ ．impf．qal of Dip，＇and he arose＇，consecutive from the the
beginning of verse $r$. For the meaning and use of the vav-consecutive, see DG $84 f$, WL 88-91, and more extensively DS $70-84$, DT 70-99 and 1 14-57. Notice the retracted tone, which in double-ayin and ayin-vav verbs, produces a short vowel in the final syllable, DG 131 (§ $2 b$ ), WL 158 (top). Pronounce wăy-yấqŏm. The 3 m . s. impf. qal is with tone retracted, becomes $\begin{gathered}\text { apret , yá-qöm. }\end{gathered}$

לברח, prep. lamedh plus inf. cstr. qal of ברח (flee). The first syllable is closed and the sheva is silent, DG 77 (§ $2 a$, ii), WL 100 (top). For 'furtive pathach', see DG 34, WL 23 (§ $2 e$ ). Final gutturals cheth, ayin, and he-with-mappiq (consonantal $h e$, DG 33, WL 9note on $k e$ ) must be preceded by an $a$-sound. When the vowel is short, it is pathach; if a long vowel other than qamets is inevitable, then a furtive pathach must be introduced in order that the guttural may be pronounced.
 accusative case, indicating direction-towards, DG 6If, WL 55, 21 I. 'To Tarshish' stands for 'to the farthest west', i.e. to the ends of the earth.

מן (DG 5If and 53, WL irof) plus prep. לפני (before).

וירד, strong-vav plus 3 m . s. impf. qal of ירד (go down) with tone retracted, giving short vowel in final

wyur, strong-vav plus 3 m. s. impf. qal of (find), lamedh-aleph verb. The anomaly of these verbs is in the long vowel created by the quiescent aleph. The paradigm (DG 220, WL 262 ) is like a corner shop, with a window at the front and another down the street
at the left. The $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. perfects piel and hithpael have tsere; the imperfects pual and hophal have qamets; the two fem. plural impfs. form an arcade right through with seghol. Otherwise, it is always qamets in the shop windows and tsere behind, the infs. and participles being normal as always.

אניה, fem, noun, 'a ship'. The masc. form 'אֵנִי means 'a fleet of ships'.

בוּוֹה
 3 f. s. pf. qal; but is f. s. act. ptc. qal. The use here is 'fut. instans', meaning 'about to go', DS ${ }_{134}$, GK $116 d$. It is unusual for this root to be used of going away from the speaker.
,יחתק, strong-vav plus 3 m. s. impf. qal of (give). This very common verb must be learned separately, DG 213, WL 255.

Men mer The f. suff. is strange, and LXX has 'his fare', to vaũ̉ commentators say that the fem. suff. refers to the whole ship, which he bought, 'her price', for, as the Yalkut says, Jonah was rich. Note the mappiq (dot) in the final consonantal he of the 3 f. s. suff. This mappiq is missing only in the 3 f . s. suff. to the 3 f . s. pf. qal, DG 210 (second column), WL 252.
, inseparable prep. beth plus 3 f. s. suff., DG 51, WL 49. The phrase $=$ ירד means 'to go down into a ship', and so 'to go abroad'.

לבוא, prep. lamedh plus inf. cstr. qal of (come). Note the qamets in the pre-tone, DG 5 I ( $(\mathrm{I} d$ ), and especially WL 45 (§ $3 b, 1$ ).

עים (in company with) plus 3 m. p. suff. There are two forms, this ( 23 times and chiefly late), and the more usual mem is because the root is double-ayin.

Verse 4. יוחדו, i.e. וֹאדנָּ, with, becoming the aleph quiescent. Add this to the list in DG 53 (§ $1 b$ ); cf. WL 45. See note on verse 1 . The strong-vav sequence is broken, because Jehovah has broken into the sequence of events.
(hurl), not a common root, but used four times in this chapter. A normal ayin-vav form.

Dער, 'tempest'. The fem. form pry is more frequent, but the masc. is found eight times. LXX has $k \lambda u \delta \delta \omega \nu$ (billow, surf).

חששׁב 3 f. s. pf. piel of (consider, think); cf. the French penser, or pidgin-English 'was fit sink'.

להשבשבר (break). For various idiomatic uses of prep. lamedh, see WL 207.

Verse 5. אויירא, strong-vav plus 3 m . p. impf. qal of
 transitive and sometimes intransitive. Remember 'stative' means that the verb describes a state, and means nothing more than this. Also, 'intransitive' means that the verb does not take a direct object, and means nothing more than this. Most stative verbs are intransitive in the nature of things, but by no means all. Stative verbs usually have the perfect in tsere (a very few in cholem), and the imperfect in
pathach, but some are like pust as some nonstative verbs have an imperf. in -a. This particular verb is both pe-yod and lamedh-aleph, and its imperfect qal forms need most carefully to be distinguished from the imperfect qal forms of ראה (see).

ממלְח (sailor, lit. 'salt').
 case from לֶלח (salt). Cf. the participle is is one who steals, but WL 56 .
, ויזעקי, strong-vav plus 3 m . p. impf. qal of (cry out), ayin-guttural verb. The niphal of this root is used of soldiers being 'called up'.

ויחנרל (cast).
, בלים , 'cargo', pl. of (utensil), DG 153 , WL ı86; cf. to oksưos in Acts xvii. 19, of which LXX has the plural here.
, prep. lamedh plus inf. cstr. hiph. of (to be light), a stative double-ayin verb. It is best to think of double-ayin verbs as having of necessity always one radical doubled, in the perfect always the second, and in the imperfect a choice of either the first or the second (except, of course, in the niphal impf., where the first radical is doubled in any case). Thus the forms are, for the perfects, 3 ,



In the case of stative verbs, the two forms are לف!? and

מעליהם prep. plus prep. with 3 m. p. suff., DG 70, WL $64 f$.

ויונה ירד. The sequence is again broken, to show that Jonah acted differently from all the rest. Translate 'but Jonah went down'.
 (side, recesses). We would expect the absolute form also to have a dagesh in the kaph, but it is not found, nor is it found in the one case of the sing. with suffix, Genesis xlix. 13: On the other hand, the dual cstr. always ( 22 times) has kaph with dagesh. There is evident confusion as to whether the noun is a segholate
 but the other forms are from a first declension יִרָָה: And yet the masc. first declension noun 7 has a cstr. sing. $7 T$, as if it were a segholate.
 but common in Aramaic and Arabic. The word has no connection with sampan (Chinese 'three-board'), but means 'covered ship' (the root means 'cover', and so 'panel', i Kings vi. 9), and hence 'lower deck'. The fact that the word occurs only here does not mean that the word is not a true Hebrew word. The Hebrews were not sailors, and even ordinary seawords are rare.
,יֹשׁכב, strong-vav plus 3 m . s. impf. qal of (lie down), an intransitive verb with impf. in $-a$. Do not be misled by DG 208 into thinking, as some students
do, that there can be a 'stative qal' as well as an 'active qal'.

רדם (sleep deeply). The normal form is (pe-guttural verb) resh cannot be doubled, and so the previous $-i$ has been lengthened into a firm tsere, DG 36, WL 22. The final tsere has been sharpened to pathach in pause at the end of the verse with the accent silluq, DG 4 I (§ $4 c, \mathrm{ii})$, GK ${ }_{51} \mathrm{~m}$. This root, either as the verb or as the noun תnרְדֶ, does not refer to an ordinary sleep, But to that deep (hypnotic?) sleep which betokens the presence or influence of Deity; cf. BDB 922 .

Verse 6. וייקרב, strong-vav plus 3 m . s. impf. qal of קרקר (stative, 'be near', intransitive, 'draw near').

אליז, the prep. (to) has apparent plural suffixes like (upon), with which it is sometimes confused even in the Hebrew OT, DG 70 , WL $64 f$.

רב , cstr. s. of masc. noun (chief). The root is double-ayin, so that the plural is רבִבים.

חתחבל, article plus noun a participial form, meaning 'one who has to do with ropes' (BDB 287,
 and even 'territory' as being measured out). The whole phrase means 'chief sailor', i.e. captain; cf. 2 Kings xviii. 17, where רב eunuch'.
 tone retracted so that the last vowel is seghol, DG 119 , WL go. There are five pe-aleph verbs (i.e. verbs in
which the initial radical aleph becomes quiescent, as distinct from other cases where the initial aleph acts as a normal guttural). The well-known mnemonic is: 'The bridegroom said אמר to the bride, I am willing
 In addition, אחד (seize, take hold) is sometimes a pe-aleph, marriage by capture not being universally approved.

מהדלך. The interrogative ('what?', though sometimes 'how!') is pointed like the article (including the following dagesh), except always seghol before he-and-ayin-with-qamets, DG 48 , WL 39. This is a good general rule, though there are variations from it, GK $37^{b-f}$.
atn, m. s. niph. ptc., 'what meanest thou, sleeping?', GK 120b. This is to be preferred to the EVV, which render as a vocative. The vocative usually, though not necessarily, has the article, WL 27 (§ 2a), GK $126 e$.

אוֹל, an adverb, 'perhaps'.
(think), a certain Aramaism, only here in OT (Hebrew), and once in the Aramaic Daniel vi. 4. An indication of the comparatively late date of the book. The force of the hithpael can be retained with 'perhaps God will bethink himself for our benefit' (לנו, lit. 'to us', WL 207 (top)). Cheyne suggested (unnecessarily) יִחִח (hithp.) or recogitet, but the other Versions found it difficult, and interpreted. LXX and Syriac have 'save', whilst the Targum has 'will be gracious'.

אכד , 1 p. impf. qal of (perish, wander), the
pathach of the last syllable being lengthened to tsere in pause with silluq, DG 119, WL 172, GK 68c. One of five pe-aleph verbs. The connection between 'wander' and 'perish' is from desert life; cf. Job vi. 18; Deuterohomy xxvi. 5 .

Verse 7. רעח, 3 m. s. suff. plus sing. of רֵֵ (companion), a contracted lamedh-he (-yod) noun from רקֶָה (ground form, רֶקי ). The form רעדו stands for the fuller ריק

ללבו, 2 m . p. impt. qal of (go), one of six pe-vav verbs like
ונפילה, weak-vav plus I p. cohortative (the final he not taking the tone) hiph. of (fall); lit. 'let us cause to fall', i.e. 'let us cast', DG $8_{3} f$, WL $8_{5} f$. There are two types of pe-nun verbs. I, בנשם, drops the $n u n$ in the impf. qal and dependent forms (impt. and inf. cstr.) and has impf. in -a; II, גנ, does not drop the nun except in impf. in -o. All are like apart from qal. A good general rule for beginners is that pe-nun verbs which have a dental for the second radical are like נפל, but נששׁ (bite) and נiss; this is the one which has not a $k$ ) vary. The rule is not absolute, but it is sound for every verb which the beginner is likely to have to translate into Hebrew.

בורלוֹת is masc. (once fem. doubtfully in Joshua xxi. го), but has its plural in -oth;
 really means 'stone', as in Arabic. BDB compares $\psi \tilde{\eta} \varphi o s$ (pebble) and кúapos (bean), both of which came also to mean 'lot'.

דדע weak-vav plus plural cohortative qal of
(know), one of the six pe-vav verbs like בй'. The cohortative-with-weak-vav here equals the Greek iva, DG 86 (§ 23 (6)), WL 91, DS 90, DT 63-7.

בששלםי is a composite word, such as often occurs in Syriac. It is prep. beth plus relative $\begin{array}{r}i \\ \text { plus prep. }\end{array}$ lamedh plus interrog. יִ̣, lit. 'by (relative) to whom?', i.e. 'on whose account?' The $\boldsymbol{\square}$ is N. Israelite for רֶux; it appears in Rabbinic Hebrew, and in the daleth of Syriac and Aramaic. It is found thrice in Jonah, always in Canticles except in the title, ig times in the Psalms from cxxii onwards, 68 times in Ecclesiastes, as against אֹאשר is imes. Note that 89 time a relative conjunction and not a pronoun. When it is used as apparently meaning 'who (relative, third person)', the subject of the verb (the pronoun 'he') must be understood as being in the verb and not in the relative conjunction.

ניפשל , strong-vav plus 3 m. p. impf. hiph. of (fall).
Verse 8. .חתנ, 2 m. s. impt. (emphatic with toneless, he added, DG 84, WL 86) hiph. of 7 , ${ }^{\text {, ' tell ( }}$ (pray)'. The qal means 'be conspicuous', but is not found in Hebrew. נs is a particle of entreaty, and the dagesh after the maqqeph (hyphen) is euphonic (dagesh $f$ rte conjunctivum, DG 33, WL 21).
. . . באששׁ. This is a repetition of the phrase .... בששלמי of verse 7 , with the first word written out in full. Most scholars regard it as a misplaced gloss on the previous phrase. It is not in LXX (codd. $x$ and B).
(work, business). The normal form of the noun is מלְאָּד, standing
for a fem. segholate form מקִלָכָה , DG WL 96 , but the aleph quiesces.

ומאין, the copulative 'and' (becoming 7 before the labial, DG 53, WL 44) plus prep. min plus interrog. particle איֵ with its construct (BDB 34). It is the interrogative (BDB 32), the final nun being found only in the form (whence?). The interrog. has a construct $N$; see below, where this is prefixed to מִדֶּ ('thence', lit. 'from this') to mean 'whence?'

אארצך (land), but in 2 m. s. suff. to sing. of pause with tone retracted under the accent zaqephqaton, DG $4 \mathrm{I}\left(\S^{\prime} 4 c, \mathrm{i}\right)$, WL $1 \mathrm{I} 7(\S 3,2)$. There is another retraction of the tone in pause at the end of the verse with silluq in cf. DG $4^{0}\left(\S 4^{b}\right)$.

ע. It is best to regard this noun as in apposition, 'and whence as regards people (nationality) art thou?'

Verse 9. צברי, 'an Hebrew'. Gentilic adjectives end in - (masc.), and in י- and $\pi_{\tau}^{*}$ (fem.), DG $56 f$, GKT86h. LXX has Soúnos Kupiou, apparently reading daleth for resh, and taking the final yod to be an abbreviation for the Sacred Name.
in, 'the heavens'. This looks like a dual (and so also $\mathbf{a}$ !, 'water'), but it is actually a plural from a sing. (not found), DG 57, WL 64 (note 3).

* could be adjective, but better parsed as qal act. ptc. of stative verb יָּא (be afraid), here transitive. 'I am fearing (present continuous).'

Verse ıo. האאנטשים, article plus plural of (man), DG ${ }^{5} 53$, WL 185.

תкi, f. s. demonstrative pronoun. The Hebrew fem. is the equivalent of the classical neuter, DS 17 (Rem. 2), GK I 35 $p$.

עשיתת, 2 m. s. pf. qal of עמשה (do), a normal lamedh-he form.

י, 'that', but in the following instance 'because'. This Hebrew כי is similar to the Greek óti, both being used to introduce objective clauses, and also as causal particles.

בי תגדד לחם, 'because he had told them'. The pf. denotes that the action took place before that of the verb in the main clause, and is therefore to be translated as a pluperfect. Wellhausen, Nowack and others omit the clause as a gloss. It certainly is awkward, following a previous causal sentence.

Verse 11. 信, pausal form of לְ (prep. lamedh plus $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s} . \operatorname{suff}$.) with accent zaqeph-qaton, DG 5 I , WL 49. To avoid two accented syllables coming together (DG 4I, iii, WL Ir7f), the tone of the previous word has been retracted, with the result that a dagesh-forte had to be inserted in the lamedh to ensure its proper pronunciation after a toneless syllable, DG 33, GK 2of; especially after quiescent $h e$, DG 33, WL 2 I.
pתemir, weak-vav plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. impf. qal (equal to iva) of שׂתק, a late word meaning 'to be quiet', found here twice and elsewhere only in Psalm cvii. 30 (in a storm at sea) and Proverbs xxvi. 30.
,הולך וםער, two act. qal participles, lit. 'going and raging', idiomatic for 'getting rougher and rougher'. This is one of two idiomatic uses of the verb הלך to
denote continual or gradual action. The other, where there is definite motion by the subject, is by the use of the finite verb, followed by the two inf. absolutes. The best example is 2 Samuel iii. i6: 'and (her husband) went (with her) weeping as he went', , ויְּדֶ, DS rig, WL ror, and especially GK in 3 u.
 raise) plus i s. suff. 'lift me up'. Note the qamets under the shin. This is because the verb, being lamedh-aleph, has an $a$-vowel in the imperfect. These finite verbal forms follow the fashion of first and third declension nouns in respect of stem-vowels. Where the verbal form ends in $-o$ or $-\varepsilon$, and the earlier part of the form is fixed, they are like the third declension nouns, e.g.
 vowels are tone-long, they are like the first declension,

, weak-vav (copulative) plus 2 m. p. impt. hiph. of (cast) plus i s. suff. Note that though the $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. impt. hiph. has lost the characteristic hiphil- $i$, yet it returns with suffixes. This applies to the hiph. jussive also.
 author has cleverly managed (with the help of the Masoretes) to emphasise both words, placing the participle first to emphasise that, and then putting אני in pause with zaqeph-qaton, lengthening the chatephpathach to qamets, DG 41, WL 34 .

בששלי. Another Aramaising composite word, the Hebrew equivalent being לְלמשְני, 'on my account.'

Verse 13. ויחתח, strong-vav plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p}$. impf. qal of חתר (dig, but here 'dig with oars', and so "row'). The pathach here is not the sign of the hiphil impf., but is due to the pe-guttural. The hiphil would be


לְדָּשִיב , prep. lamedh (purpose) plus hiph. inf. cstr. of בוֹ, 'to bring back (the ship)'. AV is better than RV, but the main point to remember is that the qal is intransitive and the hiphil transitive.
, היבשׁT, 'the dry land'. The 'land' of EVV is good enough, but actually is nearer to LXX, Sym., and Theod., трòs тìv रர̃ข.
יכיכלו 3 m. p. pf. qal of be able), in pause with tone retracted, the normal being יָּקלוּ An $o$-verb like ${ }^{2}$, but with a qal impf,, WL 138 . The old primary -0 -vowel appears in pause, DG $40 f$, WL iri.

Verse 14. אנה. Pronounce 'än-nah, composed of two entreaty particles, and seven times written with final aleph, and four with final $h e$, and nearly always in entreaty to God. A very strong word.

Ss with the jussive (or cohortative, as here) is 'don't', but k ' with the impf. is stronger 'thou shalt not . . $\therefore$, DG 83 , WL 85 and 77. is frequently inserted thus, and in other cases also, to increase the force of the entreaty or prayer, BDB 6og, and especially GK $\operatorname{sog} b$ (note i), $\operatorname{ro8} b$, $\operatorname{rog} b c$, 1 rod.

שבנe, 'for the life of'; prep. beth (pretii, WL 206, DS 139) plus cstr. s. Never translate $\boldsymbol{m}$, by 'soul'. No Hebrew ever had a 'soul'. He had a 'spirit'. The Greek had a 'soul', and when Paul used the word he
referred to that which was 'natural' as against that which was 'spiritual'.

קתן, 2 m. s. impf. (jussive) of WL 225.
a7. Usually 'blood in the body' is $\square \underset{T}{ }$, but 'shed blood' is the plural, דֶּם, DG 192, WL i35; cf. 'wheat in the ear' is $n$ ner, but 'wheat in the grain' (being separated) is
. . . כי־אתה, 'for Thou, O Lord (casus pendens, DS 148 ff , DT 264-74), according as thou hast (or perhaps better "hadst", the pluperfect) purposed, thou hast done'.

באשׁׂ, DG ini (c), WL 100. The prepositions kaph and beth can be prefixed to an inf. cstr., e.g. lit. 'in the keeping-of the man' (this is what gives rise to the name 'inf. construct'), but if a finite verb follows then must be inserted in order to turn the prep. into a conjunction. Remember that is a relative, and not by any means a pronoun.

תחתפת, 2 m. s. pf. qal of (delight in, purpose), with a pf. in $-e$ and an impf. in -0 .

Verse 15. 1 , 1 , strong-vav ('and so') plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p}$. impf. qal of (lift up). The nun-dagesh (i.e. that in the shin) has failed because of the vocal sheva. This happens more often than not, even for the piel-dagesh, DG 33 (top), WL 20 (bottom).

זוריל (hurl) plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. (impf.) suff.
, strong-vaz ('and as a consequence') $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. impf. qal of עמד (stand), pe-guttural verb. The
 from).
, מדוֹא (raging) prep. min plus sing. of 3 m. s. suff.

Verse 16. את־ידוה. Probably an addition. If it stands, then the verb has a direct object as well as a 'cognate accusative'.

וידרו, strong-vav plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p}$. impf. qal of נרד (vow). Targum adds that they promised to offer sacrifices.

## CHAPTER II

Verse I. rימן, strong-vav plus 3 m. s. impf. (apoc.) piel of מנה (in qal 'number', but in piel 'appoint'). For apocopations of lamedh-he verbs, see DG 147, WL 144 The dagesh fails as usual in yod-with-sheva.

לבלע, prep. lamedh (purpose) plus inf. cstr. qal of בלע (swallow up, gulp). In Job xx. i5 this verb is the opposite of premit); cf. Jonah ii. II.
, שִִׁים (sing. not found), 'bowels, intestines'.
 I agrees in gender, is an adjective, and follows the noun; 2 agrees in gender, is in the construct, and precedes the noun; $3^{-10}$, with article, differ in gender, are in construct, and precede; 3-10, without the article, differ in gender, are in the absolute, and precede the noun. The tens are always masculine and precede. All, except, of course, I , take the plural, though $11-19$ take the sing. with words of time,
measure, weight, etc., and there is a general tendency to use the singular. Say 'twenty and three' for the best classical style. The tens have the singular only in Ezekiel and P. There are many variations from these rules, but these are the central norm, DG i63f, WL $194 f$, DS 50-7.
an', pl. of ar (day), DG i53, WL r86. The Old Latin omits 'three days and', probably because of the Resurrection period. The quotation in Matthew xii. 40 is from LXX.

לילליה, לְילְה (night), a masc., and has accent on first syllable. There are two forms, לילה and the rare ליליל לִיל but the plural is always as here. Arabic also has the two forms: לִיְלִ and לְיְלת another explanation of the final-he, see WL 21 I .

Verse 2 (Verse i in EVV). ויתפלל, strong-vav plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. impf. hithp. of פלל (piel is 'mediate, judge', but hithp. is 'intercede, pray').
, דֶּ the fem. form refer to a single fish. Elsewhere the masc. ${ }^{2} \bar{T}$ means 'a fish', and the fem. means 'fish' (collective), DG 192.(top), DS I7, GK $^{2} 225$.

Verse 3. The prayer of Jonah from the belly of the fish is the prayer of exiled Israel; cf. Jeremiah li. 34Verses 3-10 are in the famous Qinah (lamentation) $3: 2$ rhythm. Poetic style misses out the article whenever it can, often where we would retain it.

קרקאתי (call aloud), lamedh-aleph form, 'in the shop window', and therefore the vowel is aleph.

צָהֶה (distress). The root is
double-ayin, so that we get the form $\begin{gathered}\text { \% } \\ \text {, } \\ \text {, DG } 36 \text {, }\end{gathered}$
 to get the proper rhythm, DS 38; cf. Psalm xviii. 7, cxx. I.
, ציענני, strong-vav plus 3 m . s. impf. qaal of guttural and lamedh-he verb, 'answer') plus $\frac{1 / 3}{3} \mathrm{~s}$. (impf.) suff.
 verb from the noun שטׁוְָּ (cry for help). LXX read


Verse 4. וֹשׂליכני, strong-vav plus 2 m. s. impf. hiph. of ששלך plus I s. suff. This should be translated 'and thou didst cast me', but we would expect 'for thou hadst cast me'. It is best to retain the Hebrew text, since to read the pf. without strong-vav, after Wellhausen, does not entirely remove the difficulty. Even the pf. would need to be taken as a pluperfect, and so differently from the preceding perfects.

טצולה (depth), the root is BDB 846. Either this or the next phrase, 'in the heart of the seas', is a gloss. Marti, Nowack, Kautzsch and Haupt omit the first, whilst Nowack (later) and Gunkel omit the second. It is better to omit טצולה (so ICC); firstly, this restores the rhythm; secondly, if it remained, it would have to be בayiki.
aימים, pl. of (sea), a double-ayin root, hence the dagesh in the mem, preceded by the short vowel. Note that יִפִּים means 'seas', and יָמִים means 'days', DG I53, WL 191 and 186.
,נדר, 'flood', normally a river which never fails, as
against a wady, Some would read the plural here, as in LXX, but there is no need for the change.

ישבבבני, $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. impf. polel (one of the intensive forms of double-ayin verbs, DG 227, WL 27I) of פבב (surround) plus i s. suff.
-翟, pronounced kol, with short -o. This vowel must be short, since the maqqeph makes the word part of the following word, and the vowel is therefore in a closed syllable before the tone, DG 40, WL 28.

, ותליך, copulative vav plus 2 m . s. suff. to plural of ('wave', but properly 'roller', from the doubleayin root, נלל).

עבר (cross, pass over), but here in pause with tone retracted from the normal the original vowel appearing, DG $40 f$, WL 117 .

Verse 5. זאאי, the pronoun is partly emphatic because of its position, but partly also due to rhythmical needs.

נגרֹשת , I s. pf. niph. of ברֹשׁ (drive out).
מנד , prep. min plus a substantive used as a prep. to mean 'conspicuously in front of', generally with a suggestion of opposition, or of 'opposite number' (Genesis ii. 18).

F א, 'howbeit, nevertheless'. The 'yet' of EVV is satisfactory. This particle always involves something contrary to what has been said or is expected, and this is true even in Psalm xxiii. 6 and Psalm lxxiii. i. Similarly, $7 \times$ always involves something affirming expectation. The former means 'Yes, but' and the
latter 'Yes, and'. Most scholars read (how?), after Theod.

אואחין, I s. imp. hiph. of (add), an ordinary pe-vav verb (i.e. not one of the six). Be careful always to distinguish between the forms of the two verbs אסף (gather) and יסף (add).

להבים, prep: lamedh plus hiph. inf. cstr. of צבט (look towards).
,חיכל, cstr. s. of always the Palace (Temple) of Jehovah at Jerusalem. The $\lambda$ oov of LXX (cod. B) is an error in LXX for vaov.
.קדשׁ. Pronounce qod-she-ka, with the accent on the middle syllable. $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. suff. to the sing. קְדָשׁ (holiness), but with tone retracted in pause from the normal,


Verse 6. אפשפוני, 3 p. pf. qal of (surround), encompass) plus I s. suff.

תהar is not the ordinary ocean, but the vasty primeval Deep, personified in the Babylonian Tiamat (equals the Hebrew Tehom), or the Hebrew Rahab.

母ir, masc. noun, 'reeds'. Both Targum (יֶחק and Aquila ( $\varepsilon$ púOpo) thought of the Red Sea. LXX and dependants read $\quad$ (end) and divide the verse differently.

Verse 7. 'To the extremities of the mountains I went down, etc.', as in EVV, but it is better to divide differently, and to take the first two words with the previous verse. This retains the 3:2 rhythm. Then read: 'I went down to the land, whose (gate-) bars
were about me for ever, but thou hast brought me up, . . :

לקצבי, prep. lamedh plus cstr. pl. of elsewhere only in I Kings vi. 25, vii. 37 of 'shape', the root meaning 'cut off', but here apparently 'extremities', i.e. 'bottoms', following the Targum. Many scholars (Boehme, Nowack, Procksch in Kittel's 3rd ed.) suggest the more usual ? לְקָּ (to the ends of). For other suggestions, see ICC, but the Hebrew Text is supported by the Hebrew of Ecclesiasticus xvi. 19.
חות (mountain), a double-ayin root. Note the pointing of the article with this noun,
 DG 46 , WL 27.

בריחיה (bar). 3 f. s. suff. to plural of
בעדי meaning 'separation', but it is used as a prep. with a wide range of meanings, 'away from', 'behind', 'round about' (as here), and even 'on behalf of', BDB i26. But the bars were not round about him for ever. It is most attractive to follow van Hoonacker's suggestion, on the basis of LXX and Vulgate, reading ' 77 (cstr. pl. of 73 , which also means 'separation', and here 'bars'; cf. Job xvii. ı6). LXX has кd́тоXo' al由viot, and Vulgate continentes aeternae. 'Whose bars are everlasting bolts.'
, strong-vav ('but', DS 71 (top), DT 8of § 74, GK iIIe) plus $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. impf. (apoc.) hiph. of (go up). The actual forms of qal and hiphil imperfects cannot be distinguished in a verb which is both
pe-guttural and lamedh-he, but this is necessarily the hiphil, because it is transitive.

חמשחm, prep. min plus (pit). The Versions and also AV text and RV margin (corruption) connect the word with the root (destroy), but this is wrong. The word is from the root (sink down), BDB rool.

חיי, I pl. suff. plus the plural, חיים (life).
Verse 8. בהתעעשת, prep. beth plus inf. cstr. hithp. of צטף III (be feeble, faint).
, 1 I s. suff. plus (life-spirit). Some MSS. read (my spirit).
:זכר (remember), with pathach lengthened to qamets in pause, DG 40 (§ 4 a), WL ${ }_{11} 7$ ( $\S 3,1$ ). The phrase $\times$ is put first for emphasis.
אוֹת, strong-vav plus 3 f. s. impf. qal of xing, 'and (my prayer) did come to thee'.
 double-ayin (cf. the verb in verse 2 ), hence the doubled lamedh.
 (guard, keep), 'those who regard'. Some would read the cstr. pl., بְשׁׁמִּר, but this change is not necessary, though it gives better Hebrew.

הבלי, cstr. p. of רֶדֶל (breath, vanity), used here of false gods. The following N a simile, though the corresponding Arabic root means 'be evil, unseemly'.
חחדם,
'loving-kindness, mercy', but essentially a covenantword, describing the relations which should exist between the parties. It means 'steadfast loyalty', but here 'their source of confidence'. See Expository Times, January, 1944, pp. 108-10; also The Distinctive Ideas of the Old Testament, Chapter V. The use of the word here is unusual, so that commentators emend to עמחִמדְם (their refuge), so Marti, Nowack, or (their desire). Syriac has $7 \boldsymbol{7} \boldsymbol{\square}$, , 'thy grace, loving-kindness'. Some keep the text, but translate 'their best' (Wellhausen), 'their piety' (Duhm), 'their providence' (van Hoonacker):
יעזובז , 3. m p. impf. qal of (forsake), but with tone retracted in pause and original -o restored, DG $40 f$, WL II7.

Verse io. 'sis, emphatic, 'but I'.
,בקול, prep. beth plus cstr. sing.
אובחח, is. is. cohort. qal of (sacrifice).
, prep. lamedh plus $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. suff., but in pause for the normal $\boldsymbol{T}_{\text {; }}$; cf. i. II.
 intransitive in qal, and transitive in piel. The tone is retracted in pause, and the original tsere restored, DG $40 f$, WL 117.
,ישׂוּעתח, fem. noun meaning 'salvation'. The normal form is in It may be a relic of the old accusative, but in such cases as this is used for the sake of poetic emphasis or variation, GK gog.

Verse in. איקי, strong-vav plus 3 m . s. impf. qal (it is an $-i$ verb) of

## CHAPTER III

Verse 1. WL 197. The masc. is

Verse 2. The command of i. 2 is repeated, so that LXX interprets accordingly, 'according to the former passage which I spake'.
אליה, 'to her', as against עלז, 'against her', in i. 2.
הקריאה, 'the proclamation'. LXX has tò кípuyua (the proclamation, preaching), probably in part because of the assonance.
,דבר, act. ptc. qal of , 'which I am speaking now'. Apart from Psalm li. 6 (inf. cstr.) and Proverbs xxv. in, no qal form of this root is found apart from the act. ptc.

Verse 3. רחרך, strong-vav plus 3 m . s. impf. qal of (go), with tone retracted, so that the last vowel becomes short. It is one of six verbs like יئष․
, ברבר, prep. kaph (according to) plus cstr. s. of (word).
. . . Tליצוה. The vav introduces a characteristic Hebrew circumstantial clause. In English we say 'Now Nineveh was

היחה (to be, become).
לאלהים, prep. lamedh plus (god) with the short vowel and its following chateph-seghol swallowed up in a long tsere, DG ${ }_{51}$, WL 44.

Verse 4. לויחל, strong-vav plus 3 m. s. impf. second hiphil of חלל (profane). This double-ayin verb has
two hiphils in use, the first with the cheth virtually doubled (impf. יחֵל), meaning 'cause to profane', being a true hiphil; the second with the lamedh doubled
 The $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{impf}$ hiph. is יָחָ, the jussive , and with tone retracted, יָּדֶ.
, ויאמשר, strong-vav plus 3 m. s. impf. qal of (say). Notice that the accent is not retracted (pause marked by zaqeph-qaton), so that the normal pathach is retained. The verb is one of the five pe-aleph verbs.

ארבעים, 'forty', supported by Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotion, but LXX and the Latin have 'three', which makes much better sense.
 but with the seghol of the accented syllable lengthened in pause to qamets. The construction is fut. instans., 'is about to be overthrown'. This is the only time the niphal of this verb is used of overthrowing a city; cf. the noun מחְְפָּה, which is used only of the overthrow of Sodom and Gomorrah.

Verse 5. Trיאמינו, strong-vav plus 3 m . p. impf. hiph. of אטן (support), the hiphil meaning 'stand firm' and mostly, as here, 'trust, believe'.

אנצשי (man), DG 153, WL 185.
عזד,
וילבשׂ, strong-vav plus 3 m . p. impf. qal of לבשׂ, (put on, be clothed with). The pf. is in $-a$, except twice in Psalm xciii. r , where it is in $-e$. It takes a direct object of the garment which is put on.
a found in English, and is probably a Phoenician loan-
word both in Greek and in English, as well as in Hebrew, 'sack-cloth'.
 3 m . p. suff.
 the doubled-nun with preceding pathach, DG 141 (bottom), WL 55.

Verse 6. ציויב, 3 m. s. impf. qal of (touch, reach, strike), a late use meaning only 'came (to)'.

מבםא, prep. min pius plus 3 m. s. suff. The dagesh in the samech has failed with the sheva, DG 33, WL.20. The other Semitic languages show that the dagesh stands for an original resh: 'from his throne'.

עבר ויעבר, strong-vav plus 3 m . s. impf. hiph. of (cross, pass over), 'and he took away'.
אדרתף, 3 m. s. suff. plus (mantle, but particularly as it is wide, or noble).
,עצלי, prep. min plus prep. plus 3 m . s. suff., 'from upon him'.

בוז, strong-vav plus 3 m . s. impf. piel of (cover), an apocopated lamedh-he form, DG 147, WL 144 .

בשׂׂr, strong-vav plus 3 m . s. impf. qal of ישׁׂב (sit) with tone retracted, so that last vowel is short, DG 128 (§ $2 b$ ), WL 137 (§5).

Verse 7. ייזיזק, strong-vad plus 3 m . s. impf. hiph. of ועק (cry out), 'and he had a proclamation made'.
,מטזים, prep. min plus in Hebrew, 'taste, judgement', but here used in the Aramaic sense, 'decree'.

ונרליו, copulative vav ( 1 before sheva) plus m. p. of
. . . הואד, 'as for man, and beast, cattle and sheep,
let them not'. Hebrew uses the article idiomatically in these cases. אדם means 'man' as against 'beast', i.e. वैvOpwtros, homo; אישׂ means 'man' as against 'woman', i.e. åvín c vir.

Tanct The first of a number of 3 m. p. impf. (jussive) forms, the qal of טעם (taste), רצה (pasture), نسתה (drink), and, in the next verse, the hithpael of כםה (cover), and the qals of קרא (call), and (return). Various suggestions have been made in order to get scientific accuracy as to feeding, but there is no need to make any omission other than 'let them not pasture', though, in the next verse, it is better to omit והבהמה (and beast).

Verse 8. בחזקה. Pronounce be-choz-qah.
שֶּרֶך (way) is usually masculine, but it is better to regard it as fem. here (cf. Exodus xviii. 20) and then to take as a fem. sing. of the adj. (evil), 'from their evil way'. The alternative is to regard
prep. beth (in) plus 3 m . p. suff. to dual כָּ ayin, and means 'bend'. The plural of the noun is תiּפ.

Verse 9. ים. Always 'who?', the interrogative, and never 'who', relative.
עTr', act. ptc. qal of (know), here equivalent to the English present tense.
, 3 m. s. impf. qal of 'Me may turn'.
tנחם, strong-vav plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. pf. piel of (repent). Note that the cheth is virtually doubled, only resh and aleph compensating for the doubled guttural in ayin-
guttural verbs, and that in this particular verb the final vowel is pathach and not tsere.

בשׂ', strong-vav plus 3 m . s. pf. qal, 'and (may) turn back'.
,מחרין, prep. min plus cstr. s. of חָרוֹ (anger).
אפת, 3 m . s. suff. to properly 'nostril' (usually dual) or 'face' (sing.), but here 'anger'. The root is אנא (breathe, snort), so that the meaning 'anger' is closer to the original, and 'nostril' is derived.
אנצד, I p. impf. qal of (perish), one of the five pe-aleph verbs. The final pathach has become tsere in pause, DG ${ }_{119}$, WL I $_{72}$, GK 68c.

Verse 10. וירא, strong-vav plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. impf. (apoc.) qal of ראח (see). The apocopated forms of this verb need great care, DG ${ }_{\text {I47 }}$, WL 144 .

מעשְֶׁׂה (deed). For suffixes to lamedh-he nouns, see DG i48, WL 189. Note that sing. abs. is with seghol, and cstr. sing. is מעשְׂ, with final tsere.
,שׂׂ, 3 p. pf. qal of 'that they had turned . . .'.
נחהם (repent), with tone retracted so that last vowel has become short, DG 85 (bottom), WL 90.
דבר, 3 m . s. pf. piel (with seghol as usual in this verb), translated as pluperfect, '(which) he had said (purposed) to do'.

לעשוֹת, prep. lamedh plus inf. cstr. qal of lamedhhe verb.

## CHAPTER IV

Verse 1. יורצ strong-vav plus 3 m. s. impf. qal of (to be evil), a stative double-ayin verb, with first
radical doubled like Sol, but with compensatory tsere instead of the doubled ayin. An impersonal use, with 'a great evil' as an adverbial accusative.
 $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. impf. qal of חרח (to be hot); lit. 'it was hot to him'. DG 147 (top, like שעׁה), or, more clearly, WL 144 .

Verse 2. עד, prep. properly 'up to', but here in the Aramaic sense of 'while'.
,היהתי (to be) plus i s. suff.
קרדם (to be in front), lit.' 'therefore I made in front to flee', or (RV marg.) 'I was before hand in fleeing'. This construction is highly indiomatic, and is similar to the idiomatic use of MD', etc., DS II4, GK II4m, 120a, or cf. 2 Kings

 lit. 'ye shall not make far to go', i.e. 'ye shall not go far away', Exodus viii. 24.

חנון, adj, 'gracious'. This adjective is used only of God. The earlier phrase is רחחום וחנון, and the later, as here, חנון ורחזם. Both are actually 'passive' forms.

םונ, copulative vav plus m. s. niph. ptc. of נחם.
Verse 3. לקח (take), DG 2 I3, $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. impt. qal of WL 255 .
,משת, prep. min plus i s. suffix, DG 53, WL i iof.
ום, followed by prep. min, is comparative, DG 16 r, WL 3 If.

עותי, i s. suff. plus noun (death). The inf. cstr. qal of the verb plus i s. suffix is מוּתוּת ( The form with an addition must be the noun, since the inf. abs. qal of the verb is rin, and that cannot have a suffix.

Verse 4. תהריטב, he-interrogative (DG 167, WL 28f) plus inf. abs. hiph. of (to be well), here used as adverb meaning 'rightly'. יםב is one of three true pe-yod verbs which always show the yod. They are: If the baby howls יללל, let him suck ינק his thumb, and he will be good ימזב.

חחרה (to be hot), impersonal use, as in verse I . The tone has been retracted because of the following word ( $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, pausal form of prep. lamedh plus $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. suff.), in order that two accented syllables should not come together, DG 4 ( (§ 46 , iii), WL 117 .

Verse 5. xxיy, strong-vav plus 3 m. s. impf. qal of K (go out), one of six pe-vav verbs like
ivir, strong-vay plus 3 m . s. impf. (apoc.) qal of עשׂה (do).
(underneath). Neither this form nor the corresponding forms of


75 , prep. 'until', turned into a conjunction by the addition of the relative so that a finite verb follows.

יראה, 3 m. s. impf. qal of (see).
$\pi$, introducing indirect question, which in Hebrew has the original tense, 'what will come to be?'

Verse 6. קיקיזן. The Assyrian kukanitu (all vowels long) is an unidentified garden plant. Etymologically, the word is equated to the Egyptian kiki, castor-oil tree. LXX, Syriac and Vulgate make a bottle-gourd. It is said that on the shores of the Tigris they sow gourds for summer shelter; they quickly spring up and climb round the tents.

ליע, strong-vav plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. impf. (apoc.) qal of עלה (go up, grow up).
 'from over on top of'.
 The sheva is vocal, as always with this verb and its companion חיח (live).
בצל prep. lamedh plus inf. cstr. hiph. of לחציל, (deliver), but it is certain that LXX is better toũ
 lamedh plus inf. cstr. hiph. of צלצ, double-ayin verb. We would expect לְחדצילו, i.e. the second lamedh has been repeated by error (dittograph).
מרעחו, prep. min plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$ s. suff. to singular רֶָָ, 'from his evil plight'. The firm qamets under the resh is because the root is double-ayin; cf. i. 2 .

Verse 7. בעלות, prep. beth plus inf. cstr. qal of עלה, 'in the going up of the dawn'. For this construction and variants, DG iif, WL roo.
למחרח, prep. lamedh plus article plus fem. noun (the morrow). Pronounce lam-mö-chŏ-rath.
Iתו, strong-vav plus 3 f. s. impf. hiph. of (smite). The unapocopated impf. hiph. is ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ ह, DG 147 , WL 144.
ITM, strong-vav plus 3 m. s. impf. qal of become dry). The original pathach has been lengthened to qamets in pause.
Verse 8. כזורח, prep. kaph plus inf. cstr. qal of זרח (rise, or sun); a variant of prep.-beth-with inf.-cstr. (note in previous verse).
[קדי, m. noun 'the East', the preceding word being
in the cstr. sing., lit. 'a wind of the East', with the following adj. being fem., agreeing with (wind).
,חרישׁת, fem. sing. of the adj. which is wholly doubtful in meaning, and occurs only here. Targum derived it from the root חָּרׂש (Arabic charisa, with heavy $c h$ ), 'to be silent', i.e. 'a silent (sultry) easy wind'. Stade derived it from the root חָךשׁ (Arabic charatha with light $c h$; Aramaic charath), 'to cut, plough', i.e. 'a cutting east wind'. Köhler connected it with (sun), and so 'a scorching east wind'. Various emendations have been suggested: חַרְקֶרֶת (scorching, Deuteronomy xxviii. 22: Graetz), חִרִירִית (glowing: Boehme, Halévy), מַחִדְרֶבת (scorching, Bewer in ICC), and טְשִחִית (destroying) or טְחִריב (drying), Procksch in Kittel's 3rd ed. LXX read 'burning'. Problem is unresolved.

עיחק (enwrap, and of the senses 'enwrapped, obscured', and so 'faint'). The qamets in the last syllable is in pause for an original pathach. This is the old original pathach of both piel and hithpael, which still survives occasionally, especially in verbs ending in nun, qoph, mem, and pe, GK $54 k$.

למות, prep. lamedh plus inf. cstr. qal of מדות (die), with qamets before the tone, DG 5 I, WL 45 .

Verse io. 2 m. s. pf. qal of 2 (to have pity).
בדלתו, 2 m. s. pf. piel of (to grow, in piel 'nourish') plus 3 m . s. suffix.
${ }^{7} \boldsymbol{7}$ בשי, conjunction with following dagesh (northern
 WL 186. This cstr. sing. is also found with seghol.

The word is often used to denote one of a class, e.g. 'son of might', 'the sons of Elim (individuals of the class of divine beings)', 'son of earth-born-man אדם', with its Aramaic equivalent, 'bar-nasha', GK 1280.

Tבת, 3 m . s. pf. qal with final pathach lengthened to qamets in pause, DG 40 , WL II 7 .

Verse 11. יואני. Emphatic because of its position at the beginning of the sentence.

אחזום, I s. impf. qal of חהום (have pity), interrogative to be understood, presumably indicated by the tone of the voice, DS 166 , GK I $_{50} c$, $d$.
nem, lit. '(which) there is in it'. The word is properly a substantive meaning 'being, existence', and is used for 'there is', etc. The vowel is short because of the following maqqeh, DG 40, WL 28. הד beth plus 3 f. s. suff. Note the mappiq, DG 33, WL 9 .
 is probably correct.

דרבה, inf. abs. hiph. of רבה (be many, great), but here used adverbially with following prep. min to mean 'more than'. In the following min the nun-dagesh has failed with sheva.

17, or, as occasionally elsewhere, win, is an Aramaizing form for the true Hebrew רְרָבָ (ten thousand). The following is singular according to later custom.

לשמואלו, prep. lamedh plus שְּמאל (left) plus $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. suffix.

רבה (many), the dagesh being due to the double-ayin root.

